

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

An atomtronic flux qubit: a ring lattice of Bose–Einstein condensates interrupted by three weak links

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2016 New J. Phys. 18 075013 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630/18/7/075013)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 137.132.228.29 This content was downloaded on 09/09/2016 at 17:35

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

You may also be interested in:

Coherent superposition of current flows in an atomtronic quantum interference devi	ce
Davit Aghamalyan, Marco Cominotti, Matteo Rizzi et al.	

From quantum to thermal topological-sector fluctuations of strongly interacting Bosons in a ring lattice Tommaso Roscilde, Michael F Faulkner, Steven T Bramwell et al.

Chaos and two-level dynamics of the atomtronic quantum interference device Geva Arwas and Doron Cohen

The functional integral formulation of the Schrieffer–Wolff transformation Farzaneh Zamani, Pedro Ribeiro and Stefan Kirchner

Quantum impurities: from mobile Josephson junctions to depletons Michael Schecter, Dimitri M Gangardt and Alex Kamenev

Coupled transport in rotor models S Iubini, S Lepri, R Livi et al.

Coherent quantum phase slip in two-component bosonic atomtronic circuits A Gallemí, A Muñoz Mateo, R Mayol et al.

New Journal of Physics

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft DPG

Published in partnership with: Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft and the Institute of Physics

PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED 27 December 2015

REVISED

15 June 2016

30 June 2016

18 July 2016

PUBLISHED

licence

and DOI

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

Original content from this

Commons Attribution 3.0

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of

the work, journal citation

work may be used under the terms of the Creative

CrossMark

An atomtronic flux qubit: a ring lattice of Bose–Einstein condensates interrupted by three weak links

D Aghamalyan^{1,2}, N T Nguyen², F Auksztol², K S Gan², M Martinez Valado², P C Condylis^{2,6}, L-C Kwek^{2,3}, R Dumke² and L Amico^{2,4,5}

- Institut de Physique de Rennes, UMR 6251 du CNRS and Université de Rennes 1, F-35042 Rennes Cedex, France
- ² Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543, Singapore
- National Institute of Education and Institute of Advanced Studies, Nanyang Technological University, 1 Nanyang Walk, 637616, Singapore
- CNR-MATIS-IMM & Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Via S. Sofia 64, I-95127 Catania, Italy
- $^{\scriptscriptstyle 5}$ Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, INFN, via S. Sofia 62, I-95123 Catania, Italy
- ⁶ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: cqtpcc@nus.edu.sg

Keywords: atomtronics quantum interference device, flux qubit, ring condensate, atom circuits

Abstract

We study a physical system consisting of a Bose–Einstein condensate confined to a ring shaped lattice potential interrupted by three weak links. The system is assumed to be driven by an effective flux piercing the ring lattice. By employing path integral techniques, we explore the effective quantum dynamics of the system in a pure quantum phase dynamics regime. Moreover, the effects of the density's quantum fluctuations are studied through exact diagonalization analysis of the spectroscopy of the Bose–Hubbard model. We demonstrate that a clear two-level system emerges by tuning the magnetic flux at degeneracy. The lattice confinement, platform for the condensate, is realized experimentally employing a spatial light modulator.

1. Introduction

Atomtronics provides for a new twist in quantum technology [1, 2]. A defining theme of the field is to confine ultra-cold atoms in laser traps of different intensities and shapes and put them into the motion of coherent flows. With this approach, quantum devices with a radically new architecture can be conceived; at the same time the scope of quantum simulations could be enlarged considerably [3].

