

# Minimax theorems for limits of parametrized functions having at most one local minimum lying in a certain set

Biagio Ricceri

*Department of Mathematics, University of Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy*

Received 30 December 2004; received in revised form 13 June 2005; accepted 13 June 2005

## Abstract

In this paper, we establish some minimax theorems, of purely topological nature, that, through the variational methods, can be usefully applied to nonlinear differential equations. Here is a (simplified) sample: Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space,  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  an interval and  $\Psi : X \times I \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$ . Assume that the function  $\Psi(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous and quasi-concave in  $I$  for all  $x \in X$ , while the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q)$  has compact sublevel sets and one local minimum at most for each  $q$  in a dense subset of  $I$ . Then, one has

$$\sup_{q \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x, q).$$

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 49J35; 90C47; 54C30; 54D05

Keywords: Minimax theorems; Local minimum; Sublevel sets; Connectedness

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space, for any  $\Psi : X \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$ , with  $\text{dom}(\Psi) \neq \emptyset$ , we denote by  $\tau_\Psi$  the weakest topology on  $X$  which contains both  $\tau$  and the family of sets  $\{\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])\}_{r \in \mathbf{R}}$ .

The aim of this very short paper is to point out the following purely topological minimax result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff topological space,  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  an interval and  $\Psi : X \times I \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$  a function such that  $\Psi(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous for all  $x \in X$ . Assume that there exist a number  $\rho^* > \sup_I \inf_X \Psi$  and a set  $D \subseteq I$ , dense in  $I$ , such that, for each  $\rho \in ]-\infty, \rho^*[$  and each  $q \in D$ , the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *the set  $\{s \in I : \Psi(x, s) > \rho\}$  is an interval for all  $x \in X$ ;*
- (ii) *the set  $\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) \leq \rho\}$  is compact and sequentially compact;*
- (iii) *there exist a function  $\Phi_q : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , bounded below on the set  $\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) \leq \rho^*\}$ , and a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^+$  converging to 0 such that, for each  $\lambda > 0$  small enough, the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q) + \lambda \Phi_q(\cdot)$  is sequentially lower semicontinuous, and, for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q) + \mu_n \Phi_q(\cdot)$  has at most one  $\tau_{\Psi(\cdot, q)}$ -local minimum lying in the set  $\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) < \rho^*\}$ .*

E-mail address: [ricceri@dmf.unict.it](mailto:ricceri@dmf.unict.it) (B. Ricceri).

Then, one has

$$\sup_{q \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x, q).$$

The most remarkable feature of Theorem 1 is its applicability, via the variational methods, to nonlinear differential equations. Indeed, in a successive paper, we will apply Theorem 1 in the setting where  $X$  is a Sobolev space endowed with the weak topology and  $\Psi$  is the energy functional of a Dirichlet problem of the type

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x, u, q) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We will derive Theorem 1 from the following more general result:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  an interval and  $\Psi : X \times I \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$  a function such that  $\Psi(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous for all  $x \in X$ . Assume that there exist a number  $\rho^* > \sup_I \inf_X \Psi$ , a point  $\hat{q} \in I$  and two sets  $D_1, D_2 \subseteq I$ , both dense in  $I$ , such that for each  $\rho \in ]-\infty, \rho^*[$ , the following conditions hold:

- ( $\alpha$ ) the set  $\{q \in I : \Psi(x, q) > \rho\}$  is an interval for all  $x \in X$ ;
- ( $\beta$ ) the set  $\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) \leq \rho\}$  is closed for all  $q \in D_1$  and compact for  $q = \hat{q}$ , while the set  $\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) < \rho\}$  is connected for all  $q \in D_2$ .

Then, one has

$$\sup_{q \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x, q).$$

For other topological minimax theorems, we refer to [1–3] and to the references therein.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** First, fix a non-decreasing sequence  $\{I_n\}$  of compact sub-intervals of  $I$ , with  $\hat{q} \in I_1$ , such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} I_n = I$ . Now, fix  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . We claim that

$$\sup_{q \in I_n} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I_n} \Psi(x, q). \tag{1}$$

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that

$$\sup_{q \in I_n} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I_n} \Psi(x, q).$$

Fix  $\rho$  satisfying

$$\sup_{q \in I_n} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) < \rho < \min \left\{ \rho^*, \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I_n} \Psi(x, q) \right\}.$$

