Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Antonio Bevilacqua, University of Foggia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Gabriella Siesto, University of Basilicata, Italy Angela Racioppo, University of Foggia, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Alessandra Pino 🖾 alessandra.pino@unict.it

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 04 January 2023 ACCEPTED 17 April 2023 PUBLISHED 03 May 2023

#### CITATION

Russo N, Floridia V, D'Alessandro E, Lopreiato V, Pino A, Chiofalo V, Caggia C, Liotta L and Randazzo CL (2023) Influence of olive cake dietary supplementation on fecal microbiota of dairy cows. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1137452. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1137452

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Russo, Floridia, D'Alessandro, Lopreiato, Pino, Chiofalo, Caggia, Liotta and Randazzo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Influence of olive cake dietary supplementation on fecal microbiota of dairy cows

Nunziatina Russo<sup>1,2†</sup>, Viviana Floridia<sup>3†</sup>, Enrico D'Alessandro<sup>3</sup>, Vincenzo Lopreiato<sup>3</sup>, Alessandra Pino<sup>1,2,4</sup>\*, Vincenzo Chiofalo<sup>3,5</sup>, Cinzia Caggia<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Luigi Liotta<sup>3</sup> and Cinzia Lucia Randazzo<sup>1,2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Catania, Catania, Italy, <sup>2</sup>ProBioEtna SRL, Spin-Off of University of Catania, Catania, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Animal Production Unit, Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Messina, Messina, Italy, <sup>4</sup>CERNUT, Interdepartmental Research Centre in Nutraceuticals and Health Products, University of Catania, Catania, Italy, <sup>5</sup>Consortium Research of Meat and Agribusiness Chain, Messina, Italy

Olive by-products represent a valuable low-price feed supplement for animal nutrition. In the present study, the effect of the dietary destoned olive cake supplementation, on both composition and dynamics of the fecal bacterial biota of cow, was assessed by Illumina MiSeq analysis of the 16S rRNA gene. In addition, metabolic pathways were predicted by using the PICRUSt2 bioinformatic tool. Eighteen lactating cows, according to the body condition score, the days from calving, and the daily milk production were homogeneously allocated into two groups, control or experimental, and subjected to different dietary treatments. In detail, the experimental diet contained, along with the components of the control one, 8% of destoned olive cake. Metagenomics data revealed significant differences in abundance rather than in richness between the two groups. Results showed that Bacteroidota and Firmicutes were identified as the dominant phyla, accounting for over 90% of the total bacterial population. The Desulfobacterota phylum, able to reduce sulfur compounds, was detected only in fecal samples of cows allocated to the experimental diet whereas the Elusimicrobia phylum, a common endosymbiont or ectosymbiont of various flagellated protists, was detected only in cows subjected to the control diet. In addition, both Oscillospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae families were mainly found in the experimental group whereas fecal samples of control cows showed the presence of Rikenellaceae and Bacteroidaceae families, usually associated with the high roughage or low concentrate diet. Based on the PICRUSt2 bioinformatic tool, pathways related to carbohydrate, fatty acid, lipid, and amino acids biosynthesis were mainly up regulated in the experimental group. On the contrary, in the control group, the metabolic pathways detected with the highest occurrence were associated with amino acids biosynthesis and degradation, aromatic compounds degradation, nucleosides and nucleotides biosynthesis. Hence, the present study confirms that the destoned olive cake is a valuable feed supplement able to modulate the fecal microbiota of cows. Further studies will be conducted in order to deepen the inter-relationships between the GIT microbiota and the host.

#### KEYWORDS

olive by-products, stool samples, metagenomics, microbiota, enzymatic pathways

#### 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1137452

## 1. Introduction

Recently, the use of agro-industrial by-products as feed supplements in animal nutrition represents an interesting and successfully adopted strategy to reduce feeding costs and satisfy the nutritional needs of livestock (Chiofalo B. et al., 2020; Chiofalo V. et al., 2020; El Otmani et al., 2021; Bionda et al., 2022). In this context, the use of by-products of the olive oil industry, such as destoned olive cake, became widespread as a feed supplement since they are rich in nutraceutical molecules with antioxidant and antimicrobial features, including polyphenols (flavonoids, anthocyans, cyanidins, and phenolic acids), tyrosol, hydroxytyrosol, and oleuropein (Mannelli et al., 2018; Foti et al., 2022). Recent evidence suggests that the use of olive byproducts did not negatively impact nutrients utilization, microbiota composition, and rumen fermentation variables, such as pH, ammonia and volatile fatty acids (VFA) concentrations (Ruiz et al., 2004; Estaún et al., 2014; Pallara et al., 2014; Tzamaloukas et al., 2021). Differently by influencing the ruminal fermentation and the rumen pH, the increase of the content of linoleic acid, in both milk and meat, as well as change in the aromatic and microbiological profiles of milk are reported (Chiofalo V. et al., 2020; Liotta et al., 2020; Foti et al., 2021; Tzamaloukas et al., 2021; Rabee et al., 2022). In fact, the high polyphenol content could modulate the rumen microbiota biodiversity and, consequently, affect the rumen metabolism, decreasing dietary protein degradation and fatty acid biohydrogenation by means of targeting specific groups of microorganisms (Mannelli et al., 2018; Biondi et al., 2019; Milani et al., 2020).

