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#### Abstract

Given a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$, we prove that if $f: \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a $C^{1}$ function whose gradient is Lipschitzian in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and non-zero at 0 , then, for each $r>0$ small enough, the restriction of the integral functional $u \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(u(x), \nabla u(x)) d x$ to the sphere $\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{2}+|u(x)|^{2}\right) d x=r\right\}$ has a unique global minimum and a unique global maximum. © 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Here and in the sequel, $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is a bounded domain, with smooth boundary, and $f: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function such that, for each $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, the function $f(\cdot, y)$ is measurable in $\Omega$, while, for each $x \in \Omega, f(x, \cdot)$ is a $C^{1}$ function in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ whose gradient is non-constant and Lipschitzian (with respect to the Euclidean metric), with Lipschitz constant $L$ (independent of $x$ ). We also assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Omega}(|f(x, 0)|+|\nabla f(x, 0)|)<+\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]We will consider the Sobolev space $H^{1}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{2}+|u(x)|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

which is induced by the scalar product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega}(\nabla u(x) \nabla v(x)+u(x) v(x)) d x
$$

The linear growth of $\nabla f(x, \cdot)$ (coming from its Lipschitzianity) and (1) imply that the functional

$$
u \rightarrow J(u):=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) d x
$$

is (well defined and) $C^{1}$ on $H^{1}(\Omega)$, with derivative given by

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left(f_{\xi}(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) v(x)+\nabla_{\eta} f(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \nabla v(x)\right) d x
$$

for all $u, v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ [3, p. 248].
Let $r>0$. We are interested in minima and maxima of the restriction of the functional $J$ to the sphere $S_{r}:=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega):\|u\|=r\right\}$.

In the present setting, there is no evidence of their existence and uniqueness. In fact, with regard to the existence aspect, not only $S_{r}$ is not weakly compact but also, if $f(x, \xi, \cdot)$ is neither convex nor concave in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, the functional $J$ is neither lower nor upper weakly semicontinuous. But, even when $J$ is sequentially weakly continuous, it may happen that $J$ has no minima and/or maxima on $S_{r}$.

In this connection, consider the following simple and enlightening situation. Assume that $J(u)=\int_{\Omega} f(u(x)) d x$, where $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ has a unique global maximum in $\mathbf{R}$, say $\xi_{0}$. Then, it is clear that the constant function $x \rightarrow \xi_{0}$ is the unique maximum of the functional $J$. In this case, $J$ turns out to be sequentially weakly continuous, thanks to the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem [3, p. 239]. Then, by [2, Lemma 2.1], the function $\rho \rightarrow \sup _{S_{\rho}} J$ is non-decreasing in $] 0,+\infty[$. Consequently, if $r>\left|\xi_{0}\right|(\operatorname{meas}(\Omega))^{1 / 2},\left.J\right|_{S_{r}}$ has no maxima.

Nevertheless, we will show that if $\int_{\Omega} \nabla f(x, 0) d x \neq 0$ then $\left.J\right|_{S_{r}}$ possesses exactly one minimum and exactly one maximum for each $r>0$ small enough.

## 2. The result

To shorten the statement of our result, let us introduce some further notations. In the sequel, $g: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is another non-negative function, with $g(x, 0)=0$, such that, for each $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, the function $g(\cdot, y)$ is measurable in $\Omega$, while, for each $x \in \Omega, g(x, \cdot)$ is a $C^{1}$ function in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ whose gradient is Lipschitzian, with Lipschitz constant $v<2$ (independent of $x$ ). We also assume

$$
\sup _{x \in \Omega}(|g(x, 0)|+|\nabla g(x, 0)|)<+\infty .
$$

We set

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} g(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) d x
$$

for all $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$.
Moreover, $V$ is a closed linear subspace of $H^{1}(\Omega)$ with the following property: there exists $v_{0} \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(f_{\xi}(x, 0) v_{0}(x)+\nabla_{\eta} f(x, 0) \nabla v_{0}(x)\right) d x \neq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we denote by $S$ the set (possibly empty) of all global minima of the restriction to $V$ of the functional

$$
u \rightarrow\|u\|^{2}+I(u)+\frac{2-v}{L} J(u) .
$$

Finally, given a set $C \subseteq V$, we say that the problem of minimizing (respectively maximizing) $J$ over $C$ is weakly (respectively strongly) well posed if the following two properties hold:

- the restriction of $J$ to $C$ has a unique global minimum (respectively maximum), say $u^{*}$;
- if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is any sequence in $C$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right)=\inf _{C} J$ (respectively $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right)=$ $\sup _{C} J$ ) then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly (respectively strongly) to $u^{*}$.

