#### Discrete Mathematics 339 (2016) 1291-1299

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Discrete Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

# Nesting House-designs



### Paola Bonacini, Mario Gionfriddo, Lucia Marino

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Catania, Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 11 December 2014 Received in revised form 17 November 2015 Accepted 18 November 2015 A cycle of length 5 with a *chordal*, i.e. an edge joining two non-adjacent vertices of the cycle, is called a graph  $H_5$  or also an *House-graph*. In this paper, the spectrum of House-systems nesting  $C_3$ -systems,  $C_4$ -systems,  $C_5$ -systems and together ( $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ )-systems, of all admissible indices are completely determined, without exceptions.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Graphs G-decomposizione Nestings

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\lambda K_v$  be the complete multigraph defined in a vertex-set X, |X| = v. Let G be a subgraph of  $\lambda K_v$ . A G-decomposition of  $\lambda K_v$ , of order v and index  $\lambda$ , is a pair  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a partition of the edge-set of  $\lambda K_v$  into subsets all of which yield subgraphs isomorphic to G. A G-decomposition of  $\lambda K_v$  is also called a G-design, of order v and index  $\lambda$ . The classes of the partition  $\mathcal{B}$  are said blocks. Important and interesting results about G-designs can be found in [5,10,12,13].

A cycle of length 5 with a *chordal*, i.e. an edge joining two not adjacent vertices of the cycle, will be called an *House-graph* and will be denoted by  $H_5$ . If  $H_5 = (X, E)$ , where  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and  $E = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{d, e\}, \{e, a\}, \{a, c\}\}$ , we will denote such a graph by [(a), b, (c), d, e].

Let  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  be  $H_5$ -design of order v and index  $\lambda$  or an  $H_5$ -decomposition of the complete multigraph  $\lambda K_v$ . When a graph  $H_5 = [(a), b, (c), d, e]$  is a block of  $\Sigma$  with *multiplicity n*, it will be indicated by  $[(a), b, (c), d, e]_{(n)}$ . Similar concepts and symbolism are given in [3].

We say that  $\Sigma$  is:

- (1)  $C_3$ -perfect if the family of all the  $C_3$ -cycles having edges {a, b}, {b, c}, {a, c} generates a  $C_3$ -design  $\Sigma'$  of order v and index  $\mu$ ;

- (2)  $C_4$ -perfect, if the family of all the  $C_4$ -cycles having edges {a, c}, {c, d}, {d, e}, {e, a} generates a  $C_4$ -design  $\Sigma'$  of order v and index  $\sigma$ ;

- (3)  $C_5$ -perfect, if the family of all the  $C_5$ -cycles having edges  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{b, c\}$ ,  $\{c, d\}$   $\{d, e\}$ ,  $\{e, a\}$  generates a  $C_5$ -design  $\Sigma'$  of order v and index  $\tau$ .

In the case (1), we say that  $\Sigma$  has indices ( $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ). Similarly, in (2) its indices are ( $\lambda$ ,  $\sigma$ ) and in (3) ( $\lambda$ ,  $\tau$ ). Similar definitions and symbolism is given in [1,2,6]. For *perfect G*-designs see also [8,11].

In every case, we say that  $\Sigma'$  is a system *nested* into  $\Sigma$ , and also that  $\Sigma$  is nesting  $\Sigma'$ .

We say that an  $H_5$ -design  $\Sigma$ , which is  $C_h$ -perfect, with indices  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , and  $C_k$ -perfect with indices  $(\lambda, \sigma)$ , for h, k = 3, 4, 5, has indices  $(\lambda, \mu, \sigma)$ , and we will say that it is a  $(C_h, C_k)$ -perfect. Similarly, if  $\Sigma$  of index  $\lambda$  is  $C_3$ -perfect of index  $\mu$ ,  $C_4$ -perfect of index  $\sigma$ , and also  $C_5$ -perfect of index  $\tau$ , we will say that  $\Sigma$  is  $(C_3, C_4, C_5)$ -perfect, of indices  $(\lambda, \mu, \sigma, \tau)$ .

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2015.11.014



E-mail addresses: bonacini@dmi.unict.it (P. Bonacini), gionfriddo@dmi.unict.it (M. Gionfriddo), Imarino@dmi.unict.it (L. Marino).

<sup>0012-365</sup>X/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

It is known [4] that:

**Theorem 1.1.** An  $H_5$ -design of order v exists if and only if  $v \equiv 0$ , or 1, or 4, or 9 (mod 12),  $v \geq 9$ , with the possible exception of v = 24.

Further, the spectrum of House-designs nesting  $C_4$ -systems, for every admissible indices, is determined in [3], where the authors proved that:

**Theorem 1.2.** There exists a  $C_4$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (3, 2) if and only if  $v \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4), v > 5.

**Theorem 1.3.** There exists a C<sub>4</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of order v and indices (6, 4) if and only if  $v \ge 5$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** There exists a  $C_4$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v, v > 5, and indices  $(\lambda, \mu)$  such that  $2\lambda = 3\mu$ .

In this paper we study the all possible nestings in House-systems, determining completely the spectrum in all the possible cases.

In what follows, to construct House-systems, we will use often the difference-method. This means that we fix as vertex-set  $X = Z_v$  and, defined a base-block [(a), b, (c), d, e], its translates will be all the blocks of type [(a+i), b+i, (c+i), d+i, e+i], for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ . For a given v, it will be  $D(v) = \{|x - y| : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_v, x \neq y\}$ .

#### 2. $C_3$ -perfect $H_5$ -designs of index (2, 1)

In this section, the spectrum of  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -designs of index (2, 1) is completely determined. We begin with the necessary conditions.

