**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 



# Real-world use of Safinamide in motor fluctuating Parkinson's disease patients in Italy

Roberta Bovenzi<sup>1</sup> · Claudio Liguori<sup>1,2</sup> · Margherita Canesi<sup>3,4</sup> · Marco D'Amelio<sup>5</sup> · Maria Francesca De Pandis<sup>6,7</sup> · Carmine Marini<sup>8</sup> · Alessandra Monge<sup>9</sup> · Alessandro Padovani<sup>10</sup> · Alessandro Tessitore<sup>11</sup> · Alessandro Stefani<sup>1,2</sup> · Mario Zappia<sup>12</sup> · for the SYNAPSES Study Investigators Italian Group

Received: 2 June 2023 / Accepted: 31 July 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

**Introduction** Safinamide is a recent antiparkinsonian drug that modulates both dopaminergic and glutamatergic systems with positive effects on motor and nonmotor symptoms of Parkinson's disease (PD). Here, we aimed to describe the efficacy and safety of safinamide in the Italian PD patients in real-life conditions.

**Methods** We performed a sub-analysis of the Italian cohort of the SYNAPSES study, a multi-country, multi-center, retrospective-prospective cohort observational study, designed to investigate the use of safinamide in routine clinical practice. Patients received for the first time a treatment with safinamide and were followed up for 12 months. The analysis was conducted on the overall population and in subgroups of interest: i) patients >75 years, ii) patients with relevant comorbidities and iii) patients affected by psychiatric symptoms.

**Results** Italy enrolled 616/1610 patients in 52 centers, accounting for 38% of the entire SYNAPSES cohort. Of the patients enrolled, 86.0% were evaluable at 12 months, with 23.3% being > 75 years, 42.4% with psychiatric conditions and 67.7% with relevant comorbidities.

Safinamide was effective on motor symptoms and fluctuations as measured through the Unified PD rating scale III and IV scores, and on the total score, without safety issues in none of the subgroups considered.

**Conclusion** The SYNAPSES data related to Italian patients confirms the good safety profile of safinamide even in special groups of patients. Motor fluctuations and motor impairment improved at the follow-up suggesting the significant role of safinamide in managing motor symptoms in PD patients.

Keywords Parkinson's disease · Safinamide · MAO-B inhibitor · Safety · Real-life evaluation

Roberta Bovenzi and Claudio Liguori equally contributed as first authors.

Alessandro Stefani and Mario Zappia equally contributed as last authors.

Claudio Liguori dott.claudioliguori@yahoo.it

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Systems Medicine, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Via Montpellier 1, 00133 Rome, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> Parkinson's Disease Unit, University Hospital of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Parkinson Institute, ASST Gaetano Pini CTO, Milan, Italy
- <sup>4</sup> U.O.C of Neurorehabilitation, Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders Center, Moriggia Pelascini Hospital, Gravedona ed Uniti, Como, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> Dipartimento Di Biomedicina, Neuroscienze e Diagnostica Avanzata, Università Degli Studi di Palermo, Palermo, Italy

- <sup>6</sup> Department of Human Sciences and Promotion of Quality of Life, San Raffaele University, Rome, Italy
- <sup>7</sup> San Raffaele Cassino, Cassino, Italy
- <sup>8</sup> Department of Biotechnological and Applied Clinical Sciences, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy
- <sup>9</sup> Ospedale San Giovanni Battista, ACISMOM, Roma, Italy
- <sup>10</sup> Neurology Unit, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- <sup>11</sup> Department of Advanced Medical and Surgery Sciences, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy
- <sup>12</sup> Department "G.F. Ingrassia", Section of Neurosciences, University of Catania, Catania, Italy

## Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder after Alzheimer's disease [1], characterized by loss of dopaminergic neurons of Substantia Nigra pars compacta (SNpc) and widespread accumulation of  $\alpha$ -synuclein containing Lewy Bodies (LBs). Age is the main risk factor for this disease, with prevalence and incidence peaking after 80 years of age [1]. The loss of dopaminergic nigral neurons leads to the cardinal motor symptoms bradykinesia, tremor, and rigidity [1, 2]. Along with motor symptoms, non-motor symptoms, such as pain, fatigue, sleep disorders, gastrointestinal disturbances, and olfactory dysfunction, are often associated with and significantly impact the quality of life (QoL) [1]. Moreover, these non-motor symptoms present more commonly in motor fluctuating PD patients, also influencing the global health status of patients [3].

Therapeutic options in PD remain symptomatic, aiming at controlling motor and non-motor symptoms, and are mostly based on dopaminergic agents [4]; among them, levodopa (L-DOPA) is the gold standard. However, L-DOPA is limited by onset of motor complications with the disease progression [2, 5]. In addition, many motor and non-motor symptoms are resistant to L-DOPA or other dopaminergic drugs [2].

In the neurodegenerative process underlying PD, neurotransmitters other than dopamine are involved, such as noradrenaline, serotonin, acetylcholine, adenosine, and glutamate [2]. Overactive glutamatergic transmission is associated with motor complications (L-DOPA induced dyskinesia, LID), motor symptoms, such as bradykinesia and rigidity, and non-motor symptoms, such as pain, depression, anhedonia, fatigue, urinary and sleep disturbances [6].

Safinamide is the first PD medication having a double mechanism of action, being a reversible monoamine oxidase-B inhibitor (MAOB-i) and a glutamate release modulator through use-dependent sodium and N-type calcium channel blockade [7–10], which differentiate this therapeutic option from the other available MAOB-I on the market, selegiline and rasagiline. In addition to its dopaminergic action, the inhibition of glutamate transmission, with subsequent attenuation of associated excitotoxicity and containment of oxidative stress, might account for a milder profile of neurodegeneration in PD patients treated with safinamide [6]. Due to its multimodal mechanism of action, safinamide is a useful treatment option for PD patients suffering from motor fluctuations and disabling non-motor symptoms [11, 12].

The "SYNAPSES" study (European multicenter retrospective-prospective StudY to observe safiNAmide safety profile and pattern of use in clinical Practice during the firSt post-commErcialization phaSe) was a multinational, multicenter retrospective-prospective cohort observational study, designed, following EMA recommendation, to collect real-life data on safinamide prescription and use in the general population and special groups, not well described in pivotal trials, such as patient older than 75 years, those with relevant comorbidities and those with psychiatric conditions.

