



# Article Novel N-normetazocine Derivatives with Opioid Agonist/Sigma-1 Receptor Antagonist Profile as Potential Analgesics in Inflammatory Pain

Rita Turnaturi <sup>1</sup>, Santina Chiechio <sup>2,3</sup>, Lorella Pasquinucci <sup>1,\*</sup>, Salvatore Spoto <sup>2</sup>, Giuliana Costanzo <sup>4</sup>, Maria Dichiara <sup>1</sup>, Silvia Piana <sup>1</sup>, Margherita Grasso <sup>3</sup>, Emanuele Amata <sup>1</sup>, Agostino Marrazzo <sup>1</sup>, and Carmela Parenti <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Drug and Health Sciences, Medicinal Chemistry Section, University of Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Drug and Health Sciences, Section of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Oasi Research Institute—IRCCS, 94018 Troina, Italy
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences, University of Catania, Via Santa Sofia, 97, 95123 Catania, Italy
- \* Correspondence: lpasquin@unict.it; Tel.: +39-095-738-4273

Abstract: Although opioids and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are the most common drugs used in persistent pain treatment; they have shown many side effects. The development of new analgesics endowed with mu opioid receptor/delta opioid receptor (MOR/DOR) activity represents a promising alternative to MOR-selective compounds. Moreover, new mechanisms, such as sigma-1 receptor ( $\sigma_1 R$ ) antagonism, could be an opioid adjuvant strategy. The in vitro  $\sigma_1 R$  and  $\sigma_2 R$  profiles of previous synthesized MOR/DOR agonists (-)-2*R*/S-LP2 (1), (-)-2*R*-LP2 (2), and (-)-2S-LP2 (3) were assayed. To investigate the pivotal role of N-normetazocine stereochemistry, we also synthesized the (+)-2R/S-LP2 (7), (+)-2R-LP2 (8), and (+)-2S-LP2 (9) compounds. (-)-2R/S-LP2 (1), (–)-2*R*-LP2 (2), and (–)-2*S*-LP2 (3) compounds have Ki values for  $\sigma$ 1*R* ranging between 112.72 and 182.81 nM, showing a multitarget opioid/ $\sigma$ 1R profile. Instead, (+)-2R/S-LP2 (7), (+)-2R-LP2 (8), and (+)-2S-LP2 (9) isomers displayed a nanomolar affinity for  $\sigma$ 1R, with significative selectivity vs.  $\sigma$ 2R and opioid receptors. All isomers were evaluated using an in vivo formalin test. (–)-2S-LP2, at 0.7 mg/kg i.p., showed a significative and naloxone-reversed analgesic effect. The  $\sigma$ 1R selective compound (+)-2R/S-LP2 (7), at 5.0 mg/kg i.p., decreased the second phase of the formalin test, showing an antagonist  $\sigma$ 1R profile. The multitarget or single target profile of assayed N-normetazocine derivatives could represent a promising pharmacological strategy to enhance opioid potency and/or increase the safety margin.

Keywords: multitarget drugs; MOR/DOR agonists; PRE-084; formalin test

# 1. Introduction

Inflammatory pain is a pathological condition related to an increased sensitivity caused by tissue damage. The increasing incidence and impact of inflammatory diseases have encouraged the search for new pharmacological strategies to face them. The conventional therapies for inflammation, including steroidal (SAID), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID), and disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) [1,2], have shown many side effects and deficiencies. Moreover, opioid therapy for inflammatory pain for the treatment of chronic diseases is often associated with several side effects. Therefore, to date, the treatment of chronic inflammatory pain is one of the unmet clinical needs for patients.

Recently, the delta opioid receptor (DOR) has become an attractive target as it is characterized by limited side effects compared to mu opioid receptor (MOR) agonists [3].



**Citation:** Turnaturi, R.; Chiechio, S.; Pasquinucci, L.; Spoto, S.; Costanzo, G.; Dichiara, M.; Piana, S.; Grasso, M.; Amata, E.; Marrazzo, A.; et al. Novel *N*-normetazocine Derivatives with Opioid Agonist/Sigma-1 Receptor Antagonist Profile as Potential Analgesics in Inflammatory Pain. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 5135. https:// doi.org/10.3390/molecules27165135

Academic Editor: Susanna Fürst

Received: 13 July 2022 Accepted: 7 August 2022 Published: 12 August 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Moreover, simultaneous MOR-DOR activation, supported by co-expression of both receptors in areas involved in pain modulation [4], has been indicated as a strategic mechanism for chronic pain treatment [5]. In fact, MOR-DOR agonists showed improved antinociception and a low propensity to develop side effects [5–7]. Favorable pharmacological interactions were also demonstrated for our previously synthesized MOR/DOR agonist (–)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (1) (Figure 1) in inflammatory and neuropathic behavioural signs in mice and rats [8–11]. Furthermore, new targets involved in inflammatory pain modulation have also been studied, and among these, sigma-1 receptor ( $\sigma_1$ R) showed a determining role in pain transmission [12].



**Figure 1.** (–)-LP2 isomers structures.

 $\sigma_1$ R is a 24 kDa protein of 223 aminoacids anchored to the endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membranes that acts as a molecular chaperone, modulating the functionality of different receptors and ion channels [13,14]. It is expressed in peripheral organs and many areas of the central nervous system (CNS), including key areas for pain modulation, such as dorsal root ganglia, superficial layers of dorsal horn, locus coeruleus, and periaqueductal grey matter [15]. Literature data demonstrate that most of the endogenous ligands for  $\sigma_1$ R are steroids synthesized in the nervous system (neurosteroids), such as pregnenolonesulfate (PREG-S) and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEA-S), that have a role as  $\sigma_1$ R agonists [16]. On the other hand, progesterone is an endogenous antagonist of this receptor, and it induces down-regulation of TRPV1 expression in the plasma membrane of sensory neurons, causing a decrease in capsaicin-induced nociceptive responses [17].

The  $\sigma$ 1R modulation of analgesia was first proposed by Chien and Pasternak, who identified  $\sigma$ 1R as a potent anti-opioid endogenous system [18]. It has been demonstrated that  $\sigma$ 1R agonists are able to strongly counteract spinal and supraspinal opioid-induced analgesia, while  $\sigma$ 1R antagonists potentiate opioid-induced analgesia [19]. Furthermore, selective  $\sigma$ 1R antagonists have demonstrated analgesic efficacy in acute and chronic inflammatory pain models [20,21].

Several hypotheses explain the involvement of  $\sigma 1R$  in inflammatory pain modulation. One possible mechanism is that  $\sigma 1R$  activation enhances the bradykinin induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> release and nitric oxide (NO) signalling, thereby strengthening the inflammatory process [22,23]. Moreover, a peripheral  $\sigma 1R$ -related mechanism, proposed by Tejada et al. [24], might be even more important in the modulation of inflammatory pain sustained by a conspicuous enhancement of peripheral sensitization [25]. Additionally, pain sensitization after peripheral inflammation involves plastic changes characterized by an increase in spinal excitatory neurotransmission [26]. It has been described as a modulatory role of  $\sigma 1Rs$  in spinal sensitization and point to *N*-methyl-*D*-aspartate (NMDA) receptors and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent intracellular cascades as underlying mechanisms. The overall effect of activating  $\sigma 1Rs$  is to increase intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration by potentiating Ca<sup>2+</sup> entry at the plasma membrane level (NMDA-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx) and Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization from endoplasmic stores (IP3-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization) [27]. The modulatory role of  $\sigma 1R$  in pain transmission was identified using  $\sigma 1R$  knockout (KO) mice that showed attenuated pain responses in both phases of the formalin test [28,29], confirmed also by the administration of  $\sigma$ 1R antagonists [24].