To date, modern sequencing technologies, based on cultureindependent methods, represent the most powerful tools available for elucidating the diversity of animal microbiomes, positioning the microbial ecology of cattle for its renaissance (Hagey et al., 2019; Vaccalluzzo et al., 2020). In addition, the significant advances in sequence data analysis allow deep insights into this ecosystem (Hagey et al., 2019). The vast majority of available data, related to the microbial community identification in cattle, is focused on the rumen microbiota and less attention was paid to the study of fecal samples (Dowd et al., 2008; Shanks et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2017). Nevertheless, fecal sampling represents a non-invasive way suitable to link changes in bacterial abundance and diversity, along with related functional traits, to bovine rumen microbiome, with a high level of reproducibility and repeatability (Mott et al., 2022). In fact, the deepening of the fecal microbiota can provide valuable insights into the effect of feeding strategies on the nutritional status and wellbeing of livestock along with helping in manure management mitigating the environmental impact of pollution (Hagey et al., 2019). Recently published data, using 16S rRNA gene sequencingbased approaches, suggest that by studying the fecal microbiota of cattle is possible to elucidate the effects of a variety of feeds (Dowd et al., 2008; Callaway et al., 2010; Rice et al., 2012; Li et al., 2017; Kotz et al., 2021).

According to that, the main goal of the present study was to investigate the effect of the dietary destoned olive cake supplementation on fecal microbiota of cows using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. In addition, metabolic pathways, at KEGG level 3, were predicted by using the PICRUSt2 bioinformatic tools.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Animal welfare

The Ethical Committee of the Department of Veterinary Science of the University of Messina approved all procedures (code 041/2020), conducted according to the European guidelines for the care and use of animals in research (Directive 2010/63/EU).

### 2.2. Animal management and diet

This experiment was conducted for 100 days (from February to June 2022) in a commercial dairy farm located 520 m above sea level in the province of Ragusa (Sicily, Italy). A total of 18 lactating cows, homogenous for the Body Condition Score (3  $\pm$  0.5), distance from calving (90–120 days), and daily milk production ( $25 \pm 3 \text{ kg/day}$ ) were randomly allocated in two groups, control (CTRL; 9 cows) or experimental (TRT; 9 cows). In detail, all cows were fed as total mixed ration (TMR) once daily at 0700 h where diet was composed by concentrate and meadow hay. The CTRL group received a concentrate without any olive cake integration, whereas the TRT group received a concentrate integrated with the enriched olive cake at the inclusion of 8%. The chemical composition of concentrates is reported in Table 1. The enriched olive cake, used as supplement, was obtained by mechanical pressing of olives carried out through a two-stage process, applied to produce extra virgin olive oil, by adding about 5% of a concentrate of vegetation waters, subsequently pitted by centrifugation and dried in the open air. The chemical composition (on DM basis) of the olive cake used in the present experiment was as follow: 95.6% of dry matter, 8.6% of crude protein, 30.3% of ether extract, 49.4% of neutral detergent fiber, 39.4% of acid detergent fiber, 23.1% of acid detergent lignin, 4.1% of ash, 1.5% of starch, and 9.360 mg/kg of polyphenols. A flowchart of olive cake production is reported in Figure 1.

TABLE 1 Nutritional characteristics of concentrates used in the experiment.

Diet	CTRL	TRT				
Chemical composition,	Chemical composition, g/kg of dray matter (DM)					
Moisture	109	107				
Starch	407	407				
Crude protein	194	196				
Ether extract	45.8	51.1				
Non-fiber carbohydrates	465	440				
Crude fiber	60.0	72.0				
Acid detergent fiber	78.2	105				
Ash	64.1	70.2				
Calculated nutrient cor	culated nutrient composition					
$\mathrm{NE}_\mathrm{L},$ milk UFL/kg of DM	1.09	1.07				

NEL, net energy lactation. Milk production efficiency was calculated based on the net energy system, where one milk forage unit (UFL) of energy is defined as the net energy content of 1 kg of standard barley for milk production, equivalent to 1700 kcal.



# 2.3. Fecal samples collection and DNA extraction

Fecal samples were collected, from each cow, 100 days after the start of the control or experimental diet administration. Samples

were aseptically collected from the rectal ampoule by using sterile gloves then placed in sterile containers and transferred under refrigerated conditions to the Laboratory of Microbiology of the Department of Agricultural Food and Environment (University of Catania, Italy) and immediately frozen at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until analysis.

Total genomic DNA was extracted using the commercial QIAamp® DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAgen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer instructions with a slight modification consisting of a repeated bead beating (RBB) pre-treatment step (Randazzo et al., 2015). In detail, after melted on ice, 0.25 g of feces was weighted under sterile conditions and transferred into a 2 ml screw-cap tube containing four glass-beads (2.7 mm, Biospec Products, Inc., USA) and 0.5 g of zirconia beads (0.1 mm, Biospec Products, Inc., USA). After the addition of 1 ml of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 50 mM EDTA, 4% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate), the sample was subjected to mechanical cell lysis by performing three rounds of bead-beating with Precellys 24 Tissue Homogenizer (Bertin Technologies, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France). Treatment time was 3 min for each bead-beating round and samples were cool on ice in between. After the RBB treatment the samples were centrifuged at + 4°C for 5 min at full speed and the collected supernatant was used for the DNA extraction following the kit manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentration was evaluated using the fluorimeter Qubit 4.0 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States) before storing at -20°C until use.

# 2.4. 16S rRNA gene library construction and sequencing

The fecal microbiome composition was determined by 16S rRNA gene sequencing as previously described (Milani et al., 2013; Vaccalluzzo et al., 2022). Briefly, the V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using PCR to build qualified libraries, which were subjected to MiSeq (Illumina) sequencing at the facilities of GenProbio Srl.<sup>1</sup> The obtained 16S rRNA raw data were deposited at NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA)<sup>2</sup> under accession code PRJNA909483.