The trial was conducted in six European countries (Belgium, Germany, Italy, Spain, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom), involving 1610 patients, of which 25.1% were aged > 75 years, 70.8% had relevant comorbidities and 42.4% had concomitant psychiatric conditions. Patients were followed-up for 12 months. Throughout the study, 45.8% of patients experienced adverse events, mostly mild or moderate, and 27.7% experienced adverse drug reactions, with no differences among groups of interest. A clinically significant improvement was appreciated in the Unified PD rating scale (UPDRS) motor score and the UPDRS total score in more than 40% of patients of the entire population. Significant improvement in motor complications was maintained in the long term [13].

Italy was the first recruiter country, with a total of 616 patients enrolled from 52 specialized centers, accounting for 38% of the entire cohort. Here, we performed a posthoc analysis of the SYNAPSES trial database to describe the efficacy and safety of safinamide use in the Italian PD population.

# Methods

#### Study design and population

We performed a sub-analysis of the Italian cohort of the SYNAPSES study, a multi-country, multi-center, retrospective-prospective cohort observational study, designed to investigate the use of safinamide in routine clinical practice. The study design, inclusion and exclusion criteria, data source, and management have already been described in the original publication by Abruzzese et al. [13].

The study enrolled all patients aged > 18 who received, for the first time, treatment with safinamide at enrollment visit or in the preceding four months. Patients were enrolled for 24 months and were followed up for 12 months, with intermediate evaluations after 4 ( $\pm$ 1), 8 ( $\pm$ 1), and 12 ( $\pm$ 1) months from the start of treatment with safinamide.

A post hoc analysis was performed in the entire Italian cohort and in sub-groups of interest, namely patients aged > 75 years, patients with relevant comorbidities, and patients with psychiatric conditions. The concomitant relevant comorbidities, including psychiatric ones, were those the study investigators considered clinically significant according to their clinical judgment.

Patients were evaluated independently with the UPDRS during ON time. The changes in UPDRS total and motor scores from enrollment to each follow-up visit (4–8-12 months) were computed for each patient.

According to Shulman et al., a clinically important difference was considered in decreases > 4.3 points in the UPDRS total score and > 2.5 points in the UPDRS motor score [14].

The following endpoints were evaluated: main demographic and clinical features, safinamide treatment patterns, concomitant PD and antidepressant medications, efficacy on motor features, non-motor features and motor fluctuations, safety, and tolerability. The proportion of patients experiencing adverse events (AE) and adverse drug reactions (ADR), serious or not, were provided. Both the severity and the potential relationship to the drug were considered according to the investigator's judgment. The action taken and outcomes have also been reported.

The protocol was approved by all the National and local Independent Ethics Committees and was conducted following the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. All patients signed informed consent. Physicians participating in the study received appropriate compensation. Patients with missing values were not excluded from the analysis, but their data were not replaced. In case of missing study completion, the observation period end date was computed as the maximum between the following visit date at 4, 8 months follow up and the date of safinamide discontinuation (when applicable).

Descriptive analyses were performed to provide all study endpoints. Categorical variables were described using absolute and relative frequencies; continuous variables using mean, minimum, maximum, standard deviation, and quartiles. Analyses were performed in the overall cohort and in the subgroups of interest: patients > 75 years, patients with relevant comorbidities, and patients with psychiatric conditions.

SAS for Windows Version 9.4 and SAS Enterprise Guide 7.1 was used for statistical analyses.

For a detailed description of statistical methods, see Abbruzzese et al. 2021 [13].

# Results

## **Descriptive data**

#### **Overall cohort features**

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed on all the Italian patients evaluable for the "Full analysis Set" (FAS), defined as the patients satisfying all inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Fifty-two Italian centers enrolled 616 PD patients, 589 (95.61%) of whom were evaluable for the analysis, and 530 (86%) completed the follow-up at 12 months. Table 1 shows the main features of the global Italian cohort. A comparison of patients' characteristics with the global population enrolled

Table 1 Demographics and main clinical features of the study population

	Total evaluable patients $(N=589)$	Patients aged > 75 years (N=137)	Patients with relevant comorbidities $(N=399)$	Patients with psy- chiatric conditions $(N=250)$
Sex (male, N, %)	373 (63,3)	86 (62,8)	249 (62,4)	146 (58,4)
Age at enrolment (years) Mean (SD)	68 (9,2)	79,3 (3,0)	69,5 (8,3)	68 (8,7)
Race caucasian (N, %)	588 (99,8)	137 (100)	399 (100)	250 (100)
Diagnosis of Idiopathic PD (N, %)	588 (99,8)	137 (100)	398 (99,7)	249 (99,6)
Time from PD diagnosis (years): mean (SD)	7,7 (5,0)	8,3 (5,3)	7,6 (4,8)	8,6 (5,1)
Time from PD onset of symptoms (years): mean (SD)	8,8 (5,1)	9,3 (5,5)	8,7 (4,9)	9,6 (5,2)
Age at onset of symptoms (years): mean (SD)	59,2 (10,2)	70 (6,6)	60,8 (9,5)	58,3 (9,6)
Hoehn & Yahr stage (N, %)				
1	39 (7,0)	1 (0,8)	19 (5,0)	9 (3,7)
2	312 (55,7)	59 (45,7)	212 (55,8)	111 (46,1)
3	165 (29,5)	49 (38,0)	117 (30,8)	88 (36,5)
4	41 (7,3)	18 (14,0)	30 (7,9)	31 (12,9)
5	3 (0,5)	2 (1,6)	2 (0,5)	2 (0,8)
Motor symptoms: (N, %)	588 (99,8)	137 (100)	399 (100)	249 (99,6)
Non-motor symptoms: (N, %)	517 (87,8)	123 (89,8)	366 (91,7)	249 (99,6)

N Number of patients; SD Standard deviation

in the global SYNAPSES study shows no differences between European and Italian PD patients in real-life settings [13]. Most Italian patients were on Hoehn & Yahr stage 2, with a medium latency from disease onset to PD diagnosis of about eight years. Virtually all of patients had motor symptoms (99.8%, in 0.2% this information was missing) and most had non-motor symptoms (87.8%). Among motor features, the most frequently reported symptom was bradykinesia (88.8%), followed by rigidity (84.6%), tremor (53%), and postural instability (27%). Among non-motor symptoms, 46,7% had sleep disorders, 42.4% psychiatric symptoms, 25.6% gastrointestinal symptoms, 23.4% urinary symptoms, 20.9% fatigue, 15.6% pain and 12.8% cognitive disturbances. As expected, almost the entire cohort had motor fluctuations at enrollment (n=571, 96.6%), while the remaining 3.4% had non-motor fluctuations. Regarding motor fluctuations, the observed phenomena included wearing off (84.9%), levodopa-induced-dyskinesias (39.2%), early morning OFF (16.1%), unpredictable OFF (16.1%), delayed-on phenomena (10.2%), or others (8.1%).

