

Scientific and Technical Report No. 27

Wetland Technology

*Practical Information on the Design
and Application of Treatment Wetlands*

Edited by Günter Langergraber, Gabriela Dotro,
Jaime Nivala, Anacleto Rizzo and Otto R. Stein



Wetland Technology

*Practical Information on the Design and Application of
Treatment Wetlands*

Scientific and Technical Report Series No. 27

Wetland Technology

*Practical Information on the Design and Application of
Treatment Wetlands*

Edited by

Günter Langergraber, Gabriela Dotro, Jaime Nivala,
Anacleto Rizzo and Otto R. Stein



Published by

IWA Publishing
Alliance House
12 Caxton Street
London SW1H 0QS, UK
Telephone: +44 (0)20 7654 5500
Fax: +44 (0)20 7654 5555
Email: publications@iwap.co.uk
Web: www.iwapublishing.com

First published 2019
© 2019 IWA Publishing

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act (1998), no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publisher, or, in the case of photographic reproduction, in accordance with the terms of licenses issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK, or in accordance with the terms of licenses issued by the appropriate reproduction rights organization outside the UK. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the terms stated here should be sent to IWA Publishing at the address printed above.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for errors or omissions that may be made.

Disclaimer

The information provided and the opinions given in this publication are not necessarily those of IWA and should not be acted upon without independent consideration and professional advice. IWA and the Editors and Authors will not accept responsibility for any loss or damage suffered by any person acting or refraining from acting upon any material contained in this publication.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 9781789060164 (Paperback)

ISBN: 9781789060171 (eBook)

ISBN: 9781789060188 (ePub)

This eBook was made Open Access in January 2020.

© 2020 The Editors

This is an Open Access eBook distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits copying and redistribution for non-commercial purposes with no derivatives, provided the original work is properly cited (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). This does not affect the rights licensed or assigned from any third party in this book.



Contents

List of Abbreviations	xi
Members of the IWA Task Group on Mainstreaming Wetland Technology	xiii
Editors and Authors	xv
Preface	xix
Chapter 1	
<i>Introduction</i>	1
<i>Günter Langergraber, Gabriela Dotro, Jaime Nivala and Otto R. Stein</i>	
1.1 Rationale	1
1.2 Who Should Read this STR?	2
1.3 Structure of this STR	2
1.4 How to Use this STR	2
Chapter 2	
<i>Why use treatment wetlands?</i>	5
<i>Martin Regelsberger, Fabio Masi and Günter Langergraber</i>	
2.1 New Approach to Water Management	5
2.2 Role of Wetlands in the New Approach	7
2.3 The New Design Approach for Wetlands	9

Chapter 3***Design approach for treatment wetlands****Günter Langergraber, Martin Regelsberger, Fasil Ejigu Eregno*

<i>and Arve Heistad</i>	11
3.1 Define the Treatment Objectives	11
3.2 Which Processes Do We Need to Reach the Design Objectives?	12
3.3 Which TW Type can be Used to Reach the Specified Objectives?	12
3.4 Other Important Design Aspects	12

Chapter 4***Designing wetlands for specific applications*** **17**

4.1 Introduction	17
4.2 Treatment Wetlands in Developing Regions	18
4.2.1 Introduction	18
4.2.2 Specific considerations during planning, design, construction and operation	18
4.2.3 Specific considerations for applications in developing regions	21
4.3 Stormwater Treatment	23
4.3.1 Introduction	23
4.3.2 Design objectives	24
4.3.3 Processes required and TW type to be used	25
4.3.4 Specific considerations during design and for construction	26
4.4 Treatment of Combined Sewer Overflows	29
4.4.1 Introduction	29
4.4.2 Design objectives	29
4.4.3 Processes required and TW type to be used	30
4.4.4 Specific considerations during design and construction	30
4.5 Agricultural Drainage Water	32
4.5.1 Design objectives	32
4.5.2 Processes required and type to be used	32
4.5.3 Specific considerations during design and for construction	34
4.6 Sludge Treatment Wetlands	35
4.6.1 Design objectives	35
4.6.2 Processes required and TW type to be used	35
4.6.3 Specific considerations during design and for construction	37
4.7 Biomass Production	38
4.7.1 Perspectives for energy production from TW biomass	38
4.7.2 Sources and production of bioenergy within or post TW	38
4.7.3 Design objectives	40
4.7.4 Specific considerations during design, for construction and operation	41
4.8 Treatment for Pathogen Removal	42
4.8.1 Introduction	42
4.8.2 Processes required and TW type to be used	42