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , then:

(1)  $\lambda = 2\mu$ ;

(2)  $|\mathcal{B}| = \mu \frac{v(v-1)}{6};$ 

(3) for  $\mu = 1$ , it is  $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Sigma = (X, B)$  be a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \mu)$ . If  $\Sigma' = (X, B')$  is the  $C_3$ -system nested in  $\Sigma$ , necessarily:  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$ . Since  $|\mathcal{B}| = \lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{12}, |\mathcal{B}'| = \mu \frac{v(v-1)}{6}$ 

(1) and (2) follow easily. For (3), consider that  $\Sigma'$  is a Steiner triple system of index 1.

Now we determine the spectrum of  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -designs of index (2, 1), examining at first the case v = 6h + 1 and after the case v = 6h + 3.

**Theorem 2.2.** For  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $\mu = 1$  and for every  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ ,  $v \geq 7$ , there exists a C<sub>3</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of order v and indices (2, 1).

**Proof.** Let  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , v > 7. We can consider the following cases:

(1)  $v \equiv 7 \pmod{18}$ ;

(2)  $v \equiv 13$ , (mod 18);

(3)  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{18}, v \ge 19$ .

(1) Let v = 7. It is:  $D(7) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Therefore, consider the block: B = [(0), 3, (1), 4, 6]. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of B, we can verify that  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_7, \mathcal{B})$  is an  $H_5$ -design of order 7 and indices (2, 1). Further, since in B the differences  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  cover, exactly one time, the edges of the C<sub>3</sub>-cycle, it follows that  $\Sigma$  is C<sub>3</sub>-perfect.

Let v = 18k + 7, for  $k \ge 1$ . Since  $D = \{1, 2, \dots, 9k + 3\}$ , it is possible to define the following 3k + 1 base-blocks:

 $B_{1,h} = [(0), 8k + 2h + 4, (3h + 1), 3k + 2, 3h + 3], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\};$ 

 $B_{2,h} = [(0), 6k + h + 3, (3h + 2), 9k + 2, 3k + 3h + 2], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\};$ 

 $B_{3,h}^{2,n} = [(0), 4k + 2h + 4, (3h + 3), 12k + 5, 6k + 3h + 4], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\};$ 

 $B_4 = [(0), 7k + 3, (3k + 1), 9k + 3, 18k + 6].$ 

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of these base-blocks, we can verify that  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{18k+7}, \mathcal{B})$  is an  $H_5$ -design having indices (2, 1). Observe that, in the base-blocks, the differences  $1, 2, \ldots, 9k + 3$  cover, exactly one time, the edges of the  $C_3$ -cycles. Further, the number of base-blocks is 3k + 1 and every of them generates 18k + 7 translates. It follows that  $|\mathcal{B}| = (3k + 1)(18k + 7)$  and  $\Sigma$  is  $C_3$ -perfect.

(2) Let v = 13. It is:  $D = \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$ . Therefore, it is possible to define the two base-blocks:  $B_1 = [(0), 4, (1), 7, 3], B_2 = (0), 4, (1), 7, 3]$ [(0), 7, (2), 4, 5]. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , we can verify that  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{13}, \mathcal{B})$  is an  $H_5$ -design having indices (2, 1). Further, since in  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  the differences  $\{1, 3, 4\}$  and  $\{2, 5, 6\}$  cover, exactly one time, respectively the edges of the two  $C_3$ -cycles, it follows that  $\Sigma$  is  $C_3$ -perfect.

Let v = 18k + 13, for  $k \ge 1$ . Since  $D = \{1, 2, \dots, 9k + 6\}$ , it is possible to define the following 3k + 2 base-blocks:

 $B_{1,h} = [(0), 4k + 2h + 4, (3h + 1), 3k + 2, 3h + 3], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\};$ 

 $B_{2,h} = [(0), 6k + h + 5, (3h + 2), 9k + 8, 3k + 3h + 5], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k-1\};$ 

 $B_{3,h} = [(0), 8k + 2h + 8, (3h + 3), 12k + 8, 6k + 3h + 7], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\};$ 

 $B_4 = [(0), 6k + 4, (3k + 1), 9k + 6, 3k + 2];$ 

 $B_5 = [(0), 10k + 7, (3k + 2), 6k + 5, 6k + 6].$ 

If  $\mathscr{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of these base-blocks, we can verify that  $\varSigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{18k+13}, \mathscr{B})$  is an  $H_5$ -design having indices (2, 1). Observe that, in the base-blocks, the differences  $1, 2, \ldots, 9k + 6$  cover, exactly one time, the edges of the  $C_3$ -cycles. Further, the number of base-blocks is 3k + 2 and every of them generates 18k + 13 translates. It follows that  $|\mathscr{B}| = (3k + 2)(18k + 13)$  and  $\varSigma$  is  $C_3$ -perfect.

(3) Let v = 19. It is:  $D = \{1, 2, ..., 9\}$ . Therefore, it is possible to define the two base-blocks:  $B_1 = [(0), 6, (1), 9, 18], B_2 = [(0), 10, (2), 5, 7], B_3 = [(0), 7, (3), 9, 5]$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of  $B_1, B_2, B_3$ , we can verify that  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{19}, \mathcal{B})$  is an  $H_5$ -design having indices (2, 1). Further, since in  $B_1, B_2, B_3$ , the differences  $\{1, 5, 6\}, \{2, 8, 10\}, \{3, 4, 7\}$  cover, exactly one time, respectively the edges of the three  $C_3$ -cycles, it follows that  $\Sigma$  is  $C_3$ -perfect.