Much interest has been devoted to Bose-Einstein condensates confined to ring-shaped potentials that, in a way, form the most elementary atomtronics circuit [4-6]. Ring-shaped condensates interrupted by weak links are atomtronics quantum interference devices (AQUIDs), in analogy with the SQUIDs conceived in mesoscopic superconductivity [5-9]. Similar to SQUIDs, AQUIDs enclose a great potential both for basic science and technology, with control of noise, and reduced decoherence. They have been suggested, in particular, to provide a physical realization of qubits. Indeed, rf-AQUID, a ring-shaped condensate interrupted by a single weak-link, were demonstrated to be governed by an effective qubit dynamics [10-13]. The two states of the qubit are the symmetric and anti-symmetric combinations of the clockwise and anti-clockwise flow-states [14]. In [12, 13], the rf-AQUID is realized by a bosonic fluid with an additional lattice confinement along the ring-shaped potential. The resulting device is the cold atoms analogue of the many-Josephson-junction fluxonium [15]. Indeed, assuming that the bosons occupy only the lowest Bloch band, the presence of the lattice helps to set the superfluid current to the most advantageous regime for the device's operation. For instance, because of the onedimensional dynamics, the vortex formation rate along the flow is negligible, which should in turn yield a favorable scaling of the qubit gap with the bosonic density [12] (see also formula (5)). Moreover, the lattice provides a natural way to implement a spatially localized weak-link (on the scale of the lattice spacing). Finally, it provides an easy way 'infrastructure' to realize interacting ring-ring architectures [16].



Although the physics of the two-level system can be traced in the ground state of the system [13, 17], a notoriously challenging problem (both for SQUIDs and AQUIDs) is to address the qubit and the superposition state experimentally. For the AQUIDs, the fingerprint of the effective qubit dynamics would be the onset of Rabi oscillations in the atomic density of the flow-states [12, 13]. Besides technical/experimental difficulties, a possible bottleneck arise from the narrow range of parameters required in order that the system provides a well defined two-level system with appreciable persistent currents [18, 19].

In this paper, we adapt the logic applied in the context of solid state Josephson junctions [18, 19] to a specific cold atoms setup: we study a Bose–Einstein ring condensate with three weak links. As for the case of our rf-AQUID [12, 13], our implementation relies on the lattice potential confinement along the ring. By a combination of analytic and numerical techniques, we demonstrate that the system can sustain a two-level effective dynamics. We find a set of parameters which considerably enlarge the regime in which the qubit dynamics arise. We also experimentally realize a trapping potential of a ring-shaped optical lattice with a $\sim 20 \ \mu$ m diameter using a spatial light modulator.

The paper is outlined as follows. In the section 2, we introduce the Hamiltonians describing the microscopic dynamics of the system and review the low energy properties of the rf-AQUID realized with a ring lattice of condensates. In the section 3 we derive the path integral effective quantum dynamics of the ring condensate trapped in a ring lattice with three weak links. In the section 4, we study the system through exact diagonalization (ED). In the section 5 we will describe the experiment we carried out to realize the platform for the system. The section 6 is devoted to the conclusions.

2. Models

We consider N Bosons in an M site ring described by the Bose–Hubbard model. The Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{BHH}} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[\frac{U}{2} \boldsymbol{n}_i (\boldsymbol{n}_i - 1) - t_i (e^{i\Omega} \boldsymbol{a}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{a}_i + \text{h.c.}) \right].$$
(1)

Where a_i (a_i^{\dagger}) are bosonic annihilation (creation) operators on the *i*th site and $n_i = a_i^{\dagger} a_i$ is the corresponding number operator. Periodic boundaries are imposed, meaning that $a_M \equiv a_0$. The parameter *U* takes into account the finite scattering length for the atomic two-body collisions on the same site. The hopping parameters are constant $t_j = t$ except in the three weak-links lattice sites i_0 , i_1 , i_2 where they are $t_{i_0} = t'$, $t_{i_1} = t_{i_2} = t''$. The ring is pierced by an artificial (dimensionless) magnetic flux Ω , which can be experimentally induced for neutral atoms as a Coriolis flux by rotating the lattice at constant velocity [20, 21], or as a synthetic gauge flux by imparting a geometric phase directly to the atoms via suitably designed laser fields [22–24]. The presence of the flux Ω in equation (1) has been taken into account through the Peierls substitution: $t_i \rightarrow e^{-i\Omega}t_i$. The Hamiltonian (1) is manifestly periodic in Ω with period 2π ; in addition it enjoys the symmetry $\Omega \leftrightarrow -\Omega$. In the absence of the weak-link, the system is also rotationally invariant and therefore the particle-particle interaction energy does not depend on Ω . The many-body ground-state energy, as a function of Ω , is therefore given by a set of parabolas each corresponding to a well defined angular momentum state, shifted with respect to each other by a Galilean transformation and intersecting at the frustration points $\Omega_n = (2n + 1)\pi$ [25, 26]. The presence of the weak-link breaks the axial rotational symmetry and couples different angular momenta states, thus lifting the degeneracy at Ω_n . This feature sets the qubit operating point [12, 13].