Based on these findings, the aim of our work was to evaluate the opioid and/or  $\sigma$ 1R functional profile of *N*-normetazocine-based ligands as potential therapeutic agents for inflammatory pain management. Previously, we synthesized the (–)-2*R*-LP2 (**2**) and (–)-2*S*-LP2 (**3**) isomers (Figure 1) characterized by a peculiar in vitro and in vivo profile [30]. In the present work, to investigate the pivotal role of *N*-normetazocine stereochemistry in their pharmacological fingerprint, we also synthesized the (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (**7**), (+)-2*R*-LP2 (**8**), and (+)-2*S*-LP2 (**9**) compounds (Scheme 1).



Reagents and conditions: a) TsCl, TEA, Bu<sub>2</sub>SnO, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 3 h; b) NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, KI, DMF, 65 °C, 24 h.

Scheme 1. Synthetic pathway for 7–9 compounds.

First, by competition binding assays, we evaluated the affinity for opioid receptors and  $\sigma 1R$ ,  $\sigma 2R$  of all (–)-LP2 and (+)-LP2 isomers. Moreover, based on the role of simultaneous MOR-DOR activation and  $\sigma 1R$  antagonism in inflammatory pain modulation, we tested all (–)-LP2 and (+)-LP2 isomers in the mouse formalin test. To highlight the contribution of opioid receptors and  $\sigma 1R$  in the observed effects, coadministration of the opioid antagonist naloxone or selective  $\sigma 1R$  agonist PRE-084, respectively, were evaluated.

#### 2. Results and Discussion

#### 2.1. Chemistry

The resolution of  $(\pm)$ -*cis*-*N*-normetazocine and the synthesis of intermediates **4**, **5**, and **6** were carried out as previously reported [8,31] (See supporting information). Compounds (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7), (+)-2*R*-LP2 (**8**), and (+)-2*S*-LP2 (**9**) were obtained by alkylation of (+)-*cis*-*N*-normetazocine with the respective tosylated alcohols **4**–**6** (Scheme 1). All final compounds were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Figures S1–S6) and elemental analysis (Table S3).

#### 2.2. Radioligand Binding Assays

The  $\sigma$ 1R and  $\sigma$ 2R affinity of all (–)-LP2 and (+)-LP2 isomers were evaluated by competition binding assays using [<sup>3</sup>H]-(+)-pentazocine ([<sup>3</sup>H]-PTZ) and [<sup>3</sup>H]-DTG, respec-

tively. Moreover, newly synthesized (+)-LP2 isomers were evaluated vs. MOR, DOR, and kappa opioid receptor (KOR) with the respective [<sup>3</sup>H]-DAMGO, [<sup>3</sup>H]-Delthorphin, and [<sup>3</sup>H]-U69,593 radioprobe (Table 1). Haloperidol, (+)-pentazocine, DTG, BD-1063, DAMGO, naltrindole, and (–)-U50,488 are reported as internal controls. A similarity test, by calculating the Tanimoto structural similarity index (Tsc) using the online software, https://chemminetools.ucr.edu/similarity/ accessed on 1 August 2022, was conducted on all tested compounds (Tables S1 and S2).

Table 1. σ1R, σ2R, and opioid receptors binding assays for compounds 1–3 and 7–9.

Compound -	$K_{ m i}$ (nM) $\pm$ SD $^{ m a}$				
	σ1R	σ2R	MOR	DOR	KOR
(-)-2 <i>R</i> / <i>S</i> -LP2 (1)	$171.00\pm46.15$	$66.53 \pm 10.92$	$1.08\pm0.10~^{\rm b}$	$6.61\pm0.60~^{\rm b}$	$15.22\pm0.80^{\text{ b}}$
(-)-2R-LP2 ( <b>2</b> )	$182.81\pm20.96$	$66.68 \pm 17.89$	$12.30\pm0.42~^{\rm c}$	$151.10 \pm 0.60$ <sup>c</sup>	$236.00 \pm 0.83$ <sup>c</sup>
(-)-2S-LP2 (3)	$112.72\pm13.49$	$1013.91 \pm 191.31$	$0.50\pm0.03~^{ m c}$	$2.59\pm0.05$ <sup>c</sup>	$26.50\pm0.44~^{\rm c}$
(+)-2 <i>R</i> / <i>S</i> -LP2 (7)	$26.61 \pm 2.35$	$2393.32 \pm 514.13$	$1136\pm20$	$1035\pm35$	$1393\pm40$
(+)-2R-LP2 (8)	$47.86\pm7.52$	$1914.26 \pm 325.27$	$789\pm30$	$1007\pm25$	$1605\pm70$
(+)-2S-LP2 (9)	$46.99 \pm 8.82$	$2217.22 \pm 417.17$	$1170\pm30$	$1040\pm40$	$1450\pm29$
Haloperidol	$2.6\pm0.4$	$77\pm18$	-	-	-
(+)-Pentazocine	$4.3\pm0.5$	$1465\pm224$	-	-	-
DTG	$124\pm19$	$18 \pm 1$	-	-	-
BD-1063	$14\pm2.7$	$204\pm31$	-	-	-
DAMGO	-	-	$1.16\pm0.10$	-	-
U69,593	-	-	-	-	$0.34\pm0.10$
Naltrindole	-	-	-	$1.13\pm0.10$	-

<sup>a</sup> Each value is the mean  $\pm$  SD of at least two experiments performed in duplicate. Reference compounds were tested with the same membrane homogenates. <sup>b</sup> [8]. <sup>c</sup> [31].

(–)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (**1**), (–)-2*R*-LP2 (**2**), and (–)-2*S*-LP2 (**3**), with a previously demonstrated MOR/DOR agonist profile, have Ki values for  $\sigma$ 1R ranging between 112.72 and 182.81 nM. Thus, in levorotatory isomers, dual opioid/ $\sigma$ 1R affinities were displayed. Furthermore, for (–)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (**1**) and (–)-2*R*-LP2 (**2**) nanomolar Ki values vs.  $\sigma$ 2R were revealed. In addition, all (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7), (+)-2*R*-LP2 (**8**), and (+)-2*S*-LP2 (**9**) compounds displayed a double-digit nanomolar affinity for  $\sigma$ 1R, with significative selectivity vs.  $\sigma$ 2R and opioid receptors. Indeed, contrarily to levo isomers, whose Ki  $\sigma$ 2R/ $\sigma$ 1R ratios ranged from 0.38 to 9, dextro isomers  $\sigma$ 2R/ $\sigma$ 1R selectivity ratios were 87, 40 and 47, for (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7), (+)-2*R*-LP2 (**8**), and (+)-2*S*-LP2 (**9**), respectively. Moreover, opioid/ $\sigma$ 1R selectivity ratios in all dextro isomers ranged from 16.5 to 53.6. Thus, an affinity profile of selective  $\sigma$ 1R ligands was shown.