#### Subgroups features

23.3% (n = 137) of patients were > 75 years old, 67.7% (n = 399) had at least one clinically relevant comorbidity, and 42.4% (n = 250) had comorbid psychiatric conditions.

The main comorbidities were blood hypertension and heart diseases (42.8%), and metabolic diseases (20.5%). The main psychiatric symptoms were depression (27.5%) and anxiety (14.1%).

When analyzing the main clinical features of the three subgroups (elderly patients, patients with comorbidities, and with psychiatric conditions), some differences emerged.

As for motor features, tremor and postural instability were more frequent in elderly patients compared to the entire cohort (64.2% vs. 53% and 38% vs. 27%, respectively). Postural instability was more frequent in patients with psychiatric conditions as well (35.2% vs. 27%), along with rigidity (87.2% vs. 84.6%). Among non-motor symptoms, elderly patients presented more frequently urinary symptoms (27.7%), gastrointestinal symptoms (30.7%) and cognitive impairment (23.4%), and slightly higher frequencies of fatigue and pain as well. Patients with psychiatric symptoms had more frequently sleep disturbancies (50%), cognitive impairment (23.4%), and pain (%). Patients with relevant comorbidities did not differ from the overall population in main motor features; however, they showed higher frequencies of some non-motor symptoms such as urinary (27.6%), psychiatric (46.1%), gastrointestinal disturbances (28.6%), and pain (17.5%).

#### **Concomitant medications**

As for concomitant medications, 100% of patients had at least one at enrollment, mostly levodopa (99.5%), followed

by dopamine-agonists (62.6%, mainly pramipexole), COMT inhibitors (20.7%, mainly levodopa/carbidopa/entacapone), amantadine (8.3%), and anticholinergics. (2.2%). Four patients (0.7%) had bilateral STN-DBS. As shown in Supplemental Table 1 (concomitant psychiatric medications at enrollment), about one-quarter of patients (n = 148, 25.1%) were receiving antidepressant medications at baseline, mainly SSRI (12.6%), SNRI (5.6%), tricyclics (2.2%), or others (7.3%). Table 2 shows previously discontinued PD treatments by patient age (patients aged < 75 years vs. patients aged > 75 years).

#### Safinamide treatment patterns

Safinamide was correctly administered at a 50 mg/day starting dose to 95.9% of the total population; only 21 patients (3.6%) began treatment with 100 mg/day. By the end of the study, 46.7% of patients were receiving safinamide 100 mg/ day. Of all Italian patients, 13.2% permanently discontinued safinamide, a lower proportion than those that emerged in the SYNAPSES study (21.6%) and pivotal trials. The proportion of patients discontinuing the drug was lower in those treated with a dose of 100 mg/day (5.4%) than in those treated with 50 mg/day (19.5%). Adverse reactions were the main reason for interruption (n = 39, 50%), mainly in patients treated with 50 mg/day (n=35) than in those treated with 100 mg/day (n=4). Other reasons were patients' choice (n=16, 20.5%) and disease progression (n=5, 6.4%). When analyzing subgroups of interest, patients with psychiatric conditions presented higher rates of drug discontinuation (16.8%) compared to the elderly (10.2%), and those with relevant comorbidities (11.8%).

#### Efficacy

#### **Motor fluctuations**

The number of overall patients with motor complications was significantly reduced in the first four months of treatment (n = 571, 96.9% at enrollment vs. n = 414, 76.2% at four months follow-up) and progressively reduced until the end of the study (n = 376, 71% at 12 months follow-up). Among motor fluctuations, the most significative reduction throughout the entire course of the study was observed in unpredictable OFF (-54%), followed by early morning OFF (-28%), wearing OFF (-28%), LIDs (-27%), and delayed ON (-13%) (Fig. 1).

#### **UPDRS** scores

Mean UPDRS total and subscales II (activities of daily living), III (motor examination), and IV (motor complications) scores were all improved by safinamide at one-year follow-up (Fig. 2). According to the criteria by Shulman

Categories	Active	Patients aged $< 75$ yrs ( $N = 452$ )	Patients aged > 75 yrs $(N=137)$	FAS ( <i>N</i> =589)
At least one	Any	81 (17.9%)	17 (12.4%)	98 (16.6%)
COMT inhibitors	Any	5 (1.1%)	0.0	5 (0.8%)
	Carbidopa—Entacapone— Levodopa	5 (1.1%)	0.0	5 (0.8%)
DA	Any	1 (0.2%)	0.0	1 (0.2%)
	Pramipexole dihydrochlorid	le1 (0.2%)	0.0	1 (0.2%)
Levodopa	Any	11 (2.4%)	1 (0.7%)	12 (2.0%)
	Benserazide hydrochlo- ride—Levodopa	3 (0.7%)	1 (0.7%)	4 (0.7%)
	Carbidopa—Levodopa	2 (0.4%)	0.0	2 (0.3%)
	Carbidopa—Melevodopa	2 (0.4%)	0.0	2 (0.3%)
	Carbidopa—Entacapone— Levodopa	5 (1.1%)	0.0	5 (0.8%)
MAO inhibitors	Any	73 (16.2%)	16 (11.7%)	89 (15.1%)
	Rasagiline	3 (0.7%)	1 (0.7%)	4 (0.7%)
	Rasagiline mesylate	46 (10.2%)	12 (8.8%)	58 (9.8%)
	Rasagiline tartrate	1 (0.2%)	0.0	1 (0.2%)
	Selegiline hydrochloride	23 (5.1%)	3 (2.2%)	26 (4.4%)

 Table 2
 Discontinued PD treatments by patient age. Percentages are computed out of the total number of Italy evaluable patients for the FAS by patient age

