VOLUME LXXVI – N. 2

APRILE-GIUGNO 2022

RIVISTA ITALIANA DI ECONOMIA DEMOGRAFIA E STATISTICA



DIRETTORE Chiara Gigliarano

GUEST EDITOR

ALESSIO BUONOMO, CECILIA REYNAUD,, STEFANIA RIMOLDI

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

MARIATERESA CIOMMI, ALESSIO GUANDALINI, LUCA SALVATI

COMITATO SCIENTIFICO

GIORGIO ALLEVA, EMANUELE BALDACCI, GIAN CARLO BLANGIARDO, CLAUDIO CECCARELLI, FRANCESCO M. CHELLI, CONCHITA D'AMBROSIO, CASILDA LASSO DE LA VEGA, MIKHAIL DENISENKO, LUIGI DI COMITE, PIERPAOLO D'URSO, ALESSIO FUSCO, MAURO GALLEGATI, ANTONIO GIMENEZ MORENO, RARES HALBAC COTOARA ZAMFIR, ALBERTO QUADRIO CURZIO, CLAUDIO QUINTANO, JESUS RODRIGO COMINO, KOSTAS RONTOS, SILVANA SCHIFINI D'ANDREA, SALVATORE STROZZA, PHILIPPE VAN KERM, PAOLO VENERI, PAOLO VERME, ROBERTO ZELLI

REDAZIONE

OHIANA ARISTONDO, ALESSIO BUONOMO, LIVIA CELARDO, LIDIA CERIANI, ANDREA CUTILLO, GIUSEPPE GABRIELLI, DANIELE GRECHI, FRANCESCA MARIANI, ENRICO MORETTO, SIMONA PACE, GLORIA POLINESI, CECILIA REYNAUD, STEFANIA RIMOLDI, GIUSEPPE RICCIARDO LAMONICA, ANDREA SPIZZICHINO

SIEDS SOCIETÀ ITALIANA DI ECONOMIA DEMOGRAFIA E STATISTICA

CONSIGLIO DIRETTIVO

Presidenti Onorari: LUIGI DI COMITE, FRANCESCO MARIA CHELLI

Presidente: SALVATORE STROZZA

Vice Presidenti: LEONARDO BECCHETTI, CLAUDIO CECCARELLI, VENERA TOMASELLI

Segretario Generale: MATTEO MAZZIOTTA

Consiglieri: MARCO ALFÒ, GIUSEPPE GABRIELLI, CHIARA GIGLIARANO, LUCIANO NIEDDU, SIMONE POLI, MARIA CRISTINA RECCHIONI, STEFANIA RIMOLDI, SILVANA MARIA ROBONE

Segretario Amministrativo: ALESSIO GUANDALINI

Revisori dei conti: MICHELE CAMISASCA, FABIO FIORINI, DOMENICO SUMMO

Revisori dei conti supplenti: MARGHERITA GEROLIMETTO, GIUSEPPE NOTARSTEFANO

SEDE LEGALE: C/O Studio Associato Cadoni, Via Ravenna n. 34 – 00161 ROMA

info@sieds.it

rivista@sieds.it

VOLUME FUORI COMMERCIO – DISTRIBUITO GRATUITAMENTE AI SOCI

INDICE

Aurora Angeli, Silvana Salvini Demography of Mediterranean countries: what does it happen in the two shores?
Elena Ambrosetti Migrations in the Mediterranean: old and new challenges and opportunities
Nidia Batic, Angelica Sandrin When "at their home" also means "at our home": survey on the quality of the reception of unaccompanied foreign minors in Italy
Margherita Maria Pagliuca, Sergio Longobardi, Giuseppe Gabrielli, Alessio Buonomo Is the proportion of immigrant children in Italian schools directly or indirectly associated with educational performances?
Stefania M.L. Rimoldi, Antonella Zambon, Dario Pescini, Alessandro Avellone <i>iFeel A tool for studying the effect of the pandemic and the lockdown on</i> <i>socio-economic behaviours</i>
Roberto Basile, Sara Basso, Sara Miccoli, Cecilia Reynaud Ageing population in Italy: a space-time analysis
Alessio Buonomo, Stefania Capecchi, Francesca Di Iorio, Salvatore Strozza Economic outcomes and immigrants' self-identification
Francesca Bitonti Bass model-based approach to migration 101
Francesca Feoli, Giovanni Fosco, Maria Antonietta Liguori The pandemic crisis and the decline of births in Italy: a causal-effect analysis of the pandemic shock