The *N*-normetazocine nucleus has mainly been employed for the design of opioid analgesics, although it is a versatile structure. In fact, its stereochemistry plays a crucial role in directing N-normetazocine-based compounds to different targets [30]. The literature data reports that the (-)-(1R,5R,9R) configuration mainly interacts with opioid receptors [32], while, the (+)-(15,55,95) antipode is able to bind  $\sigma$ 1R. Alazocine ((+)-SKF-10,047) was the first compound discovered that highlighted a remarkable  $\sigma$ 1R affinity. Conversely its (–)isomers are more tightly bound to MOR and KOR [33]. Furthermore, Carrol et al. [34] synthesized (+)- and (-)-N-substituted analogues of (+)-SKF-10,047, proving that  $\sigma$ 1R affinity and selectivity of all compounds were influenced by the nature of the N-substituent and the N-normetazocine stereochemistry. Subsequently, regardless of their stereochemistry, the (+)- and (-)-phenazocines, characterized by an N-2-phenylethyl substituent, showed opioid agonist/ $\sigma$ 1R antagonist profiles and a significant in vivo analgesia [35]. Differently, the analgesic effect of (+)-LP1, with an N-phenylpropanamide substituent, was not reversed by naloxone, suggesting a  $\sigma$ 1R antagonist profile [36]. Thus, the stereochemistry of the *N*-normetazocine scaffold as well as the size, electronic, and steric properties of its *N*substituents could shift the functional profile from selective  $\sigma 1R$  to mixed-target compounds. Our new data confirmed this variability in targeting opioid receptors and/or  $\sigma$ 1R. All our levo isomers, (–)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (1), (–)-2*R*-LP2 (2), and (–)-2*S*-LP2 (3), showed a multitarget profile, while the new synthesized dextro isomers, (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7), (+)-2*R*-LP2 (8), and (+)-2*S*-LP2 (9), are more stringently linked at  $\sigma$ 1R profile. Thus, *N*-normetazocine stereochemistry and *N*-substituent moiety seem to modify the affinity profile.

### 2.3. Formalin Test

Mice injected with 5% formalin into the mid-plantar surface of the right hind paw developed the characteristic formalin-induced pain behaviour, evidenced by a biphasic flinching and lifting/licking response. The time course of formalin-induced behaviours evidenced an immediate and acute nociceptive activity (0–5 min, phase I) that, after a short quiescent period (5–10 min, interphase), was followed by a more prolonged response (10–60 min, phase II).

To evaluate the systemic antinociceptive effect in both phases of the formalin test, vehicle, (-)-2*R*-LP2 (2) (2mg/kg), (-)-2*S*-LP2 (3) (0.7 mg/kg), (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7) (5 mg/kg), and (+)-2*R*-LP2 (8) (5 mg/kg) were administrated intraperitoneally (i.p.) 20 min before formalin injection, at doses chosen in accordance to previous studies [28]. As shown in Figure 2, the administration of compound (-)-2*S*-LP2 showed a significant analgesic effect, reducing the flinching/licking time in both phases of the formalin test. The (-)-2*R*-LP2 isomer showed the same profile with a minor potency, proving that the stereocenter influences pharmacological characteristics such as affinity, as demonstrated by radioligand binding assays.



**Figure 2.** (–)-2*S*-LP2 decreased nociceptive behaviour in the mouse formalin test. Intraperitoneal administration of (–)-2*S*-LP2 (0.7 mg/kg) and (–)-2*R*-LP2 (2 mg/kg) were able to reduce nociceptive behaviour in both phases of the formalin test. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 6-8 mice per group) and are represented as the percentage of inhibition of pain responses of the respective vehicle group (100%). \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01 vs. Vehicle, two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test.

To prove that the systemic antinociceptive effect was mainly mediated by the opioid system, we administrated a subcutaneous (s.c.) solution of naloxone hydrochloride (3 mg/kg), a non-selective opioid receptor antagonist, 20 min before the injection of (–)-2*S*-LP2 (the more representative of the two compounds under study). As shown in Figure 3, naloxone antagonized the antinociceptive effect of (–)-2*S*-LP2, confirming its main effect through the opioid system. Moreover, given the affinity for  $\sigma$ 1R of the compounds, a contribution of this receptor to the opioid-mediated antinociception effect should be considered.



**Figure 3.** The antinociceptive effect of (-)-2*S*-LP2 was antagonized by naloxone pre-treatment. Subcutaneous administration of naloxone hydrochloride (3 mg/kg) 20 min before (-)-2*S*-LP2 reverted its effect in the formalin test. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 6-8 mice per group) and are represented as the percentage of inhibition of pain responses of the respective vehicle group (100%).  $^{\circ\circ} p < 0.01$  vs. (-)-2*S*-LP2,  $^{\circ} p < 0.05$  vs. (-)-2SLP2, \*\* p < 0.01 vs. Vehicle, two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test.

It is well established that  $\sigma$ 1R is involved in nociception, and the effects reported with  $\sigma$ 1R ligands are consistent with a role of this receptor in pain hypersensitivity [37]. Thus, considering the results obtained in the radioligand binding assay with a (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 K<sub>i</sub> value two times lower than (+)-2*R*-LP2 and (+)-2*S*-LP2 and with a significant  $\sigma$ 2*R*/ $\sigma$ 1*R* and opioid receptors/ $\sigma$ 1*R* selectivity ratio, we then moved to test the analgesic efficacy of compounds 7, 8, and 9 in the mouse formalin test.

As shown in Figure 4, administration of (+)-2R/S-LP2 (7) at a dose of 5 mg/kg 20 min before formalin injection by the i.p. route did not alter the severity of the first phase of pain. The second phase, instead, was significantly decreased, as evidenced by a reduction in both the number of flinches and the lifting/licking time as compared with vehicle-treated mice. (+)-2R-LP2 (8) effect was not significative. The same result was obtained with the isomer (+)-2S-LP2 (9).



**Figure 4.** (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 reduced nociceptive behaviour in the mouse formalin test. Intraperitoneal administration of (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (5 mg/kg) was able to decrease nociceptive behaviour only in the second phase of the mouse formalin test, while intraperitoneal administration of (+)-2*R*-LP2 (5 mg/kg) and (+)-2*S*-LP2 (5 mg/kg) did not differ significantly from the vehicle. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (*n* = 6–8 mice per group) and are represented as the percentage of inhibition of pain responses of the respective vehicle group (100%). \* *p* < 0.05 vs. Vehicle, two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test.

In inflammatory pain, spinal cord neuronal sensitization occurs during the second phase of the formalin test. As NMDA receptor activation plays an important role in formalin-induced pain the obtained results apparently support the hypothesis that  $\sigma$ 1R facilitates the expression of formalin-induced pain, through their known ability to modulate NMDA-mediated responses [38].