Then, with the convention $\inf \emptyset=+\infty$, our result reads as follows:
Theorem 1. Under the above assumptions, one has

$$
\delta:=\inf _{u \in S}\left(\|u\|^{2}+I(u)\right)>0
$$

and, for each $r \in] 0, \delta[$, the problem of minimizing the functional $J$ over the set

$$
C_{r}:=\left\{u \in V:\|u\|^{2}+I(u)=r\right\}
$$

is weakly well posed.
Proof. Let $\mu \geqslant 0$ and let $u, v, w \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, with $\|w\|=1$. Using Cauchy-Schwartz and Hölder inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\langle I^{\prime}(u)+\mu J^{\prime}(u)-I^{\prime}(v)-\mu J^{\prime}(v), w\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad \int_{\Omega}\left|\left(g_{\xi}(x, u, \nabla u)-g_{\xi}(x, v, \nabla v)\right) w+\left(\nabla_{\eta} g(x, u, \nabla u)-\nabla_{\eta} g(x, v, \nabla v)\right) \nabla w\right| d x \\
& \quad+\mu \int_{\Omega} \mid\left(f_{\xi}(x, u, \nabla u)-f_{\xi}(x, v, \nabla v)\right) w \\
& \quad+\left(\nabla_{\eta} f(x, u, \nabla u)-\nabla_{\eta} f(x, v, \nabla v)\right) \nabla w \mid d x \\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|g_{\xi}(x, u, \nabla u)-g_{\xi}(x, v, \nabla v)\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla_{\eta} g(x, u, \nabla u)-\nabla_{\eta} g(x, v, \nabla v)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times\left(|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|f_{\xi}(x, u, \nabla u)-f_{\xi}(x, v, \nabla v)\right|^{2}\left|\nabla_{\eta} f(x, u, \nabla u)-\nabla_{\eta} f(x, v, \nabla v)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times\left(|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d x \\
\leqslant & \left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|g_{\xi}(x, u, \nabla u)-g_{\xi}(x, v, \nabla v)\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla_{\eta} g(x, u, \nabla u)-\nabla_{\eta} g(x, v, \nabla v)\right|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\mu\left(\int _ { \Omega } \left(\left|f_{\xi}(x, u, \nabla u)-f_{\xi}(x, v, \nabla v)\right|^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left|\nabla_{\eta} f(x, u, \nabla u)-\nabla_{\eta} f(x, v, \nabla v)\right|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leqslant & (v+\mu L)\|u-v\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the derivative of the functional $I+\mu J$ is Lipschitzian, with constant $v+\mu L$. As a consequence, if $0 \leqslant \mu<\frac{2-v}{L}$, the functional $u \rightarrow\|u\|^{2}+I(u)+\mu J(u)$ is strictly convex and coercive. To see this, it is enough to show that its derivative is strongly monotone [4, pp. 247-248]. Indeed, if $\Phi(\cdot):=\|\cdot\|^{2}$, we have for all $u, v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u)+I^{\prime}(u)+\mu J^{\prime}(u)-\Phi^{\prime}(v)-I^{\prime}(v)-\mu J^{\prime}(v), u-v\right\rangle \\
& \quad \geqslant 2\|u-v\|^{2}-\left\|I^{\prime}(u)-I^{\prime}(v)+\mu\left(J^{\prime}(u)-J^{\prime}(v)\right)\right\|\|u-v\| \\
& \quad \geqslant(2-v-\mu L)\|u-v\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, this shows also the convexity of the functional $\Phi+I+\frac{2-v}{L} J$. Assume $S \neq \emptyset$. Then, $S$ is closed and convex, and so there exists a unique $\hat{u} \in S$ such that

$$
\|\hat{u}\|^{2}+I(\hat{u})=\delta .
$$

Observe that $\|u\|^{2}+I(u)>0$ for all $u \in V \backslash\{0\}$. So, $\delta \geqslant 0$. Arguing by contradiction, assume $\delta=0$. Then, it would follow $\hat{u}=0$. Hence, since $0 \in S$, we would have

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(0)+I^{\prime}(0)+\frac{2-v}{L} J^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle=0
$$

for all $v \in V$ and so, since $\Phi^{\prime}(0)+I^{\prime}(0)=0$ (being 0 the global minimum of $\Phi+I$ ), it would follow

