Search for Flavor-Changing Neutral Current Interactions of the Top Quark and Higgs Boson in Final States with Two Photons in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

A. Tumasyan *et al.*^{*} (CMS Collaboration)

(Received 3 November 2021; accepted 13 June 2022; published 13 July 2022)

Proton-proton interactions resulting in final states with two photons are studied in a search for the signature of flavor-changing neutral current interactions of top quarks (*t*) and Higgs bosons (*H*). The analysis is based on data collected at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV with the CMS detector at the LHC, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 137 fb⁻¹. No significant excess above the background prediction is observed. Upper limits on the branching fractions (\mathcal{B}) of the top quark decaying to a Higgs boson and an up (*u*) or charm (*c*) quark are derived through a binned fit to the diphoton invariant mass spectrum. The observed (expected) 95% confidence level upper limits are found to be 0.019% (0.031%) for $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu)$ and 0.073% (0.051%) for $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc)$. These are the strictest upper limits yet determined.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.032001

Flavor-changing quark decays mediated by neutral currents (FCNCs) are forbidden at tree level in the standard model (SM). They may proceed at higher orders in the perturbative expansion; however, these rates are heavily suppressed by the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani mechanism [1] or Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa unitarity constraints [2]. The SM branching fractions for the decay of a top quark (*t*) into a Higgs boson (*H*) and up quark (*u*), $t \rightarrow Hu$, or charm quark (*c*), $t \rightarrow Hc$, are expected to be $\mathcal{O}(10^{-17})$ and $\mathcal{O}(10^{-15})$, respectively [3–6], well below the current sensitivity of the LHC experiments [7]. Thus, any observation of a $t \rightarrow Hq$ FCNC interaction would be an unambiguous sign of new physics. Here, the symbol *q* denotes either an up or charm quark.

In many scenarios of physics beyond the SM, the $t \rightarrow Hq$ branching fractions are enhanced by many orders of magnitude beyond the SM values. Notable beyond-the-SM models leading to enhanced FCNC interactions include those of warped extra dimensions [8], composite Higgs boson models [9], two-Higgs doublet models (2HDM) [10–13], including supersymmetric models with *R*-parity violation [14], and quark-singlet models [15]. While these scenarios lead to sizable FCNC interactions for a variety of neutral mediators other than the Higgs boson, including the *Z* boson, photon, and gluon, some of the most significant enhancements are found for $t \rightarrow Hq$ interactions. The $t \rightarrow Hc$ interaction in particular can be enhanced in 2HDM

models [16], including scenarios of flavor-violating Yukawa couplings [17] or 2HDM for the top quark [18–20].

Recent searches for FCNC interactions of the top quark and Higgs boson were performed by the ATLAS [7,21,22] and CMS [23] Collaborations, with Ref. [7] providing the strictest experimental upper limits on the $t \rightarrow Hu$ and $t \rightarrow Hc$ branching fractions at 0.12% and 0.11%, respectively. This Letter reports improved upper limits on these two branching fractions, exploiting both the associated production of a single top quark with a Higgs boson via an up or charm quark (ST production mode) and the decay of a top quark to a Higgs boson and an up or charm quark in $t\bar{t}$ production (TT production mode), as shown in Fig. 1, where the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay is considered.

The results are based on the analysis of proton-proton (pp) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, targeting the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay mode. The data were collected with the CMS detector at the LHC between 2016 and 2018, and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 137 fb⁻¹.



FIG. 1. Representative Feynman diagrams for the production modes considered: FCNC associated production of a single top quark with a Higgs boson (ST, left), and $t\bar{t}$ production with the FCNC decay of the top quark to a Higgs boson and an up or charm quark (TT, right). The Higgs boson decay to two photons is considered. The FCNC vertex in each process is denoted with a red circle.

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the Letter.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

Tabulated results are provided in the HEPData record for this analysis [24].

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two end-cap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage provided by the barrel and end-cap detectors. Muons are measured in gaseous detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [25].

The signal and background processes are simulated using several Monte Carlo (MC) programs. The signal samples corresponding to ST and TT production modes are simulated at leading order (LO) with MADGRAPH 5_aMC@NLO 2.4.2 (ST) and 2.6.0 (TT) [26]. Both the signal and background samples are interfaced with PYTHIA8.205 [27] for parton showering, fragmentation, and hadronization. The underlying event is also modeled with PYTHIA, with the CUETP8M1 [28] and CP5 [29] tunes used for the simulation of 2016 and 2017–2018 data, respectively. The parton distribution functions are taken from the NNPDF3.0 [30] set for simulation of 2016 data and the NNPDF3.1 [31] set for simulation of 2017–2018 data.

The FCNC interactions, including those with the Higgs boson as a mediator, can be described within the effective field theory framework in terms of dimension-six operators added to the SM Lagrangian [32,33]. The coefficients of these operators are best constrained by combining the results of the FCNC processes in which the Higgs boson, Z boson, photon, and gluon are the FCNC mediators. To perform this combination, it is important to have the most sensitive analysis for each individual process. In this Letter, the theoretical interpretation of the FCNC interactions with the Higgs boson as the mediator is done by using the following effective Lagrangian, which is equivalent to the effective field theory approach at LO,

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{q=u,c} \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\iota} \kappa_{Hqt} (F_{Hq}^L P_L + F_{Hq}^R P_R) qH + \text{H.c.}, \quad (1)$$

where g is the weak coupling constant, P_L and P_R are chirality projectors in spin space, κ_{Hqt} is the effective coupling constant, with q = u or c, and F_{Hq}^L and F_{Hq}^R are left- and right-handed complex chiral parameters satisfying a unitarity constraint $|F_{Hq}^L|^2 + |F_{Hq}^R|^2 = 1$. The effective Lagrangian is implemented in the FEYNRULES package [34], with the universal FEYNRULES output [35] used to generate the model. The complex chiral parameters are set to $F_{Hq}^L = 1$ and $F_{Hq}^R = 0$. Up to two additional partons are generated at matrix element level for the TT production

mode. No additional partons are considered for the ST production mode to avoid overlap between the ST and TT modes. The hard-process simulation is interfaced with parton shower modeling using the MLM [36] matching prescription. Signal samples are generated in two scenarios, assuming exactly one nonzero coupling of unity: κ_{Hut} or $\kappa_{Hct} = 1$. The cross section of the ST production mode is calculated with MADGRAPH at LO precision and equals 72.6(10.0) pb for the scenarios of $\kappa_{Hut}(\kappa_{Hct}) = 1$. The $t\bar{t}$ cross section is taken as 832 pb, from calculation with the TOP++ program [37] at next-to-next-to-LO (NNLO) in perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD), which includes soft-gluon resummation to next-to-next-to-leadinglogarithmic order. The cross section times branching fraction for the TT FCNC production mode depends on the branching fraction of $t \rightarrow Hu$ and $t \rightarrow Hc$, which is 0.144 (as calculated by MADGRAPH at LO) when assuming a coupling of $\kappa_{Hut} = \kappa_{Hct} = 1$. The effective coupling constant and branching fraction are related by

$$\kappa_{Hqt}^2 = \mathcal{B}(t \to Hq) \frac{\Gamma_t}{\Gamma_{Hqt}},\tag{2}$$

where Γ_t is the full width of the top quark [38], and Γ_{Hqt} is the partial width of the anomalous $t \to Hq$ decay.

Calculations of the top quark transverse momentum (p_T) distribution at LO and next-to-LO (NLO) are known to show disagreement with the observed spectrum [39–42]. Since the effect may be partially due to missing higher-order calculations, the simulated top p_T distribution in TT signal events is corrected at generator level to match the NNLO QCD + NLO electroweak prediction [41].

Background processes with a Higgs boson decaying to two photons (resonant backgrounds), including ggH, vector boson fusion (VBF), WH, ZH, tHq, tHW, ttH, and $b\bar{b}H$ production, are modeled with MADGRAPH 5_aMC@NLO2.4.2 at NLO [26] in QCD, with cross sections and decay branching fractions taken from Ref. [43]. Additional samples for SM Higgs boson processes are generated with POWHEG2.0 [44–47] at NLO in QCD. They are used to increase the size of the SM data sample needed for training the multivariate discriminants described below.

The MADGRAPH 5_aMC@NLO program is also used to simulate most background processes without a Higgs boson (nonresonant backgrounds). These include $t\bar{t} + \gamma\gamma$, $t\bar{t} + \gamma$, $t\bar{t} + \text{jets}$, $\gamma + \text{jets}$, $V + \gamma$, Drell–Yan, diboson, and t + V production, where V is a W or Z boson. The diphoton background ($\gamma\gamma + \text{jets}$) is simulated with SHERPA2.2.4 [48], which includes tree-level processes with up to three additional jets, as well as box processes at LO accuracy. Simulation of nonresonant background samples is used for developing and optimizing the analysis, but this background is estimated from data in the fits used to extract a possible signal contribution. Finally, for both signal and background samples, the detector response is modeled with the GEANT4 package [49].

The CMS trigger [50] selects events with two photon candidates satisfying a loose calorimetric identification [51], and asymmetric photon p_T thresholds of 30 and 18 (22) GeV for the data collected during 2016 (2017–2018). The trigger efficiency is >95% and is measured with the "tag-and-probe" method [52].

The particle-flow (PF) algorithm [53] reconstructs individual particles (photons, charged and neutral hadrons, muons, and electrons) by combining information from all subdetectors. Jets are built from PF particles using the anti- k_T algorithm [54,55] with a distance parameter of 0.4. The missing transverse momentum (\vec{p}_T^{miss}) [56] is defined as the negative vector p_T sum of all PF particles. Its magnitude is referred to as p_T^{miss} . The primary p_P interaction vertex is taken as the vertex with the largest value of summed physics object p_T^2 . Charged hadrons originating from other p_P interactions in the same or nearby bunch crossings are removed from the analysis. Jets from the hadronization of bottom quarks (b jets) are tagged by an algorithm based on the score from a deep neural network [57] called DEEPJET [58,59].

Higgs boson candidates are built from pairs of photon candidates. Photon candidates are reconstructed from energy clusters in the ECAL not linked to charged particle tracks (with the exception of converted photons). The photon energy is corrected for the containment of electromagnetic showers in the clustered crystals and the energy losses of converted photons with a multivariate regression technique based on simulation [51]. The ECAL energy scale in data is corrected based on studies of $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events. The offline diphoton selection criteria are similar to, but more stringent than, those used in the trigger [51].

Photons are further required to satisfy a loose identification (photon ID [51]) criterion based on a boosted decision tree (BDT) classifier trained to separate photons from jets. In simulation, inputs to the photon ID such as shower shape and isolation variables are corrected with a chained quantile regression method [60] based on studies of $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events. The cumulative distribution function of each variable in simulation is corrected with a set of BDTs that take the previously corrected features as inputs. This method ensures that both the individual distributions and correlations between variables in the MC simulation are corrected to match those in data.

After the selection described above, the diphoton invariant mass $(m_{\gamma\gamma})$ is required to be in the range 100–180 GeV. The upper bound of 180 GeV is selected to ensure a sufficient number of events for the procedures used to model the nonresonant background and to validate the modeling of input features to the BDTs, both described later. We additionally impose mass-dependent photon p_T requirements of $p_T/m_{\gamma\gamma} > 1/3$ and > 1/4 for the highest p_T (leading) and second-highest p_T (subleading) photons, respectively. Events are next divided into two mutually exclusive channels. The leptonic channel preselection is aimed at selecting events with a leptonically decaying top quark, and requires the presence of ≥ 1 jet with $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$, ≥ 1 isolated lepton (*e* or μ) with $|\eta| < 2.4$, and $p_T > 10(20)$ GeV for electrons (muons) [61]. The hadronic channel preselection targets events with a hadronically decaying top quark, requiring at least three jets, of which at least one is identified as a *b* jet, and no isolated leptons (e/μ).

Dedicated BDT discriminants are employed in each channel to distinguish signal (ST and TT production modes) from background events. Separate BDTs are trained for each of the two nonzero κ_{Hqt} coupling scenarios, each of the two channels, and each of the two primary categories of SM background, resonant (BDT-res) and nonresonant (BDT-nonres). This results in eight BDTs in total: one for each coupling (κ_{Hut} or κ_{Hct}), channel (hadronic or leptonic), and background (resonant or nonresonant). The BDTs are trained with the XGBOOST [62] framework on MC samples of signal and background processes, with one exception as noted below. The nonresonant background MC samples include γ + jets, $\gamma\gamma$ + jets, $t\bar{t}$ + jets, $t\bar{t}$ + γ , $t\bar{t}$ + $\gamma\gamma$, and $V + \gamma$ processes, as well as a variety of other rarer backgrounds (designated in figures as "Other"), including $t\bar{t}Z$, $t\bar{t}W$, WW, WZ, ZZ, and $t + \gamma$ + jets. The resonant background MC samples include $t\bar{t}H$, WH, ZH, VBF, qqH, tHq, and tHW. In the training, all backgrounds are normalized to their SM cross sections.

The dominant backgrounds after the hadronic channel preselection are the multijet and γ + jets processes, where at least one jet is misidentified as a photon. To improve the description of these backgrounds for training the machine learning algorithms, they are modeled using a sample of data events in which one photon candidate fails the photon ID requirement, following the procedure of Ref. [63]. For this procedure, the photon ID value of the photon candidate failing the photon ID requirement is replaced by a value drawn from the MC photon ID distribution for misidentified jets passing the photon ID requirement. This sample of events from data, denoted with (γ) + jets, is used instead of the simulated γ + jets sample in the hadronic nonresonant background BDT training.

Input features of both BDT-res and BDT-nonres include the kinematic properties of the physics objects: jets, leptons, photons and diphotons (excluding $m_{\gamma\gamma}$), jet and lepton multiplicities, p_T^{miss} , *b* tagging scores of jets from the DEEPJET algorithm, and the output of the photon ID BDT for both photons. The p_T of the individual photons and diphotons are normalized by $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ to ensure the inputs to the BDTs cannot be used to calculate $m_{\gamma\gamma}$. The output of a variety of algorithms aimed at reconstructing top quarks in each event are also used as input features to the BDTs, as detailed below.