To verify the antagonist profile of (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7) vs.  $\sigma$ 1R, a solution of PRE-084 hydrochloride (32 mg/kg), a known high-selective  $\sigma$ 1R agonist, was administrated s.c. 20 min before the (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7) injection. Injection of PRE-084 hydrochloride alone did not change the severity of the first and second phases of formalin-induced pain [36,39]. Prior microinjection of PRE-084 hydrochloride (32 mg/kg s.c.) prevented (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7) antinociceptive effects, asserting its antagonist profile vs.  $\sigma$ 1R (Figure 5).



**Figure 5.** The antinociceptive effect of (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 was antagonized by PRE-084 pre-treatment. Subcutaneous administration of PRE-084 hydrochloride (32 mg/kg) 20 min before (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 reverted its effect in the second phase of the formalin test. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (*n* = 6–8 per group) and are represented as the percentage of inhibition of pain responses of the respective vehicle group (100%). ° *p* < 0.05 vs. (+)-2*R*/S-LP2, \* *p* < 0.05 vs. Vehicle, two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test.

#### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. General Remarks

All commercial chemicals were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and were used without further purification.  $(\pm)$ -*cis-N*-normetazocine was obtained from Fabbrica Italiana Sintetici. Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes with a Büchi 530 apparatus and are uncorrected. Analytical TLC was performed on silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> aluminium sheets (Merck) with a fluorescent indicator. Components were visualized by UV light ( $\lambda = 254$  nm) and iodine vapour. Flash column chromatography was carried out on Merck silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh). Optical rotations were determined in MeOH solution with a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were routinely recorded on a Varian Inova-200 spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution; chemical shifts  $\delta$  are expressed in ppm with reference to tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Elemental analyses (C, H, and N) were performed on a Carlo Erba 1106 analyzer and the analysis results were within  $\pm$  0.4% of the theoretical values. All reported compounds had a purity of at least 95%.

#### 3.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 7–9

A mixture of (+)-*cis*-*N*-normetazocine (1.63 mmol, 1 eq), the appropriate tosylate intermediates (1.63 mmol, 1 eq), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2.45 mmol, 1,5 eq), and a catalytic amount of KI

was stirred in DMF at 65 °C for 24 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated under vacuum to remove DMF. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on a silica gel column using  $CH_2Cl_2/C_2H_5OH$  (97:3 v/v) as an eluent to yield the final compounds (7–9). The free bases were converted into hydrochloride salts by dissolution in a minimum amount of THF and adding 1N HCl in Et<sub>2</sub>O to the solution.

(2S,6S,11S)-3-(2-methoxy-2-phenylethyl)-6,11-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-2,6-methanobenzo[d]azocin-8-ol (7)

Brown solid (35%). Mp 176–180 °C.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  = +75.7° (c 1.004, MeOH). TLC CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH (95:5 *v*/*v*) Rf = 0.38. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) δ 7.19–7.16 (m, 5H, CH aryl), 6.86 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, CH benzomorphan), 6.685 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0 Hz, CH benzomorphan), 6.61 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.0, 2.0 Hz, CH benzomorphan), 4.65 (d, 1H, CH-O), 3.14 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.92–2.68 (m, 6H, CH, CH<sub>2</sub> benzomorphan and CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.33–1.97 (m, 3H, CH benzomorphan), 1.26 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> benzomorphan), 1.18 (m, 1H, CH benzomorphan), 0.73 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> benzomorphan). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) δ 154.79, 139,79, 136.20, 127.04, 126.27, 125.34, 122.93, 122.66, 113.08, 111.31, 82.02, 60.75, 60.40, 58.58, 46.48, 38.09, 35.52, 35.16, 23.09, 21.59, 11.77 (Figures S1 and S2). Anal (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·HCl) C, H, N (Table S3).

(2S,6S,11S)-3-((*R*)-2-methoxy-2-phenylethyl)-6,11-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-2,6-methanobenzo[d]azocin-8-ol (**8**)

Brown solid (40%). Mp 177–180 °C.  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  = +31.4° (c 1.018, MeOH). TLC CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH (95:5 v/v) Rf = 0.38.<sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) δ 7.26–7.19 (m, 5H, CH aryl), 6.83 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, CH benzomorphan), 6.64 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0 Hz, CH benzomorphan), 6.55 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, CH benzomorphan), 4.36 (d, 1H, CH-O), 3.13 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.85–2.55 (m, 6H, CH, CH<sub>2</sub> benzomorphan and CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.23–2.17 (m, 1H, CH benzomorphan), 1.88–1.82 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub> benzomorphan), 1.24 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> benzomorphan), 1.19 (m, 1H, CH benzomorphan), 0.735 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> benzomorphan). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) δ 155.06, 148.96, 147.07, 129.28, 128.11, 126.23, 122.47, 121.29, 113.69, 112.99, 84.54, 67.08, 56.72, 47.02, 46.23, 35.97, 33.1.15.27, 31.82, 29.15, 25.02, 13.66 (Figures S3 and S4). Anal (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·HCl) C, H, N (Table S3).

(2*S*,6*S*,11*S*)-3-((*S*)-2-methoxy-2-phenylethyl)-6,11-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-2,6-methanobenzo[d]azocin-8-ol (**9**)

Brown solid (33%). Mp 177–180 °C;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +44.0^{\circ}$  (c 0.50, MeOH). TLC CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH (95:5 v/v) Rf = 0.40. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base)  $\delta$  7.20–7.19 (m, 5H, CH aryl), 6.83 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, CH benzomorphan), 6.65 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0 Hz, CH benzomorphan), 6.55 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, CH benzomorphan), 4.44 (d, 1H, CH-O), 3.15 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.93–2.60 (m, 6H, CH, CH<sub>2</sub> benzomorphan and CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.19–1.85 (m, 3H, CH and CH<sub>2</sub> benzomorphan), 1.25 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> benzomorphan), 1.18 (m, 1H, CH benzomorphan), 0.755 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> benzomorphan). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base)  $\delta$  153.96, 142.62, 140.42, 129.45, 128.00, 127.61, 126.57, 125.69, 113.72, 112.25, 81.52, 61.38, 58.12, 57.00, 46.02, 40.90, 39.84, 36.13, 24.39, 22.97, 14.59 (Figures S5 and S6). Anal (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·HCl) C, H, N (Table S3).

# 3.3. Radioligand Binding Assays

#### Animals

Brain and liver homogenates for  $\sigma$ 1R and  $\sigma$ 2R binding assays were prepared from male Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs and Sprague-Dawley rats, respectively (ENVIGO RMS S.R.L., Udine, Italy). Animals (200–250 g) were euthanized with CO<sub>2</sub> in a euthanasia chamber and sacrificed by decapitation. Guinea pig brains without cerebellum (~2.5 g each) and rat livers (~7 g each) were kept on dry ice and stored at -80 °C. Brains for MOR, DOR, and KOR binding assays were explanted from male Sprague-Dawley rats and Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs (Italian Minister of Health project code 335/1984F.N.JLT; ENVIGO RMS S.R.L., Udine, Italy). Animals (200–250 g guinea pigs) were euthanized with CO<sub>2</sub> in a euthanasia chamber and sacrificed by decapitation.