Maria Herica La Valle, Francesca Licari, Maria Sole D'Orto, Cecilia Reynaud
Internal and international migration from Italian regions: old and new disparities
Arjeta Veshi, Rei Shehu Internationals and their legitimacy in the eyes of the public
Giulio Giacomo Cantone, Venera Tomaselli On null models for contagion effects in multidimensional networks
Federico Benassi, Francesca Bitonti, Angelo Mazza, Salvatore Strozza Sri Lankans' residential segregation: comparative evidence from the main Italian municipalities
Sabrina Barcherini, Katia Bontempi, Serena Liani, Barbara Lorè, Simona Rosati Online cognitive interviewing. a contribution to data quality
Eugenia De Rosa, Valeria de Martino, Francesca Scambia, Nadia Nur Perspectives on LGBT+ working lives: stakeholders, employers and LGBT+ people
Clio Ciaschini, Margherita Carlucci, Francesco M. Chelli, Giuseppe Ricciardo Lamonica, Luca Salvati Decreasing consumption because of covid-19? A multisectoral assessment 195
Vincenzo Marinello, Chiara Di Puma An exploratory survey of customer satisfaction with particular reference to the services provided by the maritime, air and border health offices (USMAF) and health care services for navigating, maritime and civil aviation personnel (SASN)

SRI LANKANS' RESIDENTIAL SEGREGATION: COMPARATIVE EVIDENCE FROM THE MAIN ITALIAN MUNICIPALITIES¹

Federico Benassi, Francesca Bitonti, Angelo Mazza, Salvatore Strozza

1. Migration and urban segregation

To date, the problems relating to socio-economic segregation and inequality within urban realities have gained international relevance, so that the OECD has published an entire report focusing on the so-called "divided cities" (OECD, 2018): cities in which economic and social divisions generate exclusivity of the spaces. Inequality, and in particular the socio-economic heterogeneities within cities, hinder the integration of migrant populations within the host society. The distrust of locals and linguistic and cultural diversities often expose immigrants to the perpetuation of the inequalities that van Ham *et al.* (2018) defined as "vicious circles of segregation", according to which ethnic and social inequalities and segregation propagate in the family context, working place, and other contexts, but also from one birth cohort or first (migratory) generation to the other(s).

Several studies enrich the existing literature on residential segregation and, with regard to Europe, they have detected that in recent years the increase in urban segregation in the South has reduced its distance from the North, which generally recorded a higher level of inequality and segregation (Arapoglou, 2012; Panori *et al.*, 2019). Studies focussing on Italy are fewer than those concerning the realities of Western and Northern Europe. Still, they are constantly growing in number and highlight a North-South duality in which the North exhibits a higher proportion of foreigners but manages to maintain levels of inequality generally lower than those recorded in the South (Benassi *et al.*, 2019; Busetta *et al.*, 2015; Mazza and Punzo, 2016; Mazza *et al.*, 2018; Petsimeris and Rimoldi, 2015; Rimoldi and Terzera, 2017). In any case, studies comparing different Italian urban contexts are very few. This is quite surprising because in Italy do exist foreign communities, like Sri Lankans, that, contrary to most of the other migrants' communities *et al.*, 2022).

¹ Author contributions: "Migration and urban segregation" section: Benassi F., "Sri Lankans in Italy" section: Strozza S., "Materials and methods" section: Mazza A., "Results" section: Bitonti F., "Discussion and conclusions" section: Benassi F., Bitonti F., Mazza A., and Strozza S.