FIG. 2. Left: distribution of the BDT-nonres scores used for the hadronic event categorization targeting $t \rightarrow Hu$ FCNC interactions from data (points) and predictions from simulation (colored histograms). The "Other" category includes contributions from $t\bar{t}Z$, $t\bar{t}W$, WW, WZ, ZZ, and $t + \gamma + jets$. The "top + H" category includes $t\bar{t}H$, tHq, and tHW, while the "Other H" category includes ggH, VBF, WH, and ZH. The nonresonant background histograms are stacked, while the two signal, "Other H", and "top + H" distributions are shown separately. Boundaries defining event categories are indicated with dotted lines in the upper panel. Events in the gray shaded region are not considered in the analysis. The lower panel shows the ratio of the data to the sum of the nonresonant background predictions. The simulated signal and background distributions are normalized to the integrated luminosity of the data, assuming a coupling of unity for the signal. Statistical and total (statistical \oplus systematic) background uncertainties are represented by the gray- and red-shaded bands, respectively. The $(\gamma) + jets$ sample of multijet and $\gamma + jets$ events from data is not assigned a systematic uncertainty, as described in the text. Right: hadronic event categorization targeting $t \rightarrow Hu$ FCNC interactions from requirements placed on BDTnonres (horizontal dotted lines) and BDT-res (vertical dotted lines). The color denotes the s/\sqrt{b} of each category.

First, a set of mass variables is used for events with at least four jets in the hadronic channel. The invariant mass of the diphoton candidate plus the light jet from $t \rightarrow Hq$ decays $(m_{\gamma\gamma i})$ should be consistent with the top quark mass (m_t) , as should the invariant mass of the three jets (m_{iji}) from the hadronically decaying top quark candidate. The mass variables $m_{\gamma\gamma j}$ and m_{jjj} are constructed from three of the leading four jets by choosing the combination that minimizes the quantity $\Delta M = |m_{\gamma\gamma i} - m_t| + |m_{iii} - m_t|$. Second, kinematic reconstructions of top guarks and their decay products for both hadronic and leptonic decays are performed, with the reconstruction for hadronic decays similar to that in Ref. [23] and the reconstruction for leptonic decays similar to that in Ref. [64]. The kinematic properties of the reconstructed top guarks and their decay products are used as input features to the BDTs. Third, a set of neural networks is trained with the TMVA package [65] on MC samples of signal processes to identify the jets and leptons that originate from the top quark(s) decays. Permutations of jets and leptons matched to a top quark decay are considered as signal, while all incorrect permutations are considered as background. Separate neural networks are trained for each signal production mode (ST and TT) and each channel (hadronic and leptonic).

The modeling of the input features is validated by comparing their distributions in data and simulation for events passing the preselection and having $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ in the sidebands, defined as the $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ ranges of 100–120 or 130–180 GeV. The resulting BDT scores are also validated in the same way, as shown in Fig. 2 for the $t \rightarrow Hu$ search. We note that the sample of events from data used to model the multijet and γ + jets processes is only used in the BDT training and optimization and does not enter the fits used to extract possible FCNC signals. Consequently, no systematic uncertainty is considered for the sample, despite that is not a perfect representation of these processes.

For each scenario of a nonzero FCNC coupling (κ_{Hut} or $\kappa_{Hct} = 1$), and for each channel (hadronic or leptonic), events are either removed from consideration or assigned to categories. The categories are defined by ranges of the BDT-nonres and BDT-res scores, shown, for example, with the four categories in the $t \rightarrow Hu$ search in the hadronic channel indicated by the horizontal and vertical dotted lines in Fig. 2 (right). In the same fashion, three categories are defined for the $t \rightarrow Hu$ search in the leptonic channel, using the leptonic BDT-nonres and BDT-res scores. The procedure is repeated for the $t \rightarrow Hc$ search, resulting in a total of seven categories for each of the $t \rightarrow Hu$ and $t \rightarrow Hc$ searches. Each resulting set of seven $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions is then fitted simultaneously to extract a possible FCNC signal. The categories for the $t \rightarrow Hu$ ($t \rightarrow Hc$)

search contain between 13%-26% (2%-5%) of the total signal from ST production.

The expected $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions of signal and resonant background events are modeled using the sum of a doublesided Crystal Ball function [66] and a Gaussian function. The models are derived from simulation for signal as well as each type of resonant background (*ggH*, VBF, *WH*, *ZH*, *tHq*, *tHW*, *ttH*, and *bbH*), with the Higgs boson mass (m_H) fixed to its most precisely measured value of 125.38 GeV [67]. The nonresonant background is modeled directly from data, using the discrete profiling method [68], in which the systematic uncertainty associated with the choice of analytic function used to model the $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ distribution is treated as a discrete nuisance parameter. All sources of experimental and theoretical systematic uncertainties are treated as nuisance parameters.

The total $t\bar{t}$ cross section uncertainty is taken as 6%, estimated from the uncertainty in the $t\bar{t}$ NNLO cross section, due to variation of the factorization (μ_F) and renormalization (μ_R) scales, the parton distribution functions, and strong coupling constant α_S [69–72]. We assign an uncertainty in the ST signal production mode cross section of 30% that is typically attributed to the missing higher-order corrections in the LO generation used in the analysis. The typical effect of varying μ_F and μ_R on the shapes of the BDT-nonres and BDT-res distributions is around 1% (10%) for the TT(ST) signal production mode. The uncertainties in the cross sections of the resonant background processes are estimated by varying μ_F and μ_R , PDFs, and α_S [43].

The dominant experimental uncertainties are those related to the *b* jet and photon identifications, the integrated luminosity [73–75], the jet energy scale and resolution, reconstruction of \vec{p}_T^{miss} , and the preselection and trigger efficiencies. The impact of each of these uncertainties on the final upper limits is $\leq 5\%$.

No significant excess above the background prediction is observed in any of the categories. Binned fits of the $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions are performed simultaneously in each set of seven categories (14 total) to extract the 95% confidence level (CL) upper limits on $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu)$ and $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc)$. The derivation of upper limits assumes one nonzero coupling at a time and uses the modified frequentist approach for confidence levels (CL_s technique), with the LHC profile likelihood ratio as a test statistic [76,77] in the asymptotic approximation [78].

The $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions for events entering the analysis are shown in Fig. 3, with events weighted by the quantity S/(S+B) of their respective category. Here S (B) is defined as the number of signal (background) events in the range $m_H \pm \sigma_{\text{eff}}$, where σ_{eff} is the effective width of the signal model, defined as half of the range that contains 68% of the total number of events. The number of signal events S is normalized to the expected 95% CL upper limit on $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hq)$.



FIG. 3. The diphoton invariant mass distribution for the selected events from data (black points), and the results of the fits to the signal plus background models (solid red curve), and the background model alone (dotted blue curve), for the categories targeting $t \rightarrow Hu$ FCNC interactions (left) and $t \rightarrow Hc$ FCNC interactions (right). The signal model is normalized to the best-fit value. The green and yellow bands give the ±1 and ±2 standard deviation uncertainties in the background model (dotted blue curve). The background model includes $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ events from SM processes. Events are weighted by the S/(S + B) of their respective categories. The lower panels show the same information, but with the background component subtracted.

The observed and expected 95% CL upper limits are shown in Fig. 4 for $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu)$ (upper) and $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc)$ (lower), for each of the seven categories and combined. The observed (expected) 95% CL upper limits on $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu)$



FIG. 4. The observed (solid line) and expected (dotted line) 95% CL upper limits on $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu)$ (left) and $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc)$ (right) for each of the hadronic and leptonic categories, defined as described in the text. The last bin gives the overall combined upper limit. The ± 1 and ± 2 standard deviation variations on the expected limit are given by the green and yellow bands, respectively.

and $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc)$ are 0.019% (0.031%) and 0.073% (0.051%), respectively. The corresponding observed (expected) 95% CL upper limits on $|\kappa_{Hut}|$ and $|\kappa_{Hct}|$, derived with Eq. (2), are 0.037(0.047) and 0.071(0.060), respectively.

In summary, we have presented a search for flavorchanging neutral current interactions of the top quark (t)and Higgs boson (H) in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The processes considered include both the associated production of a single top quark with a Higgs boson via an up or charm quark, and the decay of a top quark to a Higgs boson and an up or charm quark in $t\bar{t}$ production. No significant excess above the background prediction is observed. The observed (expected) 95% CL upper limits on $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu)$ and $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc)$ of 0.019% (0.031%) and 0.073% (0.051%), respectively, are the most stringent experimental limits published to date.

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIA (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

- S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, and L. Maiani, Weak interactions with lepton-hadron symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 2, 1285 (1970).
- [2] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, CP violation in the renormalizable theory of weak interaction, Prog. Theor. Phys. 49, 652 (1973).
- [3] G. Eilam, J. L. Hewett, and A. Soni, Rare decays of the top quark in the standard and two Higgs doublet models, Phys. Rev. D 44, 1473 (1991); 59, 039901(E) (1998).

- [4] B. Mele, S. Petrarca, and A. Soddu, A new evaluation of the decay width in the standard model, Phys. Lett. B 435, 401 (1998).
- [5] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, Top flavor-changing neutral interactions: Theoretical expectations and experimental detection, Acta Phys. Pol. B 35, 2695 (2004), https://www .actaphys.uj.edu.pl/R/35/11/2695/pdf.
- [6] C. Zhang and F. Maltoni, Top-quark decay into Higgs boson and a light quark at next-to-leading order in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 88, 054005 (2013).
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for top-quark decays $t \rightarrow Hq$ with 36 fb⁻¹ of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2019) 123.
- [8] A. Azatov, M. Toharia, and L. Zhu, Higgs mediated flavor changing neutral currents in warped extra dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 80, 035016 (2009).
- [9] A. Azatov, G. Panico, G. Perez, and Y. Soreq, On the flavor structure of natural composite Higgs models & top flavor violation, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2014) 082.
- [10] S. Bejar, J. Guasch, and J. Solà, Loop induced flavor changing neutral decays of the top quark in a general two Higgs doublet model, Nucl. Phys. B600, 21 (2001).
- [11] J. Guasch and J. Solà, FCNC top quark decays in the MSSM: A door to SUSY physics in high luminosity colliders?, Nucl. Phys. B562, 3 (1999).
- [12] J. J. Cao, G. Eilam, M. Frank, K. Hikasa, G. L. Liu, I. Turan, and J. M. Yang, Supersymmetry-induced flavor-changing neutral-current top-quark processes at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, Phys. Rev. D 75, 075021 (2007).
- [13] J. Cao, C. Han, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang, SUSY induced top quark FCNC decay $t \rightarrow cH$ after Run I of LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 3058 (2014).
- [14] G. Eilam, A. Gemintern, T. Han, J. M. Yang, and X. Zhang, Top-quark rare decay $t \rightarrow cH$ in R-parity-violating SUSY, Phys. Lett. B **510**, 227 (2001).
- [15] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, Effects of mixing with quark singlets, Phys. Rev. D 67, 035003 (2003); 69, 099901(E) (2004).
- [16] W.-S. Hou, Tree level $t \rightarrow$ ch or $h \rightarrow$ tc decays, Phys. Lett. B **296**, 179 (1992).
- [17] K.-F. Chen, W.-S. Hou, C. Kao, and M. Kohda, When the Higgs meets the top: Search for $t \rightarrow ch^0$ at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B **725**, 378 (2013).
- [18] I. Baum, G. Eilam, and S. Bar-Shalom, Scalar flavor changing neutral currents and rare top quark decays in a two Higgs doublet model 'for the top quark', Phys. Rev. D 77, 113008 (2008).
- [19] C. Kao, H.-Y. Cheng, W.-S. Hou, and J. Sayre, Top decays with flavor changing neutral Higgs interactions at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716, 225 (2012).
- [20] B. Altunkaynak, W.-S. Hou, C. Kao, M. Kohda, and B. McCoy, Flavor changing heavy Higgs interactions at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 751, 135 (2015).
- [21] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for top quark decays $t \rightarrow qH$, with $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions using the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2017) 129.
- [22] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for flavor-changing neutral currents in top quark decays $t \rightarrow Hc$ and $t \rightarrow Hu$ in multilepton final states in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 032002 (2018).

- [23] CMS Collaboration, Search for the flavor-changing neutral current interactions of the top quark and the Higgs boson which decays into a pair of b quarks at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2018) 102.
- [24] HEPData record for this analysis (2021), 10.17182/ hepdata.105999.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. 3, S08004 (2008).
- [26] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H. S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-toleading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079.
- [27] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015).
- [28] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, Eur. Phys. J. C 76, 155 (2016).
- [29] CMS Collaboration, Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 4 (2020).
- [30] R. D. Ball, V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, C. S. Deans, L. D. Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, N. P. Hartland, J. I. Latorre, J. Rojo, and M. Ubiali (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 040.
- [31] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions from high-precision collider data, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 663 (2017).
- [32] W. Buchmuller and D. Wyler, Effective Lagrangian analysis of new interactions and flavor conservation, Nucl. Phys. B268, 621 (1986).
- [33] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak, and J. Rosiek, Dimension-six terms in the Standard Model Lagrangian, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2010) 085.
- [34] A. Alloul, N. D. Christensen, C. Degrande, C. Duhr, and B. Fuks, FeynRules 2.0—A complete toolbox for tree-level phenomenology, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185, 2250 (2014).
- [35] C. Degrande, C. Duhr, B. Fuks, D. Grellscheid, O. Mattelaer, and T. Reiter, UFO—The Universal FeynRules Output, Comput. Phys. Commun. 183, 1201 (2012).
- [36] J. Alwall, S. Höche, F. Krauss, N. Lavesson, L. Lönnblad, F. Maltoni, M. L. Mangano, M. Moretti, C. G. Papadopoulos, F. Piccinini, S. Schumann, M. Treccani, J. Winter, and M. Worek, Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions, Eur. Phys. J. C 53, 473 (2008).
- [37] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, Top++: A program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185, 2930 (2014).
- [38] J. Gao, C. S. Li, and H. X. Zhu, Top Quark Decay at Nextto-Next-to-Leading Order in QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 042001 (2013).
- [39] N. Kidonakis, NNLL threshold resummation for toppair and single-top production, Phys. Part. Nucl. 45, 714 (2014).