# 3.4. Radioligand Binding Assays for $\sigma$ 1R and $\sigma$ 2R

# 3.4.1. Materials

 $[^{3}H]$  (+)-Pentazocine (26.9 Ci/mmol) and  $[^{3}H]_{1,3}$ -di-o-tolylguanidine ( $[^{3}H]_{0,3}$  35.5 Ci/mmol) were purchased from PerkinElmer (Zaventem, Belgium). Ultima Gold MV Scintillation cocktail was from PerkinElmer (Milan, Italy). All the other materials were obtained from Merck Life Science S.r.l. (Milan, Italy). The test compound solutions were prepared by dissolving approximately 10 µmol of the test compound in DMSO so that a 10 mM stock solution was obtained. The required test concentrations for the assay (from  $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-11}$  M) have been prepared by diluting the DMSO stock solution with the respective assay buffer. All experiments were performed using ultrapure water obtained with a Millipore Milli-Q Reference Ultrapure Water Purification System. All the laboratory glassware was first washed with a 6 M HCl water solution and then rinsed with ultrapure water.

### 3.4.2. Preparation of Membrane Homogenates from Pig Brain

Fresh guinea pig brain cortices (~25 g) were homogenized in two portions with 10 volumes of ice-cold Tris (50 mM, pH 7.4) containing 0.32 M sucrose with a Potter-Elvehjem glass homogenizer. The suspension was centrifuged at  $1030 \times g$  for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was separated and centrifuged at  $41,200 \times g$  for 20 min at 4 °C. The obtained pellet was suspended with 3 volumes of ice-cold Tris (50 mM, pH 7.4), incubated at RT for 15 min, and centrifuged at  $41,200 \times g$  for 15 min at 4 °C. The final pellet was resuspended with ~2 volumes of ice-cold Tris buffer, and frozen at -80 °C in ~1 mL portions containing about 5 mg protein/mL [40,41].

#### 3.4.3. Preparation of Membrane Homogenates from Rat Liver

Rat livers (~21 g) were cut into small pieces with a scalpel and homogenized in two portions with 6 volumes of cold 0.32 M sucrose with a Potter-Elvehjem glass homogenizer. The suspension was centrifuged at  $1030 \times g$  for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was separated and centrifuged at  $31,100 \times g$  for 20 min at 4 °C. The pellet was resuspended with 6 volumes of ice-cold Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 8) and incubated at rt for 30 min. Then, the suspension was centrifuged at  $31,100 \times g$  for 20 min at 4 °C. The final pellet was resuspended with 6 volumes of ice-cold Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 8) and incubated at rt for 30 min. Then, the suspension was centrifuged at  $31,100 \times g$  for 20 min at 4 °C. The final pellet was resuspended with 6 volumes of ice-cold Tris buffer and stored at -80 °C in ~1 mL portions containing about 6 mg of protein/mL [40,41].

#### 3.4.4. Protein Determination

The protein concentration was determined by Bradford's method. The Bradford solution was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of Coomassie Brilliant Blue G 250 in 5 mL of 95% ethanol. To this solution, 10 mL of 85% phosphoric acid were added, and the mixture was stirred and filled to a total volume of 100 mL with ultrapure water. The calibration was carried out with bovine serum albumin as a standard at different concentrations. In a 96-well plate, 30  $\mu$ L of the calibration solution or 30  $\mu$ L of the membrane receptor preparation were mixed with 240  $\mu$ L of the Bradford solution, respectively. After 5 min of incubation at rt, the UV absorbance was measured at  $\lambda = 595$  nm using a microplate spectrophotometer reader (Synergy HT, BioTek).

#### 3.4.5. $\sigma$ 1. R Ligand Binding Assays

In vitro  $\sigma_1 R$  ligand binding assays were carried out in a Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4) for 150 min at 37 °C. The thawed membrane preparation of guinea pig brain cortex (250 µg/sample) was incubated with increasing concentrations of test compounds and [<sup>3</sup>H] (+)-pentazocine (2 nM) in a final volume of 0.5 mL. The  $K_d$  value of [<sup>3</sup>H] (+)-pentazocine was 2.9 nM. Unlabelled (+)-pentazocine (10 µM) was used to measure non-specific binding. Bound and free radioligand were separated by fast filtration under reduced pressure using a Millipore filter apparatus through Whatman GF/6 glass fiber filters, which were pre-soaked in a 0.5% poly (ethyleneimine) water solution for 120 min. Each filter paper was rinsed

three times with 3 mL of ice-cold Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4), dried at rt, and incubated overnight with 3 mL of scintillation cocktail into pony vials. The bound radioactivity has been determined using a liquid scintillation counter (Beckman LS 6500).

### 3.4.6. σ2. R Ligand Binding Assays

In vitro  $\sigma_2 R$  ligand binding assays were carried out in Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0) for 120 min at rt. The thawed membrane preparation of rat liver (250 µg/sample) was incubated with increasing concentrations of test compounds and [<sup>3</sup>H]DTG (2 nM) in the presence of (+)-pentazocine (5 µM) as  $\sigma_1 R$  masking agent in a final volume of 0.5 mL. The  $K_d$  value of [<sup>3</sup>H]DTG was 17.9 nM. Non-specific binding was evaluated with unlabeled DTG (10 µM). Bound and free radioligand were separated by fast filtration under reduced pressure using a Millipore filter apparatus through Whatman GF/6 glass fiber filters, which were presoaked in a 0.5% poly(ethyleneimine) water solution for 120 min. Each filter paper was rinsed three times with 3 mL of ice-cold Tris buffer (10 mM, pH 8), dried at rt, and incubated overnight with 3 mL of scintillation cocktail into pony vials. The bound radioactivity has been determined using a liquid scintillation counter (Beckman LS 6500).

#### 3.4.7. Data Analysis

The  $K_i$ -values were calculated with the program GraphPad Prism<sup>®</sup> 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The  $K_i$ -values are given as the mean value  $\pm$  SD from at least two independent experiments performed in duplicate.

# 3.5. Radioligand Binding Assays for Opioid Receptors

#### 3.5.1. Materials

[<sup>3</sup>H]-DAMGO (48.4 Ci/mmol), [<sup>3</sup>H]-(2-D-Ala)-[Tyrosyl-3,5-] DELTORPHIN II (54.7 Ci/mmol), and [<sup>3</sup>H]-U69,593 (49.3 Ci/mmol) were purchased from PerkinElmer (Zaventem, Belgium). Unlabeled naloxone hydrochloride, DAMGO, (–)-U50,488, and Naltrindole hydrochloride were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The Ultima Gold MV Scintillation cocktail was from PerkinElmer (Milano, Italy). A 10 mM stock solution was obtained by dissolving the test compound in DMSO and then diluting with the assay buffer to obtain the required test concentrations for the assay (from  $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-9}$  M). All experiments were performed using ultrapure water obtained with a Millipore Milli-Q Reference Ultrapure Water Purification System (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). The bound radioactivity has been determined using a Beckman LS 6500 liquid scintillation counter (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA).