It is worth noting that the interaction *U* and the weak-link strength induce competing physical effects: the weak-link sets an healing length in the density as a further spatial scale; the interaction tends to smooth out the healing length effect. As a result, strong interactions tends to renormalize the weak link energy scale [13, 27].

In the limit of a large number of bosons in each well $\bar{n} = N/M$, $a_i \sim \sqrt{\bar{n}} e^{i\phi_i}$, and the Bose–Hubbard Hamiltonian (BHH) equation (1) can be mapped to the quantum-phase model employed to describe Josephson junction arrays [28, 29]:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[\frac{U}{2} \boldsymbol{n}_{i}^{2} - J_{i} \cos\left(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_{i} - \Omega\right) \right],$$
(2)

where $[\mathbf{n}_i, \phi_l] = i\hbar \delta_{il}$ are canonically conjugate number-phase variables and $J_i \sim \bar{n}t_i$ are the Josephson tunneling amplitudes.

The rf-AQUID qubit. In this case, a single weak link occurs along the ring lattice t'' = t. The presence of the weak link induces a slow/fast separation of the effective (imaginary time) dynamics: the dynamical variables relative to the weak link are slow compared to the 'bulk' ones, playing the role of an effective bath (non-etheless, we assume that the ring system is perfectly isolated by the environment). Applying the harmonic approximation to the fast dynamics and integrating it out, the effective dynamics of the AQUID is governed by [12]

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \mathcal{H}_{syst} + \mathcal{H}_{bath} + \mathcal{H}_{syst-bath}.$$
(3)

The slow dynamics is controlled by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{syst}} = U\boldsymbol{n}^2 + E_L \boldsymbol{\varphi}^2 - E_J \cos(\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \Omega), \tag{4}$$

where φ is the phase slip across the weak link, with $E_L = J/M$, and $E_J = J'$. For $\delta \doteq E_J/E_L \ge 1$, \mathcal{H}_{syst} describes a particle in a double well potential with the two-minima-well separated from the other features of the potential. The two parameters, U and t'/t, allow control of the two level system. The two local minima of the double well are degenerate for $\Omega = \pi$. The minima correspond to the clock-wise and anti-clockwise currents in the AQUID. Because of the quantum tunneling between the two minima of the double well, the two states of the system (qubit) are formed by symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of the two circulating states. The WKB level splitting is

$$\Delta \simeq \frac{2\sqrt{UE_J}}{\pi} \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta}\right)} e^{-12\sqrt{E_J/U}(1 - 1/\delta)^{3/2}}.$$
(5)

From this formula we can see that the limit of a weak barrier and intermediate to strong interactions form the most favorable regime to obtain a finite gap between the two energy levels of the double level potential [13]. Incidentally, we comment that the bath Hamiltonian in equations (3) and (4), is similar to the one describing the dissipative dynamics of a single Josephson junction in the framework of the Caldeira–Leggett model [30]. As long as the ring has finite size, however, there are a finite number of discrete modes and no real dissipation occurs [31]. In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, a proper Caldeira–Leggett model is recovered. In agreement to the arguments reported above, the qubit dynamics encoded in the AQUID is less and less addressable by increasing the size of the ring [12, 13].