A patient could have more than one previous and terminated PD treatments. Carbidopa-Entacapone-Levodopa is shown both as Levodopa and as COMT inhibitors. *COMT* Catechol-O-methyltransferase; *DA* Dopamine agonists; *MAO* monoamine oxidase; *FAS* Full analysis Set

et al., [14] of the 363 evaluable patients at 12 months follow-up, n = 137 patients (39%) had a clinically significant improvement in the UPDRS Total score and n = 156 (44%) in the UPDRS III scores (Table 3). UPDRS part IV scores improved at 12 months follow-up (4.7 vs. 3.4). When

analyzing the three subgroups of patients target of the study SYNAPSES, the proportion of patients with clinically significant improvement in the UPDRS Total score was higher in the elderly than the overall population (43% in patients aged > 75 years vs. 38% in patients aged < 75 years). In



Fig. 1 Fluctuations during observation in the overall population (baseline, 4, 8, 12 months). *WO*, wearing-OFF; *m*, month; *start*, baseline





contrast, no differences emerged between patients with or without relevant comorbidities or psychiatric conditions. Likewise, the proportion of patients with clinically significant improvement in the UPDRS motor scores was higher in those aged > 75 years (47%) vs those aged < 75 years (43%). Again, no differences emerged between those patients with or without relevant comorbidities, including psychiatric ones. Sub-analyzing subscale II (activities of daily living), an effect of safinamide treatment on tremor and freezing of gait (FOG) emerged, since the first four months of treatment (sub-score 2.10, 35.8% of patients without tremor at enrollment vs. 42.4% at 4 months follow up and 47.3% at 12 months follow up; sub-score 2.13, 11.7% of patients with moderate-to-severe FOG at enrollment vs. 5.1% at 4 months follow up).

UPDRS subscale I (non-motor experiences of daily living) scores were unaffected by safinamide treatment. However, when analyzing sub-score 1.3 (depressed mood), the percentage of patients without depressive symptoms increased after one year of safinamide treatment (39.7% at enrollment vs. 46.5% at 12-month follow-up). Treatment with safinamide reduced the occurrence of sleep disturbances (sub-score 1.7, 47% of patients with sleep problems at enrollment vs 35.7% after 12 months of treatment) and pain as well (sub-score 1.9, 4.8% of patients with frequent pain sensations at enrollment vs 2.3% after 12 months of treatment).

#### Safety and tolerability

Adverse events (AE) were experienced by 27.2% of patients (n = 160), and adverse drug reactions (ADR) by 17.1% of them (n = 101). However, only 5.4% of patients (n = 32) had serious adverse events (SAE), and only 1.2% (n = 7) had serious adverse drug reactions (SADR) (Supplemental Fig. 1).

These percentages are lower compared to those observed at a global level in the SYNAPSES study (45.7%) [13] and to those observed in pivotal trials (67%, 67.9%, and 69.5% respectively) [15–17]. Most AE and ADR occurred in patients treated with 50 mg/day (n=328) than those treated with 100 mg/day (n=261). Overall, AEs were mostly mild (70.2%) or moderate (22.2%): 2,8% of them were considered "definitely" related to safinamide. In 60.1% of cases, no specific action was taken; in 35.4%, treatment was permanently or temporarily interrupted; in 4% of them, the dosage of safinamide was reduced from 100 mg/day to 50 mg/day. Of all AE, 60.5% was resolved with or without sequelae, while 11.3% was resolving at the study completion date.

Table 3Change in UPDRSTotal and Motor score after12 months of treatment withsafinamide

	12-months FUP vs baseline $(N=363)$		
UPDRS: Change in total scores (subscales I, II, and III)	< = -4.3 points	137 (37.7%)	
	>-4.3 points*	210 (57.9%)	
	NA	16 (4.4%)	
UPDRS Part III: Change in motor examination score	< = -2.5 points	156 (43.0%)	
	> -2.5 points*	197 (54.3%)	
	NA	10 (2.8%)	

*FUP* Follow-up; *NA* Not available; \*An increase in UPDRS Total score >4.3 points and in UPDRS Part III > 2.5 points was considered as clinically significant according to Shulman et al. [14]. *UPDRS, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale* 

Dyskinesia was the most frequent AE event among nervous system disorders, experienced by 8.5% of the participants (53 events in 50 patients), even if in lower percentages compared to the SYNASPES study (13.7\%) [13] and pivotal trials (rates of dyskinesia ranging from 11.9\% to 18\%) [15–17].

Other AE were psychiatric complications (15.7%, mostly psychomotor agitation), gastrointestinal disturbances (8.1%, mostly nausea), injury (6.9%, mostly femoral fracture), muscle-skeletal disorders (6%, mostly muscular rigidity), and general disorders (6%, mostly edema, and pain).

SAE accounted for 14.9% of all AE (n=37). The main SAE were injuries, including bone fractures (n=8, 3.2%); infections (n=7, 2.8%), and central nervous system disorders, including epilepsy and stroke (n=4, 1.6%). In four cases (1.6%), they caused the participant's death; however, none of them was directly correlated to safinamide treatment. In 28 cases (11.3%), SAE caused a prolongation or a new hospitalization, and in five cases (2%) they caused another major medical event. No significative differences emerged in the three groups of patients of the SYNAPSES study (patients aged > 75 years, those with relevant comorbidities, and those with psychiatric conditions) (Table 4). Patients with psychiatric conditions had higher rates of AEs (30%) than patients without psychiatric comorbidities (about 25%); however, this difference did not reach statistical significance. Supplemental Fig. 2 shows the main differences in safety outcomes between groups.

# Discussion

In this study, we conducted a sub-analysis of the SYNAPSES trial in a representative Italian population of PD patients. Our findings confirmed the overall safety, tolerability, and efficacy of safinamide in real-life settings across the Italian country, even in frailer groups of patients, such as those aged > 75 years, patients with relevant comorbidities, and those with psychiatric conditions. Most importantly, safinamide was well tolerated, with no major or unexpected safety concerns. The overall rates of AEs in the Italian population were almost 20% lower than those found in the global SYNAPSES study and about 40% lower than pivotal studies [13, 15, 16]. This is noteworthy because pivotal trials enrolled patients generally not affected by comorbidities and usually more selected than subjects included in real-world studies. In this sub-analysis, AEs were predominantly mild to moderate in intensity, and the most serious ones were not related to the investigational drug. As in the European population, the main AE observed in the Italian cohort was dyskinesia (8.5%), which, in trend with other AEs, occurred at lower rates compared to the European population (13.7%) [13] and pivotal trials (18.3%) and 14.6%, respectively) [15, 16]. Dyskinesia was mostly reported since the beginning of the study and was not further aggravated by the safinamide treatment. However, dyskinesia in safinamide studies present usually a two sides coin in the clinician's hand: it can emerge and be considered an AE, but it can be reduced due to the beneficial effect of the drug on motor symptoms. Accordingly, safinamide reduced the occurrence of dyskinesia in 27% of patients. The antidyskinetic effect of safinamide was already described, and comes from preclinical [18] and clinical studies, as demonstrated in post-hoc dedicated analyses of the 016/018 trial [19, 20]. The antidyskinetic activity of safinamide may be related to its dual mechanism of action. On the one hand, its dopaminergic activity might allow better motor control with no need to change or increase the concomitant dopaminergic therapy. Indeed, in a recent publication, Cilia et al. estimated that safinamide 50 corresponds to 100 mg of levodopa whereas safinamide 100 mg to 125 mg of levodopa,