Let v = 18k + 1, for  $k \ge 2$ . Since  $D = \{1, 2, ..., 9k\}$ , it is possible to define the following 3k base-blocks:

 $B_{1,h} = [(0), 4k + 2h + 2, (3h + 1), 3k + 2, 3h + 3], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\};$ 

 $B_{2,h} = [(0), 8k + 2h + 2, (3h + 2), 9k + 2, 3k + 3h + 2], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k-1\};$ 

 $B_{3,h} = [(0), 6k + h + 2, (3h + 3), 12k + 2, 6k - 3h - 2], \text{ for } h \in \{0, \dots, k - 2\};$ 

 $B_4 = [(0), 6k + 1, (3k), 9k + 1, 18k].$ 

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of these base-blocks, we can verify that  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{18k+1}, \mathcal{B})$  is an  $H_5$ -design having indices (2, 1). Observe that, in the base-blocks, the differences 1, 2, ..., 9k cover, exactly one time, the edges of the  $C_3$ -cycles. Further, the number of base-blocks is 3k and every of them generates 18k + 1 translates. It follows that  $|\mathcal{B}| = (3k)(18k + 1)$  and  $\Sigma$  is  $C_3$ -perfect.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $\mu = 1$  and for every  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ,  $v \ge 9$ , there exists a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (2, 1).

**Proof.** Let  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ,  $v \ge 9$ . We can consider the following cases:

(1)  $v \equiv 9 \pmod{12}$ ;

ſ

(2)  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{12}, v \ge 15$ .

(1) Let v = 9. Consider the system  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_9, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the following collection of blocks:

$$\left\{ [(0), 2, (1), 4, 3], [(3), 5, (4), 2, 1], [(7), 6, (8), 2, 5], [(0), 6, (3), 7, 4], \right\}$$

[(1), 7, (4), 8, 5], [(2), 8, (5), 0, 7], [(0), 4, (8), 7, 1], [(1), 5, (6), 3, 8],

 $[(3), 2, (7), 6, 5], [(0), 7, (5), 4, 6], [(1), 8, (3), 2, 6], [(2), 4, (6), 8, 0] \}.$ 

It is possible to verify that  $\Sigma$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order 9 and indices (2, 1).

Let v = 12k + 9 for  $k \ge 1$ . Let us consider the system  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{4k+3} \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathscr{B})$  having as blocks the following:  $A_{i,r} = [((i, 0)), (i + r, 0), ((i + \frac{r}{2}, 1)), (i, 1), (i + \frac{r}{2}, 0)]$ , for  $i, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+3}$  and  $r \in \{1, ..., 2k + 1\}$ ;  $B_i = [((i, 0)), (i, 2), ((i, 1)), (i + 4k + 2, 0), (i + 2k + 2, 1)]$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+3}$ ;  $C_{i,j} = [((i, 1)), (\frac{i+j}{2}, 2), ((j, 1)), (i, 2), (j, 2)]$ , for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+3}$ , with  $i \ne j$ ;  $D_{i,r} = [((i + r, 2)), (i, 2), ((i + \frac{r}{2}, 0)), (i + r - 2k - 2, 2), (i + 2r, 0)]$ , for  $i, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+3}$  and  $r \in \{1, ..., k + 1\}$ ;  $E_i = [((i, 2)), (i + 3k + 2, 0), ((i + 2k + 1, 2)), (i, 0), (i, 1)]$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+3}$ ;  $F_{i,r} = [((i + r, 2)), (i, 2), ((i + \frac{r}{2}, 0)), (i + \frac{3}{2}r + k + 2, 1), (i + \frac{r}{2} + 2k + 2, 0)]$ , for  $i, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+3}$  and  $r \in \{k + 2, ..., 2k\}$  if  $k \ge 2$ . Examining all the blocks, we can verify that  $\Sigma$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order 12k + 9 and indices (2, 1).

(2) Let v = 12k + 3 for  $k \ge 1$ . Let us consider the system  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{4k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathcal{B})$  having the following base blocks:  $A_{i,r} = [((i + r, 2)), (i, 2), ((i + \frac{r}{2}, 0)), (i + r - 2k - 1, 2), (i + 2r, 0)]$ , for  $i, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+1}$  and r = 1, ..., k;  $B_{i,r} = [((i + r, 2)), (i, 2), ((i + \frac{r}{2}, 0)), (i + \frac{3}{2}r + 2k + 1, 1), (i + \frac{r}{2} + 2k + 1, 0)]$ , for  $i, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+1}$  and r = k + 1, ..., 2k;  $C_{i,j} = [((i, 1)), (\frac{i+j}{2}, 2), ((j, 1)), (i, 2), (j, 2)]$ , for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+1}$  and  $i \neq j$ ;  $D_i = [((i, 0)), (i, 2), ((i, 1)), (i + 2k, 1), (i + 2k, 2)]$ ;  $E_i = [((i, 0)), (i + k, 1), ((i + 2k, 0)), (i, 1), (i + k, 0)]$ ;  $\Gamma_{i,j} = [((i, 0)), (i + k, 1), ((i + 2k, 0)), (i, 1), (i + k, 0)]$ ;

 $F_{i,r} = [((i, 0)), (i + r, 0), ((i + \frac{r}{2}, 1)), (i - k, 1), (i + \frac{r}{2}, 0)], \text{ for } i, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{4k+1} \text{ and } r = 1, \dots, 2k - 1.$ Examining all the blocks, we can verify that  $\Sigma$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order 12k + 3 and indices (2, 1).

Collecting together the results of this section, it follows that:

**Theorem 2.4.** A C<sub>3</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of indices (2, 1) exists if and only if  $v \equiv 1$  or 3 (mod 6).

#### **3.** $C_3$ -perfect $H_5$ -design with $\mu > 1$

In this section we consider  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , with  $\mu > 1$ , determining all the possible v of their spectrum. We recall that a *transversal* T of a *latin square* of order n is a set of n cells, exactly one cell from each row and column, such that each of the elements of  $Z_n$  occurs in a cell of T. Further, remember that [7,9]:

**Lemma.** (1) An idempotent latin square, defined in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , exists for any integer  $n \neq 2$ .