Finally, we observe that the condition $E_J/E_L \ge 1$ imposes specific constraints on the physical parameters that may be difficult to fulfill. For example, because of the mesoscopic nature of the persistent currents, M cannot be too large. On the other hand, small values of J'/J may block persistent currents (see [13] for a thorough analysis). We shall see that the proposed architecture with three-weak-links realize a two-level system with a wider range of exploitable parameters.

3. Effective quantum dynamics

In this section, we derive the low energy effective phase dynamics of the system. To this end, we elaborate on the imaginary-time τ path integral of the partition function of the model equation (1) in the limit of large fluctuations of the number of bosons at each site [12, 31]. We first perform a local gauge transformation $a_l \rightarrow a_l e^{il\Omega}$ eliminating the contribution of the magnetic field everywhere except in one of the weak link sites [32]). Here, we refer to the quantum-phase Hamiltonian (2):

$$H_{\rm QP} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq i_0, i_1, i_2}}^{M} \left[\frac{U}{2} n_i^2 - J \cos\left(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i\right) \right] \\ + \sum_{\alpha=0,1,2} \left[\frac{U}{2} n_{i_\alpha}^2 - J_\alpha \cos\left(\phi_{i_\alpha+1} - \phi_{i_\alpha} - \Omega_\alpha\right) \right], \tag{6}$$

where the weak links are placed at the sites i_0 , i_1 , i_2 with $\Omega_0 = \Omega$, $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = 0$.

The partition function of the model equation (2) is

$$Z = Tr(e^{-\beta H_{BH}}) \propto \int D[\{\phi_i\}]e^{-S[\{\phi_i\}]},$$
(7)

where the action is

$$S[\{\phi_i\}] = \int_0^\beta d\tau \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i \neq i_0, i_0, i_2}}^M \left[\frac{1}{U/2} (\dot{\phi}_i)^2 - J \cos(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i) \right] \\ + \sum_{\alpha = 0, 1, 2} \left[\frac{1}{U/2} (\dot{\phi}_{i_\alpha})^2 - J_\alpha \cos(\theta_\alpha - \Omega_\alpha) \right],$$
(8)

where $\theta_{\alpha} \doteq \phi_{i_{\alpha}+1} - \phi_{i_{\alpha}}$. We assume that the weak links are sufficiently spaced to make the nearest neighbor phase differences in between them (fast variables) small. This implies that substantial phase slips occur at the weak links with the constraint $\theta_0 + \theta_1 - \theta_2 = 0 \mod(2\pi)$. The goal, now, is to integrate out the phase variables in the 'bulk'. With our assumption, the harmonic approximation can be applied to the bulk phases i≠

$$\sum_{i=0}^{M} \cos(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i) \simeq (M-3) - \sum_{i=0}^{M} \frac{(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)^2}{2}.$$
(9)

The cases $i = \{i_0 \pm 1, i_1 \pm 1, i_2 \pm 1\}$ involve phase variables coupled to θ_{α} . Let us define: $\phi_{i_{\alpha}} \doteq -\frac{\theta_{\alpha}}{2} + \varphi_{\alpha}$ and $\phi_{i_{\alpha}+1} \doteq \frac{\theta_{\alpha}}{2} + \varphi_{\alpha}$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq i_0,i_1,i_2}}^{M} (\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)^2 = \sum_{\alpha=0,1,2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\theta_{\alpha})^2 + (\phi_{i_{\alpha}-1} - \phi_{i_{\alpha}+2}) \theta_{\alpha} + (\varphi_{\alpha} - \phi_{i_{\alpha}-1})^2 + (\varphi_{\alpha} - \phi_{i_{\alpha}+2})^2 \right] + \sum_{\substack{i\neq\{i_0,i_1,i_2,i_0\pm 1,i_1\pm 1,i_2\pm 1\}}}^{N} (\phi_i - \phi_{i_{\alpha}+2})^2 = \sum_{\alpha=0,1,2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\theta_{\alpha})^2 + (\psi_{j_{\alpha}-1} - \psi_{j_{\alpha}+1}) \theta_{\alpha} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{M-3} (\psi_{j+1} - \psi_j)^2,$$
(10)

