This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.



ISSN:2155-6148

Journal of Anesthesia & Clinical Research

The International Open Access Journal of Anesthesia & Clinical Research

Executive Editors

Ian Stuart Zagon, PhD The Pennsylvania State University, USA

Peter J. Papadakos, MD University of Rochester, USA

Antoun Koht, MD Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, USA

Ganesan L. Kamatchi Norfolk State University, USA

Norbert W. Seidler, PhD Kansas City University of Medicine and Biosciences, USA

Available online at: OMICS Publishing Group (www.omicsonline.org)

This article was originally published in a journal by OMICS Publishing Group, and the attached copy is provided by OMICS Publishing Group for the author's benefit and for the benefit of the author's institution, for commercial/research/educational use including without limitation use in instruction at your institution, sending it to specific colleagues that you know, and providing a copy to your institution's administrator.

All other uses, reproduction and distribution, including without limitation commercial reprints, selling or licensing copies or access, or posting on open internet sites, your personal or institution's website or repository, are requested to cite properly.

Digital Object Identifier: http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2155-6148.1000202



Research Article

Open Access

Dose-Response of Propofol for Tracheal Intubation in Children Correlated to Intubation Condition Score and Cerebral State Index. Randomized, Double-Blinded Trial

Carmelo Minardi¹*, Marinella Astuto¹, Concetta M. Spinello¹, Laura Pagano¹, Sarah Pellegrino¹,6ncetta Do ,Fanĉesco Perna , 8ssi 6rena ,Flippo Paleren,Paolo Maltio ,Mrcello Miliore andAtonino Do ³

¹Department of Anesthesia, "Policlinico" University Hospital, Catania, Italy

²Department of Internal and Specialist Medicine University of Catania, Catania, Italy

³Deptartment of Thoracic Surgery, Policlinico University Hospital, Catania, Italy

Abstract

Background: Avoiding neuromuscular blocking drugs may prevent the potential complications of their use if they are not required for the planned procedure. This study was designed to estimate dose-response of propofol for tracheal intubation in children correlated to Intubation Condition Score and Cerebral State Index (CSI) without neuromuscular blocking drugs.

Methods: 56 children (ages 3-8 years), ASA physical status I and II, weight 13-35 Kg, admitted for adenotonsillectomy were included. Children were randomly divided into four groups to receive propofol by Target Controlled Infusion (TCI) at different concentrations: 3.0 μ g•ml⁻¹, 3.5 μ g•ml⁻¹, 4.0 μ g•ml⁻¹, 4.5 μ g•ml⁻¹. At time T₀ remifentanil infusion 0.5 μ g•kg⁻¹•min⁻¹ was started. After 4 min, time T₁, children received propofol according to their group. At time T₂ (8 min after T₀) tracheal intubation was carried out, Intubation Condition Score and CSI values at time T₂ were assessed.

Results: The results show that increasing propofol plasma concentrations from 3.0 μ g·ml⁻¹ to 4.5 μ g·ml⁻¹, the number of patients with acceptable intubating conditions, increased to 100%. There was a statistically significant difference with respect to Intubating Condition Score: 3.0 μ g·ml⁻¹ vs 4.5 μ g·ml⁻¹ and 3.0 μ g·ml⁻¹ vs 4.0 μ g·ml⁻¹. With reference to CSI values, a statistically significant difference was observed between 4.5 μ g·ml⁻¹ and the other 3 concentrations. We observed a significant difference in patients with CSI<50 versus CSI>50 among the four propofol groups. Therefore, we detected a significant difference in the Intubation Condition Score between the CSI<50 and CSI>50 groups.

Conclusions: The administration of propofol at 4 and 4.5 µg•ml⁻¹, coadministered with remifentanil 0.5 µg•kg⁻¹•min⁻¹, provided clinically acceptable conditions for tracheal intubation. In our opinion the use of CSI monitoring may be of practical value in producing acceptable intubating conditions in children.