4.9	Treatment of Micropollutants	44
4.9.1	Introduction	44
4.9.2	The removal of micropollutants from water in treatment wetlands	44
4.9.3	Mechanisms involved in the removal of micropollutants in treatment wetlands	46
4.9.4	The resilience of treatment wetlands to the effects of micropollutants	47
4.9.5	Summary	47
4.10	Landfill Leachate Treatment	48
4.10.1	Introduction	48
4.10.2	Design objectives	48
4.10.3	Processes required and TW type to be used	49
4.10.4	Specific considerations during design and construction	50
4.11	Industrial Wastewater Treatment	54
4.11.1	General considerations	54
4.11.2	Mine drainage	56
4.11.3	Hydrocarbons removal	57
4.11.4	Citrus wastewater	59
4.11.5	Winery wastewater	60
4.11.6	Dairy Wastewater	61
4.12	Large-scale Wetlands	63
4.12.1	Introduction	63
4.12.2	Design objectives	63
4.12.3	Processes required and TW type to be used	64
4.12.4	Specific considerations during design and for construction	64
4.13	River Rehabilitation and Restoration	66
4.13.1	Design objectives	66
4.13.2	Processes required and TW type to be used	66
4.13.3	Specific considerations during design and for construction	68
4.14	Saline TWs	70
4.14.1	Definition	70
4.14.2	Design of saline treatment wetlands	70
4.14.3	Applications of saline treatment wetlands	71
4.15	Natural Swimming Pools	73
4.15.1	Introduction	73
4.15.2	Design objectives	73
4.15.3	Processes required and TW types to be used	73
4.15.4	Specific considerations during design and for construction	77
4.16	Indoor Wetlands for Greywater Treatment and Reuse	79
4.16.1	Introduction	79
4.16.2	Design consideration of indoor wetland systems	80
4.16.3	From horizontal to vertical: consideration on the use of indoor greenwalls for greywater treatment and reuse	81

Chapter 5***Practical information on design of specific wetland types and typical pitfalls*****83**

5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	VF Wetland	84
5.2.1	Overview of existing design guidelines	84
5.2.2	Main factors affecting treatment performance	84
5.2.3	Field tests for filter material	87
5.2.4	Specific design considerations	89
5.2.5	Considerations for the start-up phase	90
5.3	French VF Wetlands	91
5.3.1	Overview of existing design guidelines	91
5.3.2	Hydraulic considerations	92
5.3.3	Specific design considerations	92
5.3.4	Considerations for the start-up phase	93
5.3.5	Routine maintenance	94
5.4	HF Wetlands	95
5.4.1	Introduction	95
5.4.2	Design considerations	95
5.4.3	Potential design and operational issues	96
5.5	FWS Wetlands	97
5.5.1	Overview of existing design guidelines	97
5.5.2	Considerations for the start-up phase	97
5.5.3	Considerations for the construction	98
5.5.4	Design and dimensioning	98
5.5.5	Main factors affecting treatment performance	100
5.6	Sludge Treatment Wetlands	101
5.6.1	Overview of existing design guidelines	101
5.6.2	Considerations for the start-up phase	102
5.6.3	Pilot systems	102
5.6.4	Design and dimensioning	102
5.6.5	Climate	103
5.6.6	Main factors affecting treatment performance	103
5.7	Aerated Wetlands	105
5.7.1	Introduction	105
5.7.2	Design considerations	105
5.7.3	Potential design and operational issues	106
5.8	Fill-and-Drain Wetlands	108
5.8.1	Introduction	108
5.8.2	Design considerations	109
5.8.3	Potential design and operational issues	110
5.9	Floating Treatment Wetlands	112
5.9.1	Introduction	112
5.9.2	Overview of existing design guidelines	112
5.9.3	Main factors affecting treatment performance	113