- [40] M. Czakon, D. Heymes, and A. Mitov, High-Precision Differential Predictions for Top-Quark Pairs at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 082003 (2016).
- [41] M. Czakon, D. Heymes, A. Mitov, D. Pagani, I. Tsinikos, and M. Zaro, Top-pair production at the LHC through NNLO QCD and NLO EW, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2017) 186.
- [42] S. Catani, S. Devoto, M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, and J. Mazzitelli, Top-quark pair production at the LHC: Fully differential QCD predictions at NNLO, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2019) 100.
- [43] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector (CERN, Geneva, 2017).
- [44] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2004) 040.
- [45] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: The POWHEG method, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2007) 070.
- [46] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: The POWHEG BOX, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 043.
- [47] H. B. Hartanto, B. Jager, L. Reina, and D. Wackeroth, Higgs boson production in association with top quarks in the POWHEG BOX, Phys. Rev. D 91, 094003 (2015).
- [48] E. Bothmann, G. S. Chahal, S. Höche, J. Krause, F. Krauss, S. Kuttimalai, S. Liebschner, D. Napoletano, M. Schönherr, H. Schulz, S. Schumann, and F. Siegert, Event generation with SHERPA2.2, SciPost Phys. 7, 34 (2019).
- [49] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), GEANT4—A simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 506, 250 (2003).
- [50] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, J. Instrum. 12, P01020 (2017).
- [51] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of Higgs boson properties in the diphoton decay channel in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2018) 185.
- [52] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive W and Z production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2011) 132.
- [53] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, J. Instrum. 12, P10003 (2017).
- [54] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2008) 063.
- [55] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 72, 1896 (2012).
- [56] CMS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector, J. Instrum. 14, P07004 (2019).
- [57] CMS Collaboration, Identification of heavy-flavor jets with the CMS detector in *pp* collisions at 13 TeV, J. Instrum. 13, P05011 (2018).
- [58] E. Bols, J. Kieseler, M. Verzetti, M. Stoye, and A. Stakia, Jet flavour classification using DeepJet, J. Instrum. 15, P12012 (2020).

- [59] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the DeepJet b tagging algorithm using 41.9 fb⁻¹ of data from proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV with Phase-1 CMS detector, CMS Detector Performance Note CMS-DP-2018-058, 2018, http://cds.cern.ch/record/2646773.
- [60] E. Spyromitros-Xioufis, W. Groves, G. Tsoumakas, and I. Vlahavas, Multi-target regression via input space expansion: Treating targets as inputs, Mach. Learn. 104, 55 (2016).
- [61] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. Instrum. 13, P06015 (2018).
- [62] T. Chen and C. Guestrin, XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system, in *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, KDD (ACM, New York, NY, 2016), p. 785.
- [63] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of $t\bar{t}H$ Production and the CP Structure of the Yukawa Interaction between the Higgs Boson and Top Quark in the Diphoton Decay Channel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 061801 (2020).
- [64] CMS Collaboration, Evidence for the Associated Production of a Single Top Quark and a Photon in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 221802 (2018).
- [65] H. Voss, A. Höcker, J. Stelzer, and F. Tegenfeldt, TMVA, the toolkit for multivariate data analysis with ROOT, in *Proceedings of the X1th International Workshop on Advanced Computing and Analysis Techniques in Physics Research* (ACAT) (PoS, 2007), Vol. 50, p. 40, 10.22323/1.050.0040.
- [66] M. J. Oreglia, A study of the reactions $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University (SLAC Report No. SLAC-R-236, 1980).
- [67] CMS Collaboration, A measurement of the Higgs boson mass in the diphoton decay channel, Phys. Lett. B 805, 135425 (2020).
- [68] P.D. Dauncey, M. Kenzie, N. Wardle, and G.J. Davies, Handling uncertainties in background shapes, J. Instrum. 10, P04015 (2015).
- [69] J. Butterworth *et al.*, PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, J. Phys. G **43**, 023001 (2016).
- [70] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne, and G. Watt, Uncertainties on α_s in global PDF analyses and implications for predicted hadronic cross sections, Eur. Phys. J. C **64**, 653 (2009).
- [71] J. Gao, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, H.-L. Lai, Z. Li, P. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin, D. Stump, and C.-P. Yuan, CT10 next-to-next-toleading order global analysis of QCD, Phys. Rev. D 89, 033009 (2014).
- [72] R. D. Ball, V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, C. S. Deans, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, N. P. Hartland, J. I. Latorre, J. Rojo, and M. Ubiali (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions with LHC data, Nucl. Phys. B867, 244 (2013).
- [73] CMS Collaboration, Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 800 (2021).
- [74] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004, 2018, https://cds.cern.ch/record/2621960.
- [75] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, CMS Physics

Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002, 2019, https://cds.cern.ch/record/2676164.

- [76] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 434, 435 (1999).
- [77] A. L. Read, Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique, J. Phys. G 28, 2693 (2002).
- [78] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1554 (2011); 73, 2501(E) (2013).

A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² J. W. Andrejkovic,² T. Bergauer,² S. Chatterjee,² M. Dragicevic,² A. Escalante Del Valle,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} M. Jeitler,^{2,b} N. Krammer,² L. Lechner,² D. Liko,² I. Mikulec,² P. Paulitsch,² F. M. Pitters,² J. Schieck,^{2,b} R. Schöfbeck,² D. Schwarz,² S. Templ,² W. Waltenberger,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b} V. Chekhovsky,³ A. Litomin,³ V. Makarenko,³ M. R. Darwish,^{4,c} E. A. De Wolf,⁴ T. Janssen,⁴ T. Kello,^{4,d} A. Lelek,⁴ H. Rejeb Sfar,⁴ P. Van Mechelen,⁴ S. Van Putte,⁴ N. Van Remortel,⁴ F. Blekman,⁵ E. S. Bols,⁵ J. D'Hondt,⁵ M. Delcourt,⁵ H. El Faham,⁵ S. Lowette,⁵ S. Moortgat,⁵ A. Morton,⁵ D. Müller,⁵ A. R. Sahasransu,⁵ S. Tavernier,⁵ W. Van Doninck,⁵ P. Van Mulders,⁵ D. Beghin,⁶ B. Bilin,⁶ B. Clerbaux,⁶ G. De Lentdecker,⁶ L. Favart,⁶ A. Grebenyuk,⁶ A. K. Kalsi,⁶ K. Lee,⁶ M. Mahdavikhorrami,⁶ I. Makarenko,⁶ L. Moureaux,⁶ L. Pétré,⁶ A. Popov,⁶ N. Postiau,⁶ E. Starling,⁶ L. Thomas,⁶ M. Vanden Bemden,⁶ C. Vander Velde,⁶ P. Vanlaer,⁶ L. Wezenbeek,⁶ T. Cornelis,⁷ D. Dobur,⁷ J. Knolle,⁷ L. Lambrecht,⁷ G. Mestdach,⁷ M. Niedziela,⁷ C. Roskas,⁷ A. Samalan,⁷ K. Skovpen,⁷ M. Tytgat,⁷ B. Vermassen,⁷ M. Vit,⁷ A. Benecke,⁸ A. Bethani,⁸ G. Bruno,⁸ F. Bury,⁸ C. Caputo,⁸ P. David,⁸ C. Delaere,⁸ I. S. Donertas,⁸ A. Giammanco,⁸ K. Jaffel,⁸ Sa. Jain,⁸ V. Lemaitre,⁸ K. Mondal,⁸ J. Prisciandaro,⁸ A. Taliercio,⁸ M. Teklishyn,⁸ T. T. Tran,⁸ P. Vischia,⁸ S. Wertz,⁸ G. A. Alves,⁹ C. Hensel,⁹ A. Moraes,⁹ W. L. Aldá Júnior,¹⁰ M. Alves Gallo Pereira,¹⁰ M. Barroso Ferreira Filho,¹⁰ H. Brandao Malbouisson,¹⁰ W. Carvalho,¹⁰ J. Chinellato,^{10,e} E. M. Da Costa,¹⁰ G. G. Da Silveira,^{10,f} D. De Jesus Damiao,¹⁰ S. Fonseca De Souza,¹⁰ D. Matos Figueiredo,¹⁰ C. Mora Herrera,¹⁰ K. Mota Amarilo,¹⁰ L. Mundim,¹⁰ H. Nogima,¹⁰ P. Rebello Teles,¹⁰ A. Santoro,¹⁰ S. M. Silva Do Amaral,¹⁰ A. Sznajder,¹⁰ M. Thiel,¹⁰ F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo,^{10,g} A. Vilela Pereira,¹⁰ C. A. Bernardes,^{11,f} L. Calligaris,¹¹ T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,¹¹ E. M. Gregores,¹¹ D. S. Lemos,¹¹ P. G. Mercadante,¹¹ S. F. Novaes,¹¹ Sandra S. Padula,¹¹ A. Aleksandrov,¹² G. Antchev,¹² R. Hadjiiska,¹² P. Iaydjiev,¹² M. Misheva,¹²
M. Rodozov,¹² M. Shopova,¹² G. Sultanov,¹² A. Dimitrov,¹³ T. Ivanov,¹³ L. Litov,¹³ B. Pavlov,¹³ P. Petkov,¹³ A. Petrov,¹³
T. Cheng,¹⁴ T. Javaid,^{14,h} M. Mittal,¹⁴ L. Yuan,¹⁴ M. Ahmad,¹⁵ G. Bauer,¹⁵ C. Dozen,^{15,i} Z. Hu,¹⁵ J. Martins,^{15,j} Y. Wang,¹⁵
K. Yi,^{15,k,l} E. Chapon,¹⁶ G. M. Chen,^{16,h} H. S. Chen,^{16,h} M. Chen,¹⁶ F. Iemmi,¹⁶ A. Kapoor,¹⁶ D. Leggat,¹⁶ H. Liao,¹⁶ Z.-A. Liu,^{16,m} V. Milosevic,¹⁶ F. Monti,¹⁶ R. Sharma,¹⁶ J. Tao,¹⁶ J. Thomas-Wilsker,¹⁶ J. Wang,¹⁶ H. Zhang,¹⁶ J. Zhao,¹⁶ A. Agapitos,¹⁷ Y. An,¹⁷ Y. Ban,¹⁷ C. Chen,¹⁷ A. Levin,¹⁷ Q. Li,¹⁷ X. Lyu,¹⁷ Y. Mao,¹⁷ S. J. Qian,¹⁷ D. Wang,¹⁷ Q. Wang,¹⁷ J. Xiao,¹⁷ M. Lu,¹⁸ Z. You,¹⁸ X. Gao,^{19,d} H. Okawa,¹⁹ Z. Lin,²⁰ M. Xiao,²⁰ C. Avila,²¹ A. Cabrera,²¹ C. Florez,²¹ J. Fraga,²¹ J. Mejia Guisao,²² F. Ramirez,²² J. D. Ruiz Alvarez,²² C. A. Salazar González,²² D. Giljanovic,²³ N. Godinovic,²³ D. Lelas,²³ I. Puljak, ²³ Z. Antunovic, ²⁴ M. Kovac, ²⁴ T. Sculac, ²⁴ V. Brigljevic, ²⁵ D. Ferencek, ²⁵ D. Majumder, ²⁵ M. Roguljic, ²⁵ A. Starodumov, ^{25,n} T. Susa, ²⁵ A. Attikis, ²⁶ K. Christoforou, ²⁶ E. Erodotou, ²⁶ A. Ioannou, ²⁶ G. Kole, ²⁶ M. Kolosova, ²⁶ S. Konstantinou,²⁶ J. Mousa,²⁶ C. Nicolaou,²⁶ F. Ptochos,²⁶ P. A. Razis,²⁶ H. Rykaczewski,²⁶ H. Saka,²⁶ M. Finger,^{27,0} M. Finger Jr.,^{27,0} A. Kveton,²⁷ E. Ayala,²⁸ E. Carrera Jarrin,²⁹ S. Abu Zeid,^{30,p} S. Khalil,^{30,q} M. A. Mahmoud,³¹ Y. Mohammed,³¹ S. Bhowmik,³² R. K. Dewanjee,³² K. Ehataht,³² M. Kadastik,³² S. Nandan,³² C. Nielsen,³² J. Pata,³² M. Raidal,³² L. Tani,³² C. Veelken,³² P. Eerola,³³ L. Forthomme,³³ H. Kirschenmann,³³ K. Osterberg,³³ M. Voutilainen,³³
S. Bharthuar,³⁴ E. Brücken,³⁴ F. Garcia,³⁴ J. Havukainen,³⁴ M. S. Kim,³⁴ R. Kinnunen,³⁴ T. Lampén,³⁴ K. Lassila-Perini,³⁴
S. Lehti,³⁴ T. Lindén,³⁴ M. Lotti,³⁴ L. Martikainen,³⁴ M. Myllymäki,³⁴ J. Ott,³⁴ H. Siikonen,³⁴ E. Tuominen,³⁴ J. Tuominiemi,³⁴ P. Luukka,³⁵ H. Petrow,³⁵ T. Tuuva,³⁵ C. Amendola,³⁶ M. Besancon,³⁶ F. Couderc,³⁶ M. Dejardin,³⁶ D. Denegri,³⁶ J. L. Faure,³⁶ F. Ferri,³⁶ S. Ganjour,³⁶ A. Givernaud,³⁶ P. Gras,³⁶ G. Hamel de Monchenault,³⁶ P. Jarry,³⁶ B. Lenzi,³⁶ E. Locci,³⁶ J. Malcles,³⁶ J. Rander,³⁶ A. Rosowsky,³⁶ M. Ö. Sahin,³⁶ A. Savoy-Navarro,^{36,r} M. Titov,³⁶ G. B. Yu,³⁶ S. Ahuja,³⁷ F. Beaudette,³⁷ M. Bonanomi,³⁷ A. Buchot Perraguin,³⁷ P. Busson,³⁷ A. Cappati,³⁷ C. Charlot,³⁷ O. Davignon,³⁷ B. Diab,³⁷ G. Falmagne,³⁷ S. Ghosh,³⁷ R. Granier de Cassagnac,³⁷ A. Hakimi,³⁷ I. Kucher,³⁷ J. Motta,³⁷ M. Nguyen,³⁷ C. Ochando,³⁷ P. Paganini,³⁷ J. Rembser,³⁷ R. Salerno,³⁷ U. Sarkar,³⁷ J. B. Sauvan,³⁷ Y. Sirois,³⁷ A. Tarabini,³⁷ A. Zabi,³⁷ A. Zghiche,³⁷ J.-L. Agram,^{38,s} J. Andrea,³⁸ D. Apparu,³⁸ D. Bloch,³⁸ G. Bourgatte,³⁸ J.-M. Brom,³⁸ E. C. Chabert,³⁸ C. Collard,³⁸ D. Darej,³⁸ J.-C. Fontaine,^{38,s} U. Goerlach,³⁸ C. Grimault,³⁸ A.-C. Le Bihan,³⁸ E. Nibigira,³⁸ P. Van Hove,³⁸ E. Asilar,³⁹ S. Beauceron,³⁹ C. Bernet,³⁹ G. Boudoul,³⁹ C. Camen,³⁹ A. Carle,³⁹ N. Chanon,³⁹ D. Contardo,³⁹