# 3.5.2. Preparation of Membrane Homogenates from Sprague-Dawley Rat Brains for MOR and DOR Binding Assays or Guinea Pig Brains for KOR Binding Assays

Sprague-Dawley rat brains (for MOR and DOR binding assays) or guinea pig brains (for KOR binding assays) were homogenized in ice-cold Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4) by using a Dounce glass homogenizer (Wheaton, Millville, NJ, USA) with a loose inner tolerance pestle first and a tight inner tolerance pestle later in a cylindrical glass tube of 40 mL volume. The suspension was centrifuged at  $40,000 \times g$  for 20 min at 4 °C (Beckmann J2–20 centrifuge and a JA-21 rotor). The pellet was resuspended in ice-cold Tris buffer and then incubated at 37 °C for 30 min to remove endogenous ligands. After incubation, the suspension was centrifuged at  $40,000 \times g$  for 20 min at 4 °C and the final pellet was resuspended in ice-cold Tris buffer and frozen at -80 °C in  $\sim$ 1 mL portions containing about 10 mg protein/mL.

# 3.5.3. Protein Determination

The protein concentration was determined by the Bradford method. The calibration curve was built with bovine serum albumin as the standard compound at 7 different concentrations, ranging from  $60 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$  to  $210 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$  with blank correction. In a 96-well plate,  $30 \ \mu\text{L}$  of the calibration solution or  $30 \ \mu\text{L}$  of the membrane receptor preparation were mixed with 240  $\ \mu\text{L}$  of the Bradford solution (10 mg of Coomassie Brilliant Blue G

250 in 5 mL of 95% ethanol, 10 mL of 85% phosphoric acid, and water up to 100 mL; with ultrapure water). After 5 min of incubation at RT, the UV absorbance was measured at  $\lambda$  = 595 nm using a microplate spectrophotometer reader (Synergy HT, BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA).

#### 3.5.4. Opioid Receptor Ligand Binding Assays

MOR and DOR binding experiments were carried out by incubating 400 µg/sample and 500 µg/sample of rat brain membranes, respectively, for 45 min at 35 °C with 1 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-DAMGO or 2 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-Deltorphin II (2-D-Ala)-[Tyrosyl-3,5-<sup>3</sup>H] in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4). For KOR binding assays, guinea pig brain membranes (400 µg/sample) were incubated for 30 min at 30 °C with 1 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-U69,593. Test compounds were added to a final volume of 1 mL. The  $K_d$  values of [<sup>3</sup>H]DAMGO, [<sup>3</sup>H]-Deltorphin II (2-D-Ala)-[Tyrosyl-3,5-<sup>3</sup>H]and [<sup>3</sup>H]-U69,593 were 1.0, 1.5, and 2.3 nM, respectively. Nonspecific binding was assessed in the presence of 10 µM unlabelled naloxone. The reaction was terminated by filtering the solution under reduced pressure using a Millipore filter apparatus through Whatman glass fiber filters, GF/C for MOR and DOR and GF/B for KOR, presoaked for 1h in a 0.1% poly(ethyleneimine) solution. Filters were washed with 50 mM ice-cold Tris-HCl buffer (2 × 4 mL), dried at rt, soaked overnight in 4 mL of scintillation cocktail into 6 mL pony vials and counted on a liquid scintillation counter.

#### 3.5.5. Data Analysis

Ki values were calculated using nonlinear regression analysis to fit a logistic equation to the competition data using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

## 3.6. In Vivo Pharmacology

#### 3.6.1. Animals

Experiments were performed on male CD1 mice (Envigo Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN, USA) aged between 8 and 12 weeks. Mice were housed in 5 animals per cage under a 12/12 h light/dark cycle at a constant temperature (23–25 °C) with free access to food and water, and were allowed to acclimate for at least one week upon arrival before starting all experiments, which were conducted between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. This study was executed according to the European Communities Council directive and Italian regulation (EEC Council 2010/63/EU and Italian D.Lgs. no. 26/2014) to replace, reduce, and refine the use of laboratory animals. All procedures were approved by the ethical committee of the University of Catania (OPBA) and by the Italian Ministry of Health (authorization n° 385/2021-PR).

#### 3.6.2. Behavioral Experiment

Before starting every experiment, mice were randomly assigned to each experimental group and allowed to acclimate in the room for 20 min. The results were analyzed by a researcher blind to the treatment procedure.

#### 3.6.3. Drugs

Formalin and naloxone hydrochloride were purchased from Merck. PRE-84 synthesis was reported in the supporting information (Figure S7). All compounds were dissolved in pyrogen-free isotonic saline and dimethyl sulfoxide, (DMSO 0.5% v/v). All LP compounds were administrated i.p. 20 min before formalin injection. Naloxone hydrochloride s.c. and PRE-084 hydrochloride s.c. were administered 20 min before the injection of the LP compounds.

# 3.6.4. Mouse Formalin Test

Formalin solution (5%, 10  $\mu$ L) was administered subcutaneously into the plantar surface of the right hind paw (i.pl.), monitoring the nociceptive behavior, such as licking

and flicking or shanking the injected paw, for 1 h and recording every 5 min, as previously reported [42].

The formalin injection induces a rapid pain response (phase I), which lasts about 5 min, due to direct nociceptors' activation, characterized by an acute form of pain. After a short quiescent period, another behavioral pain form (phase II) occurs, considered more important clinically, characterized by an inflammatory component and persistent pain due to nociceptive sensitization in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord [43].

#### 3.6.5. Data Analysis

Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (n = 8-10 per group). \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01. Twoway ANOVA was followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.3.1 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

#### 4. Conclusions

(-)-2R/S-LP2 (1), (-)-2R-LP2 (2), and (-)-2S-LP2 (3) compounds exhibited a multitarget opioid/ $\sigma$ 1R profile. Instead, all (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7), (+)-2*R*-LP2 (8), and (+)-2*S*-LP2 (9) compounds displayed a profile of selective  $\sigma$ 1R ligands. However, levo and desxtro isomers inhibited inflammatory pain induced in the mouse formalin test with a different mechanism. Thus, the multitarget or single target profile of *N*-normetazocine derivatives assayed could represent a promising pharmacological strategy to enhance opioid potency and/or increase the safety margin.

# 5. Future Directions

Using in vitro and in vivo profiles of (+)-2R/S-LP2 (7), we are investigating its therapeutic potential in neuropathic pain, specifically in a rodent model of unilateral sciatic nerve chronic constriction injury (CCI). Nowadays, neuropathic pain represents a significant burden for patients due to long-term therapeutic regimens and severe side effects. Moreover, a mechanistic study on  $\sigma$ 1R involvement in the maintenance of painful states is ongoing.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules27165135/s1, Figure S1: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) spectra of compound 7; Figure S2: <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) spectra of compound 7; Figure S3: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) spectra of compound 8; Figure S4: <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) spectra of compound 8; Figure S5: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) spectra of compound 9; Figure S6: <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) spectra of compound 9; Figure S7: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, free base) spectra of PRE-084; Table S1: Tanimoto coefficient (Tc) values of (–)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (1) vs. opioid standards. Table S2: Tc values of (+)-2*R*/*S*-LP2 (7) vs. sigma standards calculated as reported in reference [44]. Table S3: Elemental analysis data for compounds 7–9.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: S.C., R.T., L.P. and C.P.; methodology: S.C., R.T., L.P., S.S., G.C., M.D., S.P., E.A., A.M., M.G. and C.P.; investigation: S.C., R.T., L.P., S.S., G.C., M.D., S.P. and C.P.; data curation: L.P., S.S., G.C. and C.P.; formal analysis: S.C., R.T., L.P., S.S., G.C., M.D., S.P. and C.P.; project administration: R.T., L.P. and C.P.; writing—original draft: L.P., S.S., G.C. and C.P.; writing—review & editing: S.C., R.T., L.P., S.S., G.C., M.D., S.P., E.A., A.M., M.G. and C.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the University of Catania, PIA.CE.RI. 2020–2022-Linea di intervento 2-Project DETTAGLI (UPB 57722172125).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The experiments were carried out following the ethical provisions established by the directive for animal experiments and were approved by the Ministry of Health (Italy), (authorization n° 385/2021-PR) according to Italian law.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge Fabbrica Italiana Sintetici (Italy) for providing cis- $(\pm)$ -*N*-normetazocine.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Samples of all synthesized compounds are available from the authors.