where { $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{i_0-1}, \varphi_0, \phi_{i_0+2} \dots, \phi_{i_1-1}, \varphi_1, \phi_{i_1+2} \dots, \phi_{i_2-1}, \varphi_2, \phi_{i_2+2} \dots, \phi_M$ } $\equiv \{\psi_j, j = 1, \dots, M-3\}.$ The effective action, $S[\{\phi_i\}]$, can be split into two terms $S[\{\phi_i\}] = S_1[\{\theta_\alpha\}] + S_2[\{\psi_j\}, \{\theta_\alpha\}]$ with

$$S_{1}[\theta_{\alpha}] = \int d\tau \Biggl[\sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{U/2} (\dot{\theta_{\alpha}})^{2} + \frac{J}{4} \theta_{\alpha}^{2} - J_{\alpha} \cos(\theta_{\alpha} - \Omega_{\alpha}) \Biggr]$$
(11)
$$S_{2}[\{\psi_{j}\}, \{\theta_{\alpha}\}] = \int d\tau \Biggl\{ \sum_{j=0}^{M-3} \Biggl[\frac{1}{U/2} (\dot{\psi}_{j})^{2} + \frac{J}{2} (\psi_{j+1} - \psi_{j})^{2} \Biggr] + \frac{J}{2} \theta_{\alpha} (\psi_{j_{\alpha}-1} - \psi_{j_{\alpha}+1}) \Biggr\}.$$

For our device, $J_0 = J'$, $J_1 = J_2 = J''$, and we fix $i_0 \equiv 1$ and i_1 , i_2 equidistant from i_0 . In this way, the system enjoys a mirror symmetry around i_0 : $\psi_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M-3}} \sum_{k=1}^{(M-4)/2} \psi_k \sin [2\pi kj/(M-3)]$ (the cases corresponding to general configurations of the weak links will be studied elsewhere):

$$S_{2}[\{\psi_{j}\},\theta] = \int d\tau \frac{1}{U/2} \sum_{k} (\dot{\psi}_{k})^{2} + \omega_{k}^{2} \psi_{k}^{2} + \frac{U}{2} J \theta_{\alpha} \zeta_{\alpha k} \psi_{k}, \qquad (12)$$

where
$$\omega_k = \sqrt{JU/2 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{M-3}\right) \right]}$$
 and
 $\zeta_{\alpha k} = \frac{1}{2} (\sin \left[2\pi k (j_{\alpha} - 1)/(M-3) \right] - \sin \left[2\pi k (j_{\alpha} + 1)/(M-3) \right]) / \sqrt{M-3}.$

The integral in $\{\psi_k\}$ involves the interaction with the harmonic modes describing the phase slips away from the weak links. Such integration is standard (see f.i. [33] for a general textbook and [31, 34] for recent applications particularly relevant for the present problem) leads to a non-local kernel in the imaginary time: $\int d\tau d\tau' \theta(\tau) G_\alpha(\tau - \tau') \theta(\tau').$ The explicit form of $G(\tau - \tau')$ is obtained by expanding $\{\psi_k\}$ and θ_α in Matsubara frequencies $\omega_l = 2\pi l/\beta$: $\psi_k(\tau) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \psi_{kl} e^{i\omega_l \tau}, \theta_\alpha(\tau) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \theta_{\alpha l} e^{i\omega_l \tau}.$ Therefore

$$\int D[\psi_k] e^{-\int d\tau S_2} \propto \exp\left(-\beta \frac{UJ^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}_{\alpha}(\omega_l) |\theta_{\alpha l}|^2\right)$$
(13)