P. Depasse,³⁹ H. El Mamouni,³⁹ J. Fay,³⁹ S. Gascon,³⁹ M. Gouzevitch,³⁹ B. Ille,³⁹ I. B. Laktineh,³⁹ H. Lattaud,³⁹ A. Lesauvage,³⁹ M. Lethuillier,³⁹ L. Mirabito,³⁹ S. Perries,³⁹ K. Shchablo,³⁹ V. Sordini,³⁹ L. Torterotot,³⁹ G. Touquet,³⁹ M. Vander Donckt,³⁹ S. Viret,³⁹ I. Lomidze,⁴⁰ T. Toriashvili,^{40,t} Z. Tsamalaidze,^{40,o} V. Botta,⁴¹ L. Feld,⁴¹ K. Klein,⁴¹ M. Lipinski,⁴¹ D. Meuser,⁴¹ A. Pauls,⁴¹ N. Röwert,⁴¹ J. Schulz,⁴¹ M. Teroerde,⁴¹ A. Dodonova,⁴² D. Eliseev,⁴² M. Erdmann,⁴² P. Fackeldey,⁴² B. Fischer,⁴² S. Ghosh,⁴² T. Hebbeker,⁴² K. Hoepfner,⁴² F. Ivone,⁴² L. Mastrolorenzo,⁴² M. Merschmeyer,⁴² A. Meyer,⁴² G. Mocellin,⁴² S. Mondal,⁴² S. Mukherjee,⁴² D. Noll,⁴² A. Novak,⁴² T. Pook,⁴² A. Pozdnyakov,⁴² Y. Rath,⁴² H. Reithler,⁴² J. Roemer,⁴² A. Schmidt,⁴² S. C. Schuler,⁴² A. Sharma,⁴² L. Vigilante,⁴² S. Wiedenbeck,⁴² S. Zaleski,⁴² C. Dziwok,⁴³ G. Flügge,⁴³ W. Haj Ahmad,^{43,u} O. Hlushchenko,⁴³ T. Kress,⁴³ A. Nowack,⁴³ C. Pistone,⁴³ O. Pooth,⁴³ D. Roy,⁴³ H. Sert,⁴³ A. Stahl,^{43,v} T. Ziemons,⁴³ A. Zotz,⁴³ H. Aarup Petersen,⁴⁴ M. Aldaya Martin,⁴⁴ P. Asmuss,⁴⁴ S. Baxter,⁴⁴ M. Bayatmakou,⁴⁴ O. Behnke,⁴⁴ A. Bermúdez Martínez,⁴⁴ S. Bhattacharya,⁴⁴ A. A. Bin Anuar,⁴⁴ K. Borras,^{44,w} D. Brunner,⁴⁴ A. Campbell,⁴⁴ A. Cardini,⁴⁴ C. Cheng,⁴⁴ F. Colombina,⁴⁴ S. Consuegra Rodríguez,⁴⁴ G. Correia Silva,⁴⁴ V. Danilov,⁴⁴ M. De Silva,⁴⁴ L. Didukh,⁴⁴ G. Eckerlin,⁴⁴ D. Eckstein,⁴⁴ L. I. Estevez Banos,⁴⁴ O. Filatov,⁴⁴ E. Gallo,^{44,x} A. Geiser,⁴⁴ A. Giraldi,⁴⁴ A. Grohsjean,⁴⁴ M. Guthoff,⁴⁴ A. Jafari,^{44,y} N. Z. Jomhari,⁴⁴ H. Jung,⁴⁴ A. Kasem,⁴⁴ M. Kasemann,⁴⁴ H. Kaveh,⁴⁴ C. Kleinwort,⁴⁴ D. Krücker,⁴⁴ W. Lange,⁴⁴ J. Lidrych,⁴⁴ K. Lipka,⁴⁴ W. Lohmann,^{44,z} R. Mankel,⁴⁴ I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,⁴⁴ M. Mendizabal Morentin,⁴⁴ J. Metwally,⁴⁴ A. B. Meyer,⁴⁴ M. Meyer,⁴⁴ J. Mnich,⁴⁴ A. Mussgiller,⁴⁴ Y. Otarid,⁴⁴ D. Pérez Adán,⁴⁴ D. Pitzl,⁴⁴ A. Raspereza,⁴⁴ B. Ribeiro Lopes,⁴⁴ J. Rübenach,⁴⁴ A. Saggio,⁴⁴ A. Saibel,⁴⁴ M. Savitskyi,⁴⁴ M. Scham,^{44,aa} V. Scheurer,⁴⁴ P. Schütze,⁴⁴ C. Schwanenberger,^{44,x} M. Shchedrolosiev,⁴⁴ R. E. Sosa Ricardo,⁴⁴ D. Stafford,⁴⁴ N. Tonon,⁴⁴ M. Van De Klundert,⁴⁴ R. Walsh,⁴⁴ D. Walter,⁴⁴ Y. Wen,⁴⁴ K. Wichmann,⁴⁴ L. Wiens,⁴⁴ C. Wissing,⁴⁴ S. Wuchterl,⁴⁴ R. Aggleton,⁴⁵ S. Albrecht,⁴⁵ S. Bein,⁴⁵ L. Benato,⁴⁵ P. Connor,⁴⁵ K. De Leo,⁴⁵ M. Eich,⁴⁵ F. Feindt,⁴⁵ A. Fröhlich,⁴⁵ C. Garbers,⁴⁵ E. Garutti,⁴⁵ P. Gunnellini,⁴⁵ M. Hajheidari,⁴⁵ J. Haller,⁴⁵ A. Hinzmann,⁴⁵ G. Kasieczka,⁴⁵ R. Klanner,⁴⁵ R. Kogler,⁴⁵ T. Kramer,⁴⁵ V. Kutzner,⁴⁵ J. Lange,⁴⁵ T. Lange,⁴⁵ A. Lobanov,⁴⁵ A. Malara,⁴⁵ A. Nigamova,⁴⁵ K. J. Pena Rodriguez,⁴⁵ O. Rieger,⁴⁵ P. Schleper,⁴⁵ M. Schröder,⁴⁵ J. Schwandt,⁴⁵ J. Sonneveld,⁴⁵ H. Stadie,⁴⁵ G. Steinbrück,⁴⁵ A. Tews,⁴⁵ I. Zoi,⁴⁵ J. Bechtel,⁴⁶ S. Brommer,⁴⁶ E. Butz,⁴⁶ R. Caspart,⁴⁶ T. Chwalek,⁴⁶ W. De Boer,^{46,a} A. Dierlamm,⁴⁶ A. Droll,⁴⁶ K. El Morabit,⁴⁶ N. Faltermann,⁴⁶ M. Giffels,⁴⁶ J. o. Gosewisch,⁴⁶ A. Gottmann,⁴⁶ F. Hartmann,^{46,v} C. Heidecker,⁴⁶ U. Husemann,⁴⁶ P. Keicher,⁴⁶ R. Koppenhöfer,⁴⁶ S. Maier,⁴⁶ M. Metzler,⁴⁶ S. Mitra,⁴⁶ Th. Müller,⁴⁶ M. Neukum,⁴⁶ A. Nürnberg,⁴⁶ G. Quast,⁴⁶ K. Rabbertz,⁴⁶ J. Rauser,⁴⁶ D. Savoiu,⁴⁶ M. Schnepf,⁴⁶ D. Seith,⁴⁶ I. Shvetsov,⁴⁶ H. J. Simonis,⁴⁶ R. Ulrich,⁴⁶ J. Van Der Linden,⁴⁶ R. F. Von Cube,⁴⁶ M. Wassmer,⁴⁶ M. Weber,⁴⁶ S. Wieland,⁴⁶ R. Wolf,⁴⁶ S. Wozniewski,⁴⁶ S. Wunsch,⁴⁶ G. Anagnostou,⁴⁷ G. Daskalakis,⁴⁷ T. Geralis,⁴⁷ A. Kyriakis,⁴⁷ D. Loukas,⁴⁷ A. Stakia,⁴⁷ M. Diamantopoulou,⁴⁸ D. Karasavvas,⁴⁸ G. Karathanasis,⁴⁸ P. Kontaxakis,⁴⁸ C. K. Koraka,⁴⁸ A. Manousakis-Katsikakis,⁴⁸ A. Panagiotou,⁴⁸ I. Papavergou,⁴⁸ N. Saoulidou,⁴⁸ K. Theofilatos,⁴⁸ E. Tziaferi,⁴⁸ K. Vellidis,⁴⁸ E. Vourliotis,⁴⁸ G. Bakas,⁴⁹ K. Kousouris,⁴⁹ I. Papakrivopoulos,⁴⁹ G. Tsipolitis,⁴⁹ A. Zacharopoulou,⁴⁹ K. Adamidis,⁵⁰ I. Bestintzanos,⁵⁰ I. Evangelou,⁵⁰ C. Foudas,⁵⁰ P. Gianneios,⁵⁰ P. Katsoulis,⁵⁰ P. Kokkas,⁵⁰ N. Manthos,⁵⁰ I. Papadopoulos,⁵⁰ J. Strologas,⁵⁰ M. Csanad,⁵¹ K. Farkas,⁵¹ M. M. A. Gadallah,^{51,bb} S. Lökös,^{51,cc} P. Major,⁵¹ K. Mandal,⁵¹ A. Mehta,⁵¹ G. Pasztor,⁵¹ A. J. Rádl,⁵¹ O. Surányi,⁵¹ G. I. Veres,⁵¹ M. Bartók,^{52,dd} G. Bencze,⁵² C. Hajdu,⁵² D. Horvath,^{52,ee} F. Sikler,⁵² V. Veszpremi,⁵² S. Czellar,⁵³ J. Karancsi,^{53,dd} J. Molnar,⁵³ Z. Szillasi,⁵³ D. Teyssier,⁵³ P. Raics,⁵⁴ Z. L. Trocsanyi,^{54,ff} B. Ujvari,⁵⁴ T. Csorgo,^{55,gg} F. Nemes,^{55,gg} T. Novak,⁵⁵ S. Choudhury,⁵⁶ J. R. Komaragiri,⁵⁶ D. Kumar,⁵⁶ L. Panwar,⁵⁶ P. C. Tiwari,⁵⁶ S. Bahinipati,^{57,hh} C. Kar,⁵⁷ P. Mal,⁵⁷ T. Mishra,⁵⁷ V. K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu,^{57,ii} A. Nayak,^{57,ii} P. Saha,⁵⁷ N. Sur,⁵⁷ S. K. Swain,⁵⁷ D. Vats,^{57,ii} S. Bansal,⁵⁸ S. B. Beri,⁵⁸ V. Bhatnagar,⁵⁸ G. Chaudhary,⁵⁸ S. Chauhan,⁵⁸ N. Dhingra,^{58,jj} R. Gupta,⁵⁸ A. Kaur,⁵⁸ M. Kaur,⁵⁸ S. Kaur,⁵⁸ P. Kumari,⁵⁸ M. Meena,⁵⁸ K. Sandeep,⁵⁸ J. B. Singh,⁵⁸ A. K. Virdi,⁵⁸ A. Ahmed,⁵⁹ A. Bhardwaj,⁵⁹ B. C. Choudhary,⁵⁹ M. Gola,⁵⁹ S. Keshri,⁵⁹ A. Kumar,⁵⁹ M. Naimuddin,⁵⁹ P. Priyanka,⁵⁹ K. Ranjan,⁵⁹ A. Shah,⁵⁹ M. Bharti,^{60,kk} R. Bhattacharya,⁶⁰ S. Bhattacharya,⁶⁰ D. Bhowmik,⁶⁰ S. Dutta,⁶⁰ S. Dutta,⁶⁰ B. Gomber,^{60,ll} M. Maity,^{60,mm} P. Palit,⁶⁰ P. K. Rout,⁶⁰ G. Saha,⁶⁰ B. Sahu,⁶⁰ S. Sarkar,⁶⁰ M. Sharan,⁶⁰ B. Singh,^{60,kk} S. Thakur,^{60,kk} P. K. Behera,⁶¹ S. C. Behera,⁶¹ P. Kalbhor,⁶¹ A. Muhammad,⁶¹ R. Pradhan,⁶¹ P. R. Pujahari,⁶¹ A. Sharma,⁶¹ A. K. Sikdar,⁶¹ D. Dutta,⁶² V. Jha,⁶² V. Kumar,⁶² D. K. Mishra,⁶² K. Naskar,^{62,nn} P. K. Netrakanti,⁶² L. M. Pant,⁶² P. Shukla,⁶² T. Aziz,⁶³ S. Dugad,⁶³ M. Kumar,⁶³ S. Banerjee,⁶⁴ R. Chudasama,⁶⁴ M. Guchait,⁶⁴ S. Karmakar,⁶⁴ S. Kumar,⁶⁴ G. Majumder,⁶⁴ K. Mazumdar,⁶⁴ S. Mukherjee,⁶⁴ K. Alpana,⁶⁵ S. Dube,⁶⁵ B. Kansal,⁶⁵ A. Laha,⁶⁵ S. Pandey,⁶⁵ A. Rane,⁶⁵ A. Rastogi,⁶⁵ S. Sharma,⁶⁵ H. Bakhshiansohi,^{66,00} E. Khazaie,⁶⁶ M. Zeinali,^{66,pp} S. Chenarani,^{67,qq} S. M. Etesami,⁶⁷ M. Khakzad,⁶⁷ M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,⁶⁷ M. Grunewald,⁶⁸ M. Abbrescia,^{69a,69b} R. Aly,^{69a,69b,rr} C. Aruta,^{69a,69b} A. Colaleo,^{69a}