Based on these premises, the paper proposes an entirely original spatial analysis of residential segregation and settlement models of Sri Lankans, referring to the eight Italian municipalities hosting the highest share of this foreign community: Milan and Verona in the North, Florence and Rome in the centre, and Naples, Palermo, Messina, and Catania in the South.

2. Sri Lankans in Italy

Sri Lankans' presence in Italy dates back to the 1970s, and it is characterised by a particular settlement model with a double specificity (Benassi *et al.*, 2022). First of all, the Sri Lankans prefer to settle in large cities² and in particular those listed in Table 1, which together host almost 55% of the total amount of Sri Lankans residing in Italy at the beginning of 2021. It is interesting to notice that as regards the other foreign communities present in Italy, it would be necessary to consider hundreds of cities in order to reach a similar percentage. Secondly, conversely to the other foreign communities, Sri Lankans tend to concentrate mainly in the southern cities (Naples, Messina, Palermo, and Catania) which are rarely among the main Italian settlement municipalities for other foreign communities.

 Table 1 –
 Sri Lankans citizens residing in the top eight Italian cities according to their numerosity at the beginning of 2012 and 2021 (absolute values in thousand and percentages).

Municipality (2012)	A.V. (000)	%	cum. %	% of fo- reigner s	Municipality (2021)	A.V. (000)	%	cum. %	% of fo- reigner s
Milan	11,1	15.5	15.5	6.3	Milan	16,1	14.8	14.8	6.3
Naples	7,2	10.1	25.6	22.9	Naples	15,3	14.1	28.9	26.3
Verona	5,2	7.3	32.9	17.3	Rome	9,1	8.4	37.3	2.7
Rome	4,9	6.8	39.7	2.2	Verona	7,4	6.8	44.1	20.1
Messina	3,6	5.0	44.7	32.4	Messina	3,8	3.5	47.6	32.2
Palermo	3,3	4.6	49.3	16.7	Palermo	3,1	2.9	50.5	13.1
Florence	1,6	2.2	51.5	3.7	Catania	2,4	2.2	52.7	18.3
Catania	1,4	2.0	53.5	20.1	Florence	2,1	1.9	54.6	4.2
Others	33,3	46.5	100.0	1.0	Others	49,3	45.4	100.0	1.2
Total	71,6	100.0		1.8	Total	108,6	100.0		2.2

Source: own elaboration on Istat data (Demographic Census and Municipal Population Registers).

162

² In the present work, the nouns "city" and "municipality" are considered as synonyms to make reference to the same geographical unit: the Local Administrative Unit (LAU), as defined according to the Eurostat's Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics.

3. Materials and methods

In this work, we have considered the eight Italian cities with the greatest presence of Sri Lankans (as reported in Table 1), representing the phenomenon in the North, Centre, and South of Italy. The data on the national and foreign population and those relating to the work dimension come from the 2011 General Population Census, while those relating to the cost of rents for residential properties were downloaded from the OMI (*Osservatorio del Mercato Immobiliare* - Real Estate Market Observatory) database of the Italian Revenue Agency and are referred to the first half of 2016.

Since the aim of the work is to draw comparisons between the different urban realities and given that the data available pertain to different geographical units, namely the census tracts (for the data on population and working conditions) and the OMI sections (for real estate data), we performed areal weighted interpolation (Prener and Revord, 2019) to make data and urban contexts homogeneous. This procedure referred all the data at hand to a uniform spatial grid with 100 by 100 meters cells. This type of grid was exploited at the European level in the Data for Integration (D4I) project, which aimed precisely at making comparisons between different urban areas (Natale *et al.*, 2019).

To globally analyse the settlement model of the Sri Lankans, we used the traditional (Duncan and Duncan, 1955) and the correct version of the Duncan dissimilarity index (DI) proposed by Mazza and Punzo (2015), which reduces the upper bias intrinsic to the traditional DI.