### 2.5. Bioinformatic analysis

The raw reads were processed using Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME2) version 2022.2 (Bolyen et al., 2019). The sequences were quality filtered, trimmed, and denoised using Divisive Amplicon Denoising Algorithms 2 (DADA2). The high-quality sequences were then used to construct the amplicon sequence variant (ASV) feature table. Taxonomic classification was made through the SILVA reference database (v138) (Robeson et al., 2021) with a percentage of identity of 75, 87, and 95% for phylum, family, and genus levels, respectively (Henderson et al., 2019). ASVs with relative abundance lower than 0.1% were grouped as "others."

# 2.6. Alpha and beta diversity, differential analysis, and enzymatic prediction

The determination of diversity and differences in the abundance of the fecal bacterial community was performed using

TABLE 2 Overall microbiota composition of fecal samples collected from cows subjected to control (CTRL) and experimental (TRT) diet.

	% Identity	CTRL	TRT
Phylum	75%	10	10
Family	87%	51	44
Genus	95%	78	78

RStudio software (version 4.1.2). The *phyloseq* packing of R (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013) was used for alpha and beta diversity. The alpha diversity, based on genus level, was evaluated considering three measures: observed richness, Chao1 index, and Shannon index. The box plot of alpha diversity was generated using the *ggplot2* package (Wickham, 2016). Beta diversity, based on genus level, was evaluated with the Bray-Curtis distance and plotted with the Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA). Differential analysis, based on genus level, was performed using the *DESeq2* package in R (Love et al., 2014) and the differences between CTRL and TRT groups were evaluated.

The Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States (PICRUSt2) v2.5.0 (Douglas et al., 2020) was used to predict the functional abundances at KEGG level 3 (i.e., Environmental Information Processing) based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing data obtained during the denoise step by QIIME2. Statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional profiles (STAMP) (v2.1.3) (Parks et al., 2014) was used to illustrate, based on the PICRUSt2 outputs, the difference in the predicting microbial functions associated with the diet.

### 2.7. Statistical analysis

The alpha diversity, according to observed richness, Chao1 and Shannon indices, between CTRL and TRT groups was compared with one-way non-parametric Wilcoxon test. Beta diversity, according to Bray Curtis distance, was evaluated through permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) with 999 permutations with the *vegan* package.

Differential abundance (DA) was considered with a false discovery rate (FDR) cut-off of 0.05 and a fold-change (FC) higher than 1.5 or lower than -1.5 (i.e., |log2FC| > 0.59). The difference in the prediction of microbial functions associated with the diet (CTRL vs. TRT) was detected with Welch's *t*-test with a confidence interval of 95% and the data were corrected with Benjamini–Hochberg FDR. Significance was determined at  $P \le 0.05$ .

### **3. Results**

#### 3.1. Taxonomy classification

After trimming, denoising, chimera-removal and merging with QIIME2, the obtained high-quality sequences were used for the taxonomic classification. Sample metadata, denoising statistics, taxonomy classification and the relative abundances for each taxonomic level are reported in **Supplementary Data 1**. As reported in **Table 2**, the total ASVs were assigned to 10 phyla,

<sup>1</sup> www.genprobio.com

<sup>2</sup> https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra



Relative abundance of phyla (A), families (B), and genera (C) detected in fecal samples from cows subjected to control (CTRL) and experimental (TRT) diet.



51 families and 78 genera in CTRL group, whereas 10 phyla, 44 families and 78 genera were detected in TRT group. The relative abundance of bacteria, detected in both CTRL and TRT groups, at phylum, family, and genus levels is shown in Figures 2A–C. In detail, *Firmicutes, Bacteroidota, Actinobacteriota, Spirochaetota*,

Proteobacteria, Verrucomicrobiota, Patescibacteria, Cyanobacteria, and Fibrobacterota phyla were detected in both CTRL and TRT groups with relative abundance higher than 0.1%. Although Firmicutes and Bacteroidota were the most abundant phyla in both CTRL and TRT groups, the Firmicutes phylum showed





Phyla	Family	Genus	Log2 fold change	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value-adj
Firmicutes	Christensenellaceae	Christensenellaceae_R- 7_group	0.92098*	0.001	0.006
	RF39	RF39	1.72107*	0.001	0.001
	Clostridia_UCG-014	Clostridia_UCG-014	1.12519*	0.001	0.001
	Lachnospiraceae	Acetitomaculum	-1.95689**	0.001	0.005
Bacteroidota	Bacteroidales_RF16_group	Bacteroidales_RF16_group	-0.69586**	0.001	0.001

TABLE 3 Different taxa identified by comparing the fecal microbiota of cows allocated to control (CTRL) and experimental (TRT) groups.

\*More prevalent in TRT group. \*\*Less prevalent in TRT group.

higher relative abundance in TRT samples compared with CTRL. Moreover, the *Desulfobacterota* and *Elusimicrobia* phyla were not detected in CTRL and TRT groups, respectively (Figure 2A).

Overall, at family level, the ASVs of CTRL group were classified into 51 families, whereas the ASVs of TRT group were classified into 44 families (Figure 2B). In fact, compared with CTRL group, the *Peptococcaceae*, *Defluviitaleaceae*, *Elusimicrobiaceae*, *Succinivibrionaceae*, *Veillonellaceae*, *Pseudomonadaceae*, and *WCHB1*-41 families where not detected in TRT group. As reported in Figure 3, showing the ten most abundant families, *Oscillospiraceae* and *Ruminococcaceae* were dominant in in TRT group, whereas *Rikenellaceae* and *Bacteroidaceae* were most abundant in CTRL group.

At genus level, 78 genera were overall identified in both CTRL and TRT groups (Figure 2C) and the most abundant are reported in Figure 4. In particular, *Rikenellaceae\_RC9\_gut\_group*, *UCG-010 and Monoglobus* showed higher relative abundance in CTRL group, while *UCG-005*, *Prevotellaceae\_UCG-003* and *p-2534-18B5\_gut\_group* were prevalent in TRT group (Figure 4).