with $\tilde{Y}_{\alpha}(\omega_l) = \sum_{k=1}^{(M-4)/2} \frac{\zeta_{\alpha_k^2}}{\omega_k^2 + \omega_l^2}$. The $\tau = \tau'$ term is extracted by summing and subtracting $\tilde{Y}_{\alpha}(\omega_l = 0)$. The effective action reads

$$S_{\rm eff} = \sum_{\alpha=0,1,2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \left[\frac{1}{U} \dot{\theta_{\alpha}}^2 + V(\theta_{\alpha}) \right] - \frac{J}{U} \int d\tau d\tau' \theta_{\alpha}(\tau) G_{\alpha}(\tau - \tau') \theta_{\alpha}(\tau'), \tag{14}$$

where

$$V(\theta_{\alpha}) \doteq Jc_{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha}^{2} - \frac{J'}{3}\cos(\theta_{1} - \theta_{2} - \Omega) - \frac{J''}{3}(\cos\theta_{1} + \cos\theta_{2})$$
(15)

with $c_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - UJ \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{M-4}{2}} \frac{\zeta_{\alpha_k}^2}{\omega_k^2} \right)$. The interaction between the fast and the slow modes is described by the kernel $G_{\alpha}(\tau) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{M-4}{2}} \frac{\omega_l^2 \zeta_{\alpha_k}^2}{\omega_k^2 + \omega_l^2} e^{i\omega_l \tau}.$ (16)

We observe that $V(\theta_{\alpha})$ defines the effective dynamics of the superconducting Josephson junctions flux qubits [18, 19], but perturbed by the θ^2 terms; by numerical inspection, we see that the corresponding coefficients are small in units of *J*, and decreases by increasing *M* (see figure 1). Moreover, on figure 2 we introduce the numerical result for the spectrum of the quantum particle which moves in the potential given by





equation (15) under the additional assumption that θ^2 terms do not contribute. From this figure we clearly see that near the frustration point $\Omega = \pi$ two lowest energy levels are well separated from each other and from higher excitations, which means that effective dynamics of the system defines a qubit.

4. Spectroscopy of the three weak junctions AQUID

In this section we study the low-lying spectrum of the Bose–Hubbard model (1) in the low filling regime with the help of the ED method, which is particularly well suited for the small systems with small total number of particles [35, 36]. This kind of analysis has been implemented previously for the AQUID devices with one weak link [13] and the technical details regarding the implementation of the ED method can be found in the appendix material of the aforementioned article. We stress that in contrast with the quantum phase model here we take into account the effect of the number fluctuations and hence of the amplitude of the superfluid order parameter. The presence of finite weak links, breaks the axial rotational symmetry and couples different angular momentum states, thus lifting the degeneracy at $\Omega = \pi$ by an amount ΔE_1 . For all the cases studied with the ED method in this manuscript, the impurities are not assumed to be equidistant (in contrast to section 3): $t_2 = t'$ and $t_5 = t_8 = t''$. Provided other excitations are energetically far enough from the two lowest energy states, this will identify the two-level system defining the desired qubit and its working point. In order to analyze whether the qubit can perform well we study two quantities which play a central role for this manuscript: the qubit energy





gap $\Delta E_1 = E_1 - E_0$ and the so-called qubit quality factor $\Delta E_1 / \Delta E_2$, where E_0 , E_1 and E_2 are the ground state, first excited state, and the second excited state, respectively, of the many-body BHH given by the equation (1).

For a functional qubit it is required that in the vicinity of the frustration point ($\Omega = \pi$), the qubit has a finite energy gap ΔE_1 , and that quality factor is smaller than 0.5 (when $\Delta E_1/\Delta E_2 = 0.5$, then the many-body Hamiltonian has equidistant energy levels, which is normally an unfavorable case for defining a qubit since the second excited level can be easily populated. When $\Delta E_1/\Delta E_2 > 0.5$ the two lowest energy levels are quite close to the other energy levels, which increases the decoherence of the qubit by populating higher energy levels).