To assess local heterogeneities, we also calculated the location quotients (LQs) (Haig, 1926) for Sri Lankans in order to highlight the neighbourhoods with the highest concentration of the ethnic group. Finally, we calculated two local bivariate Moran's I indices (Anselin *et al.*, 2004): in the first case to relate the concentration of Sri Lankans, described by the LQs, to the socio-economic conditions of the different areas of the cities, represented by the average cost of rent per square meter; in the second case to study the concentration in relation to the Human Capital Index (HCI)³ in the labour market dimension. To assess the statistical significance of both the bivariate Moran's I indices we used a permutation-based approach.

³ To capture the level of human capital in the labour market we consider the average between low human capital $LHC = (P_{litterate} + P_{illitterate} + P_{primary edu})/P_{6+} * 100$ and unemployment $U = P_{15+job \ seeking}/P_{15+in \ the \ labour \ force} * 100$, resulting in the Human Poverty Index HPI = (LHC + U)/2, rescaled according to the min-max normalisation. The *HPI* is then reversed in its sign by taking its complement to 1 for the applications of this work, obtaining the Human Capital Index (HCI) in the labour market dimension. Hence, *HCI* taking values near to one implies high human capital, whereas *HCI* taking values near to zero implies low levels of human capital.

4. Results

Calculating the DI for the eight cities, we obtained the first global result. In particular, looking at Table 2, it is possible to make three considerations: a) the bias correction considerably reduced the segregation values calculated in the traditional way. The most relevant examples are Florence, Verona, and Rome; b) secondly, the different extent of the correction for the various cities has produced a different ranking compared to the one provided by the traditional DI. For instance, the city of Florence, which was in the fifth place in the ranking, fell to the last position because of the bias reduction; c) finally, it should be noted that generally, except for Messina, there is greater segregation in the Southern cities than in the Northern ones, in accordance with what has been found in the literature regarding the foreign communities present in Italy (Benassi *et al.*, 2019; Busetta *et al.*, 2015; Mazza *and* Punzo, 2016; Mazza *et al.*, 2018; Petsimeris and Rimoldi, 2015; Rimoldi and Terzera, 2017).

Table 2 – *DI*, bias-corrected DI (DI_{corr}) for Sri Lankans and Italians in the eight Italian municipalities considered, municipalities' decreasing DI_{corr} ranking, and municipalities' DI ranking variation after the bias correction, 2011, computed on 100 by 100 m cells.

City	DI	DIcorr	DI - DI _{corr}	Rank DI	Rank DI _{corr}	Ranking variation from DI to DI _{corr}
Palermo	0.740	0.659	0.081	1	1	0
Naples	0.683	0.613	0.070	3	2	+1
Catania	0.678	0.497	0.181	4	3	+1
Rome	0.728	0.392	0.336	2	4	-2
Milan	0.463	0.332	0.131	7	5	+2
Messina	0.530	0.324	0.206	6	6	0
Verona	0.457	0.260	0.197	8	7	+1
Florence	0.547	0.104	0.443	5	8	-3

Source: own elaboration on Istat data (2011 General Population and Housing Census)

Looking at the LQs maps in Figure 1, a difference between the cities of the centre-north (Milan, Florence, and Rome) compared to those of the South emerges (Naples, Palermo, Messina, and Catania). While the highest concentration areas in the North are scattered throughout the urban areas, the Sri Lankans appear more localised in the most central neighbourhoods in Southern cities. The only exception is Verona which shows an arrangement more similar to the arrangement in the cities of the South.



On the other hand, analysing the relationship between the concentration of Sri Lankans and the level of human capital (HCI), it is possible to see that this ethnic minority resides mainly in areas with high human capital which are located mostly in the central districts (represented in red in Figure 2). On the contrary, there are few significant areas that correspond to a high concentration of Sri Lankans and a low level of human capital (those coloured in pink). Areas of this kind are mostly found in the centre of Palermo. On the other hand, there are numerous areas characterised by a high human capital in which the presence of Sri Lankans is low (in light blue).