D. Creanza, ^{69a,69c} N. De Filippis, ^{69a,69c} M. De Palma, ^{69a,69b} A. Di Florio, ^{69a,69b} A. Di Pilato, ^{69a,69b} W. Elmetenawee, ^{69a,69b} L. Fiore, ^{69a} A. Gelmi, ^{69a,69c} M. Gul, ^{69a} G. Iaselli, ^{69a,69c} M. Ince, ^{69a,69b} S. Lezki, ^{69a,69b} G. Maggi, ^{69a,69c} M. Maggi, ^{69a}
I. Margieka, ^{69a,69c} D. Ramos, ^{69a} A. Ranieri, ^{69a} G. Selvaggi, ^{69a,69b} L. Silvestris, ^{69a} F. M. Simone, ^{69a,69b} A. Pompili, ^{69a,69b} A. Perlecchia, ^{69a,69b} A. Pompili, ^{69a,69b} G. Pugliese, ^{69a,69b} D. Ramos, ^{69a} A. Ranieri, ^{69a} G. Selvaggi, ^{69a,69b} L. Silvestris, ^{69a} F. M. Simone, ^{69a,69b} R. Venditti, ^{69a} P. Verwilligen, ^{69a} G. Abbiendi, ^{70a} C. Battilana, ^{70a,70b} D. Bonacorsi, ^{70a,70b} L. Borgonovi, ^{70a} L. Brigliadori, ^{70a} R. Campanini, ^{70a,70b} P. Capiluppi, ^{70a,70b} A. Castro, ^{70a,70b} F. R. Cavallo, ^{70a} M. Cuffiani, ^{70a,70b} G. M. Dallavalle, ^{70a} T. Diotalevi, ^{70a,70b} F. Fabbri, ^{70a} A. Fanfani, ^{70a,70b} P. Giacomelli, ^{70a} L. Giommi, ^{70a,70b} C. Grandi, ^{70a} L. Guiducci, ^{70a,70b} S. Lo Meo, ^{70a,70b} T. Rovelli, ^{70a,70b} G. P. Siroli, ^{70a,70b} S. Albergo, ^{71a,71b,tt} S. Costa, ^{71a,71b,tt} A. Di Mattia, ^{71a} R. Potenza, ^{71a,71b} A. Tricomi, ^{71a,71b,tt} C. Tuve, ^{71a,71b} G. Barbagli, ^{72a} A. Cassese, ^{72a} R. Ceccarelli, ^{72a,72b} V. Ciulli, ^{72a,72b} C. Civinini, ^{72a} S. Paoletti, ^{72a,72b} F. Focardi, ^{72a,72b} G. Latino, ^{72a,72b} P. Lenzi, ^{72a,72b} M. Lizzo, ^{72a,72b} M. Meschini, ^{72a} S. Paoletti, ^{72a,72b} F. De Guio, ^{75a,75b} M. E. Dinardo, ^{75a,75b} P. Dini, ^{75a} S. Gennai, ^{75a} A. Ghezzi, ^{75a,75b} P. Govoni, ^{75a,75b} L. Guzzi, ^{75a,75b} N. Redaelli, ^{75a,75b} N. Redaelli, ^{75a,75b} D. Valsecchi, ^{75a,75b} N. Bagonoi, ^{75a,75b} N. Redaelli, ^{75a,75b} N. Redaelli, ^{75a,75b} D. Valsecchi, ^{75a,75b} N. Pedrini, ^{75a} S. Buontempo, ^{76a} F. Carnevali, ^{76a,76c} N. Cavallo, ^{76a,76c} A. De Iorio, ^{76a,76b} F. Fabozzi, ^{75a,75b} N. Jagono, ^{75a,75b} N. Redaelli, ^{75a,75b} N. Cavallo, ^{76a,76c} F. Fabozzi, ^{75a,75b} N. M. T. Lucchini, ^{73a}, T. M. Malberti, ^{75a} S. Malvezzi, ^{75a} A. Massironi, ^{75a} D. Menasce, ^{75a} L. Moroni, ^{75a} M. Paganoni, ^{75a, 75b} D. Pedrini, ^{75a, 75b} S. Buontempo, ^{76a} F. Carnevali, ^{76a, 75b} N. Redaelli, ^{75a} T. Tabarelli de Fatis, ^{75a, 75b} D. Valsecchi, ^{75a, 75b} D. Zuolo, ^{75a, 75b} S. Buontempo, ^{76a} F. Carnevali, ^{76a, 76b} N. Cavallo, ^{76a, 76c} A. De Iorio, ^{76a, 76c} F. Fabozzi, ^{76a, 76c} A. O. M. Iorio, ^{76a, 76b} L. Lista, ^{76a, 75b} S. Meola, ^{76a, 76b} N. Cavallo, ^{76a, 77b} R. Carlin, ^{77a, 77b} P. Checchia, ^{77a} T. Dorigo, ^{77a} U. Dosselli, ^{77a} F. Gasparini, ^{77a, 77b} P. Bortignon, ^{77a} A. Bragagnolo, ^{77a, 77b} R. Carlin, ^{77a, 77b} P. Checchia, ^{77a} T. Dorigo, ^{77a} U. Dosselli, ^{77a} F. Gasparini, ^{77a, 77b} P. Bartignon, ^{77a, 77b} G. Grosso, ^{77a} S. Y. Hoh, ^{77a, 77b} F. Simonetto, ^{77a, 77b} G. Strong, ^{77a, 77b} H. Marar, ^{77a, 77b} H. Zaneti, ^{77a, 77b} R. Ronchese, ^{77a, 77b} R. Rossin, ^{77a, 77b} F. Simonetto, ^{77a, 77b} G. Strong, ^{77a, 77b} H. Marar, ^{77a, 77b} J. Pazzini, ^{77a, 77b} P. Montagna, ^{78a, 78a} S. P. Ratti, ^{78a, 78b} P. Salvini, ^{78a, 78a} S. Calzaferri, ^{78a, 78b} P. Montagna, ^{78a, 78b} S. P. Ratti, ^{78a, 77b} L. Fano, ^{79a, 79b} C. Riccardi, ^{78a, 77b} P. Salvini, ^{78a, 79b} A. Rossi, ^{79a, 79b} M. Magherini, ^{79a, 79b} G. Mantovani, ^{79a, 79b} V. Mariani, ^{79a, 79b} M. Menichelli, ^{79a} F. Moscatelli, ^{79a, 79b} P. Lariccia, ^{79a, 79b} M. Magherini, ^{79a, 79b} G. Mantovani, ^{79a, 79b} V. Mariani, ^{79a, 79b} M. Menichelli, ^{79a, 79b} L. Fano, ^{79a, 79b} O. Bagliesi, ^{80a} V. Bertacchi, ^{80a, 80b} R. Rossi, ^{79a, 79b} A. Santocchia, ^{80a, 80b} R. Castaldi, ^{80a} M. A. Ciocci, ^{80a, 80b} V. D'Amante, ^{80a, 80c} A. Messine, ^{80a, 80b} F. Palla, ^{80a} S. Parolia, ^{80a, 80b} G. Ramirez-Sanchez, ^{80a, 80c} A. Rizzi, ^{80a, 80b} V. D'Amante, ^{80a, 80c} A. Messine, ^{80a, 80b} F. Palla, ^{80a} S. Parolia, ^{80a, 80b} G. Ramirez-Sanchez, ^{80a, 80c} A. Rizzi, ^{80a, 80b} N. Turini, ^{80a, 80b} M. A. Cinocci, ^{80a, 80b} P. Paganolo, ^{80a, 8} G. N. Kim,⁶⁴ J. Kim,⁶⁴ J. Lee,⁶⁴ S. W. Lee,⁶⁴ C. S. Moon,⁶⁴ Y. D. Oh,⁶⁴ S. I. Pak,⁶⁴ B. C. Radburn-Smith,⁶⁴ S. Sekmen,⁶⁴ Y. C. Yang,⁸⁴ H. Kim,⁸⁵ D. H. Moon,⁸⁵ B. Francois,⁸⁶ T. J. Kim,⁸⁶ J. Park,⁸⁶ S. Cho,⁸⁷ S. Choi,⁸⁷ Y. Go,⁸⁷ B. Hong,⁸⁷ K. Lee,⁸⁷ K. S. Lee,⁸⁷ J. Lim,⁸⁷ J. Park,⁸⁷ S. K. Park,⁸⁷ J. Yoo,⁸⁷ J. Goh,⁸⁸ A. Gurtu,⁸⁸ H. S. Kim,⁸⁹ Y. Kim,⁸⁹ J. Almond,⁹⁰ J. H. Bhyun,⁹⁰ J. Choi,⁹⁰ S. Jeon,⁹⁰ J. Kim,⁹⁰ J. S. Kim,⁹⁰ S. Ko,⁹⁰ H. Kwon,⁹⁰ H. Lee,⁹⁰ S. Lee,⁹⁰ B. H. Oh,⁹⁰ M. Oh,⁹⁰ S. B. Oh,⁹⁰ H. Seo,⁹⁰ U. K. Yang,⁹⁰ I. Yoon,⁹⁰ W. Jang,⁹¹ D. Y. Kang,⁹¹ Y. Kang,⁹¹ S. Kim,⁹¹ B. Ko,⁹¹ J. S. H. Lee,⁹¹ Y. Lee,⁹¹ I. C. Park,⁹¹ Y. Roh,⁹¹ M. S. Ryu,⁹¹ D. Song,⁹¹ I. J. Watson,⁹¹ S. Yang,⁹¹ S. Ha,⁹² H. D. Yoo,⁹² M. Choi,⁹³ H. Lee,⁹³ Y. Lee,⁹³ I. Yu,⁹³ T. Beyrouthy,⁹⁴ Y. Maghrbi,⁹⁴ T. Torims,⁹⁵ V. Veckalns,^{95,ww} M. Ambrozas,⁹⁶ A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira,⁶⁴ A. Juodagalvis,⁹⁶ A. Rinkevicius,⁹⁶ G. Tamulaitis,⁹⁶ N. Bin Norjoharuddeen,⁹⁷ W. A. T. Wan Abdullah,⁹⁷ M. N. Yusli,⁹⁷ Z. Zolkapli,⁹⁷ J. F. Benitez,⁹⁸ A. Castaneda Hernandez,⁹⁸ M. León Coello,⁹⁸ J. A. Murillo Quijada,⁹⁸ A. Sehrawat,⁹⁸