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(f_{\xi}(x, 0) v(x)+\nabla_{\eta} f(x, 0) \nabla v(x)\right) d x=0
$$

for all $v \in V$, against condition (2). Hence, we have proven that $\delta>0$. Now, fix $r \in] 0, \delta[$ and consider the function $\Psi: V \times\left[\frac{L}{2-v},+\infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}\right.$ defined by

$$
\Psi(u, \lambda)=J(u)+\lambda\left(\|u\|^{2}+I(u)-r\right)
$$

for all $(u, \lambda) \in V \times\left[\frac{L}{2-v},+\infty[\right.$. As we have seen above, $\Psi(\cdot, \lambda)$ is continuous and convex for all $\lambda \geqslant \frac{L}{2-v}$ and coercive for all $\lambda>\frac{L}{2-v}$, while $\Psi(u, \cdot)$ is continuous and concave for all $u \in$ $V$, with $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} \Psi(0, \lambda)=-\infty$. So, we can apply to $\Psi$ a classical saddle-point theorem [4, Theorem 49.A] which ensures the existence of $\left(u^{*}, \lambda^{*}\right) \in V \times\left[\frac{L}{2-v},+\infty[\right.$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(u^{*}\right)+\lambda^{*}\left(\left\|u^{*}\right\|^{2}+I\left(u^{*}\right)-r\right) & =\inf _{u \in V}\left(J(u)+\lambda^{*}\left(\|u\|^{2}+I(u)-r\right)\right) \\
& =J\left(u^{*}\right)+\sup _{\lambda \geqslant \frac{L}{2-v}} \lambda\left(\left\|u^{*}\right\|^{2}+I\left(u^{*}\right)-r\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course, we have $\left\|u^{*}\right\|^{2}+I\left(u^{*}\right) \leqslant r$, since the sup is finite. But, if it were $\left\|u^{*}\right\|^{2}+I\left(u^{*}\right)<r$, we would have $\lambda^{*}=\frac{L}{2-v}$. This, in turn, would imply that $u^{*} \in S$, against the fact that $r<\delta$. Hence, we have $\left\|u^{*}\right\|^{2}+I\left(u^{*}\right)=r$. Consequently

$$
J\left(u^{*}\right)+\lambda^{*} r=\inf _{u \in V}\left(J(u)+\lambda^{*}\left(\|u\|^{2}+I(u)\right)\right) .
$$

From this, we infer that $\lambda^{*}>\frac{L}{2-v}$ (since $r<\delta$ ), that $u^{*}$ is a global minimum of $\left.J\right|_{C_{r}}$ and that each global minimum of $\left.J\right|_{C_{r}}$ is a global minimum in $V$ of the functional $u \rightarrow\|u\|^{2}+I(u)+\frac{1}{\lambda^{*}} J(u)$. Since $\lambda^{*}>\frac{L}{2-\nu}$, this functional is strictly convex and coercive, and so $u^{*}$ is its unique global minimum in $V$ towards which every minimizing sequences weakly converges [1, p. 3]. The proof is complete.

Remark 1. It is almost superfluous to remark that the conclusion of Theorem 1 may fail if condition (2) is not satisfied. In this connection, consider, for instance, the case $f(\sigma)=-|\sigma|^{2}$, with $g=0$. Condition (2), however, serves only to ensure that $\delta>0$. So, it becomes superfluous, in particular, when $S=\emptyset$. In other words, we have the following corollary:

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, but condition (2), if the set $S$ is empty, then, for each $r>0$, the problem of minimizing the functional $J$ over the set

$$
\left\{u \in V:\|u\|^{2}+I(u)=r\right\}
$$

is weakly well posed.

Now, denote by $S_{1}$ the set (possibly empty) of all global minima of the restriction to $V$ of the functional

$$
u \rightarrow\|u\|^{2}+I(u)-\frac{2-v}{L} J(u) .
$$

Clearly, applying Theorem 1 also to $-f$, we get
Theorem 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, one has

$$
\delta_{1}:=\min \left\{\inf _{u \in S}\left(\|u\|^{2}+I(u)\right), \inf _{u \in S_{1}}\left(\|u\|^{2}+I(u)\right)\right\}>0
$$

and, for each $r \in] 0, \delta_{1}[$, the problems of minimizing and maximizing the functional $J$ over the set

$$
\left\{u \in V:\|u\|^{2}+I(u)=r\right\}
$$

are both weakly well posed.

So, taking Remark 1 into account, we also get

Theorem 4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, but condition (2), if the sets $S$ and $S_{1}$ are empty, then, for each $r>0$, the problems of minimizing and maximizing the functional $J$ over the set

$$
\left\{u \in V:\|u\|^{2}+I(u)=r\right\}
$$

are both weakly well posed.
Remark 2. It is easy to realize that, when the functional $I$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous (so, in particular, when $I=0$ ), the weak well-posedness of the optimization problems considered in the previous results implies the strong well-posedness of them.
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