Prevotellaceae\_Ga6A1\_g Moreover, Roseburia, Cellulosilyticum, Gastranaerophilales, -roup, [Eubacterium]\_siraeum\_group, Lachnospiraceae\_UCG-009, Odoribacter, Dielma. Anaeroplasma, Faecalibacterium, Chloroplast and Desulfovibrio were not found in CTRL group whereas Negativibacillus, Acinetobacter, Agathobacter, Erysipelotrichaceae\_UCG-002, Defluviitaleaceae\_UCG-011, Anaerovorax, Elusimicrobium, Anaerovibrio, Succinivibrio, Syntrophococcus, Psychrobacter, and Pseudomonas were not found in TRT group (Figure 2C).

# 3.2. Alpha and beta diversity, differential analysis, and enzymatic prediction

Observed richness, Chao1, and Shannon indices are plotted in **Supplementary Figures 1A–C**. Based on the Wilcoxon test, no difference in richness was detected between CTRL and TRT groups.

The beta diversity (**Figure 5**), based on the Bray-Curtis distance method, allowed to group the analyzed samples in relation to the dietary treatment (CTRL or TRT). Based on PERMANOVA results, the diet significantly affected the fecal microbial communities (p < 0.001).

The model used in the differential analysis allowed to detect the genera, occurring in both CTRL and TRT groups, with significant differences in terms of percentage of occurrence (Table 3).

Overall, 366 pathways were detected based on KEGG prediction (Supplementary Data 2) and 58 of them, with different abundance between CTRL and TRT groups, are shown in Figure 6. In detail, in TRT group, the metabolic pathways mainly identified were involved in the biosynthesis of carbohydrates (Glycogen biosynthesis I, UDP-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine biosynthesis I, O-antigen building blocks biosynthesis), fatty acids and lipids (Phosphatidylglycerol biosynthesis I and II), and amino acids (Superpathway of aromatic amino acid biosynthesis, Superpathway of L-threonine biosynthesis, L-histidine biosynthesis, Superpathway of L-isoleucine biosynthesis I and L-lysine biosynthesis III). In the CTRL group, the identified pathways were mainly involved in amino acids degradation (L-histidine degradation I and III) and biosynthesis (L-arginine biosynthesis III and Superpathway of L-methionine biosynthesis) as well as in aromatic compounds degradation and nucleosides and nucleotides biosynthesis (Figure 6).

## 4. Discussion

The development of alternative feedstuffs and the use of by-products, as feed supplements, represent a challenge for animal nutrition researchers to boost farm livestock wellbeing as well as increase both the production and quality of animalderived products. The diet becomes a key factor contributing to changes in the composition of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) microbiota (Zhang et al., 2021; Welch et al., 2022). According to that, the present study, by applying a metagenomic approach, aimed to deepen the effect of the dietary destoned olive cake supplementation on both composition and dynamics of the fecal bacterial biota of cow as well as on the KEGGs functional profile.

Consistent with previously reported data, our study confirmed that polyphenols rich diet is able to modulate the microbial community affecting, in turn, the fecal microbiota composition (Mao et al., 2013; Plaizier et al., 2017; Hagey et al., 2019). In fact, although no significant difference in richness was detected, beta diversity allowed to discriminate the analyzed samples based on the diet regime. Metagenomics approaches revealed that the fecal microbiota of cattle is dominated by *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* phyla, commonly recognized as health-promoting (Henderson et al., 2015; Mannelli et al., 2019; Conte et al., 2022; Welch et al., 2022). More in depth, *Firmicutes* are involved in the degradation of oligosaccharide, fiber, and starch, helping the host intestinal tract in the absorption of energy from food. In addition, members of the *Firmicutes* phylum are able to produce volatile fatty acids