L. Valencia Palomo,⁹⁸ G. Ayala,⁹⁹ H. Castilla-Valdez,⁹⁹ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁹⁹ I. Heredia-De La Cruz,^{99,xx} R. Lopez-Fernandez,⁹⁹ C. A. Mondragon Herrera,⁹⁹ D. A. Perez Navarro,⁹⁹ A. Sánchez Hernández,⁹⁹ S. Carrillo Moreno,¹⁰⁰ R. Lopez-Fernandez, ⁷ C. A. Mondragon Herrera, ⁷ D. A. Perez Navarro, ⁷ A. Sanchez Hernandez, ⁷ S. Carrillo Moreno, ¹⁰ C. Oropeza Barrera, ¹⁰⁰ F. Vazquez Valencia, ¹⁰⁰ I. Pedraza, ¹⁰¹ H. A. Salazar Ibarguen, ¹⁰¹ C. Uribe Estrada, ¹⁰¹ J. Mijuskovic, ^{102,yy} N. Raicevic, ¹⁰² D. Krofcheck, ¹⁰³ P. H. Butler, ¹⁰⁴ A. Ahmad, ¹⁰⁵ M. I. Asghar, ¹⁰⁵ A. Awais, ¹⁰⁵ M. I. Mayan, ¹⁰⁵ H. R. Hoorani, ¹⁰⁵ W. A. Khan, ¹⁰⁵ M. A. Shah, ¹⁰⁵ M. Shoaib, ¹⁰⁵ M. Waqas, ¹⁰⁵ V. Avati, ¹⁰⁶ L. Grzanka, ¹⁰⁶ M. Malawski, ¹⁰⁶ H. Bialkowska, ¹⁰⁷ M. Bluj, ¹⁰⁷ B. Boimska, ¹⁰⁷ M. Górski, ¹⁰⁷ M. Kazana, ¹⁰⁷ M. Szleper, ¹⁰⁷ P. Zalewski, ¹⁰⁷ K. Bunkowski, ¹⁰⁸ K. Doroba, ¹⁰⁸ A. Kalinowski, ¹⁰⁸ M. Konecki, ¹⁰⁸ J. Krolikowski, ¹⁰⁸ M. Araujo, ¹⁰⁹ P. Bargassa, ¹⁰⁹ D. Bastos, ¹⁰⁹ A. Boletti, ¹⁰⁹ P. Faccioli, ¹⁰⁹ M. Gallinaro, ¹⁰⁹ J. Hollar, ¹⁰⁹ N. Leonardo, ¹⁰⁹ T. Niknejad, ¹⁰⁹ M. Pisano, ¹⁰⁹ J. Seixas, ¹⁰⁹ O. Toldaiev, ¹⁰⁹ J. Varela, ¹⁰⁰ S. Afanasiev, ¹¹⁰ D. Budkouski, ¹¹⁰ I. Golutvin, ¹¹⁰ I. Gorbunov, ¹¹⁰ V. Karjavine, ¹¹⁰ M. Savine, ¹¹⁰ V. Korenkov,¹¹⁰ A. Lanev,¹¹⁰ A. Malakhov,¹¹⁰ V. Matveev,^{110,zz,aaa} V. Palichik,¹¹⁰ V. Perelygin,¹¹⁰ M. Savina,¹¹⁰ D. Seitova,¹¹⁰ V. Shalaev,¹¹⁰ S. Shmatov,¹¹⁰ S. Shulha,¹¹⁰ V. Smirnov,¹¹⁰ O. Teryaev,¹¹⁰ N. Voytishin,¹¹⁰ B. S. Yuldashev,^{110,bbb} A. Zarubin,¹¹⁰ I. Zhizhin,¹¹⁰ G. Gavrilov,¹¹¹ V. Golovtcov,¹¹¹ Y. Ivanov,¹¹¹ V. Kim,^{111,ecc} B. S. Tuldashev, A. Zardohi, T. Zhizhin, G. Gavinov, V. Golovicov, T. Ivanov, V. Khil,
E. Kuznetsova, ^{111,ddd} V. Murzin, ¹¹¹ V. Oreshkin, ¹¹¹ I. Smirnov, ¹¹¹ D. Sosnov, ¹¹¹ V. Sulimov, ¹¹¹ L. Uvarov, ¹¹¹ S. Volkov, ¹¹¹
A. Vorobyev, ¹¹¹ Yu. Andreev, ¹¹² A. Dermenev, ¹¹² S. Gninenko, ¹¹² N. Golubev, ¹¹² A. Karneyeu, ¹¹² D. Kirpichnikov, ¹¹²
M. Kirsanov, ¹¹² N. Krasnikov, ¹¹² A. Pashenkov, ¹¹² G. Pivovarov, ¹¹² A. Toropin, ¹¹² V. Epshteyn, ¹¹³ V. Gavrilov, ¹¹³
N. Lychkovskaya, ¹¹³ A. Nikitenko, ^{113,eee} V. Popov, ¹¹³ A. Stepennov, ¹¹³ M. Toms, ¹¹³ E. Vlasov, ¹¹³ A. Zhokin, ¹¹³ T. Aushev,¹¹⁴ O. Bychkova,¹¹⁵ R. Chistov,^{115,fff} M. Danilov,^{115,fff} A. Oskin,¹¹⁵ P. Parygin,¹¹⁵ S. Polikarpov,^{115,fff} V. Andreev, ¹¹⁶ M. Azarkin, ¹¹⁶ I. Dremin, ¹¹⁶ M. Kirakosyan, ¹¹⁶ A. Terkulov, ¹¹⁶ A. Belyaev, ¹¹⁷ E. Boos, ¹¹⁷ V. Bunichev, ¹¹⁷ M. Dubinin, ^{117,ggg} L. Dudko, ¹¹⁷ A. Ershov, ¹¹⁷ V. Klyukhin, ¹¹⁷ N. Korneeva, ¹¹⁷ I. Lokhtin, ¹¹⁷ S. Obraztsov, ¹¹⁷ M. Perfilov, ¹¹⁷ V. Savrin, ¹¹⁷ P. Volkov, ¹¹⁷ V. Blinov, ^{118,hhh} T. Dimova, ^{118,hhh} L. Kardapoltsev, ^{118,hhh} A. Kozyrev, ^{118,hhh} I. Ovtin, ^{118,hhh} V. Skovpen, ^{118,hhh} I. Azhgirey, ¹¹⁹ D. Elumakhov, ¹¹⁹ V. Kachanov, ¹¹⁹ D. Konstantinov, ¹¹⁹ P. Mandrik, ¹¹⁹ N. Skovpen, T. Azigney, T. Baysnev, D. Elumaknov, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, F. Malurik, V. Petrov,¹¹⁹ R. Ryutin,¹¹⁹ S. Slabospitskii,¹¹⁹ A. Sobol,¹¹⁹ S. Troshin,¹¹⁹ N. Tyurin,¹¹⁹ A. Uzunian,¹¹⁹ A. Volkov,¹¹⁹ A. Babaev,¹²⁰ V. Okhotnikov,¹²⁰ V. Borshch,¹²¹ V. Ivanchenko,¹²¹ E. Tcherniaev,¹²¹ P. Adzic,^{122,iii} M. Dordevic,¹²² P. Milenovic,¹²² J. Milosevic,¹²² M. Aguilar-Benitez,¹²³ J. Alcaraz Maestre,¹²³ A. Álvarez Fernández,¹²³ I. Bachiller,¹²³ M. Barrio Luna,¹²³ Cristina F. Bedoya,¹²³ C. A. Carrillo Montoya,¹²³ M. Cepeda,¹²³ M. Cerrada,¹²³ N. Colino,¹²³ B. De La Cruz,¹²³ A. Delgado Peris,¹²³ J. P. Fernández Ramos,¹²³ J. Flix,¹²³ M. C. Fouz,¹²³ O. Gonzalez Lopez,¹²³ S. Goy Lopez,¹²³ J. M. Hernandez,¹²³ M. I. Josa,¹²³ J. León Holgado,¹²³ D. Moran,¹²³ Á. Navarro Tobar,¹²³ C. Perez Dengra,¹²³ A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo,¹²³ J. Puerta Pelayo,¹²³ I. Redondo,¹²³ L. Romero,¹²³ S. Sánchez Navas,¹²³ L. Urda Gómez,¹²³ C. Willmott,¹²³ J. F. de Trocóniz,¹²⁴ R. Reyes-Almanza,¹²⁴ B. Alvarez Gonzalez,¹²⁵ J. Cuevas,¹²⁵ C. Erice,¹²⁵ J. Fernandez Menendez,¹²⁵ S. Folgueras,¹²⁵ I. Gonzalez Caballero,¹²⁵ J. R. González Fernández,¹²⁵ E. Palencia Cortezon,¹²⁵ C. Ramón Álvarez,¹²⁵ V. Rodríguez Bouza,¹²⁵ A. Soto Rodríguez,¹²⁵ A. Trapote,¹²⁵ N. Trevisani,¹²⁶ C. Vico Villalba,¹²⁵ J. A. Brochero Cifuentes,¹²⁶ I. J. Cabrillo,¹²⁶ A. Calderon,¹²⁶ J. Duarte Campderros,¹²⁶ M. Fernandez,¹²⁶ C. Fernandez Madrazo,¹²⁶ P. J. Fernández Manteca,¹²⁶ A. García Alonso,¹²⁶ G. Gomez,¹²⁶ C. Martinez Rivero,¹²⁶ P. Matorras,¹²⁶ P. Matorras Cuevas,¹²⁶ J. Piedra Gomez,¹²⁶ C. Prieels,¹²⁶ T. Rodrigo,¹²⁶ A. Ruiz-Jimeno,¹²⁶ L. Scodellaro,¹²⁶ I. Vila,¹²⁶ J. M. Vizan Garcia,¹²⁶ M. K. Jayananda,¹²⁷ B. Kailasapathy,¹²⁷,¹¹⁷
 D. U. J. Sonnadara,¹²⁷ D. D. C. Wickramarathna,¹²⁷ W. G. D. Dharmaratna,¹²⁸ K. Liyanage,¹²⁸ N. Perera,¹²⁸ D. U. J. Sonnadara, ¹²⁹ D. D. C. Wickramaratinna, W. G. D. Dharmaratina, K. Liyanage, N. Felera,
N. Wickramage, ¹²⁸ T. K. Aarrestad, ¹²⁹ D. Abbaneo, ¹²⁹ J. Alimena, ¹²⁹ E. Auffray, ¹²⁹ G. Auzinger, ¹²⁹ J. Baechler, ¹²⁹
P. Baillon, ^{129,a} D. Barney, ¹²⁹ J. Bendavid, ¹²⁹ M. Bianco, ¹²⁹ A. Bocci, ¹²⁹ T. Camporesi, ¹²⁹ M. Capeans Garrido, ¹²⁹
G. Cerminara, ¹²⁹ N. Chernyavskaya, ¹²⁹ S. S. Chhibra, ¹²⁹ M. Cipriani, ¹²⁹ L. Cristella, ¹²⁹ D. d'Enterria, ¹²⁹ A. Dabrowski, ¹²⁹
A. David, ¹²⁹ A. De Roeck, ¹²⁹ M. M. Defranchis, ¹²⁹ M. Deile, ¹²⁹ M. Dobson, ¹²⁹ M. Dünser, ¹²⁹ N. Dupont, ¹²⁹
A. Elliott-Peisert, ¹²⁹ N. Emriskova, ¹²⁹ F. Fallavollita, ¹²⁹ K. D. Fasanella, ¹²⁹ A. Florent, ¹²⁹ G. Franzoni, ¹²⁹ W. Funk, ¹²⁹
C. Cinnella, ¹²⁹ D. Cinnella, ¹²⁹ K. Cinnella, ¹²⁹ K. Complex, ¹²⁹ F. Fallavollita, ¹²⁹ M. Hangarata, ¹²⁹ Y. Impogenta, ¹²⁹ T. James, ¹²⁹ J. Janese, ¹²⁹ J. Jane A. Elliott-Peisert, N. Emriskova, F. Fallavollita, G. D. Fasanella, A. Florent, G. Franzoni, W. Funk,
S. Giani,¹²⁹ D. Gigi,¹²⁹ K. Gill,¹²⁹ F. Glege,¹²⁹ L. Gouskos,¹²⁹ M. Haranko,¹²⁹ J. Hegeman,¹²⁹ V. Innocente,¹²⁹ T. James,¹²⁹ P. Janot,¹²⁹ J. Kaspar,¹²⁹ J. Kieseler,¹²⁹ M. Komm,¹²⁹ N. Kratochwil,¹²⁹ C. Lange,¹²⁹ S. Laurila,¹²⁹ P. Lecoq,¹²⁹ A. Lintuluoto,¹²⁹ K. Long,¹²⁹ C. Lourenço,¹²⁹ B. Maier,¹²⁹ L. Malgeri,¹²⁹ S. Mallios,¹²⁹ M. Mannelli,¹²⁹ A. C. Marini,¹²⁹ F. Meijers,¹²⁹ S. Mersi,¹²⁹ E. Meschi,¹²⁹ F. Moortgat,¹²⁹ M. Mulders,¹²⁹ S. Orfanelli,¹²⁹ L. Orsini,¹²⁹ F. Pantaleo,¹²⁹ L. Pape,¹²⁹ E. Perez,¹²⁹ M. Peruzzi,¹²⁹ A. Petrilli,¹²⁹ G. Petrucciani,¹²⁹ A. Pfeiffer,¹²⁹ M. Pierini,¹²⁹ D. Piparo,¹²⁹ M. Pitt,¹²⁹ H. Qu,¹²⁹ T. Quast,¹²⁹ D. Rabady,¹²⁹ A. Racz,¹²⁹ G. Reales Gutiérrez,¹²⁹ M. Rieger,¹²⁹ M. Rovere,¹²⁹ H. Sakulin,¹²⁹ J. Salfeld-Nebgen,¹²⁹ S. Scarfi,¹²⁹ C. Schäfer,¹²⁹ C. Schwick,¹²⁹ M. Selvaggi,¹²⁹ A. Sharma,¹²⁹ P. Silva,¹²⁹ W. Snoeys,¹²⁹ P. Sphicas,^{129,III} S. Summers,¹²⁹ K. Tatar,¹²⁹ V. R. Tavolaro,¹²⁹ D. Treille,¹²⁹ P. Tropea,¹²⁹ A. Tsirou,¹²⁹ G. P. Van Onsem,¹²⁹