Keywords: Propofol; Children; Target controlled infusion; Intubation condition score; Remifentanil; Cerebral state index

Abbreviation: TCI: Target Controlled Infusion; CSI: Cerebral State Index; CSM: Cerebral State Monitor; BS: Burst Suppression; BP: Blood Pressure; HR: Heart Rate; SpO₂: Peripheral Oxygen Saturation; UMSS: University of Michigan Sedation Scale.

Introduction

After induction of anesthesia, tracheal intubation is commonly facilitated by the use of neuromuscular blocking drugs. In particular situations the use of neuromuscular blocking drugs should be avoided [1] or the use of succinylcholine is contraindicated [2-3]. Moreover, it is known that excessive or unnecessary neuromuscular blockade is one of the contributing factors to awareness under general anesthesia [4,5]. For the above reasons we were prompted to investigate alternative drugs to facilitate tracheal intubation.

In pediatric patients we studied the dose-response of different propofol concentrations by Target Controlled Infusion (TCI) [6,7] for tracheal intubation in children, co-administering 0.5 µg•kg⁻¹•min⁻¹ remifentanil and without neuromuscular blocking drugs. For a better understanding of the degree of depth of anesthesia we used the Cerebral State Monitor (CSM) that is an EEG derived monitor (CSI TM, Danmeter, and Odense, Denmark). The CSM calculates an index (CSI) from the raw EEG signals using an algorithm based on power analysis of the beta, alpha, and beta-alpha ratio. The monitor also evaluates the

J Anesthe Clinic Res ISSN:2155-6148 JACR an open access journal amount of instantaneous burst suppression (BS) in each 30-s period of EEG, which is expressed as a percentage [8].

Aim of the study was to correlate different propofol concentration with Intubation Condition Score [9,10] and CSI values at intubation time. Secondary end point was to correlate CSI values with Intubation Condition Score.

Materials and Methods

Approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee of Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico, Catania, Italy and informed consent was obtained from the parents after the aim and the possible risks of the study were fully explained. We studied 56 ASA I–II children,

Received February 22, 2012; Accepted March 17, 2012; Published March 19, 2012

Citation: Minardi C, Astuto M, Spinello CM, Pagano L, Pellegrino S, et al. (2012) Dose-Response of Propofol for Tracheal Intubation in Children Correlated to Intubation Condition Score and Cerebral State Index. Randomized, Double-Blinded Trial. J Anesthe Clinic Res 3:202. doi:10.4172/2155-6148.1000202

Copyright: © 2012 Minardi C, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

^{*}Corresponding author: Carmelo Minardi, Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive care, University of Catania, Via Santa Sofia 78, Catania, Italy, Tel: +39-095-3781117; Fax: +39-095-3781117; E-mail: minardi.carmelo@ virgilio.it

aged 3 to 8 years, weight 13 to 35 kg [11] scheduled for elective adenoidectomy and tonsillectomy under general anesthesia surgery. Exclusion criteria were allergy to medications and obesity (greater then 95th percentile for age and gender) [12,13].

EMLA* cream was applied on the expected site of the venipuncture at least 45 min before arrival in the operating room. Orally midazolam 0.5 mg•kg⁻¹ (max 7 mg) was given as premedication, 30 min before induction of anesthesia.

In the operating room, while intravenous access was secured, routine monitoring of ECG, pulse oximetry, and automated non-invasive blood pressure were begun. To minimize the effects on the infusion system dead volume, flow rates during total intravenous anesthesia, we used the same infusion system architecture and a standardized fluid administration (saline solution) at 45 ml·h⁻¹ in all children [14]. CSI monitor electrodes were applied: two electrodes were positioned on the forehead and one on the mastoid process behind the ear, according to the manufacturer's instructions.

To compare the propofol plasmatic concentrations for tracheal intubation in children, patients were randomized into four groups to receive different concentrations of propofol during TCI infusion (P_1 :3.0 μ g•ml⁻¹; P_2 :3.5 μ g•ml⁻¹; P_3 :4.0 μ g•ml⁻¹; P_4 :4.5 μ g•ml⁻¹). Randomization was achieved by using a schedule derived from a table of random numbers generated by a computer.