5.9.4	Specific design considerations	114
5.9.5	Considerations for the start-up phase	114
5.10	Willow Systems	115
5.10.1	Introduction to willow systems and existing design guidelines	115
5.10.2	Main factors affecting dimensioning and performance	116
5.10.3	Operation and maintenance	116
5.10.4	Specific design considerations	116
5.10.5	Considerations for the start-up phase	117
5.11	Use of Reactive Media for Enhanced Phosphorus Removal	118
5.11.1	Introduction	118
5.11.2	Overview of existing design guidelines	118
5.11.3	Design considerations	118
5.11.4	Potential operational issues	120
5.12	Multi-Stage Wetlands	122
5.12.1	Overview	122
5.12.2	Nutrient removal	122
5.12.3	Enhanced disinfection with nature-based solutions and wastewater reuse	124
5.12.4	Exploitation of different ecosystem services	124

Chapter 6

Case studies

6.1	Reporting Treatment Wetland Data	127
6.2	Case Study 1 – CSO Treatment Wetland (Germany)	129
6.3	Case Study 2 – FWS Wetland for Treatment of Agricultural Drainage Water (Italy)	130
6.4	Case Study 3 – Landfill Leachate Treatment Wetland System (Australia)	132
6.5	Case Study 4 – NIMR Water Treatment Plant (Oman)	134
6.6	Case Study 5 – Cecchi Winery Wastewater Treatment Plant (Italy)	136
6.7	Case Study 6 – Dicomano Wastewater Treatment Plant (Italy)	138
6.8	Case Study 7 – Orhei Wastewater Treatment Plant (Moldova)	140
6.9	Case Study 8 – Multifunctional Water Reservoir in Ljubljana (Slovenia)	142
6.10	Case Study 9 – Green Filters Project (the Philippines)	145
6.11	Case Study 10 – Bahco Treatment Wetland for Effluent Final Polishing (Argentina)	147

References	149
-------------------	-----

Index	165
--------------	-----

Editors and Authors

Editors

Name	Affiliation
Langergraber, Günter	BOKU University Vienna, Austria
Dotro, Gabriela	Cranfield University, United Kingdom
Nivala, Jaime	Helmholtz Center for Environmental Research (UFZ), Germany
Rizzo, Anacleto	Iridra S.r.l., Florence, Italy
Stein, Otto	Montana State University, USA

Authors

Name	Affiliation	Section Numbers
Anconelli, Stefano	Consorzio di Bonifica Canale Emiliano Romagnolo, Bologna, Italy	6.3
Arias, Carlos	Aarhus University, Denmark	5.10, 5.11,
Austin, David	Jacobs, USA	5.8
Breuer, Roman	Bauer Nimr LLC, Muscat, Oman	6.5
Bruns, Stefan	Polyplan GmbH, Bremen, Germany	4.15
Bresciani, Riccardo	Iridra S.r.l., Florence, Italy	5.2, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8
Cirelli, Giuseppe Luigi	University of Catania, Italy	4.7, 5.4
Devanadera, Ma. Catriona E.	University of the Philippines Los Baños & Society for the Conservation of Philippine Wetlands, Inc., The Philippines	6.10
Di Luca, Gisela A.	Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL) & CONICET, Argentina	6.11

(Continued)