CTRL TRT	95% confidence inte	rvals
alvcogen biosynthesis I (from ADP-D-Glucose)	<b>⊢</b>	0.042
UDP-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine biosynthesis l		0.031
TCA cycle VIII (helicobacter)		0.031
O-antigen building blocks biosynthesis (E. coli)		0.030
nhosphatidyldlycerol biosynthesis II (non-plastidic)		0.031
phosphatidylglycerol biosynthesis I (non plastidic)		0.030
phosphatayigiyeeror biosynalicsis i (plastalae)		0.030
		0.030
		0.030
chonsinate biosynthesis i		0.022
superpathway of arematic amine acid biosynthesis		0.025
superpatriway of aformatic attitud actu biosynthesis		0.021
methylerytrintor phosphate patriway i		9.508-5
methylerythritoi phosphate pathway i	H <b>-</b> H	0.011
superpathway of L-methionine biosynthesis (by sulfhydrylation)		9.03e-3
superpathway of pyrimidine deoxyribonucleotides de novo biosynthesis	, <b></b> -	0.025
L-arginine biosynthesis III (via N-acetyl-L-citrulline)	, <b></b> -	0.016
superpathway of sulfate assimilation and cysteine biosynthesis	, <b>⊢●</b>	0.030
starch degradation V		0.044
superpathway of geranylgeranyl diphosphate biosynthesis II (via MEP)	HOH	8.34e-3
cob(II)yrinate a,c-diamide biosynthesis I (early cobalt insertion)	I HH	7.28e-3
UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-pentapeptide biosynthesis I (meso-diaminopimelate con	HH I	7.07e-3
UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-pentapeptide biosynthesis II (lysine-containing)	HI I	8.47e-3
flavin biosynthesis I (bacteria and plants)	⊢●⊣	0.019
adenosine ribonucleotides de novo biosynthesis	Het I	9.60e-3
5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide biosynthesis II	Het I	8.64e-3
superpathway of 5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide biosynthesis	Her I	9.26e-3
peptidoglycan biosynthesis I (meso-diaminopimelate containing)	H !	6.50e-3 වි
peptidoglycan biosynthesis III (mycobacteria)	Het !	7.40e-3 ប៊ូ
superpathway of histidine, purine, and pyrimidine biosynthesis	Heri	0.039 5
pyruvate fermentation to acetate and lactate II	⊢ <b>●</b> ⊣ ¦	0.041
sulfate reduction I (assimilatory)	⊢ <b>●</b> ⊣	0.031 1
superpathway of L-threonine biosynthesis	Here'	0.045
L-histidine biosynthesis	Her L	0.029
superpathway of adenosine nucleotides de novo biosynthesis Il	Here I	0.013
5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide biosynthesis I	Hen i	7.02e-3
guanosine ribonucleotides de novo biosynthesis	H i	0.012
superpathway of adenosine nucleotides de novo biosynthesis l	Hen i	9.90e-3
inosine-5'-phosphate biosynthesis l	H I	5.80e-3
formaldehyde assimilation II (RuMP Cycle)	HI-I	0.023
superpathway of L-isoleucine biosynthesis I	⊢●┥│	0.042
chorismate biosynthesis from 3-dehydroquinate	Het I	0.017
superpathway of pyrimidine nucleobases salvage	Het I	0.013
UMP biosynthesis	Hel I	8.44e-3
glycolysis III (from glucose)	Het I	0.028
tRNA charging	Hen I	0.011
NAD biosynthesis II (from tryptophan)		0.036
teichoic acid (nolv-alverol) hiosynthesis	Hell I	0.036
formaldehyde ovidation I		0.021
		0.021
nurine nucleobases degradation ( anaprobia)		0.035
Litruntonhan degradation to 2 amino 3 carboyumuconate comisidobudo		0.049
E-cryptophan degradation to 2-animo-5-carboxymuconate semilaidenyde		0.037
myo-, chiro- and scho-mositol degradation	•	0.020
	P	0.044
metnanogenesis from acetate	•	0.029
3-phenylpropanoate degradation	•	0.022
protocatechuate degradation I (meta-cleavage pathway)	•	9.82e-3
methylgallate degradation	•	9.76e-3
gallate degradation II	•	9.28e-3
	-0 10 -0 05 0 00 00	05 0 10
-		rtions (%)

such as butyrate, which is linked with gut health (Kim et al., 2011). Similarly, members of the *Bacteroidetes* phylum have many functions in the gut, including degradation of carbohydrates, such

as complex plant cell walls, as well as production of butyrate, a significant player in energy metabolism in the rumen (Thomas et al., 2011; Miguel et al., 2019). It is well known that the *Firmicutes* 

phylum acts by increasing the nutrients availability whereas the *Bacteroidetes* one is energetically less favorable to the host (Xu et al., 2021).

According to previous studies, suggesting that dietary changes significantly affect the Firmicutes:Bacteroidota ratio (Mao et al., 2013; Plaizier et al., 2017, 2018), our data revealed increased abundance of Firmicutes and decreased occurrence of Bacteroidota in fecal samples of cows subjected to destoned olive cake supplementation. In addition, the Desulfobacterota phylum was detected only in fecal samples of treated cows. Noteworthy, the aforementioned phylum includes microorganisms able to reduce sulfur compounds via the sulfite reductase pathway, followed by butyrate degradation, playing a significant role in energy metabolism (Miguel et al., 2019). Moreover, Elusimicrobia, a recently defined animal-associated phylum, occurring as endosymbiont or ectosymbiont of various flagellated protists (Méheust et al., 2020), was detected only in cows subjected to control diet. The presence of both Oscillospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae families mainly in experimental group can be associate to the diet regime. In fact, as recently reported by Yang et al. (2021), polyphenols can increase the abundance of the families mentioned above which are able to ferment complex plant carbohydrates and to produce short chain fatty acids competing with activation of energy metabolism. Conversely, fecal samples of control cows showed the presence of Rikenellaceae and Bacteroidaceae families, usually associated with the high roughage or low concentrate diet (Mpanza et al., 2022), playing a key role in carbohydrates degradation and in the production of VFAs, including succinate, acetate and propionate (Wang et al., 2020). Consistent with metagenetic data, in the present study, most of the predicted metabolic pathways are involved in biosynthetic processes. Among these, pathways related to carbohydrate, fatty acid, lipid and amino acids biosynthesis were mainly present in experimental group than in control one. This finding is in accordance with the observed dominance of both Bacteroidota and Firmicutes phyla in fecal microbiota. In fact, it is well known that Firmicutes are involved in the degradation of complex polysaccharides, with subsequent synthesis of VFA, whereas Bacteroidetes mainly degrades carbohydrates, fats, and proteins (Jami et al., 2013; Yildirim et al., 2021). On the contrary, in control group, the metabolic pathways detected with highest occurrence were associated to amino acids biosynthesis and degradation, aromatic compounds degradation, nucleosides and nucleotides biosynthesis. It is well known that bacteria require the synthesis and/or acquisition of purines and pyrimidines, which form the basis of nucleotides, to survive, even having strong links with the virulence factors of opportunistic and bacterial pathogens (Goncheva et al., 2022). In fact, in most bacteria, the nucleotides are synthesized de novo and the products are used in many cell functions, including DNA replication, energy storage, and as signaling molecules (Goncheva et al., 2022). Our results confirm that the feeding regime significantly affect the composition and dynamics of the fecal microbiota as well as the microbial metabolism. Further studies will be conducted in order to in depth investigate the molecular functions of microbiota by using insightful methods, such as metabolomics and metatranscriptomics.