Set

$$S = \{(x, q) \in X \times I_n : \Psi(x, q) < \rho\}$$

as well as, for each  $q \in I_n$ ,

$$S^q = \{x \in X : (x, q) \in S\}.$$

Since  $\sup_{I_n} \inf_X \Psi < \rho$ , one has  $S^q \neq \emptyset$  for all  $q \in I_n$ . Let  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ . Put

$$A = \left\{ (x, q) \in S : q < b_n, \sup_{s \in ]q, b_n]} \Psi(x, s) > \rho \right\}$$

and

$$B = \left\{ (x, q) \in S : q > a_n, \sup_{s \in [a_n, q[} \Psi(x, s) > \rho \right\}.$$

Observe that  $A, B$  are non-empty. Indeed, let  $x_1 \in S^{a_n}$  and  $x_2 \in S^{b_n}$ . Since  $\rho < \inf_X \sup_{I_n} \Psi$ , there are  $t, s \in I_n$  such that  $\min\{\Psi(x_1, t), \Psi(x_2, s)\} > \rho$ . Since  $\sup\{\Psi(x_1, a_n), \Psi(x_2, b_n)\} < \rho$ , it follows that  $t > a_n$  and  $s < b_n$ . Consequently,  $(x_1, a_n) \in A$  and  $(x_2, b_n) \in B$ . Furthermore, observe that  $A, B$  are open in  $S$ . Let us see this for  $A$ , the other case being analogous. So, let  $(x_0, q_0) \in A$ . Since the function  $\Psi(x_0, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous, the set  $\{q \in ]q_0, b_n]: \Psi(x_0, q) > \rho\}$  is non-empty and open in  $I_n$  and hence it contains a  $q^* \in D_1$ , by density. At this point, by  $(\beta)$ , the set

$$\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q^*) > \rho\} \times [a_n, q^*] \cap S$$

is clearly a neighbourhood of  $(x_0, q_0)$  in  $S$  which is contained in  $A$ . We now prove that  $S = A \cup B$ . Indeed, let  $(x, q) \in S \setminus A$ . We have seen above that  $S^{a_n} \times \{a_n\} \subseteq A$ , and so  $q > a_n$ . If  $q = b_n$ , the fact that  $(x, q) \in B$  has been likewise proved above. Suppose  $q < b_n$ . Thus, we have  $\sup_{s \in ]q, b_n]} \Psi(x, s) \leq \rho$ . From this, it clearly follows that  $\sup_{s \in [a_n, q[} \Psi(x, s) > \rho$  (note that  $\Psi(x, q) < \rho$ ), and so  $(x, q) \in B$ . Furthermore, we have  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Indeed, if  $(x_1, q_1) \in A \cap B$ , there would be  $t, s \in I_n$ , with  $t < q_1 < s$ , such that  $\min\{\Psi(x_1, t), \Psi(x_1, s)\} > \rho$ . By  $(\alpha)$ , the set  $\{s \in I: \Psi(x_1, s) > \rho\}$  is an interval, and so we would have  $\Psi(x_1, q_1) > \rho$ , against the fact that  $(x_1, q_1) \in S$ . Let  $p_{\mathbf{R}}$  be the projection from  $X \times \mathbf{R}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}$ . Now, consider the sets  $p_{\mathbf{R}}(A)$  and  $p_{\mathbf{R}}(B)$ . Since  $p_{\mathbf{R}}(S) = I_n$ , thanks to the properties of  $A, B$  seen above, they are non-empty, open in  $I_n$ , and cover  $I_n$ . So, by the connectedness of  $I_n$ , we have  $p_{\mathbf{R}}(A) \cap p_{\mathbf{R}}(B) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $D_2$  is dense in  $I$ , there exists some  $q' \in D_2 \cap p_{\mathbf{R}}(A) \cap p_{\mathbf{R}}(B)$ . By  $(\beta)$ , the set  $S^{q'}$  (and hence  $S^{q'} \times \{q'\}$  too) is connected. But  $S^{q'} \times \{q'\}$  meets both  $A$  and  $B$ , and this just contradicts its being connected.

So, we have proved (1). Finally, let us prove the theorem. Again arguing by contradiction, suppose that

$$\sup_{q \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x, q).$$

Choose  $r$  satisfying

$$\sup_{q \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) < r < \min\left\{\rho^*, \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x, q)\right\}.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , put

$$C_n = \left\{x \in X: \sup_{q \in I_n} \Psi(x, q) \leq r\right\}.$$

Note that  $C_n \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed, otherwise, we would have

$$r \leq \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I_n} \Psi(x, q) = \sup_{q \in I_n} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) \leq \sup_{q \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q).$$