(2) An idempotent commutative latin square, defined in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , exists if and only if n is odd.

Latin squares, which are almost equivalent to the concept of finite quasigroups, will be used in the constructions given in Theorems 3.1 and 3.5. They are a common tool, since, given a quasigroup ( $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $\circ$ ), all the edges on the complete graph defined on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  are of type { $i, i \circ j$ }, for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n, i \neq j$ .

Now we prove the following results:

**Theorem 3.1.** A C<sub>3</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of indices (4, 2) exists if and only if  $v \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 3).

**Proof.** It is known that a 2-fold triple system of order v exists if and only if  $v \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$  [7,9]. Since, for every  $v \equiv 1$  or 3 (mod 6), there exist  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (2, 1) (Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3), for such values of v, we can obtain  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (4, 2) by a repetition of blocks, giving to each of them multiplicity 2.

Therefore, to prove the statement, it remains to examine the cases  $v \equiv 0$  or 4 (mod 6). We study at first the case (1) v = 6k and after the case (2) v = 6k + 4.

(1) Let v = 6. Let us consider the system  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_6, \mathcal{B})$  such that:

 $\mathcal{B} = \{ [(2), 1, (4), 5, 0], [(4), 2, (5), 3, 0], [(5), 3, (1), 4, 0], \\ [(1), 4, (3), 2, 0], [(3), 5, (2), 1, 0], [(1), 0, (2), 4, 5], [(2), 0, (3), 4, 1], \\ [(3), 0, (4), 2, 5], [(4), 0, (5), 1, 3], [(5), 0, (1), 3, 2] \}.$ 

We can verify that  $\Sigma$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order 6 and indices (4, 2).

Let v = 6k for  $k \ge 2$ . Let us consider an idempotent quasigroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}, \circ)$  and the system  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{2k} \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathcal{B})$  having the following blocks:

 $\begin{aligned} A_{i} &= [((i, 0)), (i, 1), ((i, 2)), (-i + 1, 1), (-i + 1, 2)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}; \\ B_{i} &= [((i, 0)), (i, 1), ((i, 2)), (-i + 1, 0), (-i + 1, 1)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}; \\ C_{i,j} &= [((i, 0)), (i \circ j, 1), ((j, 0)), (-i + 1, 2), (-j + 1, 2)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ D_{i,j} &= [((i, 0)), (j \circ i, 1), ((j, 0)), (-i + 1, 2), (-j + 1, 2)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ E_{i,j} &= [((i, 1)), (i \circ j, 2), ((j, 1)), (i, 0), (j, 0)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ F_{i,j} &= [(((i, 1)), (j \circ i, 2), ((j, 1)), (-i + 1, 0), (-j + 1, 0)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ F_{i,j} &= [((i, 2)), (i \circ j, 0), ((j, 2)), (i, 1), (j, 1)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ H_{i,j} &= [((i, 2)), (i \circ i, 0), ((j, 2)), (-i + 1, 1), (-i + 1, 1)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \end{aligned}$ 

 $H_{i,j} = [((i, 2)), (j \circ i, 0), ((j, 2)), (-i + 1, 1), (-j + 1, 1)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \ i < j.$ Examining these blocks, we can verify that  $\Sigma$  is a C<sub>3</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of order 6k and indices (4, 2).

(2) Let v = 6k + 4 for  $k \ge 1$ . Let us consider a quasigroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, \circ)$ , idempotent, not necessarily commutative, such that  $\{(i, i+1) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}\}$  is a transversal. Define the system  $\Sigma = (\{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathcal{B})$  having the following blocks:

- $A_i = [((i, 0)), (i, 1), ((i, 2)), \infty, (i + 2, 2)],$ for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}$ ;
- $B_i = [((i, 0)), (i, 1), (\infty), (i + 1, 0), (i + 1, 2)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1};$

 $C_i = [((i, 1)), (i, 2), (\infty), (i + 1, 0), (i + 1, 2)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1};$ 

 $D_i = [((i, 0)), \infty, ((i, 2)), (i + 1, 2), (i + 1, 1)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1};$ 

 $E_{i,j} = [((i, 0)), (i \circ j, 1), ((j, 0)), (i + 1, 2), (j + 1, 2)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, \text{ with } i < j \text{ and } i - j \neq \pm 1;$ 

 $F_i = [((i, 0)), (i + 1, 0), ((i \circ (i + 1), 1)), \infty, (i + 1, 1)],$ for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1};$ 

 $G_{i,j} = [((i, 0)), (j \circ i, 1), ((j, 0)), (i, 2), (j, 2)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, \text{ with } i < j;$ 

 $H_{i,j} = [((i, 1)), (i \circ j, 2), ((j, 1)), (i - 1, 0), (j - 1, 0)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, \text{ with } i < j;$ 

 $I_{i,j} = [((i, 1)), (j \circ i, 2), ((j, 1)), (i - 1, 0), (j - 1, 0)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, \text{ with } i < j;$ 

 $L_{i,j} = [((i, 2)), (i \circ j, 0), ((j, 2)), (i - 1, 1), (j - 1, 1)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, \text{ with } i < j;$ 

 $M_{i,j} = [((i, 2)), (j \circ i, 0), ((j, 2)), (i, 1), (j, 1)]$  for,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}$ , with i < j.

Note that in the blocks in 6, thanks to the hypothesis that  $\{(i, i + 1) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}\}$  is a transversal, any vertex (j, 1) with  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}$  is of the type  $(i \circ (i + 1), 1)$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}$ . So, examining the system, we can verify that  $\Sigma$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order 6k + 4 and indices (4, 2).

This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** A  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (6, 3) exists if and only if v odd,  $v \ge 5$ .