Figure 2 – Bivariate local Moran's I between LQs and HCI. Reference group: total resident population. Main Italian municipalities hosting Sri Lankans, 2011. Spatial grid with 100 x 100 m cells. Source: own elaboration on Istat data (2011 General Population and Housing Census).



166



The bivariate Moran index between LQs and mean rental price (Figure 3) still confirms the high concentration of Sri Lankans in central areas where the cost of renting is high. In all cities, these areas, also called high-high, are surrounded by areas where rents remain expensive, but the presence of Sri Lankans is decreasing (in light blue). Finally, in the more peripheral areas, it is possible to identify some pockets where the cost of living is moderate, and many Sri Lankans reside (in pink).

Figure 3 – Bivariate local Moran's I between LQs and mean rent cost. Reference group: total resident population. Main Italian municipalities hosting Sri Lankans, 2011. Spatial grid with 100 x 100 m cells. Source: own elaboration on Istat data (2011 General Population and Housing Census) and on OMI data.





5. Discussion and conclusions

Our application has led to several results on which it is possible to draw the following considerations. Firstly, the distribution of the Sri Lankan community shows a duality between the cities of the North and those of the South. LQs have highlighted that the "scattered" configuration that characterises the North is replaced by concentration in central areas in the South. This different arrangement is indeed confirmed by the DI values, which are generally higher for the cities of the South. By correlating the concentration of Sri Lankans with socio-economic variables, it was possible to observe the relationship between the presence of the foreign group and the heterogeneity in the level of advancement and well-being of the various urban areas. In particular, we have recognised that Sri Lankans tend to establish their residence in central areas where the human capital and the average rent cost are high. This phenomenon could be partly explained by the need to reside near the workplace, and for the Sri Lankans, this mostly coincides with the Italians' households where they work as assistants to the elderly or cleaners. The similarity in the spatial trend of the bivariate local Moran's I across cities (both when related to the HCI and to the mean rent cost) suggests that macro-level dynamics prevail over the local specificities. On the one end, these are the workplace and the specialisation in particular sectors, and on the other, the presence of migratory chains that guarantee the perpetuation of stable social networks over time and space. Lastly, the strong presence of Sri Lankans in areas with a high level of well-being could lead us to believe that there are no problems relating to inequality in the community under analysis. As a matter of fact, several old towns, especially in the South, are characterised by a high degree of building heterogeneity in which ancient noble palaces are located in the immediate vicinity of abandoned buildings or buildings left in a state of decay. We, therefore, believe that the ethnic mixing that often characterises historic centres can actually reveal states of socio-economic inequality that require ad hoc interventions and consideration.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the project "Immigration, integration, settlement. Italian-Style", funded by the Italian Ministry of University and Research, under the grant 2017N9LCSC_004 (PRIN 2017).

References

- ANSELIN L., SYABRI I., SMIRNOV O. 2004. Visualising Multivariate Spatial Correlation with Dynamically Linked Windows. In: ANSELIN, L., REY, S. (Eds) Proceedings of CSISS Workshop on New Tools for Spatial Data Analysis. Santa Barbara, CA.
- ARAPOGLOU V.P. 2012. Diversity, Inequality and Urban Change. *European* Urban and Regional Studies, Vol. 19, pp. 223-37.
- BENASSI F., BITONTI F., MAZZA A., STROZZA S. 2022. Sri Lankans' residential segregation and spatial inequalities in Southern Italy: an empirical analysis using fine-scale data on regular lattice geographies. *Quality & Quantity*, pp. 1-20.
- BENASSI F., LIPIZZI F., STROZZA S. 2019. Detecting Foreigners' Spatial Residential Patterns in Urban Contexts: Two Tales from Italy. *Applied Spatial Analysis and Policy*, Vol. 12, pp. 301-319.
- BUSETTA A., MAZZA A., STRANGES M. 2015. Residential Segregation of Foreigners: An Analysis of the Italian City of Palermo. *Genus*, Vol. 71, pp. 177-98.