#### References

- 1. Sostres, C.; Gargallo, C.J.; Arroyo, M.T.; Lanas. A. Adverse effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs, aspirin and coxibs) on upper gastrointestinal tract. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol.* **2010**, *24*, 121–132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parikh, A.; Scadding, G.K. Topical nasal lysine aspirin in aspirin-sensitive and aspirin-tolerant chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis. *Expert Rev. Clin. Immunol.* 2014, 10, 657–665. [CrossRef]
- Vicario, N.; Parenti, R.; Arico, G.; Turnaturi, R.; Scoto, G.M.; Chiechio, S.; Parenti, C. Repeated activation of delta opioid receptors counteracts nerve injury-induced TNF-alpha up-regulation in the sciatic nerve of rats with neuropathic pain: A possible correlation with delta opioid receptors-mediated antiallodynic effect. *Mol. Pain* 2016, 12, 1744806916667949. [CrossRef]
- Ji, R.R.; Zhang, Q.; Law, P.Y.; Low, H.H.; Elde, R.; Hokfelt, T. Expression of mu-, delta-, and kappa-opioid receptor-like immunoreactivities in rat dorsal root ganglia after carrageenan-induced inflammation. *J. Neurosci.* 1995, 15, 8156–8166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Lei, W.; Vekariya, R.H.; Ananthan, S.; Streicher, J.M. A Novel Mu-Delta Opioid Agonist Demonstrates Enhanced Efficacy with Reduced Tolerance and Dependence in Mouse Neuropathic Pain Models. *J. Pain* **2020**, *21*, 146–160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nastase, A.F.; Griggs, N.W.; Anand, J.P.; Fernandez, T.J.; Harland, A.A.; Trask, T.J.; Jutkiewicz, E.M.; Traynor, J.R.; Mosberg, H.I. Synthesis and Pharmacological Evaluation of Novel C- 8 Substituted Tetrahydroquinolines as Balanced-Affinity Mu/Delta Opioid Ligands for the Treatment of Pain. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2018, 9, 1840–1848. [CrossRef]
- Podolsky, A.T.; Sandweiss, A.; Hu, J.; Bilsky, E.J.; Cain, J.P.; Kumirov, V.K.; Lee, Y.S.; Hruby, V.J.; Vardanyan, R.S.; Vanderah, T.W. Novel fentanyl-based dual μ/δ-opioid agonists for the treatment of acute and chronic pain. *Life Sci.* 2013, 93, 1010–1016. [CrossRef]
- Pasquinucci, L.; Turnaturi, R.; Prezzavento, O.; Arena, E.; Aricò, G.; Georgoussi, Z.; Parenti, R.; Cantarella, G.; Parenti, C. Development of novel LP1-based analogues with enhanced delta opioid receptor profile. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2017, 25, 4745–4752. [CrossRef]
- 9. Pasquinucci, L.; Turnaturi, R.; Montenegro, L.; Caraci, F.; Chiechio, S.; Parenti, C. Simultaneous targeting of MOR/DOR: A useful strategy for inflammatory pain modulation. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *847*, 97–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vicario, N.; Pasquinucci, L.; Spitale, F.M.; Chiechio, S.; Turnaturi, R.; Caraci, F.; Tibullo, D.; Avola, R.; Gulino, R.; Parenti, R.; et al. Simultaneous Activation of Mu and Delta Opioid Receptors Reduces Allodynia and Astrocytic Connexin 43 in an Animal Model of Neuropathic Pain. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 2019, 56, 7338–7354. [CrossRef]
- Vicario, N.; Denaro, S.; Turnaturi, R.; Longhitano, L.; Spitale, F.M.; Spoto, S.; Marrazzo, A.; Zappalà, A.; Tibullo, D.; Li Volti, G.; et al. Mu and Delta Opioid Receptor Targeting Reduces Connexin 43-Based Heterocellular Coupling during Neuropathic Pain. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 5864. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Merlos, M.; Burgueño, J.; Portillo-Salido, E.; Plata-Salamán, C.L.; Vela, J.M. Pharmacological Modulation of the Sigma 1 Receptor and the Treatment of Pain. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2017**, *964*, 85–107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Maurice, T.; Su, T.P. The pharmacology of sigma-1 receptors. Pharmacol. Ther. 2009, 124, 195–206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Prezzavento, O.; Campisi, A.; Parenti, C.; Ronsisvalle, S.; Aricò, G.; Arena, E.; Pistolozzi, M.; Scoto, G.M.; Bertucci, C.; Vanella, A.; et al. Synthesis and resolution of cis-(+/-)-methyl (1R,2S/1S,2R)-2-[(4-hydroxy-4-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)methyl]-1-(4methylphenyl)cyclopropanecarboxylate [(+/-)-PPCC)]: New sigma receptor ligands with neuroprotective effect. *J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 53, 5881–5885. [CrossRef]
- 15. Alonso, G.; Phan, V.; Guillemain, I.; Saunier, M.; Legrand, A.; Anoal, M.; Maurice, T. Immunocytochemical localization of the sigma-1 receptor in the adult rat central nervous system. *Neuroscience* **2000**, *97*, 155–170. [CrossRef]
- 16. Morales-Lázaro, S.L.; González-Ramírez, R.; Rosenbaum, T. Molecular Interplay Between the Sigma-1 Receptor, Steroids, and Ion Channels. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2019, 10, 419. [CrossRef]
- Ortíz-Rentería, M.; Juárez-Contreras, R.; González-Ramírez, R.; Islas, L.D.; Sierra-Ramírez, F.; Llorente, I.; Simon, S.A.; Hiriart, M.; Rosenbaum, T.; Morales-Lázaro, S.L. TRPV1 channels and the progesterone receptor Sig-1R interact to regulate pain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2018, 115, E1657–E1666. [CrossRef]
- 18. Chien, C.C.; Pasternak, G.W. Selective antagonism of opioid analgesia by a sigma system. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1994**, 271, 1583–1590. [PubMed]
- Zamanillo, D.; Romero, L.; Merlos, M.; Vela, J.M. Sigma 1 receptor: A new therapeutic target for pain. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2013, 716, 78–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Gris, G.; Merlos, M.; Vela, J.M.; Zamanillo, D.; Portillo-Salido, E. S1RA, a selective sigma-1 receptor antagonist, inhibits inflammatory pain in the carrageenan and complete Freund's adjuvant models in mice. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 2014, 25, 226–235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Almansa, C.; Vela, J.M. Selective sigma-1 receptor antagonists for the treatment of pain. *Future Med. Chem.* 2014, 6, 1179–1199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hayashi, T.; Maurice, T.; Su, T.P. Ca(2+) signaling via sigma(1)-receptors: Novel regulatory mechanism affecting intracellular Ca(2+) concentration. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2000, 293, 788–798. [PubMed]