Furthermore, for each  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\sup_{q \in I_n} \Psi(x, q) = \sup_{q \in D_1 \cap I_n} \Psi(x, q)$$

since  $\Psi(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous and  $D_1$  is dense in  $I$ . So, we have

$$C_n = \bigcap_{q \in D_1 \cap I_n} \{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) \leq r\}.$$

Consequently,  $\{C_n\}$  is a non-increasing sequence of non-empty closed subsets of the compact set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, \hat{q}) \leq \rho^*\}$ . Therefore, one has  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} C_n \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x^* \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} C_n$ . Then, one has

$$\sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x^*, q) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \sup_{q \in I_n} \Psi(x^*, q) \leq r$$

and so

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x, q) \leq r,$$

a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** It is clear from the proof that when  $I$  is compact, Theorem 2 holds without requiring the existence of the point  $\hat{q}$  with the indicated property. Likewise, when  $D_1 = I$ , the assumption that  $\Psi(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous for all  $x \in X$  becomes superfluous.

Before deriving Theorem 1 from Theorem 2, for the reader’s convenience, we recall the basic result of [4].

**Theorem A.** ([4], Theorem 1) *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff topological space and  $\Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$  two functions. Assume that there is  $\sigma > \inf_X \Psi$  such that the set  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \sigma])$  is compact and sequentially compact, has at least  $k$  connected components and each of them intersects the interior of  $\text{dom}(\Phi)$ . Moreover, suppose that the function  $\Phi$  is bounded below in  $\overline{\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \sigma])}$  and that the function  $\Psi + \lambda\Phi$  is sequentially lower semicontinuous for each  $\lambda > 0$  small enough.*

*Then, there exists  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that, for each  $\lambda \in ]0, \lambda^*[$ , the function  $\Psi + \lambda\Phi$  has at least  $k$   $\tau_\Psi$ -local minima lying in  $\text{dom}(\Phi) \cap \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \sigma])$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We have only to check that  $\Psi$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2. So, let  $q \in D$ , and  $r < \sigma < \rho^*$ . By (ii), it clearly follows that the set  $\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) \leq \sigma\}$  is closed (since  $X$  is Hausdorff) and that the set  $\overline{\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) < \sigma\}}$  is compact and sequentially compact. From (iii), it follows that the functions  $\Psi(\cdot, q), \Phi_q$  do not satisfy the conclusion of Theorem A with  $k = 2$ , and so, since function  $\Phi_q$  is bounded below in  $\overline{\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) < \sigma\}}$  and the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q) + \lambda\Phi_q(\cdot)$  is sequentially lower semicontinuous for each  $\lambda > 0$  small enough, it necessarily follows that the set  $\overline{\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) < \sigma\}}$  is connected. Now, observe that, since  $\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) < \sigma\} \subseteq \{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) \leq \sigma\}$ , one has

$$\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) \leq r\} = \bigcap_{r < \sigma < \rho^*} \overline{\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) < \sigma\}}.$$

Therefore, the closed set  $\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) \leq r\}$ , as the intersection of a non-increasing sequence of compact and connected sets, is connected too. Finally, let  $\rho \in ]-\infty, \rho^*[$ . Since

$$\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) < \rho\} = \bigcup_{r < \rho} \{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) \leq r\},$$

it follows that the set  $\{x \in X : \Psi(x, q) < \rho\}$  is connected. So, all the assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied, and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** We do not know whether, in Theorem 1, condition (iii) can be improved replacing  $\tau_{\Psi(\cdot, q)}$  with  $\tau$ . However, this is the case when we are allowed to take  $\Phi_q = 0$ . To see this, we first establish the following.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space and  $\Psi : X \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$  a function. Assume that, for some  $r > \inf_X \Psi$ ,  $\Psi$  has at most one local minimum lying in  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$  and that  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \rho])$  is compact for all  $\rho \in ]-\infty, r]$ .*

*Then, the set  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$  is connected.*

**Proof.** Assume that the set  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$  is disconnected. Then, since it is closed, there would be two non-empty, closed and disjoint sets  $A, B$  such that

$$\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r]) = A \cup B.$$

Since the restriction of  $\Psi$  to  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$  is lower semicontinuous and  $A, B$  are compact, there are  $x_1 \in A$  and  $x_2 \in B$  such that  $\Psi(x_1) = \inf_{x \in A} \Psi$  and  $\Psi(x_2) = \inf_{x \in B} \Psi$ . Now, choose two open and disjoint sets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in X$  such that  $A \subseteq \Omega_1$  and  $B \subseteq \Omega_2$ . It is readily seen that  $\Psi(x_1) \leq \Psi(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega_1$  and that  $\Psi(x_2) \leq \Psi(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega_2$ . Therefore,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  would be two distinct local minima of  $\Psi$  lying in  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$ , against one of the hypotheses.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space,  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  an interval and  $\Psi : X \times I \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$  a function such that  $\Psi(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous for all  $x \in X$ . Assume that there exist a number  $\rho^* > \sup_I \inf_X \Psi$  and a set  $D \subseteq I$ , dense in  $I$ , such that, for each  $\rho \in ]-\infty, \rho^*[$  and each  $q \in D$ , the following conditions hold:*