J. Wanczyk,^{129,mmm} K. A. Wozniak,¹²⁹ W. D. Zeuner,¹²⁹ L. Caminada,^{130,nnn} A. Ebrahimi,¹³⁰ W. Erdmann,¹³⁰
R. Horisberger,¹³⁰ Q. Ingram,¹³⁰ H. C. Kaestli,¹³⁰ D. Kotlinski,¹³⁰ U. Langenegger,¹³⁰ M. Missiroli,^{130,nnn} L. Noehte,^{130,nnn} T. Rohe,¹³⁰ K. Androsov,^{131,mmm} M. Backhaus,¹³¹ P. Berger,¹³¹ A. Calandri,¹³¹ A. De Cosa,¹³¹ G. Dissertori,¹³¹
M. Dittmar,¹³¹ M. Donegà,¹³¹ C. Dorfer,¹³¹ F. Eble,¹³¹ K. Gedia,¹³¹ F. Glessgen,¹³¹ T. A. Gómez Espinosa,¹³¹ C. Grab,¹³¹
D. Hits,¹³¹ W. Lustermann,¹³¹ A.-M. Lyon,¹³¹ R. A. Manzoni,¹³¹ L. Marchese,¹³¹ C. Martin Perez,¹³¹ M. T. Meinhard,¹³¹
F. Nessi-Tedaldi,¹³¹ J. Niedziela,¹³¹ F. Pauss,¹³¹ V. Perovic,¹³¹ S. Pigazzini,¹³¹ M. G. Ratti,¹³¹ M. Reichmann,¹³¹
C. Reissel,¹³¹ T. Reitenspiess,¹³¹ B. Ristic,¹³¹ D. Ruini,¹³¹ D. A. Sanz Becerra,¹³¹ V. Stampf,¹³¹ J. Steggemann,^{131,mmm}
R. Wallny,¹³¹ D. H. Zhu,¹³¹ C. Amsler,^{132,000} P. Bärtschi,¹³² C. Botta,¹³² D. Brzhechko,¹³² M. F. Canelli,¹³² K. Cormier,¹³²
A. De Wit,¹³² R. Del Burgo,¹³² J. K. Heikkilä,¹³² M. Huwiler,¹³² W. Jin,¹³² A. Jofrehei,¹³² B. Kilminster,¹³² S. Leontsinis,¹³² A. De Wit,¹³² R. Del Burgo,¹³² J. K. Heikkilä,¹³² M. Huwiler,¹³² W. Jin,¹³² A. Jofrehei,¹³² B. Kilminster,¹³² S. Leontsinis,¹³² S. P. Liechti,¹³² A. Macchiolo,¹³² P. Meiring,¹³² V. M. Mikuni,¹³² U. Molinatti,¹³² I. Neutelings,¹³² A. Reimers,¹³² P. Robmann,¹³² S. Sanchez Cruz,¹³² K. Schweiger,¹³² Y. Takahashi,¹³² C. Adloff,^{133,ppp} C. M. Kuo,¹³³ W. Lin,¹³³ A. Roy,¹³³ T. Sarkar,^{133,mm} S. S. Yu,¹³³ L. Ceard,¹³⁴ Y. Chao,¹³⁴ K. F. Chen,¹³⁴ M. C. Chen,¹³⁴ P. H. Chen,¹³⁴ W.-S. Hou,¹³⁴ Y. W. Kao,¹³⁴ Y. y. Li,¹³⁴ R.-S. Lu,¹³⁴ E. Paganis,¹³⁴ A. Psallidas,¹³⁴ A. Steen,¹³⁴ H. y. Wu,¹³⁴ E. Yazgan,¹³⁴ P. r. Yu,¹³⁴ B. Asavapibhop,¹³⁵ C. Asawatangtrakuldee,¹³⁵ N. Srimanobhas,¹³⁵ F. Boran,¹³⁶ S. Damarseckin,^{136,000} Z. S. Demiroglu,¹³⁶ F. Dolek,¹³⁶ I. Dumanoglu,^{136,mr} E. Eskut,¹³⁶ Y. Guler,^{136,858} E. Gurpinar Guler,^{136,858} C. Isik,¹³⁶ O. Kara,¹³⁶ A. Kayis Topaksu,¹³⁶ U. Kiminsu,¹³⁶ G. Onengut,¹³⁶ K. Ozdemir,^{136,184} A. Polatoz,¹³⁶ A. E. Simsek,¹³⁶ B. Tali,^{136,uuu} U. G. Tok,¹³⁶ S. Turkcapar,¹³⁶ I. S. Zorbakir,¹³⁶ C. Zorbilmez,¹³⁶ B. Isildak,^{137,vvv} G. Karapinar,^{137,wvw} K. Ocalan,^{137,xxx} M. Yalvac,^{137,yyy} B. Akgun,¹³⁸ I. O. Atakisi,¹³⁸ E. Gülmez,¹³⁹ M. Kaya,^{138,zzz} O. Kaya,^{138,aaaa} Ö. Özçelik,¹³⁸ S. Tekten,^{138,bbbb} E. A. Yetkin,^{138,ceee} A. Cakir,¹³⁹ K. Cankocak,^{139,rrr} Y. Komurcu,¹³⁹ S. Sen,^{139,dddd} S. Cerci,^{140,uuu} I. Hos,^{140,eeee} B. Kaynak,¹⁴⁰ S. Ozkorucuklu,¹⁴⁰ D. Sunar Cerci,^{140,uuu} B. Grynyov,¹⁴¹ L. Levchuk,¹⁴² D. Anthony,¹⁴³ E. Bhal,¹⁴³ S. Bologna,¹⁴³ J. J. Brooke,¹⁴³ A. Bundock,¹⁴³ S. Paramesvaran,¹⁴³ S. Seif El Nasr-Storey,¹⁴³ V. J. Smith,¹⁴³ N. Stylianou,^{143,ffff} S. Ozkorucuklu,¹⁴⁰ D. Sunar Cerci,^{140,um} B. Grynyov,¹⁴¹ L. Levchuk,¹⁴² D. Anthony,¹⁴³ S. Bologna,¹⁴³
 J. Brooke,¹⁴³ A. Bundock,¹⁴³ E. Clement,¹⁴³ D. Cussans,¹⁴³ H. Flacher,¹⁴³ J. Goldstein,¹⁴³ G. P. Heath,¹⁴³ H. F. Heath,¹⁴³
 L. Kreczko,¹⁴⁴ B. Krikler,¹⁴³ S. Paramesvaran,¹⁴³ S. Seif El Nasr-Storey,¹⁴⁴ V. J. Smith,¹⁴³ M. F. Heath,¹⁴³
 K. Walkingshaw Pass,¹⁴³ R. White,¹⁴³ K. W. Bell,¹⁴⁴ A. Belyaev,¹⁴⁴ REZ C. Brew,¹⁴⁴ R. M. Brown,¹⁴⁴ D. J. A. Cockerill,¹⁴⁴
 C. Cooke,¹⁴⁴ K. V. Ellis,¹⁴⁴ K. Harder,¹⁴⁴ S. Harper,¹⁴⁴ M.-L. Holmberg,^{144,hhhh} J. Linacre,¹⁴⁴ K. Manolopoulos,¹⁴⁴
 D. M. Newbold,¹⁴⁴ E. Olaiya,¹⁴⁴ D. Petyt,¹⁴⁴ T. Reis,¹⁴⁴ T. Schuh,¹⁴⁴ C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous,¹⁴⁴ R. Tomalin,¹⁴⁴
 T. Williams,¹⁴⁴ P. Dolling,¹⁴⁵ P. Dauncey,¹⁴⁵ G. Davies,¹⁴⁵ M. Della Negra,¹⁴⁵ S. Fayer,¹⁴⁵ G. Feil,¹⁴⁵ G. Hall,¹⁴⁵
 M. H. Hassanshahi,¹⁴⁵ G. Iles,¹⁴⁵ D. M. Raymond,¹⁴⁵ A. Richards,¹⁴⁵ A. Rose,¹⁴⁵ S. Sott,¹⁴⁵ C. Seez,¹⁴⁵ A. Shtipliyski,¹⁴⁵
 A. Tapper,¹⁴⁵ K. Uchida,¹⁴⁵ D. W. Raymond,¹⁴⁵ A. Richards,¹⁴⁵ A. Rose,¹⁴⁵ S. Sott,¹⁴⁵ C. Seez,¹⁴⁵ A. Shtipliyski,¹⁴⁷
 A. Brinkerhoff,¹⁴⁷ B. Caraway,¹⁴⁷ J. Dittmann,¹⁴⁷ K. Hatakeyama,¹⁴⁷ A. R. Kanuganti,¹⁴⁷ S. Sudid,¹⁴⁶ S. Abdullin,¹⁴⁷
 A. Burinkerhoff,¹⁴⁷ B. Caraway,¹⁴⁷ J. Dittmann,¹⁴⁷ D. Di Croce,¹⁴⁹ S. V. Gleyzer,¹⁴⁹ C. Henderson,¹⁴⁶ C. U. Perez,¹⁴⁹
 P. Rumerio,^{149,MMK} C. Weat,¹⁴⁹ A. Akpinar,¹⁵⁰ A. Albert,¹⁵⁰ D. Spitzbart,¹⁵⁰ I. Suarez,¹⁵⁰ A. Tastos,¹⁵⁰ S. Tvan,¹⁶⁰
 D. Gastler,¹⁵⁰ S. May,¹⁵⁰ J. Rohlf,¹⁵⁰ K. Salyer,¹⁵¹ D. Deroce,¹⁴⁹ S. V. Gleyzer,¹⁴⁹ C. Henderson,¹⁴⁶ S. Loop,¹⁵⁴ K. Uniyal,¹⁴⁸
 M. Vargas Hernandez,¹⁴⁸ A. Burclili,¹⁴⁹ S. I. Cooper,¹⁴⁹ D. Di Croce,¹⁴⁹ S. V. Gleyzer,¹⁴⁹ C. Henderson,¹⁴⁵ C. Uperez,¹⁴⁹ D. G. Aota Y. Xiang,¹⁵⁵ A. Yagil,¹⁵⁵ N. Amin,¹⁵⁶ C. Campagnari,¹⁵⁶ M. Citron,¹⁵⁶ A. Dorsett,¹⁵⁶ V. Dutta,¹⁵⁶ J. Incandela,¹⁵⁶
 M. Kilpatrick,¹⁵⁶ J. Kim,¹⁵⁶ B. Marsh,¹⁵⁶ H. Mei,¹⁵⁶ M. Oshiro,¹⁵⁶ M. Quinnan,¹⁵⁶ J. Richman,¹⁵⁶ U. Sarica,¹⁵⁶ F. Setti,¹⁵⁶

 J. Sheplock,¹⁵⁶ D. Stuart,¹⁵⁶ S. Wang,¹⁵⁶ A. Bornheim,¹⁵⁷ O. Cerri,¹⁵⁷ I. Duta,¹⁵⁷ J. M. Lawhom,¹⁵⁷ N. Lu,¹⁵⁷ J. Mao,¹⁵⁷
 J. Sheplock,¹⁵⁶ D. Stuart,¹⁵⁶ S. Wang,¹⁵⁶ A. Bornheim,¹⁵⁷ O. Cerri,¹⁵⁷ I. Duta,¹⁵⁷ J. M. Lawhom,¹⁵⁷ N. Lu,¹⁵⁷ J. Mao,¹⁵⁷
 J. Alison,¹³⁸ S. An,¹³⁸ M. B. Andrews,¹³⁸ P. Bryant,¹³⁶ T. Ferguson,¹³⁸ A. Harital,¹³⁸ C. Liu,¹³⁵ T. Mudholkar,¹³⁶
 A. Patolff,¹⁵⁰ C. Savad,¹⁵⁰ K. Stenson,¹⁵⁰ K. A. Ulmer,¹⁵⁰ S. R. Wagner,¹⁵⁰ J. Alexander,¹⁶⁰ S. Bright-Thooney,¹⁶⁰ V. Peterg,¹⁶⁰ D. J. Cranshaw,¹⁶⁰ K. Bugan,¹⁰¹ J. Morroy,¹⁶⁰ J. R. Paterson,¹⁶⁰ D. Quach,¹⁰¹ J. Reich,¹⁶¹ M. Buder,¹⁶¹ A. Apyai,¹⁶¹ G. Apollinari,¹⁶¹ A. Apyara,¹⁶² G. Apollinari,¹⁶¹ A. Apsayan,¹⁶³ S. Bragner,¹⁶¹ J. Berry,¹⁶¹ J. Berry,¹⁶¹ J. Berry,¹⁶¹ J. Berry,¹⁶¹ J. Berry,¹⁶¹ J. Berry,¹⁶¹ J. Guesh,¹⁶¹ K. Burket,¹⁶¹ J. N. Buder,¹⁶² A. Capea,¹⁶³ G. B. Cerati,¹⁶¹ K. K. Cheung,¹⁶¹ D. Berry,¹⁶¹ J. Guesh,¹⁶¹ K. J. Burket,¹⁶¹ A. Apyai,¹⁶¹ G. Apollinari,¹⁶¹ A. Mpsau,¹⁶¹ G. Apollinari,¹⁶¹ A. Maeshima,¹⁶¹ K. Burket,¹⁶¹ J. Freeman,¹⁶¹ S. Gueske,¹⁶¹ J. Gray,¹⁶¹ D. Guesh,¹⁶¹ B. Lipton,¹⁶¹ T. Liu,¹⁶¹ C. Madrid,¹⁶¹ Y. Kipsema,¹⁶³ B. Klima,¹⁶¹ C. Cherni,¹⁶¹ S. Limmel,¹⁶¹ D. Lincoln,¹⁶¹ R. Lipton,¹⁶¹ T. Liu,¹⁶¹ C. Madrid,¹⁶¹ K. Maeshima,¹⁶¹ C. Chantilla,¹⁶¹ D. Mason,¹⁶¹ P. McHele,¹⁶¹ O. P. McHele,¹⁶¹ O. P. McHele,¹⁶¹ S. Marena,²⁶¹ S. Shahn,⁶¹ J. J. Askih,¹⁶² N. VO Dell,¹⁶¹ V. Papadimitriou,¹⁶³ K. Pedro,¹⁶¹ C. Cena,¹⁶² W. Payadimi,¹⁶¹ L. Sukori,¹⁶¹ K. Matchev,¹⁶² D. Acosta,¹⁶² P. Avery,¹⁶² S. Stace-Romedy,¹⁶¹ N. Smith,¹⁶¹ J. J. Mason,¹⁶³ K. Kutarkev,¹⁶³ N. Magatuba,¹⁶¹ V. O Dell,¹⁶¹ V. Papadimitriou,¹⁶¹ K. Kutarkev,¹⁶³ N. Menader,¹⁶² G. Mitselmakher,¹⁶⁴ K. Mutharka,¹⁶⁴ K. Mutharka,¹⁶⁴ K. Johnson,¹⁶⁴ K. J. Jahang,¹⁶⁴ K. Mataka,¹⁶⁵ Y. Sutharka,¹⁶⁴ S. Butarka,¹⁶⁴ S. D. Hoffeld, D. D. Kas G. R. Snow,^{174,a} W. Tabb,¹⁷⁴ F. Yan,¹⁷⁴ A. G. Zecchinelli,¹⁷⁴ G. Agarwal,¹⁷⁵ H. Bandyopadhyay,¹⁷⁵ L. Hay,¹⁷⁵ I. Iashvili,¹⁷⁵ A. Kharchilava,¹⁷⁵ C. McLean,¹⁷⁵ D. Nguyen,¹⁷⁵ J. Pekkanen,¹⁷⁵ S. Rappoccio,¹⁷⁵ A. Williams,¹⁷⁵ G. Alverson,¹⁷⁶ A. Kharchinava, C. McLean, D. Nguyen, J. Pekkanen, S. Kappoccio, A. Williams, G. Alverson,
E. Barberis,¹⁷⁶ Y. Haddad,¹⁷⁶ A. Hortiangtham,¹⁷⁶ J. Li,¹⁷⁶ G. Madigan,¹⁷⁶ B. Marzocchi,¹⁷⁶ D. M. Morse,¹⁷⁶ V. Nguyen,¹⁷⁶ T. Orimoto,¹⁷⁶ A. Parker,¹⁷⁶ L. Skinnari,¹⁷⁶ A. Tishelman-Charny,¹⁷⁶ T. Wamorkar,¹⁷⁶ B. Wang,¹⁷⁶ A. Wisecarver,¹⁷⁶ D. Wood,¹⁷⁶ S. Bhattacharya,¹⁷⁷ J. Bueghly,¹⁷⁷ Z. Chen,¹⁷⁷ A. Gilbert,¹⁷⁷ T. Gunter,¹⁷⁷ K. A. Hahn,¹⁷⁷ Y. Liu,¹⁷⁷ N. Odell,¹⁷⁷ M. H. Schmitt,¹⁷⁷ M. Velasco,¹⁷⁷ R. Band,¹⁷⁸ R. Bucci,¹⁷⁸ A. Das,¹⁷⁸ N. Dev,¹⁷⁸ R. Goldouzian,¹⁷⁸ M. Hildreth,¹⁷⁸ K. Hurtado Anampa,¹⁷⁸ C. Jessop,¹⁷⁸ K. Lannon,¹⁷⁸ J. Lawrence,¹⁷⁸ N. Loukas,¹⁷⁸ D. Lutton,¹⁷⁸ N. Marinelli,¹⁷⁸ I. Mcalister,¹⁷⁸ T. McCauley,¹⁷⁸ C. Mcgrady,¹⁷⁸ K. Mohrman,¹⁷⁸ Y. Musienko,^{178,zz} R. Ruchti,¹⁷⁸ P. Siddireddy,¹⁷⁸