## 5. Conclusion

The present study confirms that, among olive by-products, destoned olive cake is a valuable feed supplement for cow's nutrition. The destoned olive cake supplementation was able to modulate the fecal microbiota determining the increase of *Firmicutes* phylum, associated to growing nutrients availability, and the reduction of *Bacteroidetes*, energetically less favorable to the host. The prediction of metabolic pathways revealed a significant effect of the regime diet on carbohydrate, fatty acid, lipid and amino acids biosynthesis. Further studies will be conducted in order to deepen the inter-relationships between the GIT microbiota and the host.

### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

# **Ethics statement**

The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethical Committee of the Department of Veterinary Science of the University of Messina (code 041/2020). All procedures were conducted according to the European guidelines for the care and use of animals in research (Directive 2010/63/EU). Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

# Author contributions

LL, CR, and VL: conceptualization. AP, VC, and CR: methodology. VF and AP: software. VL, AP, LL, and CR: validation. NR and VF: formal analysis and writing—original draft preparation. VL and LL: investigation. VF, ED'A, AP, and LL: data curation. VL, AP, CC, LL, and CR: writing—review and editing. LL and CR: visualization and supervision. LL: project administration and funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

# Funding

This work was funded by P.O. FESR SICILIA 2014/2020. Obiettivo Tematico 1—Ricerca, Sviluppo Tecnologico e Innovazione Obiettivo specifico 1.1–Incremento dell'attività di Innovazione delle Imprese Azione 1.1.5–Sostegno all'avanzamento Tecnologico delle Imprese Attraverso il Finanziamento di linee pilota e azioni di validazione precoce dei prodotti e di dimostrazione su larga scala. Project BioTrak. Grant number 08SR1091000150 -CUP G69J18001000007 (Principal Investigator LL).

## Acknowledgments

We thank the Feed Manufacturing Industry Dipasquale srl (Avola, SR, Italy) for the production of feed, the Oil Mill Industry Consoli (Adrano, CT, Italy) for the production of destoned olive cake, and the Lissandrello dairy farm for guesting the infield trials.

# **Conflict of interest**

NR, AP, CC, and CR declare that they are members of ProBioEtna, a spinoff of the University of Catania, Italy.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# References

Bionda, A., Lopreiato, V., Crepaldi, P., Chiofalo, V., Fazio, E., Oteri, M., et al. (2022). Diet supplemented with olive cake as a model of circular economy: metabolic and endocrine responses of beef cattle. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 6:1077363. doi: 10.3389/ fsufs.2022.1077363

Biondi, L., Randazzo, C. L., Russo, N., Pino, A., Natalello, A., Van Hoorde, K., et al. (2019). Dietary supplementation of tannin-extracts to lambs: effects on meat fatty acids composition and stability and on microbial characteristics. *Foods* 8:469. doi: 10.3390/foods8100469

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A., et al. (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 852–857. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0 209-9

Callaway, T. R., Dowd, S. E., Edrington, T. S., Anderson, R. C., Krueger, N., Bauer, N., et al. (2010). Evaluation of bacterial diversity in the rumen and feces of cattle fed different levels of dried distillers grains plus solubles using bacterial tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing. *J. Anim. Sci.* 88, 3977–3983. doi:10.2527/jas.201 0-2900

Chiofalo, B., Di Rosa, A. R., Lo Presti, V., Chiofalo, V., and Liotta, L. (2020). Effect of supplementation of herd diet with olive pâté on the composition profile of milk and on the composition, quality and sensory profile of cheeses made therefrom. *Animal* 10:977. doi: 10.3390/ani10060977

Chiofalo, V., Liotta, L., Lo Presti, V., Gresta, F., Di Rosa, A., and Chiofalo, B. (2020). Effect of dietary olive cake supplementation on performance, carcass characteristics, and meat quality of beef cattle. *Animals* 10:1176. doi: 10.3390/ani10071176

Conte, G., Dimauro, C., Daghio, M., Serra, A., Mannelli, F., McAmmond, B. M., et al. (2022). Exploring the relationship between bacterial genera and lipid metabolism in bovine rumen. *Animal* 16:100520. doi: 10.1016/j.animal.2022.100520

Douglas, G. M., Maffei, V. J., Zaneveld, J. R., Yurgel, S. N., Brown, J. R., Taylor, C. M., et al. (2020). PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38, 685–688. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-0548-6

Dowd, S. E., Callaway, T. R., Wolcott, R. D., Sun, Y., McKeehan, T., Hagevoort, R. G., et al. (2008). Evaluation of the bacterial diversity in the feces of cattle using 16S rDNA bacterial tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP). *BMC Microbiol.* 8:125. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-8-125

El Otmani, S., Chebli, Y., Taminiau, B., Chentouf, M., Hornick, J. L., and Cabaraux, J. (2021). Effect of olive cake and cactus cladodes incorporation in goat kids' diet on the rumen microbial community profile and meat fatty acid composition. *Biology* 10:1237. doi: 10.3390/biology10121237

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023. 1137452/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Alpha diversity based on (A) Observed, (B) Chao1, and (C) Shannon index. Statistical analysis provides the difference of richness at genus level in the two groups (CTRL vs. TRT).

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 1** 16S rRNA raw data.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 2 Abundance of each pathway detected by prediction analysis.