Table 4 Impact on adverse events occurrence of demographic and clinical factors

		Patients analysed (N=589)	Patients with AE $(N=160)$	Patients without AE $(N=429)$	Test	p value
Patients age	Patients aged < = 75 yrs	452 (76.7%) °	124 (27.4%) °°	328 (72.6%) °°	Chi-square test	0.7898
	Patients aged > 75 yrs	137 (23.3%)	36 (26.3%)	101 (73.7%)		
Relevant comorbidities	Relevant comorbidities	399 (67.7%)	108 (27.1%)	291 (72.9%)	Chi-square test	0.9389
	No relevant comorbidities	190 (32.3%)	52 (27.4%)	138 (72.6%)		
Psychiatric conditions	Psychiatric conditions	250 (42.4%)	75 (30%)	175 (70%)	Chi-square test	0.1840
	No psychiatric conditions	339 (57.6%)	85 (25.1%)	254 (74.9%)		
Ongoing treatment at safinamide start	Levodopa	176 (30.0%)	43 (24.4%)	133 (75.6%)	Fisher exact test	0.7030
	Levodopa+1 treatment	286 (48.8%)	80 (28%)	206 (72%)		
	Levodopa + > = 2 treatments	124 (21.2%)	34 (27.4%)	90 (72.6%)		
	UNK	3	3	0		

 $^{\circ}$  Percentages computed over the number of Italian evaluable patients with non-missing values (*FAS*, Full Analysis Set);  $^{\circ\circ}$  Percentages computed over the number of patients of each subgroup of interest. *UNK* refers to three individuals who were receiving only dopamine agonists at the time of safinamide administration. *AE*, adverse events

demonstrating that high doses of safinamide allow lower doses of levodopa-based medications and overall simplification of the therapeutic scheme [21], potentially reducing the risk of some dopaminergic adverse effects, and improving patient adherence to treatment. On the other hand, the glutamatergic action of safinamide 100 mg might reduce the excitatory overdrive of the direct pathway and the abnormal cortical facilitation both implied in levodopa-induced-dyskinesias pathophysiology. The alteration in motor circuits in PD can be normalized with safinamide 100 mg/day, and this effect persists after long-term treatment suggesting a modulation of synaptic plasticity [22].

The good safety profile of safinamide was further supported by the absence of serotoninergic syndromes despite about one-quarter of patients were contextually receiving antidepressant medications. Unlike other MAO-B inhibitors selegiline and rasagiline, the inhibition of safinamide is reversible, and highly selective, thus minimizing the risk of hypertensive crises or serotonergic syndrome [23]. Furthermore, safinamide is not metabolized by cytochrome P-450 and this avoids major pharmokinetic interactions with other drugs, making safinamide a safer option for polytherapy-treated PD patients.

Considering non-motor symptoms associated with dopaminergic and glutamatergic networks, safinamide introduction did not aggravate impulse control disorders or sleep disturbances, both influenced by dopamine and glutamate levels [24, 25] and potentially worsened by specific dopaminergic agents [26, 27]. This result concords with previous literature suggesting a beneficial effect of safinamide on sleep in PD patients [11, 12]. Considering the impact of sleep disturbances on wellbeing of PD patients, the improvement in non-motor symptoms demonstrated by safinamide was also associated with the increased patients' quality of life [11, 28]. This sub-analysis also confirmed a positive effect of safinamide on depression, fatigue, and urinary symptoms.

Finally, no significative differences in AEs were found in patients over 75 years, with comorbidities and psychiatric conditions, confirming that safinamide does not require special safety precautions in these groups of subjects.

We have also found several beneficial effects of safinamide in all the groups of patients. Motor fluctuations affected virtually the entire cohort of patients in this post-hoc analysis, considering the indication of the drug. The pharmacological approach to motor fluctuations is a significant challenge as it carries the risk of inducing or exacerbating dyskinesias [15]. In pivotal studies, safinamide has been shown to significantly reduce OFF time up to > 1 h per day compared to placebo and increase ON time without troublesome dyskinesias [15, 29]. A growing body of evidence supports the efficacy of switching from classical MAO-B inhibitors to high-dose safinamide in the treatment of residual wearing-OFF [30–32]. Our sub-analysis confirmed the beneficial effect of safinamide on motor fluctuations, mostly unpredictable OFF (-54% of patients affected) and morning OFF phenomena (-47%). The beneficial effect was evident since the first three months of treatment and was sustained throughout time [13].

Motor impairment globally improved under safinamide treatment, since about 44% of patients presented a clinically significant improvement in UPDRS motor scores after one year of safinamide treatment. These results align with the findings of the global SYNAPSES trial, where 45% of the entire cohort reached the same improvement.

When analyzing the UPDRS part II sub-scores, we found an effect of safinamide on tremor and freezing of gait, both characterized by a variable response to levodopa therapy [33]. Data from preclinical [33] and post-hoc registration studies [34, 35] support a possible tremorlytic effect of safinamide that might be driven by the heterogeneous action of the drug. However, further dedicated studies are needed.

Along with motor symptoms, non-motor symptoms represent a major burden for PD patients, especially in fluctuating ones [3]. Compelling evidence supports a beneficial effect of safinamide on non-motor symptoms, such as mood [36, 37], cognition [38, 39], sleep [11, 12], and pain [40], which might be driven the multimodal action of the drug on the dopaminergic and glutamatergic systems.

Among non-motor symptoms, neuropsychiatric manifestations account for the greatest reduction in quality of life [41]. In this study, 28% of patients had some depressive symptoms, according to the investigator's judgment; of them, about 7% no longer reported mood deflection after one year of safinamide treatment. Sleep disturbances also represent a common and disabling non-motor symptoms in PD [11], affecting almost 50% of patients in our cohort at enrollment. One-year treatment with safinamide reduced the rate of patients suffering with sleep disturbances of about 15%. Finally, in this post-hoc analysis, safinamide treatment halved the quote of PD patients suffering with pain, one of the most underestimated and inadequately treated NMS.