- Roh, D.H.; Choi, S.R.; Yoon, S.Y.; Kang, S.Y.; Moon, J.Y.; Kwon, S.G.; Han, H.J.; Beitz, A.J.; Lee, J.H. Spinal neuronal NOS activation mediates sigma-1 receptor-induced mechanical and thermal hypersensitivity in mice: Involvement of PKC-dependent GluN1 phosphorylation. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2011, *163*, 1707–1720. [CrossRef]
- Tejada, M.A.; Montilla-Garcia, A.; Sanchez-Fernandez, C.; Entrena, J.M.; Perazzoli, G.; Baeyens, J.M.; Cobos, E.J. Sigma-1 receptor inhibition reverses acute inflammatory hyperalgesia in mice: Role of peripheral sigma-1 receptors. *Psychopharmacology* 2014, 231, 3855–3869. [CrossRef]
- Xu, Q.; Yaksh, T.L. A brief comparison of the pathophysiology of inflammatory versus neuropathic pain. *Curr. Opin. Anaesthesiol.* 2011, 24, 400–407. [CrossRef]
- Latremoliere, A.; Woolf, C.J. Central sensitization: A generator of pain hypersensitivity by central neural plasticity. J. Pain 2009, 10, 895–926. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tsai, S.Y.; Hayashi, T.; Mori, T.; Su, T.P. Sigma-1 receptor chaperones and diseases. *Cent. Nerv. Syst. Agents Med. Chem.* 2009, 9, 184–189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cendán, C.M.; Pujalte, J.M.; Portillo-Salido, E.; Montoliu, L.; Baeyens, J.M. Formalin-induced pain is reduced in sigma(1) receptor knockout mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2005, 511, 73–74. [CrossRef]
- González-Cano, R.; Merlos, M.; Baeyens, J.M.; Cendán, C.M. σ1 receptors are involved in the visceral pain induced by intracolonic administration of capsaicin in mice. *Anesthesiology*. 2013, 118, 691–700. [CrossRef]
- 30. Turnaturi, R.; Montenegro, L.; Marrazzo, A.; Parenti, R.; Pasquinucci, L.; Parenti, C. Benzomorphan skeleton, a versatile scaffold for different targets: A comprehensive review. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2018**, 155, 492–502. [CrossRef]
- Pasquinucci, L.; Turnaturi, R.; Calò, G.; Pappalardo, F.; Ferrari, F.; Russo, G.; Arena, E.; Montenegro, L.; Chiechio, S.; Prezzavento, O.; et al. (2S)-N-2-methoxy-2-phenylethyl-6,7-benzomorphan compound (2S-LP2): Discovery of a biased mu/delta opioid receptor agonist. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2019, 168, 189–198. [CrossRef]
- 32. Pathan, H.; Williams, J. Basic opioid pharmacology: An update. Br. J. Pain 2012, 6, 11–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Pasternak, G.W.; Carroll-Buatti, M.; Spiegel, K. The binding and analgesic properties of a sigma opiate, SKF 10,047. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1981**, *219*, 192–198. [PubMed]
- Carroll, F.I.; Abraham, P.; Parham, K.; Bai, X.; Zhang, X.; Brine, G.A.; Mascarella, S.W.; Martin, B.R.; May, E.L.; Sauss, C.; et al. Enantiomeric N-substituted N-normetazocines: A comparative study of affinities at sigma, PCP, and mu opioid receptors. *J. Med. Chem.* 1992, 35, 2812–2818. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Prezzavento, O.; Arena, E.; Sánchez-Fernández, C.; Turnaturi, R.; Parenti, C.; Marrazzo, A.; Catalano, R.; Amata, E.; Pasquinucci, L.; Cobos, E.J. (+)-and (-)-Phenazocine enantiomers: Evaluation of their dual opioid agonist/σ1 antagonist properties and antinociceptive effects. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2017, 125, 603–610. [CrossRef]
- Turnaturi, R.; Pasquinucci, L.; Chiechio, S.; Grasso, M.; Marrazzo, A.; Amata, E.; Dichiara, M.; Prezzavento, O.; Parenti, C. Exploiting the Power of Stereochemistry in Drug Action: 3-[(2S,6S,11S)-8-Hydroxy-6,11-dimethyl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2,6-methano-3-benzazocin-3(2H)-yl]-N-phenylpropanamide as Potent Sigma-1 Receptor Antagonist. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2020, 11, 999–1005. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parenti, C.; Marrazzo, A.; Aricò, G.; Parenti, R.; Pasquinucci, L.; Ronsisvalle, S.; Ronsisvalle, G.; Scoto, G.M. The antagonistic effect of the sigma 1 receptor ligand (+)-MR200 on persistent pain induced by inflammation. *Inflamm. Res.* 2014, 63, 231–237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, H.W.; Kwon, Y.B.; Roh, D.H.; Yoon, S.Y.; Han, H.J.; Kim, K.W.; Beitz, A.J.; Lee, J.H. Intrathecal treatment with sigma1 receptor antagonists reduces formalin-induced phosphorylation of NMDA receptor subunit 1 and the second phase of formalin test in mice. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2006, 148, 490–498. [CrossRef]
- 39. Cobos, E.J.; Entrena, J.M.; Nieto, F.R.; Cendán, C.M.; Del Pozo, E. Pharmacology and therapeutic potential of sigma(1) receptor ligands. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 2008, *6*, 344–366. [CrossRef]
- Entrena, J.M.; Cobos, E.J.; Nieto, F.R.; Cendán, C.M.; Gris, G.; Del Pozo, E.; Zamanillo, D.; Baeyens, J.M. Sigma-1 receptors are essential for capsaicin-induced mechanical hypersensitivity: Studies with selective sigma-1 ligands and sigma-1 knockout mice. *Pain* 2009, 143, 252–261. [CrossRef]
- Puente, B.; Nadal, X.; Portillo-Salido, E.; Sánchez-Arroyos, R.; Ovalle, S.; Palacios, G.; Muro, A.; Romero, L.; Entrena, J.M.; Baeyens, J.M.; et al. Sigma-1 receptors regulate activity-induced spinal sensitization and neuropathic pain after peripheral nerve injury. *Pain* 2009, 145, 294–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zammataro, M.; Merlo, S.; Barresi, M.; Parenti, C.; Hu, H.; Sortino, M.A.; Chiechio, S. Chronic Treatment with Fluoxetine Induces Sex-Dependent Analgesic Effects and Modulates HDAC2 and mGlu2 Expression in Female Mice. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2017, *8*, 743. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 43. Coderre, T.J.; Melzack, R. The role of NMDA receptor-operated calcium channels in persistent nociception after formalin-induced tissue injury. *J. Neurosci.* 1992, *12*, 3671–3675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Vogt, M.; Bajorath, J. Modeling Tanimoto Similarity Value Distributions and Predicting Search Results. *Mol. Inform.* **2017**, *36*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]