A. Townsend,¹⁷⁸ M. Wayne,¹⁷⁸ A. Wightman,¹⁷⁸ M. Zarucki,¹⁷⁸ L. Zygala,¹⁷⁸ B. Bylsma,¹⁷⁹ B. Cardwell,¹⁷⁹ L. S. Durkin,¹⁷⁹ B. Francis,¹⁷⁹ C. Hill,¹⁷⁹ M. Nunez Ornelas,¹⁷⁹ K. Wei,¹⁷⁹ B. L. Winer,¹⁷⁹ B. R. Yates,¹⁷⁹ F. M. Addesa,¹⁸⁰ B. Bonham,¹⁸⁰ B. Francis, ¹⁷⁹ C. Hill, ¹⁷⁹ M. Nunez Ornelas, ¹⁷⁹ K. Wei, ¹⁷⁹ B. L. Winer, ¹⁷⁹ B. R. Yates, ¹⁷⁹ F. M. Addesa, ¹⁸⁰ B. Bonham, ¹⁸⁰ P. Das, ¹⁸⁰ G. Dezoort, ¹⁸⁰ P. Elmer, ¹⁸⁰ A. Frankenthal, ¹⁸⁰ B. Greenberg, ¹⁸⁰ N. Haubrich, ¹⁸⁰ S. Higginbotham, ¹⁸⁰ A. Kalogeropoulos, ¹⁸⁰ G. Kopp, ¹⁸⁰ S. Kwan, ¹⁸⁰ D. Lange, ¹⁸⁰ D. Marlow, ¹⁸⁰ K. Mei, ¹⁸⁰ I. Ojalvo, ¹⁸⁰ J. Olsen, ¹⁸⁰ D. Stickland, ¹⁸⁰ C. Tully, ¹⁸⁰ S. Malik, ¹⁸¹ S. Norberg, ¹⁸¹ A. S. Bakshi, ¹⁸² V. E. Barnes, ¹⁸² R. Chawla, ¹⁸² S. Das, ¹⁸² L. Gutay, ¹⁸² M. Jones, ¹⁸² A. W. Jung, ¹⁸² S. Karmarkar, ¹⁸² D. Kondratyev, ¹⁸² M. Liu, ¹⁸² G. Negro, ¹⁸² N. Neumeister, ¹⁸² G. Paspalaki, ¹⁸² S. Piperov, ¹⁸² A. Purohit, ¹⁸² J. F. Schulte, ¹⁸² M. Stojanovic, ^{182,r} J. Thieman, ¹⁸² F. Wang, ¹⁸² R. Xiao, ¹⁸² W. Xie, ¹⁸² J. Dolen, ¹⁸³ N. Parashar, ¹⁸³ A. Baty, ¹⁸⁴ M. Decaro, ¹⁸⁴ S. Dildick, ¹⁸⁴ K. M. Ecklund, ¹⁸⁴ S. Freed, ¹⁸⁴ P. Gardner, ¹⁸⁴ F. J. M. Geurts, ¹⁸⁴ A. Kumar, ¹⁸⁴ W. Li, ¹⁸⁴ B. P. Padley, ¹⁸⁴ R. Redjimi, ¹⁸⁴ W. Shi, ¹⁸⁴ A. G. Stahl Leiton, ¹⁸⁵ T. Ferbel, ¹⁸⁵ M. Galanti, ¹⁸⁵ A. Garcia-Bellido, ¹⁸⁵ O. Hindrichs, ¹⁸⁵ A. Khukhunaishvili, ¹⁸⁵ E. Ranken, ¹⁸⁵ R. Taus, ¹⁸⁵ B. Chiarito, ¹⁸⁶ I. P. Chou ¹⁸⁶ A. Gandrakota ¹⁸⁶ Y. Gershtein ¹⁸⁶ E. Halkiadakis ¹⁸⁶ A. Hart ¹⁸⁶ M. Heindl ¹⁸⁶ O. Karacheban ^{186,z} M. Galanti,¹⁸⁵ A. Garcia-Bellido,¹⁸⁵ O. Hindrichs,¹⁸⁵ A. Khukhunaishvili,¹⁸⁵ E. Ranken,¹⁸⁵ R. Taus,¹⁸⁵ B. Chiarito,¹⁸⁶ J. P. Chou,¹⁸⁶ A. Gandrakota,¹⁸⁶ Y. Gershtein,¹⁸⁶ E. Halkiadakis,¹⁸⁶ A. Hart,¹⁸⁶ M. Heindl,¹⁸⁶ O. Karacheban,^{186,z} I. Laflotte,¹⁸⁶ A. Lath,¹⁸⁶ R. Montalvo,¹⁸⁶ K. Nash,¹⁸⁶ M. Osherson,¹⁸⁶ S. Salur,¹⁸⁶ S. Schnetzer,¹⁸⁶ S. Somalwar,¹⁸⁶ R. Stone,¹⁸⁶ S. A. Thayil,¹⁸⁶ S. Thomas,¹⁸⁶ H. Wang,¹⁸⁶ H. Acharya,¹⁸⁷ A. G. Delannoy,¹⁸⁷ S. Fiorendi,¹⁸⁷ S. Spanier,¹⁸⁷ O. Bouhali,^{188,rrrr} M. Dalchenko,¹⁸⁸ A. Delgado,¹⁸⁸ R. Eusebi,¹⁸⁸ J. Gilmore,¹⁸⁸ T. Huang,¹⁸⁸ T. Kamon,^{188,ssss} H. Kim,¹⁸⁸ S. Luo,¹⁸⁸ S. Malhotra,¹⁸⁸ R. Mueller,¹⁸⁸ D. Overton,¹⁸⁸ D. Rathjens,¹⁸⁸ A. Safonov,¹⁸⁸ N. Akchurin,¹⁸⁹ J. Damgov,¹⁸⁹ V. Hegde,¹⁸⁹ S. Kunori,¹⁸⁹ K. Lamichhane,¹⁸⁹ S. W. Lee,¹⁸⁹ T. Mengke,¹⁸⁹ S. Muthumuni,¹⁸⁹ T. Peltola,¹⁸⁹ I. Volobouev,¹⁸⁹ Z. Wang,¹⁸⁹ A. Whitbeck,¹⁸⁹ E. Appelt,¹⁹⁰ S. Greene,¹⁹⁰ A. Gurrola,¹⁹⁰ W. Johns,¹⁹⁰ A. Melo,¹⁹⁰ H. Ni,¹⁹⁰ K. Padeken,¹⁹⁰ F. Romeo,¹⁹¹ M. Joyce,¹⁹¹ A. Ledovskoy,¹⁹¹ A. Li,¹⁹¹ C. Neu,¹⁹¹ C. E. Perez Lara,¹⁹¹ B. Tannenwald,¹⁹¹ S. White,¹⁹¹ F. Wolfe,¹⁹³ F. Fienga,¹⁹³ F. Fienga,¹⁹³ K. Hilosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, A. Li, C. Iveu, C. E. Petez Lata, B. Talihenwald, S. Wille,
E. Wolfe,¹⁹¹ N. Poudyal,¹⁹² K. Black,¹⁹³ T. Bose,¹⁹³ C. Caillol,¹⁹³ S. Dasu,¹⁹³ I. De Bruyn,¹⁹³ P. Everaerts,¹⁹³ F. Fienga,¹⁹³ C. Galloni,¹⁹³ H. He,¹⁹³ M. Herndon,¹⁹³ A. Hervé,¹⁹³ U. Hussain,¹⁹³ A. Lanaro,¹⁹³ A. Loeliger,¹⁹³ R. Loveless,¹⁹³ J. Madhusudanan Sreekala,¹⁹³ A. Mallampalli,¹⁹³ A. Mohammadi,¹⁹³ D. Pinna,¹⁹³ A. Savin,¹⁹³ V. Shang,¹⁹³ V. Sharma,¹⁹³ W. H. Smith,¹⁹³ D. Teague,¹⁹³ S. Trembath-Reichert,¹⁹³ and W. Vetens¹⁹³

(CMS Collaboration)

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

²Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

³Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

⁴Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

⁵Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

⁶Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

⁷Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁸Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

⁹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

¹⁰Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

¹¹Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

¹²Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹³University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹⁴Beihang University, Beijing, China

¹⁵Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

¹⁶Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

¹⁷State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China ¹⁸Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

¹⁹Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE)—Fudan University,

Shanghai, China

²⁰Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

²¹Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

²²Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

²³University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

²⁴University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

²⁵Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

²⁶University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus ²⁷Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic ²⁸Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador ²⁹Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador ³⁰Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt ³¹Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt ³²National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia ³³Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland ³⁴Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland ³⁵Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland ³⁶IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France ³⁷Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France ³⁸Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France ³⁹Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France ⁴⁰Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia ⁴¹RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany ⁴²RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany ⁴³RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany ⁴⁴Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany ⁴⁵University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany ⁴⁶Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany ⁴⁷Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece ⁸National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece ⁹National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece ⁵⁰University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece ⁵¹MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary ⁵²Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary ⁵³Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary ⁵⁴Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary ⁵⁵Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary ⁵⁶Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India ⁵⁷National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India ⁸Panjab University, Chandigarh, India ⁵⁹ University of Delhi, Delhi, India ⁶⁰Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India ⁶¹Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India ⁶²Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India ⁶³Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India ⁶⁴Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India ⁶⁵Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India ⁶⁶Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran ⁶⁷Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran ⁶⁸University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland ^{69a}INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{69b}Università di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{69c}Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{70a}INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{70b}Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{71a}INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{71b}Università di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{72a}INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ^{72b}Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ⁷³INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy ^{74a}INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{74b}Università di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{75a}INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{75b}Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{76a}INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy ^{76b}Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

^{76c}Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy ^{76d}Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy, Napoli, Italy ^{7a}INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy ^{77b}Università di Padova, Padova, Italy ⁷⁷*c*Università di Trento, Trento, Italy ^{78a}INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{78b}Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{79a}INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{79b}Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{80a}INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{80b}Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ⁸⁰cScuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{80d}Università di Siena, Siena, Italy ^{81a}INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy ^{81b}Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy ^{82a}INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy ^{82b}Università di Torino, Torino, Italy ^{82c}Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy ^{83a}INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ^{83b}Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ⁸⁴Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea ⁸⁵Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea ⁸⁶Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁷Korea University, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁸Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Republic of Korea, Seoul, Korea Sejong University, Seoul, Korea ⁹⁰Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea ⁹¹University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea ⁹²Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea ⁹³Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea ⁹⁴College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Egaila, Kuwait, Dasman, Kuwait ⁹⁵Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia ⁹⁶Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania ⁹⁷National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ⁹⁸Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico ⁹⁹Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico ¹⁰⁰Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico ¹⁰¹Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico ¹⁰²University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro ¹⁰³University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ¹⁰⁴University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand ¹⁰⁵National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan ¹⁰⁶AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland ¹⁰⁷National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland ¹⁰⁸Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland ¹⁰⁹Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal ¹¹⁰Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ¹¹¹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia ¹²Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia ¹¹³Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia ¹¹⁴Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia ¹¹⁵National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia ¹¹⁶P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia ¹¹⁷Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia ¹¹⁸Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia ¹¹⁹Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia ²⁰National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia ¹²¹Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia ¹²²University of Belgrade: Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia ¹²³Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 129, 032001 (2022) ¹²⁴Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain ¹²⁵Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain ¹²⁶Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain ¹²⁷University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka ¹²⁸University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka ¹²⁹CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland ¹³⁰Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland ¹³¹ETH Zurich—Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland ¹³²Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹³³National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan ¹³⁴National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan ¹³⁵Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand ¹³⁶Cukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey ¹³⁷Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey ¹³⁸Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹³⁹Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹⁴⁰Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹⁴¹Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹⁴²National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹⁴³University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom ¹⁴⁴Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ¹⁴⁶Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom ¹⁴⁷Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA ¹⁴⁸Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA ¹⁴⁹The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA ¹⁵⁰Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁵¹Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA ¹⁵²University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA ¹⁵³University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA ¹⁵⁴University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA ¹⁵⁵University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA ¹⁵⁶University of California, Santa Barbara—Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA ¹⁵⁸Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA ¹⁵⁹University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA ¹⁶⁰Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA ¹⁶¹Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA ¹⁶²University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA ¹⁶³Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA ¹⁶⁴Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA ¹⁶⁵University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA ¹⁶⁶The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA ¹⁶⁷Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA ¹⁶⁸The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA ¹⁶⁹Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA ¹⁷⁰Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA ¹⁷¹University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA ¹⁷²Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ³University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA ¹⁷⁴University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA

¹⁷⁵State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA

¹⁷⁶Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

¹⁷⁷Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA

¹⁷⁸University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

¹⁷⁹The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

¹⁸⁰Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

¹⁸¹University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA

¹⁸²Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA
 ¹⁸³Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA

¹⁸⁴Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

¹⁸⁵University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

¹⁸⁶Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

¹⁸⁷University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

¹⁸⁸Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

¹⁸⁹Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA

¹⁹⁰Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

¹⁹¹University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

¹⁹²Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

¹⁹³University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

^aDeceased.

^bAlso at TU Wien, Wien, Austria.

^cAlso at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt.

- ^dAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.
- ^eAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.
- ^fAlso at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

^gAlso at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil.

- ^hAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.
- ⁱAlso at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.
- ^jAlso at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil.
- ^kAlso at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA.
- ¹Also at Nanjing Normal University Department of Physics, Nanjing, China.
- ^mAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

ⁿAlso at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia.

- ^oAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.
- ^pAlso at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^qAlso at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.
- ^rAlso at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.
- ^sAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.
- ^tAlso at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ^uAlso at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ^vAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^wAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.
- ^xAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.
- ^yAlso at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- ^zAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- ^{aa}Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany.
- ^{bb}Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.
- ^{cc}Also at Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary.
- ^{dd}Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^{ee}Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^{ff}Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^{gg}Also at Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^{hh}Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ⁱⁱAlso at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ^{jj}Also at G.H.G. Khalsa College, Punjab, India.
- ^{kk}Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India.
- ¹¹Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.
- ^{mm}Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ⁿⁿAlso at Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Mumbai, India.
- ⁰⁰Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany.
- ^{pp}Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.
- ^{qq}Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran.
- ^{rr}Also at INFN Sezione di Bari, Università di Bari, di Bari, Bari, Italy.
- ^{ss}Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy.
- ^{tt}Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy.
- ^{uu}Also at Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy.

- ^{vv}Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche—Istituto Officina dei Materiali, PERUGIA, Italy.
- ^{ww}Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.
- ^{xx}Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico.
- ^{yy}Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
- ^{zz}Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{aaa}Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.
- bbb Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
- ^{ccc}Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- ^{ddd}Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.
- eee Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.
- fff Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{ggg}Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.
- hhh Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka.
- ^{kkk} Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.
- ¹¹¹Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{mmm}Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- ⁿⁿⁿAlso at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ⁰⁰⁰Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.
- ppp Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France.
- ^{qqq}Also at Şırnak University, Sirnak, Turkey.
- rrrAlso at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Nicosia, Turkey.
- ^{sss}Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey.
- ^{ttt}Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{uuu}Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{vvv}Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{www}Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ^{xxx}Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey.
- ^{yyy}Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlügü, Yozgat, Turkey.
- ^{ZZZ}Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{aaaa}Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- bbbb Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ^{cccc}Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{dddd}Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- eeee Also at Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey.
- fff Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium.
- gggg Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- hhhh Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- ⁱⁱⁱⁱAlso at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.
- ⁱⁱⁱⁱAlso at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ^{kkkk}Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy.
- ¹¹¹¹Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minneapolis, USA.
- ^{mmmm}Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- ⁿⁿⁿⁿAlso at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- ⁰⁰⁰⁰Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ^{pppp}Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- ^{qqqq}Also at Ercives University, Kayseri, Turkey.
- ^{rrrr}Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ^{ssss}Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.