At 'T₀' time all patients received a continuous infusion of remifentanil 0.5 μ g•kg⁻¹•min⁻¹; after 4 min, 'T₁' time, they received propofol according to their group. Propofol was administrated in TCI, using the Alaris Asena PK^{*} syringe pump (Alaris Medical System, Basingstoke, UK) and the pharmacokinetic parameters used were those determined by Kataria et al. based on a pediatric model [6,7].

Four minutes after beginning propofol infusion, at 'T₂' time, laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation were performed using a Macintosh laryngoscope by an experienced anesthesiologist (one of the authors), who was unaware as to the dose of propofol the patient had been given. At the same time Intubation Condition Score and CSI were recorded. At each time (T₀, T₁, T₂), blood pressure (BP), heart rate (HR), CSI and peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) were recorded by blinded observers.

Intubating conditions were assessed using a four-point scoring system [9,10] based on: condition of laryngoscopy, jaw relaxation, position of vocal cords, degree of coughing, limb movement and we added changes in HR (Table 1). Intubating conditions were as follows: excellent when all scores were 1, good when all scores were ≤ 2 , and poor if any score was ≥ 3 . Excellent and good intubating conditions were considered clinically acceptable [10]. For patients with a poor Intubation Condition Score, the lungs were ventilated with oxygen by face mask, and rocuronium (0.3 mg•Kg⁻¹) was administered before a second attempt at intubation. In these patients the Intubation Condition

Score	1	2	3	4
Jaw relaxation	Complete	slight tone	stiff	Rigid
Laryngoscopy	Easy	fair	difficult	impossible
Vocal cord position	Open	moving	closing	Closed
Coughing	None	slight	moderate	Severe
Limb movement	None	slight	moderate	Severe
Heart Rate	Unchanged	increased by 20%	increased by 30%	Increase great- er then 30%

 Table 1: Intubation Condition Score [10] modified with the introduction of the heart rate value.

The same 56 patients were divided into two groups on the basis of their CSI value at T₂ time: CSI \leq 50 and CSI >50, independently of their propofol plasmatic concentration. We chose to use a cut-off of 50 for the CSI value, because it is in the middle of the range (40-60) of adequate depth for surgical anaesthesia, according to the manufacturer's instructions [15].

These two groups were compared based on propofol plasma concentrations and Intubation Condition Score.

Statistical Analysis

Based on our clinical experience we used an effect size large of 40 % with a power over 0.80 and an alpha value of 0.05. We would need to study 10 children for each group to be able to reject the null hypothesis. We recruited 14 patients per group to allow protocol violations and drop outs.

Kruskal Wallis test (followed by Dunn's Multiple comparison test) was used to compare the scores of jaw relaxation, laryngoscopy, vocal cord position, coughing, limb movement, HR and intubating condition score between the four groups.

One-way ANOVA of variance for the comparison of CSI at intubation time (T_2) was used for the four groups followed by Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison Test.

P< 0.05 was considered significant.

Chi-square Pearson test was used to compare the number of patients with four different propofol concentrations between the two CSI groups. The same test was used to compare Intubation Condition Score between the two groups.

P< 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Demographic data and baseline hemodynamic variables were similar in all four groups, as well as age, weight and gender (Table 2). Each propofol group contained 14 patients.

There were no significant differences between the four groups of propofol with respect to laryngoscopy, coughing, limb movement and HR (Figure 1).

Jaw relaxation was judged to be complete in 64% of patients in P₁ group, 100% in P₂, 93% in P₃ and 100% in P₄, with a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) between P₂ and P₄ vs P₁. There was a statistical difference with respect to vocal cord position between P₃ and P₁ (P < 0.05) and between P₄ and P₁ (P < 0.01). Vocal cord position was judged to be open in 21% of patients in P₁ group, 57% in P₂, 71% in P₃ and 78% in P₄ (Figure 1).