The beneficial effect of safinamide on PD was further supported by a clinically significant reduction in total UPDRS scores in about 40% of patients after one year of safinamide treatment. As for the motor scores, the most profound effect was seen between months four and eight of the follow-up, and long lasted until the end of the study. Interestingly, patients aged > 75 years had greater rates of clinically significant improvement in both total and motor UPDRS scores. In contrast, comorbidities and psychiatric conditions did not influence the clinical response to the drug. These data suggest that safinamide could be not only safe, but remarkably effective in the elderly PD population.

Limitations of this study include the open-label design, which lacked a placebo or active control group, as well as the post-hoc analyses.

Despite its limitations, this sub-analysis of the SYNAPSES study confirms the efficacy and safety of

safinamide use in the Italian PD population. The safety profile of safinamide was confirmed in frailer groups of patients, namely those aged > 75 years and patients with relevant comorbidities, including psychiatric ones. Finally, this post-hoc analysis provided crucial information about several motor and non-motor features that did not emerge from the overall trial. These findings suggest that safina-mide could be a safe and effective treatment option for a broader range of Parkinson's disease patients, including those with age-related and psychiatric comorbidities. Further research is needed to confirm these results and examine the potential benefits of safinamide for treating specific motor and nonmotor symptoms.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-023-07001-6.

Acknowledgements SYNAPSES STUDY GROUP ITALIAN INVESTIGATORS

Abbruzzese G, Aguggia M, Avarello T, Barone P, Bentivoglio AR, Bosco D, Calabresi P, Callegarini C, Canesi M, Cannas A, Centonze D, Ceravolo R, Colosimo C, Comi C, Contardi S, Cortelli P, Cossu G, D'Amelio M, De Pandis MF, Di Lazzaro V, Eleopra R, Fabbrini G, Gasparoli E, Guidi M, Iliceto G, Lopiano L, Manganotti P, Marconi R, Marini C, Mauri M, Moleri M, Monge A, Morgante F, Negrotti A, Nordera G, Onofrj M, Pacchetti C, Padovani A, Pontieri FE, Priori A, Provinciali L, Quatrale R, Sensi M, Spagnolo F, Stefani A, Tamma F, Tessitore A, Tinazzi M, Vitale C, Volontè MA, Zambito Marsala S, Zappia M.

**Authors contributions** M. Zappia was the Italian coordinator of the study and contributed equally to the study design and data interpretation. C. Liguori and R. Bovenzi prepared the first version of the manuscript. C. Liguori and A. Stefani contributed to the data interpretation. The remaining Authors were study investigators.

All authors approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata within the CRUI-CARE Agreement. The study was funded by Zambon S.p.A.

**Data availability** Data is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# Declarations

**Ethical approval** The study was conducted in agreement with principles of Helsinki declarations and local ethical standards.

**Informed consent** All participants provided informed consent prior to their participation.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in

the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Lang AE, Kalia LV (2015) Parkinson's disease. Lancet. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2015.249
- Fox SH (2013) Non-dopaminergic treatments for motor control in Parkinson's disease. Drugs 73. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40265-013-0105-4
- Fernandes M, Pierantozzi M, Stefani A, Cattaneo C, Bonizzoni EA, Cerroni R, Mercuri NB, Liguori C (2021) Frequency of nonmotor symptoms in Parkinson's patients with motor fluctuations. Front Neurol 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.678373
- Antonini A, Moro E, Godeiro C, Reichmann H (2018) Medical and surgical management of advanced Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 33. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27340
- Stocchi F, Antonini A, Barone P, Tinazzi M, Zappia M, Onofrj M, Ruggieri S, Morgante L, Bonuccelli U, Lopiano L, Pramstaller P, Albanese A, Attar M, Posocco V, Colombo D, Abbruzzese G, DEEP study group (2014) Early detection of wearing off in Parkinson disease: the DEEP study. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2013.10.027
- Zenuni H, Candelise N, Grillo P, Simonetta C, Bovenzi R, Ferri A, Valle C, Mercuri NB, Schirinzi T (2022) Differences in CSF biomarkers profile of patients with Parkinson's disease treated with MAO-B inhibitors in add-on. J Integr Neurosci 21. https:// doi.org/10.31083/j.jin2106165
- Caccia C, Maj R, Calabresi M, Maestroni S, Faravelli L, Curatolo L, Salvati P, Fariello RG (2006) Safinamide: from molecular targets to a new anti-Parkinson drug. Neurology 67. https://doi.org/ 10.1212/wnl.67.7\_suppl\_2.s18
- Fox SH, Katzenschlager R, Lim SY, Barton B, de Bie RMA, Seppi K, Coelho M, Sampaio C (2018) International Parkinson and movement disorder society evidence-based medicine review: update on treatments for the motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 33. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27372
- Olanow W, Stocchi F (2016) Safinamide a new therapeutic option to address motor symptoms and motor complications in mid- to late-stage Parkinson's disease. Eur Neurol Rev 11(Suppl. 2):2–15
- Morari M, Brugnoli A, Pisanò CA, Novello S, Caccia C, Melloni E, Padoani G, Vailati S, Sardina M (2018) Safinamide differentially modulates in vivo glutamate and GABA release in the rat hippocampus and basal ganglia. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 364. https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.117.245100
- Liguori C, Stefani A, Ruffini R, Mercuri NB, Pierantozzi M (2018) Safinamide effect on sleep disturbances and daytime sleepiness in motor fluctuating Parkinson's disease patients: a validated questionnaires-controlled study. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 57. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2018.06.033
- De Masi C, Liguori C, Spanetta M, Fernandes M, Cerroni R, Garasto E, Pierantozzi M, Mercuri NB, Stefani A (2022) Non-motor symptoms burden in motor-fluctuating patients with Parkinson's disease may be alleviated by safinamide: the VALE-SAFI study. J Neural Transm 129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-022-02538-w
- 13. Abbruzzese G, Kulisevsky J, Bergmans B, Gomez-Esteban JC, Kägi G, Raw J, Stefani A, Warnecke T, Jost WH, Bergmans B, Bourgeois P, Cras P, de Klippel N, Dethy S, Franco G, Garraux G, Geens K, Jacquerye P, Jeanjean A, Santens P, Supiot F, van der Linden C, Blersch WK, Delf M, Hellwig B, Herbst HP, Kupsch A, Jost WH, Lang M, Muhlack S, Nastos I, Oehlwein