Name	Affiliation	Section Numbers
Dotro, Gabriela	Cranfield University, UK	1.1–1.4, 5.4, 5.11, 6.1
Eregno, Fasil Ejigu	The Arctic University of Norway (UiT), Faculty of Engineering Science and Technology, Narvik, Norway & Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), Ås, Norway	3.1–3.4, 4.8
Esser, Dirk	Société d'Ingénierie Nature & Technique (SINT), France	4.3, 4.10, 4.15, 5.3
Griessler Bulc, Tjaša	University of Ljubljana, Slovenia	4.7, 4.13, 5.10, 6.9
Hadad, Hernán R.	Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL) & CONICET, Argentina	6.11
Headley, Tom	Wetland & Ecological Treatment Systems, Australia	4.10, 5.5, 5.9
Heistad, Arve	Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Ås, Norway	3.1–3.4
Istenič, Darja	University of Ljubljana, Slovenia	4.7, 4.13, 5.10, 6.9
Jefferson, Bruce	Cranfield University, UK	5.11
Kõiv-Vainik, Margit	University of Tartu, Estonia	5.11
Krivograd Klemenčič, Aleksandra	University of Ljubljana, Slovenia	4.13, 6.9
Langergraber, Günter	BOKU University Vienna, Austria	1.1–1.4, 2.1–2.3, 3.1–3.4, 5.2, 6.1
Lavrić, Stevo	University of Bologna, Italy	4.5, 6.3
Lecciones, Aaron Julius M.	University of the Philippines Los Baños & Society for the Conservation of Philippine Wetlands, Inc., The Philippines	6.10
Lecciones, Amy M.	Society for the Conservation of Philippine Wetlands, Inc., The Philippines	6.10
Maine, María A.	Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL) & CONICET, Argentina	6.11
Marzo, Alessia	University of Catania, Italy	4.7, 4.11.4, 4.11.5, 5.4
Masi, Fabio	Iridra Srl, Florence, Italy	2.1–2.3, 4.11.6, 4.16, 5.2, 5.12, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8
Mæhlum, Trond	Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), Ås, Norway	4.8
Meney, Kathy	Syrinx Environmental, Australia	6.4
Milani, Mirco	University of Catania, Italy	4.7, 4.11.4, 4.11.5, 5.4
Molle, Pascal	IRSTEA, Villeurbanne, France	5.3, 5.11
Mufarrege, María M.	Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL) & CONICET, Argentina	6.11
Nielsen, Steen	Orbicon, Denmark	4.6, 5.6

(Continued)

Name	Affiliation	Section Numbers
Nivala, Jaime	Helmholtz Center for Environmental Research – UFZ, Germany	1.1–1.4, 4.8, 4.9, 6.1
Pályi, Tamás Gábor	University of Sopron, Hungary & IRSTEA, Villeurbanne, France	4.4
Pantelic, Ljiljana	Syrinx Environmental, Australia	6.4
Paruch, Adam M.	Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), Ås, Norway	4.8
Platzer, Christoph	Rotaria do Brasil, Brazil	4.2
Prigent, Stephane	Bauer Nimr LLC, Muscat, Oman	6.5
Prudente, Ma. Cheryl F.	Society for the Conservation of Philippine Wetlands, Inc., The Philippines	6.10
Pucher, Bernhard	BOKU University Vienna, Austria	5.2
Quintos, Jose Carlo H.	Society for the Conservation of Philippine Wetlands, Inc., The Philippines	6.10
Regelsberger, Martin	Technisches Büro Regelsberger, Gleisdorf, Austria	2.1–2.3, 3.1–3.4, 4.16
Rizzo, Anacleto	Iridra S.r.l., Florence, Italy	4.4, 4.11.6, 4.16, 5.12, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8
Rous, Vit	Czech University of Life Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic	4.11.2, 5.2
Sánchez, Gabriela C.	Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL) & CONICET, Argentina	6.11
Schwarzer, Claudia	Bio Piscinas Lda., Aljezur, Portugal	4.15
Schwarzer, Udo	Bio Piscinas Lda., Aljezur, Portugal	4.15
Solimando, Domenico	Consorzio di Bonifica Canale Emiliano Romagnolo, Bologna, Italy	6.3
Stefanakis, Alexandros	Bauer Nimr LLC, Muscat, Oman	4.6, 4.11.1, 4.11.3, 4.12, 5.2, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 6.5
Stein, Otto	Montana State University, USA	1.1–1.4, 6.1
Tondera, Katharina	IMT Atlantique, Nantes, France	4.3, 4.4, 5.9, 6.2
Toscano, Attilio	University of Bologna, Italy	4.5, 6.3
Troesch, Stéphane	Eco Bird, Chaponost, France	4.3
van Oirschot, Dion	Rietland bvba, Belgium	5.7
von Sperling, Marcos	Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil	4.2
Vymazal, Jan	Czech University of Life Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic	5.4
Wallace, Scott	Naturally Wallace Consulting, USA	5.7, 5.8
Weber, Kela P.	Royal Military College of Canada, Kingston, Canada	4.9
Yang, Lei	National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan	4.14