Intubating Condition Score was significantly different between P_1 group compared with P_4 group (P < 0.01) and P_3 group (P < 0.05). Intubating condition was judged to be *excellent* in 21.4% of patients in P_1 group, 29% in P_2 , 64% in P_3 and 71% in P_4 . Intubating condition was

	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄	Ρ
Age (month)	77.2±17.7	66.4±17.9	72.6±15.8	75.4±21.6	NS
Weight (Kg)	22.4±6.2	22.4±8.0	22.9±5.22	25±7.4	NS
Male/Female	9/5	7/7	9/5	9/5	NS

Table 2: Demographics in each group of propofol. Data are mean \pm SD or ratios.P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.</td>



Figure 1: Score for jaw relaxation, laryngoscopy, vocal cord position, coughing, limb movement and heart rate during intubation in each propofol group. (*) P <0.05 vs P₁; (* *) P<0.01 vs P₁.



observed as *good* in 29% of patients in P_1 group, 37% in P_2 , 21% in P_3 and 29% in P_4 . Intubating condition was *poor* in 50% of patients in P_1 group, 14% in P_2 , 14% in P_3 , while we had no poor cases in P_4 group (Figure 2).

Figure 3 shows CSI measurements during intubation in each propofol group. A statistically significant difference was observed between P4 group and all the other groups, with P < 0.001 vs P₁, P < 0.01 vs P₂, P < 0.05 vs P₃. Increasing propofol concentrations decreased CSI values. Mean (± DS) CSI was 59 (± 11) in P₁ group, 56 (± 11) in P₂, 50 (± 13) in P₃ and 41 (± 5) in P₄.

For the secondary end point, demographics data are shown in table (Table 3); there were no differences between the two groups with regard to age, weight and gender.

At T₂ time, CSI was > 50 in 25 patients and \leq 50 in 31.

We found significant differences in the number of patients with



	CSI±50	CSI±50	p
Age (month)	73.3±20.6	72.3±15.6	NS
Weight (Kg)	23.6±6.6	22.6±6.9	NS
Male/Female	19/12	13/10	NS





the four different propofol concentrations between the two groups with CSI ≤ 50 and CSI > 50~(P < 0.001)

We found a significant difference with regards to Intubation Condition Score between CSI \leq 50 and CSI > 50 groups (P < 0.001). In the CSI \leq 50 group we observed 68% (21 case) with excellent intubation, 32% (10 case) with good intubation and none with a poor score. Instead, in the CSI > 50 group we had only 20% (5 cases) with excellent intubation, 40% (10 cases) with good intubation and 40% (10 cases) with poor intubation (Figure 4).

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that propofol TCI 4 or 4.5 μ g•ml⁻¹ produced clinically acceptable intubating conditions (both excellent and good) in healthy premedicated children without the use neuromuscular blocking agents. For elective adenoidectomy and tonsillectomy without neuromuscular blocking the best conditions were achieved with propofol TCI 4.5 μ g•ml⁻¹.

Page 3 of 5

Batra Y.K et al. found that remifentanil (3 µg•Kg⁻¹), administered before propofol (3 mg•kg⁻¹), provided acceptable tracheal intubating conditions without neuromuscular blocking drugs, and completely inhibited the increase in HR and MAP associated with intubation [10].

Crawford M.W et al. found that the dose-response of remifentanil for tracheal intubation was similar in infants and children and that coadministration of propofol 4.0 mg•kg⁻¹ and remifentanil 3.0 μ g•kg⁻¹ provided excellent or good conditions for tracheal intubation in infants [16].

Blair J.M et al. reported that adequate conditions for laryngoscopy and intubation were produced in healthy children with remifertanil 1.0, 2.0 or 3.0 μ g•kg⁻¹ in combination with propofol [17].