Estaún, J., Dosil, J., Al Alami, A., Gimeno, A., and De Vega, A. (2014). Effects of including olive cake in the diet on performance and rumen function of beef cattle. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 54, 1817–1821. doi: 10.1071/AN14352

Foti, P., Pino, A., Romeo, F. V., Vaccalluzzo, A., Caggia, C., and Randazzo, C. L. (2022). Olive pomace and pâté olive cake as suitable ingredients for food and feed. *Microorganisms* 10:237. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10020237

Foti, P., Romeo, F. V., Russo, N., Pino, A., Vaccalluzzo, A., Caggia, C., et al. (2021). Olive mill wastewater as renewable raw materials to generate high added-value ingredients for agro-food industries. *Appl. Sci.* 11:7511. doi: 10.3390/app11167511

Goncheva, M. I., Chin, D., and Heinrichs, D. E. (2022). Nucleotide biosynthesis: the base of bacterial pathogenesis. *Trends Microbiol.* 30, 793–804. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2021. 12.007

Hagey, J. V., Bhatnagar, S., Heguy, J. M., Karle, B. M., Price, P. L., Meyer, D., et al. (2019). Fecal microbial communities in a large representative cohort of California dairy cows. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:1093. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01093

Henderson, G., Cox, F., Ganesh, S., Jonker, A., Young, W., Collaborators, G. R. C., et al. (2015). Rumen microbial community composition varies with diet and host, but a core microbiome is found across a wide geographical range. *Sci. Rep.* 5:14567. doi: 10.1038/srep14567

Henderson, G., Yilmaz, P., Kumar, S., Forster, R. J., Kelly, W. J., Leahy, S. C., et al. (2019). Improved Taxonomic Assignment of Rumen Bacterial 16S RRNA Sequences Using a Revised SILVA Taxonomic Framework. *PeerJ* 7:e6496. doi: 10.7717/peerj.6496

Jami, E., Israel, A., Kotser, A., and Mizrahi, I. (2013). Exploring the bovine rumen bacterial community from birth to adulthood. *ISME J.* 7, 1069–1079. doi: 10.1038/ ismej.2013.2

Kim, M., Morrison, M., and Yu, Z. (2011). Status of the phylogenetic diversity census of ruminal microbiomes. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 76, 49–63. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941. 2010.01029.x

Kotz, A., Azevedo, P. A., Khafipour, E., and Plaizier, J. C. (2021). Effects of the dietary grain content on rumen and fecal microbiota of dairy cows. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 101, 274–286. doi: 10.1139/cjas-2020-0122

Li, Y., Meng, Q., Zhou, B., and Zhou, Z. (2017). Effect of ensiled mulberry leaves and sun-dried mulberry fruit pomace on the fecal bacterial community composition in finishing steers. *BMC Microbiol.* 17:9. doi: 10.1186/s12866-017-1011-9

Liotta, L., Chiofalo, V., Lo Presti, V., and Chiofalo, B. (2020). Effect of production system on growth performances and meat traits of suckling Messinese goat kids. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 19, 245–252. doi: 10.1080/1828051X.2020.1726832

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15:550. doi: 10.1186/ s13059-014-0550-8

Mannelli, F., Cappucci, A., Pini, F., Pastorelli, R., Decorosi, F., Giovannetti, L., et al. (2018). Effect of different types of olive oil pomace dietary supplementation on the rumen microbial community profile in Comisana ewes. *Sci. Rep.* 8:8455. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-26713-w

Mannelli, F., Daghio, M., Alves, S. P., Bessa, R. J. B., Minieri, S., Giovannetti, L., et al. (2019). Effects of chestnut tannin extract, vescalagin and gallic acid on the dimethyl acetals profile and microbial community composition in rumen liquor: an in vitro study. *Microrganisms* 7:202. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms7070202

Mao, S. Y., Zhang, R. Y., Wang, D. S., and Zhu, W. Y. (2013). Impact of subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) adaptation on rumen microbiota in dairy cattle using pyrosequencing. *Anaerobe* 24, 12–19. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.08.003

McMurdie, P. J., and Holmes, S. (2013). Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* 8:e61217. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

Méheust, R., Castelle, C. J., Matheus Carnevali, P. B., Farag, I. F., He, C., Chen, L. X., et al. (2020). Groundwater Elusimicrobia are metabolically diverse compared to gut microbiome Elusimicrobia and some have a novel nitrogenase paralog. *ISME J.* 14, 2907–2922. doi: 10.1038/s41396-020-0716-1

Miguel, M. A., Lee, S. S., Mamuad, L. L., Choi, Y. J., Jeong, C. D., Son, A., et al. (2019). Enhancing butyrate production, ruminal fermentation and microbial population through supplementation with *Clostridium saccharobutylicum*. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 29, 1083–1095. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1905.05016

Milani, C., Hevia, A., Foroni, E., Duranti, S., Turroni, F., Lugli, G. A., et al. (2013). Assessing the fecal microbiota: an optimized ion torrent 16S rRNA gene-based analysis protocol. *PLoS One.* 8:68739. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068739

Milani, M., Consoli, S., Marzo, A., Pino, A., Randazzo, C., Barbagallo, S., et al. (2020). Treatment of winery wastewater with a multistage constructed wetland system for irrigation reuse. *Water* 12:1260. doi: 10.3390/w12051260

Mott, A. C., Schneider, D., Hünerberg, M., Hummel, J., and Tetens, J. (2022). Bovine rumen microbiome: impact of dna extraction methods and comparison of non-invasive sampling sites. *Ruminan* 2, 112–132. doi: 10.3390/ruminants2010007

Mpanza, T. D. E., Dhlamini, T. C., Pierneef, R. E., and Mbatha, K. R. (2022). Enteric methane emission, rumen fermentation and microbial profiles of meatmaster lambs supplemented with barley fodder sprouts. *Ferment* 8:434. doi: 10.3390/ fermentation8090434