5.4 HF WETLANDS

*Alessia Marzo*¹, *Giuseppe Luigi Cirelli*¹, *Mirco Milani*¹, *Gabriela Dotro*² and *Jan Vymazal*³

¹*Department of Agricultural, Food and Environment (Di3A), University of Catania, Via Santa Sofia 100, Catania 95123, Italy*

²*Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, United Kingdom*

³*Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Praha 6, Czech Republic*

5.4.1 Introduction

Horizontal-flow wetlands have been used for a number of decades around the world. Current design, operation and maintenance guidelines have been summarised by Dotro *et al.* (2017). Many reviews exist in the literature on performance of these systems for treating municipal sewage, agricultural wastewater, industrial effluent, mine drainage, landfill leachate, polluted river and lake water, urban and highway runoff (Vymazal & Kröpfelová, 2008). The use of horizontal-flow wetlands has also been developed in various climate conditions such as cold climate (Wang *et al.* 2006) and tropical climate (Zhang *et al.* 2014). HF wetlands are most effective for removal of organic matter (measured as BOD and COD) and total suspended solids (TSS).

5.4.2 Design considerations

Most guidelines include recommendations on the main factors affecting the treatment performance of HF wetlands. This section summarises the rationale behind the recommendations made.

- *Filter material.* The selection of substrate is a key design parameter because it provides the area for biofilm attachment, rooting medium for the emergent plants, adequate hydraulic retention time and, if required, can react with specific pollutants such as phosphorus or metals. The hydraulic conductivity of the media is considered in current sizing criteria to balance the risk of clogging and contact time between the wastewater and the media (biofilm). Whilst media can be natural, industrial by-products or engineered products, typical material is gravel with sizes of 8–16 mm for the main layer and 50–200 mm for the inlet and outlet zones. Soil has proven to have too low a hydraulic conductivity for the loading rates typically applied and as such is no longer recommended. Whilst checking grading on delivery of media to site can be done with sieves, in reality this is not performed as the range of gravel sizing recommended is broad enough to be less critical if deviations occur, and easy to visually detect.
- *Distribution of wastewater.* Systems are typically loaded along the width of the bed, either with subsurface pipes (secondary treatment) or surface troughs (tertiary treatment). Cleaning access needs to be provided to either type of flow distribution structure as flow velocities from the upstream processes can vary daily and settling can occur within the pipes or troughs. Coarse stones are used to help flow distribution in depth.
- *Upstream treatment processes and loading rates.* The pollutant loads ($\text{g}/\text{m}^2 \text{d}$) are typically expressed in terms of plan area ($L \times W$), although for clogging considerations the cross-sectional area ($W \times D$) is a critical parameter. Rule of thumb sizing approaches assume typical influent quality and therefore loads applied. For example, areal loading rates of less than $10 \text{ g BOD m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$,