**Proof.** It is known that a 3-fold triple system of order v exists if and only if v is odd [7,9].

At first, we consider the two cases v = 5 and v = 9.

Let v = 5. Define in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  the following two base-blocks:

 $B_1 = [(0), 4, (1), 3, 2], B_2 = [(0), 3, (2), 1, 4].$ 

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ , then  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_5, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v = 5 and indices (6, 3).

Let v = 9. Define in  $\mathbb{Z}_9$  the following four base-blocks:

 $C_1 = [(0), 1, (4), 5, 2], C_2 = [(0), 2, (3), 4, 1],$ 

 $C_3 = [(0), 2, (4), 8, 3], C_4 = [(0), 3, (4), 6, 2].$ 

If C is the collection of all the translates of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ , then  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_9, \mathbb{C})$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v = 9 and indices (6, 3).

Let v = 2k + 1, for  $k \ge 3$ ,  $v \ne 9$ . Let us consider the cyclic system  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, \mathcal{B})$  having as base blocks:

$$\left[(0), \frac{r}{2}, (r), 3r, 2r\right],$$

for every  $r \in Z_{2k+1}$ ,  $r \in \{1, ..., k\}$ . It is possible to verify that  $\Sigma$  is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order 2k + 1 and indices (6, 3).  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.3.** A C<sub>3</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of indices (8, 4) exists if and only if  $v \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 3).

**Proof.** A 4-fold triple system of order v exists if and only if  $v \equiv 0$  or 1mod 3 [7,9]. So a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (8, 4) and order v is such that  $v \equiv 0$  or 1mod 3.

Conversely, given  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (4, 2) (Theorem 3.1), the system  $\Sigma' = (X, \mathcal{B}')$ , where the blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  are those of  $\mathcal{B}$ , each repeated twice, is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (8, 4).

**Theorem 3.4.** A C<sub>3</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of indices (10, 5) there exists if and only if  $v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{6}$ .

**Proof.** A 5-fold triple system of order v exists if and only if  $v \equiv 1$  or  $3 \mod 6$  [7,9]. So a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (10, 5) and order v is such that  $v \equiv 1$  or  $3 \pmod{6}$ .

Conversely, given  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (2, 1) (Theorem 2.4), the system  $\Sigma' = (X, \mathcal{B}')$ , where the blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  are those of  $\mathcal{B}$ , each repeated five times, is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (10, 5).

**Theorem 3.5.** A C<sub>3</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of indices (12, 6) exists if and only if  $v \ge 5$ .

**Proof.** Let *v* be odd. Consider a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (6, 3) (Theorem 3.2)  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$ . The system  $\Sigma' = (X, \mathcal{B}')$ , where the blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  are those of  $\mathcal{B}$ , each repeated twice, is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (12, 6).

Let  $v \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{6}$ . Consider a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (4, 2)  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  (Theorem 3.1). The system  $\Sigma' = (X, \mathcal{B}')$ , where the blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  are those of  $\mathcal{B}$ , each repeated three times, is a  $C_3$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of indices (12, 6). Let v = 6k + 2, for some  $k \geq 1$ . Let us consider an idempotent quasigroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_{2k}, \circ)$  and the system  $\Sigma = (\{\infty_1, \infty_2\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathcal{B})$  having the following blocks:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,r,s} &= [((i, 0)), (i, 1), (\infty_r), \infty_s, (i, 2)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \text{ and } r, s \in \{1, 2\}, r \neq s; \\ B_{i,r,s} &= [((i, 1)), (i, 2), (\infty_r), \infty_s, (i, 0)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \text{ and } r, s \in \{1, 2\}, r \neq s; \\ C_{i,r,s} &= [((i, 2)), (i, 0), (\infty_r), \infty_s, (i, 1)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \text{ and } r, s \in \{1, 2\}, r \neq s; \\ D_{i,r,s} &= [((i, 0)), (i, 1), (\infty_r), (i, 2), \infty_s], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \text{ and } r, s \in \{1, 2\}, r \neq s; \\ E_{i,r,s} &= [((i, 1)), (i, 2), (\infty_r), (i, 0), \infty_s], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \text{ and } r, s \in \{1, 2\}, r \neq s; \\ F_{i,r,s} &= [((i, 2)), (i, 0), (\infty_r), (i, 1), \infty_s], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \text{ and } r, s \in \{1, 2\}, r \neq s; \\ G_i &= [(\infty_1), (i, 0), (\infty_2), (i, 2), (i, 1)]_{(2)}, \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k} \text{ and } r, s \in \{1, 2\}, r \neq s; \\ G_i &= [(\infty_1), (i, 0), (\infty_2), (i, 2), (i, 1)]_{(2)}, \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}; \\ H_i &= [(\infty_1), (i, 2), (\infty_2), (i, 1), (i, 0)]_{(2)}, \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}; \\ I_i &= [((i, 0)), (i \circ j, 1), ((j, 0)), (i + 1, 2), (j + 1, 2)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ K_{i,j} &= [((i, 0)), (i \circ j, 1), ((j, 0)), (i, 2), (j, 2)]_{(2)}, \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ M_{i,j} &= [((i, 1)), (i \circ j, 2), ((j, 1)), (i + 1, 0), (j + 1, 0)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ N_{i,j} &= [((i, 1)), (i \circ j, 2), ((j, 1)), (i + 1, 0), (j - 1, 0)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ O_{i,j} &= [((i, 1)), (i \circ j, 2), ((j, 1)), (i , 0), (j, 0)]_{(3)}, \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ P_{i,j} &= [((i, 1)), (i \circ j, 2), ((j, 1)), (i - 0), (j - 1, 0)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ P_{i,j} &= [(((i, 1)), (i \circ j, 0), ((j, 2)), (i - 1, 1), (j - 1, 1)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ R_{i,j} &= [(((i, 2)), (i \circ j, 0), ((j, 2)), (i - 1, 1), (j - 1, 1)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ R_{i,j} &= [(((i, 2)), (i \circ j, 0), ((j, 2)), (i - 1, 1), (j - 1, 1)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ R_{i,j} &= [(((i, 2)), (i \circ j, 0), (((j, 2)), ((i - 1, 1), (j - 1, 1)], \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ R_{i,j} &= [(((i, 2)), (i \circ j, 0), (((j, 2)), ((i - 1, 1), (j - 1, 1)], \text{$$