Pallara, G., Buccioni, A., Pastorelli, R., Minieri, S., Mele, M., Rapaccini, S., et al. (2014). Effect of stoned olive pomace on rumen microbial communities and polyunsaturated fatty acid biohydrogenation: an in vitro study. *BMC Veter. Res.* 10:271. doi: 10.1186/s12917-014-0271-y

Parks, D. H., Tyson, G. W., Hugenholtz, P., and Beiko, R. G. (2014). STAMP: statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional profiles. *Bioinform* 30, 3123–3124. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu494

Plaizier, J. C., Danesh Mesgaran, M., Derakhshani, H., Golder, H., Khafipour, E., Kleen, J. L., et al. (2018). Review: enhancing gastrointestinal health in dairy cows. *Animal* 12, S399–S418. doi: 10.1017/S1751731118001921

Plaizier, J. C., Li, S., Tun, H. M., and Khafipour, E. (2017). Nutritional models of experimentally-induced subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) differ in their impact on rumen and hindgut bacterial communities in dairy cows. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:2128. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.02128

Rabee, A. E., Kewan, K. Z., El Shaer, H. M., Lamara, M., and Sabra, E. A. (2022). Effect of olive and date palm by-products on rumen methanogenic community in Barki sheep. *AIMS Microbiol.* 27, 26–41. doi: 10.3934/microbiol.2022003

Randazzo, C. L., Pino, A., Ricciardi, L., Romano, C., Comito, D., Arena, E., et al. (2015). Probiotic supplementation in systemic nickel allergy syndrome patients: study

of its effects on lactic acid bacteria population and on clinical symptoms. J. Appl. Microbiol. 118, 202–211. doi: 10.1111/jam.12685

Rice, W. C., Galyean, M. L., Cox, S. B., Dowd, S. E., and Cole, N. A. (2012). Influence of wet distillers grains diets on beef cattle fecal bacterial community structure. *BMC Microbiol.* 12:25. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-25

Robeson, M. S., O'Rourke, D. R., Kaehler, B. D., Ziemski, M., Dillon, M. R., Foster, J. T., et al. (2021). RESCRIPt: reproducible sequence taxonomy reference database management. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 17:e1009581. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009581

Ruiz, D. R. Y., Moumen, A., García, A. I. M., and Alcaide, E. M. (2004). Ruminal fermentation and degradation patterns, protozoa population, and urinary purine derivatives excretion in goats and wethers fed diets based on two-stage olive cake: effect of peg supply1. *J. Anim. Sci.* 82, 2023–2032. doi: 10.2527/2004.8272023x

Shanks, O. C., Kelty, C. A., Archibeque, S., Jenkins, M., Newton, R. J., Mclellan, S. L., et al. (2011). Community structures of fecal bacteria in cattle from different animal feeding operations. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 2992–3001. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02988-10

Tang, M. T., Han, H., Yu, Z., Tsuruta, T., and Nishino, N. (2017). Variability, stability, and resilience of fecal microbiota in dairy cows fed whole crop corn silage. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 101, 6355–6364. doi: 10.1007/s00253-017-8348-8

Thomas, F., Hehemann, J.-H., Rebuffet, E., Czjzek, M., and Michel, G. (2011). Environmental and gut Bacteroidetes: the food connection. *Front. Microbiol.* 2:93. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00093

Tzamaloukas, O., Neofytou, M. C., and Simitzis, P. E. (2021). Application of olive by-products in livestock with emphasis on small ruminants: implications on rumen function, growth performance, milk and meat quality. *Animal* 11:531. doi: 10.3390/ani11020531

Vaccalluzzo, A., Celano, G., Pino, A., Calabrese, F. M., Foti, P., Caggia, C., et al. (2022). Metagenetic and volatilomic approaches to elucidate the effect of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* starter cultures on Sicilian table olives. *Front. Microbiol.* 25:771636. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.771636

Vaccalluzzo, A., Pino, A., Russo, N., De Angelis, M., Caggia, C., and Randazzo, C. L. (2020). FoodOmics as a new frontier to reveal microbial community and metabolic processes occurring on table olives fermentation. *Food Microbiol.* 92:103606. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2020.103606

Wang, Q., Wang, Y., Wang, X., Dai, C., Tang, W., Li, J., et al. (2020). Effects of dietary energy levels on rumen fermentation, microbiota, and gastrointestinal morphology in growing ewes. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 8, 6621–6632. doi:10.1002/fsn 3.1955

Welch, C. B., Ryman, V. E., Pringle, T. D., and Lourenco, J. M. (2022). Utilizing the gastrointestinal microbiota to modulate cattle health through the microbiome-gutorgan axes. *Microorganisms* 10:1391. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10071391

Wickham, H. (2016). *Ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

Xu, Q., Qiao, Q., Gao, Y., Hou, J., Hu, M., Du, Y., et al. (2021). Gut Microbiota and their role in health and metabolic disease of dairy cow. *Front. Nutr.* 8:701511. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.701511

Yang, J., Li, Y., Wen, Z., Liu, W., Meng, L., and Huang, H. (2021). Oscillospira - a candidate for the next-generation probiotics. *Gut Microbes* 13:1987783. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2021.1987783

Yildirim, E., Ilina, L., Laptev, G., Filippova, V., Brazhnik, E., Dunyashev, T., et al. (2021). The structure and functional profile of ruminal microbiota in young and adult reindeers (*Rangifer tarandus*) consuming natural winter-spring and summer-autumn seasonal diets. *PeerJ* 9:e12389. doi: 10.7717/peerj.12389

Zhang, X., Wang, H., and Guo, X. (2021). Comparative analysis of rumen fermentation parameters and bacterial profiles during adaptation to different fattening stages in beef cattle fed TMR with various forage silage. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 278:115006. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2021.11500