20 g COD m⁻² d⁻¹, and 10 g TSS m⁻² d⁻¹ have been shown to enable secondary HF wetlands to operate without surface water ponding for 15 years of operation (Vymazal, 2018). Kadlec and Wallace (2009) suggested key design parameter for HF wetlands a design limit for the cross-sectional loading rate 250 g BOD₅/m²/d. In tertiary systems, similar BOD and TSS areal loading rates have been employed in tertiary systems resulting in refurbishment intervals between 8 and 15 years. The main difference is the quantity of water that passes through the system as tertiary systems with hydraulic loading rates of 0.2–0.4 m/d, as opposed to 0.02–0.05 m/d in secondary systems (Knowles *et al.*, 2011). The capital and operational costs associated with sizing tertiary systems at these high hydraulic loading rates accept the fact that it will result in increased refurbishment intervals, as it is still a lower whole-life cost solution than building a significantly larger system that lasts longer between refurbishments (Dotro & Chazarenc, 2014).

Influent water quality can also affect the predominant wetland processes and require additional management allowed for in the design stage. Strongly anaerobic wetlands like secondary HF beds can generate sulphide and associated odours and a white discharge that will need management. Tertiary HF systems can be carbon limited for denitrification, resulting in low nitrate removal rates.

5.4.3 Potential design and operational issues

As HF systems are inherently passive (no mechanical parts for operation) and the media is fully saturated with water, they are less susceptible to critical failure than other wetland systems. Where systems have encountered major issues these are typically due to poor O&M or significant deviation from design guidelines. Experience from over twenty years of HF systems for secondary and tertiary treatment suggests, as well as following design guidelines (Dotro *et al.*, 2017), a few steps are recommended for operation. These include the protection of plant establishment in the first year of operation and management of preferential flow paths in mature systems.

Plant establishment may need to be protected based on site (region) specific risks. The most common strategies for protecting plant establishment from rodents that feed on reed plantings have included temporary flooding and temporary rabbit fencing. Flooding has resulted in unintentional incorrect operation of HF beds, with operators forgetting to lower the water level once plants have established. Fencing requires additional investment and can have a negative visual impact on the overall system. In many instances, no protection has been employed and the plants successfully established. Therefore, the risk of rodent access and damaging effects should be assessed during the design phase.

Preferential flow paths in mature systems will form as a result of accumulation of inert organics and the decay of biofilm within the bed media, as well as in the surface of HF systems that are surface loaded (Knowles *et al.*, 2011). Management strategies have included resting of HF beds (i.e., draining the water and leaving it to dry for a number of weeks) and altering the operational water level in the beds. Both of these solutions have implications for sites with only one operational bed as the ability of the system to continue to provide treatment is impaired during intervention.

Water quality standards across the world are being re-written to promote healthier ecosystems, ensure safe potable water sources, increased biodiversity, and enhanced ecological functions. Treatment wetlands are used for treating a variety of pollutant waters, including municipal wastewater, agricultural and urban runoff, industrial effluents, and combined sewer overflows, among others. Treatment wetlands are particularly well-suited for sustainable water management because they can cope with variable influent loads, can be constructed of local materials, have low operations and maintenance requirements compared to other treatment technologies, and they can provide additional ecosystem services. The technology has been successfully implemented in both developed and developing countries.

The first IWA Scientific and Technical Report (STR) on Wetland Technology was published in 2000. With the exponential development of the technology since then, the generation of a new STR was facilitated by the IWA Task Group on Mainstreaming Wetland Technology. This STR was conceptualized and written by leading experts in the field. The new report presents the latest technology applications within an innovative planning framework of multi-purpose wetland design. It also includes practical design information collected from over twenty years of experience from practitioners and academics, covering experiments at laboratory and pilot-scale up to full-scale applications.



iwapublishing.com

[@IWAPublishing](https://twitter.com/IWAPublishing)

ISBN: 9781789060164 (Paperback)

ISBN: 9781789060171 (eBook)

ISBN: 9781789060188 (ePub)