$$\begin{split} S_{i,j} &= [((i,2)), (i \circ j, 0), ((j,2)), (i, 1), (j, 1)]_{(2)}, \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ T_{i,j} &= [((i,2)), (j \circ i, 0), ((j,2)), (i, 1), (j, 1)]_{(3)}, \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, i < j; \\ U_i &= [((i,0)), (i,2), ((i,1)), (i+1,2), (i+1,1)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}; \\ V_i &= [((i,0)), (i,2), ((i,1)), (i+1,0), (i+1,2)], \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}. \\ \text{Examining these blocks, we can verify that } \Sigma \text{ is a } C_3\text{-perfect } H_5\text{-design of indices } (12, 6). \text{ This completes the proof.} \quad \Box \end{split}$$

Collecting together all the previous results, with the condition  $v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{6}$  [9], we have that:

**Theorem 3.6.** A C<sub>3</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of indices  $(2\mu, \mu)$  exists if and only if:

 $v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{6}$ , if  $\mu \equiv 1 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{6}$ ;  $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3}$ , if  $\mu \equiv 2 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{6}$ ; v odd,  $v \ge 5$ , if  $\mu \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ;  $v \ge 5$ , if  $\mu \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

#### 4. C<sub>5</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-designs

In this section, we examine  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -designs, determining the spectrum completely, without exceptions, in all the cases.

At first, we see possible necessary conditions.

**Theorem 4.1.** If  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \tau)$ , then:

(1)  $5\lambda = 6\tau$ ; (2)  $|\mathcal{B}| = \lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{12}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Sigma = (X, B)$  be a  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \tau)$ . If  $\Sigma' = (X, B')$  is the  $C_5$ -system nested in  $\Sigma$ , necessarily:  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$ . Since

 $|\mathcal{B}| = \lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{12}, |\mathcal{B}'| = \tau \frac{v(v-1)}{6},$ both (1), (2) follow easily.  $\Box$ 

From Theorem 4.1 it follows that for every positive integers  $v, v \ge 5$ , the existence of  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -designs of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \tau)$ , with  $5\lambda = 6\tau$ , is possible. At first we examine the possible existence for systems having odd order v, after we see what happens for v even.

**Theorem 4.2.** For  $\lambda = 6$ ,  $\tau = 5$ , and for every v odd,  $v \ge 5$ , there exists a  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (6, 5).

**Proof.** Let v = 2k + 1, for  $k \ge 2$ . Consider the following base-blocks, constructed by difference method and defined in  $X = \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}$ , where  $D = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ :

 $B_i = [(0), i + 1, (2i + 1), 2k, i]$ , for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ 

B = [(0), 2, (1), k + 1, k].

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of these base-blocks, we can verify that  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (6, 5).  $\Box$ 

In conclusion, for *v* odd, we have that:

**Theorem 4.3.** For every  $\lambda$ ,  $\tau$ , such that  $5\lambda = 6\tau$ , and for every v odd,  $v \ge 5$ , there exists a C<sub>5</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** The statement follows from Theorem 4.2. Indeed, if  $\lambda = 6h$ ,  $\tau = 5h$ , a  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (6k, 5k) can be obtained from a  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (6, 5), by a repetition of blocks, giving to every block multiplicity h.  $\Box$ 

Now, we examine the case v even. At first, we observe that:

**Theorem 4.4.** If  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order  $v \ge 6$  even and indices (6h, 5h), then h is even.

**Proof.** In a  $C_5$ -design of order v and index  $\tau$ , every vertex is contained in exactly  $\tau(v-1)/2$  blocks. Indeed, if we fix a vertex x, the number of pairs containing x is  $\tau(v-1)$ . Since in every block  $C_5$ , every vertex has degree two, the number  $\tau(v-1)$  must be even. But v even implies  $\tau = 5h$  even, hence h even.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.5.** For  $\lambda = 12$ ,  $\tau = 10$ , and for every v even,  $v \ge 6$ , there exists a C<sub>5</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of order v and indices (12, 10).

1297

**Proof.** Let v = 2k, for  $k \ge 3$ . Further, let  $X = \{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{2k-1}$ , where  $\infty$  is a fixed point,  $\infty \notin \mathbb{Z}_{2k-1}$ . Consider the system  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the translates of the following base-blocks, constructed by difference method:  $B_{1,i} = [(0), 2i, (i), 2i + 1, i + 1]_{(2)}$ , for any  $i \in \{2, ..., k-2\}$ , with  $k \ge 4$ ;  $B_2 = [(0), 2, (1), 2k - 2, 2k - 3]$ ;  $B_3 = [(0), 1, (k), k - 1, \infty]_{(2)}$ ;  $B_4 = [(0), k, (1), -1, \infty]$ ;  $B_5 = [(\infty), 0, (1), 3, 2]_{(2)}$ . Examining all the blocks, we can verify that  $\Sigma$  is a  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (12, 10).

Collecting together all the results of this section, we have that:

**Theorem 4.6.** A  $C_5$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (6h, 5h) there exists if and only if:

(1) v odd, h odd,  $v \ge 5$ ;

(2) *h* even,  $v \ge 5$ .