(i') *the set  $\{s \in I : \Psi(x, s) > \rho\}$  is an interval for all  $x \in X$ ;*

- (ii') the set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) \leq \rho\}$  is compact;  
 (iii') the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q)$  has at most one local minimum lying in the set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) < \rho^*\}$ .

Then, one has

$$\sup_{q \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x, q).$$

**Proof.** The proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 1, with the only change of using Proposition 1 instead of Theorem 1 of [4].  $\square$

It is worth noticing the following consequence of Theorem 1 that we will likewise apply to nonlinear differential equations:

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff topological space,  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  an interval, and  $\Psi : X \times I \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$  a function such that  $\Psi(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous for all  $x \in X$  and

$$\sup_{q \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x, q).$$

Assume that there exist a number  $\rho^* > \sup_I \inf_X \Psi$  and an open set  $D \subseteq I$ , dense in  $I$ , such that, for each  $\rho \in ]-\infty, \rho^*[$ , the set  $\{q \in I: \Psi(x, q) > \rho\}$  is an interval for all  $x \in X$ , while the set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) \leq \rho\}$  is compact and sequentially compact for all  $q \in D$ .

Then, there exist a non-empty open set  $A \subset I$  such that, for every  $q \in A$  and for every function  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , bounded below on the set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) \leq \rho^*\}$  and such that, for each  $\lambda > 0$  small enough, the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q) + \lambda\Phi(\cdot)$  is sequentially lower semicontinuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each  $\mu \in ]0, \delta]$ , the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q) + \mu\Phi(\cdot)$  has at least two  $\tau_{\Psi(\cdot, q)}$ -local minima lying in the set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) < \rho^*\}$ .

**Proof.** Denote by  $D'$  the set of all  $q \in I$  such that there exist a function  $\Phi_q : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , bounded below on the set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) \leq \rho^*\}$ , and a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^+$  converging to 0 such that, for each  $\lambda > 0$  small enough, the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q) + \lambda\Phi_q(\cdot)$  is sequentially lower semicontinuous, and, for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q) + \mu_n\Phi_q(\cdot)$  has at most one  $\tau_{\Psi(\cdot, q)}$ -local minimum lying in the set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) < \rho^*\}$ . By Theorem 1, the set  $D \cap D'$  is not dense in  $I$ . Consequently, since  $D$  is open and dense in  $I$ , the set  $D'$  is not dense in  $I$ , and so the set  $A = \text{int}(I \setminus D')$  satisfies the conclusion.  $\square$

Analogously, from Theorem 3 we get

**Theorem 5.** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space,  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  an interval, and  $\Psi : X \times I \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$  a function such that  $\Psi(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous for all  $x \in X$  and

$$\sup_{q \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, q) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{q \in I} \Psi(x, q).$$

Assume that there exist a number  $\rho^* > \sup_I \inf_X \Psi$  and an open set  $D \subseteq I$ , dense in  $I$ , such that, for each  $\rho \in ]-\infty, \rho^*[$ , the set  $\{q \in I: \Psi(x, q) > \rho\}$  is an interval for all  $x \in X$ , while the set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) \leq \rho\}$  is compact for all  $q \in D$ .

Then, there exist a non-empty open set  $A \subset I$  such that, for every  $q \in A$ , the function  $\Psi(\cdot, q)$  has at least two local minima lying in the set  $\{x \in X: \Psi(x, q) < \rho^*\}$ .

## References

- [1] B. Ricceri, Some topological mini-max theorems via an alternative principle for multifunctions, Arch. Math. (Basel) 60 (1993) 367–377.
- [2] B. Ricceri, On a topological minimax theorem and its applications, in: B. Ricceri, S. Simons (Eds.), Minimax Theory and Applications, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1998, pp. 191–216.
- [3] B. Ricceri, A further improvement of a minimax theorem of Borenshtein and Shul'man, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 2 (2001) 279–283.
- [4] B. Ricceri, Sublevel sets and global minima of coercive functionals and local minima of their perturbations, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 5 (2004) 157–168.