In the present study we used a fixed continuous infusion dose of remifentanil ($0.5 \ \mu g \cdot k g^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$); this dose was in keeping with the findings of the other workers, but we chose a continuous infusion model because we considered that remifentanil with its short and stable context sensitive half-time is ideally suited for TIVA and Munoz et al. reported that children needed markedly higher infusion rates compared with adults [18]. For this reason the timing to achieve the steady effect of remifentanil and also of propofol in continuous infusion is important, and we waited 8 min after the start of remifentanil and 4 min after the administering of propofol TCI before attempting laryngoscopy. The vocal cord position in the patients of the P1 group was judged in 79% not to be open instead of 75% open in both the P3 and P4 Group; only 5% of the patients in the 4 groups experienced an increase in the heart rate. Muscle rigidity or difficulty with ventilation was not found in any study patients.

Our results are similar to those of other studies in adults that have suggested that propofol in combination with short-acting opioids such as alfentanil and remifentanil may provide adequate conditions for laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation without using neuromuscular blocking drugs [19].

We have also demonstrated that propofol produces a decrease in CSI values and a significant correlation exists between CSI at intubation time and predicted propofol concentrations. Several studies have recently been performed with CSI to demonstrate its possible use in children [20,21], however, to date, few studies of intubating conditions related to depth of anaesthesia have been carried out in paediatric patients using BIS, and none using CSI [22,23].

Park et al. investigated the relationship between BIS index and predicted plasma concentrations of propofol delivered by TCI in children, finding that BIS moderately correlated with the predicted plasma concentration of propofol and could be used in recovery from anaesthesia [24]. In a previous study we demonstrated that the CSI was able to distinguish light sedation with University of Michigan Sedation Scale (UMSS 0-1) from deep sedation (UMSS 3-4) [20]. Thus continuous analysis with both clinical and more objective monitoring tools is essential; in this regard, monitoring the hypnotic state by adding EEGbased monitors will probably soon become standard in pediatric anesthesia practice [25].

In conclusion, the administration of remifentanil 0.5 $\mu g \bullet k g^{-1} \bullet min^{-1}$ with the co-administration of 4.5 $\mu g \bullet ml - 1$ propofol provided the "best" clinically acceptable conditions for tracheal intubation without using neuromuscular blocking drugs in healthy children. We found that during intubation, CSI values were lower in patients that received propofol 4.5 $\mu g \bullet ml^{-1}$ with respect to all the other patients. Intubation was easier in patients with CSI < 50 with respect to others. Our study demon-

strates a good relationship between propofol plasma concentrations by TCI, CSI value, and Intubation Condition Score. This combination of drugs may be advantageous in children with normal airway anatomy undergoing brief surgical procedures (i.e adeno-tonsillectomy) or when neuromuscular blockade is contraindicated.

The quality of care and a better outcome represent the gold standard of the health care system. Physicians and medical staff know very well that to improve the care in perioperative medicine and surgery we should standardize procedures. Millions of children are submitted to adeno-tonsillectomy every year around the world [26]. The combination of drugs without the use of neuromuscular blocking agents is a standardized and safe procedure in our clinical practice.