**Proof.** The statement follows from the previous results.  $\Box$ 

#### 5. $(C_3, C_4, C_5)$ -perfect $H_5$ -designs

In this section, we determine completely the spectrum of  $(C_3, C_4, C_5)$ -perfect  $H_5$ -designs. At first, we prove the following three Theorems.

**Theorem 5.1.** An  $H_5$ -design, which is  $(C_3, C_4)$ -perfect, is also  $C_5$ -perfect.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $(C_3, C_4)$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design, of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \mu, \sigma)$ . Since a  $C_3$ -design of order v and index  $\mu$  has  $b' = \mu v(v - 1)/6$  blocks, a  $C_4$ -design of order v and index  $\sigma$  has  $b'' = \sigma v(v - 1)/8$  blocks,  $|\mathcal{B}| = b = \lambda v(v - 1)12$ , and b = b' = b'', it follows that:

$$\lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{12} = \mu \frac{v(v-1)}{6} = \sigma \frac{v(v-1)}{8}$$

From which:  $\lambda/12 = \mu/6 = \sigma/8$ . Hence, for some  $h \ge 1$ :  $\lambda = 6h$ ,  $\mu = 3h$  and  $\sigma = 4h$ .

Given an edge {x, y} we denote by  $U({x, y})$  the number of blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$  in which {x, y} appears as one of the edges {a, b} and {b, c} of  $H_5$ ; we denote by  $M({x, y})$  the number of blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$  in which {x, y} appears as the edge {a, c}; we denote by  $L({x, y})$  the number of blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$  in which {x, y} appears as the edge {a, c}; we denote by  $L({x, y})$  the number of blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$  in which {x, y} appears as one of the edges {c, d}, {d, e} and {e, a}. For any edge {x, y} it must be:

$$\begin{split} &U(\{x, y\}) + M(\{x, y\}) = 3h \\ &M(\{x, y\}) + L(\{x, y\}) = 4h \\ &U(\{x, y\}) + M(\{x, y\}) + L(\{x, y\}) = 6h, \end{split}$$

so that  $U(\{x, y\}) = 2h, M(\{x, y\}) = h$  and  $L(\{x, y\}) = 3h$  for any  $\{x, y\}$ . This implies that  $\Sigma$  is  $C_5$ -perfect of index 5h.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.2.** An  $H_5$ -design, which is  $(C_3, C_5)$ -perfect, is also  $C_4$ -perfect.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $(C_3, C_5)$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design, of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \mu, \tau)$ . Since a  $C_3$ -design of order v and index  $\mu$  has  $b' = \mu v(v - 1)/6$  blocks, a  $C_5$ -design of order v and index  $\tau$  has  $b''' = \tau v(v - 1)/10$  blocks,  $|\mathcal{B}| = b = \lambda v(v - 1)/12$ , and b = b' = b''', it follows that:

$$|\mathcal{B}| = \lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{12} = \mu \frac{v(v-1)}{6} = \tau \frac{v(v-1)}{10}.$$

So  $\lambda = 6h$ ,  $\mu = 3h$  and  $\tau = 5h$  for some  $h \ge 1$ . Keeping the previous notation, for any edge  $\{x, y\}$  it must be:

$$U(\{x, y\}) + M(\{x, y\}) = 3h$$
  

$$U(\{x, y\}) + L(\{x, y\}) = 5h$$
  

$$U(\{x, y\}) + M(\{x, y\}) + L(\{x, y\}) = 6h$$

so that  $U(\{x, y\}) = 2h, M(\{x, y\}) = h$  and  $L(\{x, y\}) = 3h$  for any  $\{x, y\}$ . This implies that  $\Sigma$  is  $C_4$ -perfect of index 4h.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.3.** An  $H_5$ -design, which is  $(C_4, C_5)$ -perfect, is also  $C_3$ -perfect.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $(C_4, C_5)$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design, of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \sigma, \tau)$ . Since a  $C_4$ -design of order v and index  $\sigma$  has  $b'' = \sigma v(v - 1)/8$  blocks, a  $C_5$ -design of order v and index  $\tau$  has  $b''' = \tau v(v - 1)/10$  blocks,  $|\mathcal{B}| = b = \lambda v(v - 1)/12$ , and b = b'' = b''', it follows that:

 $\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}| &= b = \lambda v(v-1) 12, \text{ and } b = b'' = b''', \text{ it follows that:} \\ |\mathcal{B}| &= \lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{12} = \sigma \frac{v(v-1)}{8} = \tau \frac{v(v-1)}{10}. \\ \text{So } \lambda &= 6h, \sigma = 4h \text{ and } \tau = 5h \text{ for some } h \ge 1. \text{ Keeping the previous notation, for any edge } \{x, y\} \text{ it must be:} \\ M(\{x, y\}) + L(\{x, y\}) &= 4h \\ U(\{x, y\}) + L(\{x, y\}) &= 5h \\ U(\{x, y\}) + M(\{x, y\}) + L(\{x, y\}) &= 6h, \end{aligned}$ 

so that  $U(\{x, y\}) = 2h, M(\{x, y\}) = h$  and  $L(\{x, y\}) = 3h$  for any  $\{x, y\}$ . This implies that  $\Sigma$  is  $C_3$ -perfect of index 3h.

At this point, we begin to determine the spectrum of  $(C_3, C_4, C_5)$ -perfect  $H_5$ -designs. At first, we determine some necessary conditions, after we determine the spectrum.