170

- Data for Integration (D4I). 2021. https://knowledge4policy.ec.europa.eu/migration-demography/data-integration-d4i_en#factsheets
- DUNCAN O., DUNCAN B. 1955. A Methodological Analysis of Segregation Indexes. *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 41, pp. 210-217.
- HAIG R.M. 1926. Toward an Understanding of the Metropolis. *Quarterly Journal* of Economics, Vol. 40, pp. 421-433.
- van HAM M., TAMMARU T., JANSSEN H. 2018. A Multilevel Model of Vicious Circles of Socioeconomic Segregation. In Divided Cities: Understanding Intra-Urban Inequalities, Paris: OECD.
- MAZZA A., GABRIELLI G., STROZZA S. 2018. Residential Segregation of Foreign Immigrants in Naples. *Spatial Demography*, Vol. 6, pp. 71-87.
- MAZZA A., PUNZO A. 2016. Spatial Attraction in Migrants' Settlement Patterns in the City of Catania. *Demographic Research*, Vol. 35, pp. 117-138.
- MAZZA A., PUNZO A. 2015. On the Upward Bias of the Dissimilarity Index and Its Corrections. *Sociological Methods and Research*, Vol. 44, pp. 80-107
- NATALE F., TINTORI G., ALESSANDRINI A. 2019. Diversity, residential segregation, concentration of migrants: a comparison across EU cities: findings from the data challenge on integration of migrants in cities (D4I), European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Publications Office
- OECD. 2018. Divided Cities. Understanding Intra-Urban Inequalities. Paris
- PANORI A., PSYCHARIS Y., BALLAS D. 2019. Spatial Segregation and Migration in the City of Athens: Investigating the Evolution of Urban Socio-Spatial Immigrant Structures. *Population Space and Place*, e2209
- PETSIMERIS P., RIMOLDI S. 2015. Socioeconomic Divisions of Space in Milan in the Post-Fordist Era. In: TAMMARU T., VAN HAM M., MARCIŃCZAK S., MUSTERD S. (Eds) Socioeconomic Segregation in European Capital Cities. East Meets West, pp. 186-213. Routledge, Oxford
- PRENER C., REVORD C. 2019. Areal: An R Package for Areal Weighted Interpolation. *Journal of Open Source Software*, Vol. 4, No. 37 https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.0122
- RIMOLDI S.M.L., TERZERA L. 2017. Neighbours and Friends? Can Residential Segregation Explain Ethnic Separation? The Case of Milan (Italy). *Spatial Demography*, Vol. 5, pp. 193-214

SUMMARY

The paper proposes an entirely original spatial analysis on residential segregation and settlement models of Sri Lankans in the eight main Italian municipalities, where the largest part of the community lives.

Objectives: The purpose of the work is twofold. First, it attempts to compare the settlement patterns and the level of residential segregation of Sri Lankans across eight urban contexts and to evaluate similarities and specificities. Second, it concentrates to detect possible spatial polarisations of Sri Lankans in specific neighbourhoods and to verify spatial correlation with other key variables that are proxy of socio-economic inequality of territories.

Methods: Traditional global andlocal measures of segregation and concentration are considered and referred to a single geographic reference grid, allowing to homogenise different areal unit arrangements and to propose comparisons between urban areas.

Results: Peculiar residential behaviour in Sri Lankans' settlement patterns is paired with economic and labour market related conditions, configuring a situation going beyond the mere centre-periphery dichotomy. The ethnic mixing that often characterises historic centres can actually reveal states of socio-economic inequality that require ad hoc interventions and consideration.

Federico BENASSI, Italian National Institute of Statistics - Istat, benassi@istat.it Francesca BITONTI, University of Catania, francesca.bitonti@phd.unict.it Angelo MAZZA, University of Catania, a.mazza@unict.it Salvatore STROZZA, University of Naples Federico II, strozza@unina.it