C, Schlegel E, Schwarz J, Warnecke T, Woitalla D, Abbruzzese G, Aguggia M, Avarello T, Barone P, Baruffaldi R, Belgrado E, Bentivoglio AR, Bosco D, Calabresi P, Callegarini C, Cannas A, Centonze D, Ceravolo R, Colosimo C, Comi C, Contardi S, Cortelli P, Cossu G, D'Amelio M, de Pandis MF, Denaro A, Di Lazzaro V, Fabbrini G, Gasparoli E, Guidi M, Iliceto G, Lopiano L, Manganotti P, Marconi R, Marini C, Marsala SZ, Mauri M, Moleri M, Monge A, Morgante F, Negrotti A, Nordera G, Onofrj M, Pacchetti C, Padovani A, Pontieri FE, Priori A, Quatrale R, Sensi M, Stefani A, Tamma F, Tessitore A, Tinazzi M, Vitale C, Volontè MA, Zappia M, Zecchinelli AL, Arbelo Gonzalez JM, Bayés A, Blazquez M, Calopa Garriga M, Callen A, Campos Arillo V, Cubo E, de Fábregues O, Escalante Arroyo S, Espinosa Rosso R, Esquivel López A, Freire E, García Cobos E, García Moreno JM, Gomez-Esteban JC, González-Ardura J, Grandas Perez F, Kulisevsky J, Kurtis M, Juni J, Legarda I, Leiva C, López Aristegui N, López Manzanares L, Lozano JJ, Luquín MR, Martinez Castrillo JC, Martí Domenech MJ, Martínez I, Mata M, Mir Rivera P, Pascual Sedano B, Rodríguez Oroz MC, Rodríguez Uranga JJ, Sanchez S, Santos García D, Solano B, Vaamonde Gamo J, Accolla E, Bohlhalter S, Kälin A, Kägi G, Michelis J, Carrol C, Henderson E, Raha S, Raw J, Silva N, Silverdale M (2021) A European observational study to evaluate the safety and the effectiveness of safinamide in routine clinical practice: the SynapSES trial. J Parkinsons Dis 11. https://doi.org/10.3233/JPD-202224

- Shulman LM, Gruber-Baldini AL, Anderson KE, Fishman PS, Reich SG, Weiner WJ (2010) The clinically important difference on the unified parkinson's disease rating scale. Arch Neurol 67. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2009.295
- Schapira AHV, Fox SH, Hauser RA, Jankovic J, Jost WH, Kenney C, Kulisevsky J, Pahwa R, Poewe W, Anand R (2017) Assessment of safety and efficacy of safinamide as a levodopa adjunct in patients with Parkinson disease and motor fluctuations a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Neurol 74. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamaneurol.2016.4467
- 16. Borgohain R, Szasz J, Stanzione P, Meshram C, Bhatt MH, Chirilineau D, Stocchi F, Lucini V, Giuliani R, Forrest E, Rice P, Anand R, Illiyas Sahadulla M, Kardan U, Keshava BS, Kishore A, Kothari SS, Krishna Murthy JM, Kumar S, Kumar Pal P, Mehta N, Prabhakar S, Prabhakar SK, Pradhan S, Roy AK, Sankhla C, Sethi PK, Shah AB, Shankar N, Shukla R, Sowani A, Srinivasa R, Varma M, Vasudevan D, Vavilikolanu Sreenivas P, Velmurugendran CU, Vijayan K, Bajenaru O, Bulboaca A, Campeanu A, Chirileanu D, Muresanu D, Panea C, Popescu C, Simu M, Szasz J, Ticmeanu M, Avarello T, Bonuccelli U, Eleopra R, Onofrj M, Quatrale R, Stanzione P, Stocchi F (2014) Two-year, randomized, controlled study of safinamide as add-on to levodopa in mid to late Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 29. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds. 25961
- 17. Wei Q, Tan Y, Xu P, Tao E, Lu Z, Pan X, Wang B, Liu C, Dong X, Tian Y, Sun X, Cattaneo C, Chen S, Shang H, Chen S, Shang H, Wu Y, Geng D, Hu X, Luo Y, Zhou J, Huang W, Chen G, Tuo H, Zhang M, Liu J, Jiao L, Jin L, Feng T, Liu Y, Zhang B, Ye Q, Xu Y, Liu J, Gu S, Xu YM, Liu D (2022) The XINDI study: a randomized phase III clinical trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of safinamide as add-on therapy to Levodopa in Chinese patients with Parkinson's disease with motor fluctuations. CNS Drugs 36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-022-00958-6
- Grégoire L, Jourdain VA, Townsend M, Roach A, Di Paolo T (2013) Safinamide reduces dyskinesias and prolongs l-DOPA antiparkinsonian effect in parkinsonian monkeys. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2013.01.009
- 19. Cattaneo C, La Ferla R, Bonizzoni E, Sardina M (2015) Longterm effects of safinamide on dyskinesia in mid- to late-stage

Parkinson's disease: a post-hoc analysis. J Parkinsons Dis 5. https://doi.org/10.3233/JPD-150569