**Theorem 5.4.** If  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $(C_3, C_4, C_5)$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \mu, \sigma, \tau)$ , then: (1)  $\frac{\lambda}{6} = \frac{\mu}{3} = \frac{\sigma}{4} = \frac{\tau}{5}$ ;

(1)  $_{6}^{-} = _{3}^{-} = _{4}^{-} = _{5}^{-},$ (2)  $|\mathcal{B}| = \lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{12}.$ 

**Proof.** Let  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  be a  $(C_3, C_4, C_5)$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \mu, \sigma, \tau)$ . Since all the  $C_k$ -designs nested in  $\Sigma$ , for k = 3, 4, 5, have necessarily the same number of blocks, it follows that:

$$|\mathcal{B}| = \lambda \frac{v(v-1)}{12} = \mu \frac{v(v-1)}{6} = \sigma \frac{v(v-1)}{8} = \tau \frac{v(v-1)}{10};$$

and this proves the statements.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.5.** For  $\lambda = 6$ ,  $\mu = 3$ ,  $\sigma = 4$ ,  $\tau = 5$ , and for every v odd,  $v \ge 5$ , there exists a ( $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ )-perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (6, 3, 4, 5).

**Proof.** Let v = 2k + 1, for some  $k \ge 2$ . Consider the system  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1}, \mathcal{B})$  having as blocks the translates of the following base blocks:

1. [(0), 2i, (i), 2k, k+i] for any  $i \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$ 2. [(0), k, (k+1), k+2, 1].

We can verify that  $\Sigma$  is a C<sub>3</sub>-perfect, C<sub>4</sub>-perfect and C<sub>5</sub>-perfect H<sub>5</sub>-design of order v and indices (6, 3, 4, 5).

**Theorem 5.6.** For every  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ , such that  $\frac{\lambda}{6} = \frac{\mu}{3} = \frac{\sigma}{4} = \frac{\tau}{5}$ , and for every v odd,  $v \ge 5$ , there exists a ( $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ )-perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices ( $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ ).

**Proof.** The statement follows by the previous result of Theorem 5.5. Indeed, let  $\lambda = 6h$ ,  $\mu = 3h$ ,  $\sigma = 4$  and  $\tau = 5h$ , for some  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  a  $(C_3, C_4, C_5)$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (6, 3, 4, 5). Then, the system  $\Sigma' = (X, \mathcal{B}')$ , obtained from  $\Sigma$ , by a repetition of blocks, each repeated h times, is a  $(C_3, C_4, C_5)$ -perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices  $(\lambda, \mu, \sigma, \tau)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.7.** For  $\lambda = 12$ ,  $\mu = 6$ ,  $\sigma = 8$ ,  $\tau = 10$ , and for every v even,  $v \ge 6$ , there exists a ( $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ )-perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (12, 6, 8, 10).

**Proof.** Let v = 2k, for some  $k \ge 3$ . Consider the system  $\Sigma = (\{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{2k-1}, \mathcal{B})$  having as blocks the translates of the following base blocks:

 $\begin{array}{l} B_{i,1} = [(0), 2i, (i), 2i+1, i+1]_{(2)} \text{ for } k \geq 4 \text{ and for any } i \in \{2, \dots, k-2\};\\ B_2 = [(0), 2, (1), 3, \infty]_{(2)};\\ B_3 = [(0), \infty, (k-1), k, 1];\\ B_4 = [(\infty), 0, (1), 2, 3];\\ B_5 = [(\infty), k, (0), 1, -1];\\ B_6 = [(0), 1, (k), k+1, 2].\\ \text{Examining the blocks so obtained, we can verify that } \Sigma \text{ is a } (C_3, C_4, C_5)\text{-perfect } H_5\text{-design of order } v \text{ and indices}\\ (12, 6, 8, 10). \quad \Box \end{array}$ 

\_\_\_\_\_

**Theorem 5.8.** A ( $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ )-perfect  $H_5$ -design of order v and indices (6h, 3h, 4h, 5h) there exists if and only if:

(1) v odd,  $v \ge 5$ , h odd;

(2)  $v \ge 5$ , *h* even.

#### References

- [1] L. Berardi, M. Gionfriddo, R. Rota, Perfect octagon quadrangle systems, Discrete Math. 310 (2010) 1979–1985.
- [2] L. Berardi, M. Gionfriddo, R. Rota, Perfect octagon quadrangle systems with an upper C4-system, J. Statist. Plann. Inference 141 (2011) 2249–2255.
- [3] P. Bonacini, M. Gionfriddo, L. Marino, Balanced house-systems and nestings, Ars Combin. 121 (2015) 429-436.
- [4] D. Bryant, S. El-Zanati, Graph decompositions, in: C. Colbourn-, J.H. Dinitz (Eds.), Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, Chapman-Hall/CRC, Boca Raton USA, 2007, pp. 477–484. [5] C.J. Colbourn, A.C.H. Ling, G. Quattrocchi, Minimum embedding of  $P_3$ -designs into  $K_4 - e$ -designs, J. Combin. Des. 11 (2003) 352–366. [6] L. Gionfriddo, M. Gionfriddo, Perfect dodecagon quadrangle systems, Discrete Math. 310 (2010) 3067–3071.

- [7] M. Gionfriddo, S. Milazzo, V. Voloshin, Hypergraphs and Designs, Mathematics Research Developments, Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York, 2015. [8] S. Kucukcifci, C.C. Lindner, Perfect hexagon triple systems, Discrete Math. 279 (2004) 325-335.

- [9] S. Kucukici, C.C. Lindner, Perfect nexagor triple systems, Discrete Math. 279 (2004) 525–555.
  [9] C.C. Lindner, C.A. Rodger, D.R. Stinson, Nesting of cycle systems of odd lenght, Discrete Math. 42 (1989) 191–203.
  [11] C.C. Lindner, A. Rosa, Perfect dexagon triple systems, Discrete Math. 308 (2008) 214–219.
  [12] D.B. Stinson, The proceeding Steiner triple systems into Steiner systems S(24.v), Discrete Math. 274 (2004) 199–212.
- [13] D.R. Stinson, The spectrum of nested steiner triple systems, Graphs Combin. 1 (1985) 189–191.