References

- 1. Collins L, Prentice J, Vaghadia H (2000) Tracheal intubation of outpatients with and without muscle relaxants. Can J Anaesth 47: 427-432.
- Geldner G, Wulf H (2001) Muscle relaxants suitable for day case surgery. Eur J Anaesthesiol Suppl 23: 43-46.
- Bartolek D, Jakobovic J, Bartolek F, Finci D, Munjiza A (2010) Reduced-dose rocuronium for day-case tonsillectomy in children where volatile anaesthetics are not used: operating room time saving. Paediatr Anaesth 20: 47-55.
- Heier T, Steen PA (1996) Awareness in anaesthesia: incidence, consequences and prevention. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 40: 1073-1086.
- Erhan E, Ugur G, Gunusen I, Alper I, Ozyar B (2003) Propofol not thiopental or etomidate - with remifentanil provides adequate intubating conditions in the absence of neuromuscular blockade. Can J Anaesth 50: 108-115.
- Kataria BK, Ved SA, Nicodemus HF, Hoy GR, Lea D, et al. (1994) The pharmacokinetics of propofol in children using three different data analysis approaches. Anesthesiology 80: 104-122.
- Rigouzzo A, Servin F, Constant I (2010) Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling of propofol in children. Anesthesiology 113: 343-352.
- Disma N, Tuo P, Astuto M, Davidson AJ (2009) Depth of sedation using Cerebral State Index in infants undergoing spinal anesthesia. Paediatr Anaesth 19: 133-137.
- Helbo-Hansen S, Ravlo O, Trap-Andersen S (1988) The influence of alfentanil on the intubating conditions after priming with vecuronium. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 32: 41-44.
- Batra YK, Al Qattan AR, Ali SS, Qureshi MI, Kuriakose D, et al. (2004) Assessment of tracheal intubating conditions in children using remifentanil and propofol without muscle relaxant. Paediatr Anaesth 14: 452-456.
- Thibault H, Castetbon K, Rolland-Cachera MF, Girardet JP (2010) Why and how to use the new body mass index curves for children. Arch Pediatr 17: 1709-1715.
- 12. http://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/en/.
- WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group (2006) WHO Child Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age. Acta Paediatr Suppl 450: 76-85.
- Ma H, Lovich MA, Peterfreund RA (2011) Quantitative analysis of continuous intravenous infusions in pediatric anesthesia: safety implications of dead volume, flow rates, and fluid delivery. Paediatr Anaesth 21: 78-86.
- http://www.danmeter.dk/products/neuromonitoring/csmmonitor/userguides/ CSM%20Monitor%20MKII%20-%20Manual%20561100006%20%28UK%29. pdf.
- Crawford MW, Hayes J, Tan JM (2005) Dose-response of remiferitanil for tracheal intubation in infants. Anesth Analg 100: 1599-1604.
- Blair JM, Hill DA, Wilson CM, Fee JP (2004) Assessment of tracheal intubation in children after induction with propofol and different doses of remiferitanil. Anaesthesia 59: 27-33.
- Muñoz HR, Cortínez LI, Ibacache ME, Altermatt FR (2007) Remifentanil requirements during propofol administration to block the somatic response to skin incision in children and adults. Anesth Analg 104: 77-80.

Page 5 of 5

- 19. Scheller MS, Zornow MH, Saidman LJ (1992) Tracheal intubation without the use of muscle relaxants: a technique using propofol and varying doses of alfentanil. Anesth Analg 75: 788-793.
- 20. Disma N, Lauretta D, Palermo F, Sapienza D, Ingelmo PM, et al. (2007) Level of sedation evaluation with Cerebral State Index and A-Line Arx in children undergoing diagnostic procedures. Paediatr Anaesth 17: 445-451.
- 21. Fuentes R, Cortinez LI, Struys MM, Delfino A, Muñoz H (2008) The dynamic relationship between end-tidal sevoflurane concentrations, bispectral index, and cerebral state index in children. Anesth Analg 107: 1573-1578.
- 22. Weber F, Füssel U, Gruber M, Hobbhahn J (2003) The use of remifentanil for intubation in paediatric patients during sevoflurane anaesthesia guided by Bispectral Index (BIS) monitoring. Anaesthesia 58: 749-755.
- 23. McCann ME, Bacsik J, Davidson A, Auble S, Sullivan L, et al. (2002) The correlation of bispectral index with endtidal sevoflurane concentration and haemodynamic parameters in preschoolers. Paediatr Anaesth 12: 519-525.
- 24. Park HJ, Kim YL, Kim CS, Kim SD, Kim HS (2007) Changes of bispectral index during recovery from general anesthesia with 2% propofol and remifentanil in children. Paediatr Anaesth 17: 353-357.
- 25. Davidson AJ (2007) Monitoring the anaesthetic depth in children an update. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 20: 236-243.
- 26. Cullen KA, Hall MJ, Golosinskiy A (2009) Ambulatory surgery in the United States, 2006. Natl Health Stat Report 1-25.

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of OMICS **Group submissions**

Unique features:

- User friendly/feasible website-translation of your paper to 50 world's leading languages
- Audio Version of published paper Digital articles to share and explore

Special features:

- 200 Open Access Journals
- 15.000 editorial team
- 21 days rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, DOAJ, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: http://www.omicsonline.org/submission