- Hattori N, Kamei T, Ishida T, Suzuki I, Nomoto M, Tsuboi Y (2022) Long-term effects of safinamide adjunct therapy on levodopa-induced dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease: post-hoc analysis of a Japanese phase III study. J Neural Transm 129. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00702-022-02532-2
- 21. Cilia R, Cereda E, Piatti M, Pilotto A, Magistrelli L, Golfrè Andreasi N, Bonvegna S, Contaldi E, Mancini F, Imbalzano G, De Micco R, Colucci F, Braccia A, Bellini G, Brovelli F, Zangaglia R, Lazzeri G, Russillo MC, Olivola E, Sorbera C, Cereda V, Pinto P, Sucapane P, Gelosa G, Meloni M, Pistoia F, Sessa M, Canesi M, Modugno N, Pacchetti C, Brighina L, Pellecchia MT, Ceravolo R, Sensi M, Zibetti M, Comi C, Padovani A, Zecchinelli AL, Di Fonzo A, Tessitore A, Morgante F, Eleopra R (2023) Levodopa equivalent dose of safinamide: a multicenter, longitudinal, case– control study. Mov Disord Clin Pract. https://doi.org/10.1002/ mdc3.13681
- Guerra A, Asci F, Zampogna A, D'Onofrio V, Suppa A, Fabbrini G, Berardelli A (2022) Long-term changes in short-interval intracortical facilitation modulate motor cortex plasticity and L-dopa-induced dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease. Brain Stimul 15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2021.11.016
- Pérez-Torre P, López-Sendón JL, Mañanes Barral V, Parees I, Fanjul-Arbós S, Monreal E, Alonso-Canovas A, Martínez Castrillo JC (2021) Concomitant treatment with safinamide and antidepressant drugs: safety data from real clinical practice. Neurologia. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.nrl.2021.08.004
- Pedersen NP, Ferrari L, Venner A, Wang JL, Abbott SBG, Vujovic N, Arrigoni E, Saper CB, Fuller PM (2017) Supramammillary glutamate neurons are a key node of the arousal system. Nat Commun 8 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01004-6
- Roussakis A, Lao-Kaim NP, Piccini P (2019) Brain imaging and impulse control disorders in Parkinson's disease. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 19:67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-019-0980-5
- Weintraub D, Koester J, Potenza MN, Siderowf AD, Stacy M, Voon V, Whetteckey J, Wunderlich GR, Lang AE (2010) Impulse control disorders in Parkinson disease: a cross-sectional study of 3090 patients. Arch Neurol 67. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2010.65
- Paus S, Brecht HM, Köster J, Seeger G, Klockgether T, Wüllner U (2003) Sleep attacks, daytime sleepiness, and dopamine agonists in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 18. https://doi.org/10.1002/ mds.10417
- Liguori C, De Franco V, Cerroni R, Spanetta M, Mercuri NB, Stefani A, Pierantozzi M, Di Pucchio A (2021) Sleep problems affect quality of life in Parkinson's disease along disease progression. Sleep Med 81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2021.02.036
- 29. Borgohain R, Szasz J, Stanzione P, Meshram C, Bhatt M, Chirilineau D, Stocchi F, Lucini V, Giuliani R, Forrest E, Rice P, Anand R, Illiyas Sahadulla M, Kardan U, Keshava BS, Kishore A, Kothari SS, Krishna Murthy JM, Kumar S, Kumar Pal P, Mehta N, Prabhakar S, Prabhakar SK, Pradhan S, Roy AK, Sankhla C, Sethi PK, Shah AB, Shankar N, Shukla R, Sowani A, Srinivasa R, Varma M, Vasudevan D, Vavilikolanu Sreenivas P, Velmurugendran CU, Vijayan K, Bajenaru O, Bulboaca A, Campeanu A, Chirileanu D, Muresanu D, Panea C, Popescu C, Simu M, Ticmeanu M, Avarello T, Bonuccelli U, Eleopra R, Onofrj M, Quatrale R (2014) Randomized trial of safinamide add-on to levodopa in Parkinson's disease with motor fluctuations. Mov Disord 29. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25751
- Bianchini E, Sforza M, Rinaldi D, Alborghetti M, De Carolis L, Della Gatta F, Pontieri FE (2021) Switch from rasagiline to safinamide in fluctuating Parkinson's disease patients: a retrospective, pilot study. Neurol Res 43. https://doi.org/10.1080/01616412. 2021.1942408

- Sanchez Alonso P, De La Casa-Fages B, Alonso-Cánovas A, Martínez-Castrillo JC (2023) Switching from Rasagiline to Safinamide as an add-on therapy regimen in patients with Levodopa: a literature review. Brain Sci 13. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13 020276
- 32. Stocchi F, Vacca L, Grassini P, Tomino C, Caminiti G, Casali M, D'Antoni V, Volterrani M, Torti M (2021) Overnight switch from rasagiline to safinamide in Parkinson's disease patients with motor fluctuations: a tolerability and safety study. Eur J Neurol 28. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14552
- Sethi K (2008) Levodopa unresponsive symptoms in Parkinson disease. Mov Disord 23. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22049
- Cattaneo C, Sardina M, Bonizzoni E (2016) Safinamide as add-on therapy to levodopa in mid- to late-stage Parkinson's disease fluctuating patients: post hoc analyses of studies 016 and SETTLE. J Parkinsons Dis 6. https://doi.org/10.3233/JPD-150700
- 35. Nomoto M, Ishida T, Koebis M, Kamei T, Suzuki I, Hattori N, Tsuboi Y (2022) Characteristics of wearing-off and motor symptoms improved by safinamide adjunct therapy in patients with Parkinson's disease: a post hoc analysis of a Japanese phase 2/3 study. J Neurol Sci 434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2021.120083
- Cattaneo C, Müller T, Bonizzoni E, Lazzeri G, Kottakis I, Keywood C (2017) Long-term effects of safinamide on mood fluctuations in Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis 7. https://doi.org/ 10.3233/JPD-171143
- 37. Peña E, Borrué C, Mata M, Martínez-Castrillo JC, Alonso-Canovas A, Chico JL, López-Manzanares L, Llanero M,

Herreros-Rodríguez J, Esquivel A, Maycas-Cepeda T, Ruíz-Huete C (2021) Impact of Safinamide on depressive symptoms in Parkinson's disease patients (SADness-PD Study): a multicenter retrospective study. Brain Sci 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11 020232

- Rinaldi D, Sforza M, Assogna F, Savini C, Salvetti M, Caltagirone C, Spalletta G, Pontieri FE (2021) Safinamide improves executive functions in fluctuating Parkinson's disease patients: an exploratory study. J Neural Transm 128. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-020-02259-y
- Rinaldi D, Alborghetti M, Bianchini E, Sforza M, Galli S, Pontieri FE (2022) Monoamine-oxidase type B- inhibitors and cognitive functions in Parkinson's disease: beyond the primary mechanism of action. Curr Neuropharmacol 20. https://doi.org/10.2174/15701 59x20666220905102144
- Cattaneo C, Barone P, Bonizzoni E, Sardina M (2017) Effects of Safinamide on pain in fluctuating Parkinson's disease patients: a post-hoc analysis. J Parkinsons Dis 7. https://doi.org/10.3233/ JPD-160911
- De Micco R, Satolli S, Siciliano M, De Mase A, Giordano A, Tedeschi G, Tessitore A (2022) Effects of safinamide on nonmotor, cognitive, and behavioral symptoms in fluctuating Parkinson's disease patients: a prospective longitudinal study. Neurol Sci 43. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-021-05324-w

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.