The average value of the persistent current in the mesoscopic ring optical lattice can be defined via the following thermodynamic relation [37, 38]:

$$I(\Omega) = -\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{\partial E_i(\Omega)}{\partial \Omega}.$$
(17)

The geometrical meaning of the persistent current is given by the slope of the tangent line to the graph of the *i*th energy level E_i , when it is plotted as a function of the external artificial flux Ω . Persistent currents are mesoscopic phenomenon, and as it was evidenced in [27], persistent current amplitudes for the ring potential with a deltabarrier scales as a power law with the system size. The power law scaling was evidenced as well for the energy gap $\Delta E_1 = E_1 - E_0$ in [13]. In order to have a good energy gap and sufficient amplitude of the persistent current we restrict our discussion in the current manuscript only to the mesoscopic ring lattices ($M \sim 10$).

The low energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian given by equation (1) as a function of the dimensionless flux $\Omega/2\pi$ is demonstrated on the upper panels of figure 3. It is clearly seen that near the degeneracy point $\Omega = \pi$ the qubit has a finite energy gap ($\Delta E_1/t \sim 0.05(U = 1)$; 0.25(U = 4)) and the ground and the first excited states are well separated ($\Delta E_1/\Delta E_2 \sim 0.1(U = 1)$; 0.23(U = 4)) from the higher energy levels.

As demonstrated in the previous study [13], it is possible to obtain a good qubit only in the range of interactions varying from strong to the infinitely strong. However, as it can be seen from the left panel of the figure 3, in the case of mild interaction U = 1 it is possible to define a good qubit in the vicinity of the frustration point by introducing additional weak links in the system. It is interesting to point out that in the vicinity of the frustration point, mesoscopic currents in the ground state and the first excited state have the opposite signs as can be seen from the lower panels of figure 3, which means that the two quantum states of the qubit are given by the clockwise and anti-clockwise circulating currents. Moreover, as can be seen from the same panels of figure 3, for the same strengths of the weak links, the amplitude of the persistent current is bigger in the limit of the weakly interacting system, which in turn improves the qubit state readout.

The dependence on the strength of interaction of the qubit energy gap and the quality factor (at the degeneracy point) is shown in figure 4 for the different values of the two equal weak links t''. First of all, as can be seen from both panels, the quantities of interest display non-monotonous behavior as a function of interaction, which has previously been observed for the case of the rf-AQUID [13, 39] for the ring lattice systems. This kind



of non-monotonous dependence occurs because of the non-trivial screening of the barrier which was thoroughly analyzed in [27]. It is also easy to notice that qubit energy gap is also a non-monotonous function of t'' for the interactions ranging from mild to strong, and is monotonously increasing with t'' in the limit of very weak interactions. The non-monotonous behavior is due to the overlap of healing lengths in the small sized system which gives rise to the non-trivial density distribution along the ring, demonstrated in section 4.1. It is also clearly seen from these figures that if one fixes the interaction strength it is possible to adjust both the qubit energy gap and the quality factor by changing the t''. This provides at our disposal an extra parameter for defining a good qubit and for realizing single and two-qubit gates (the authors of the current article will address the issue regarding the qubit gates in a future publication). In the limit of very weak interactions it is not possible to define a good qubit since $\Delta E_1/\Delta E_2 = 0.5$, the quality factor significantly improves with growing interaction strength and it is well below 0.5 for the interactions ranging from mild to strong. After reaching its minimum value the energy gap increases with the strength of the interaction, however it is important to note that for large values of the interactions the amplitude of the persistent current is smaller than for the intermediate values of the interaction (a similar trend was noticed in rf-AQUID [27]). We conclude that a functional qubit can be defined for interactions ranging from mild to strong by adjusting the strength of the two additional weak links t", however we stress that the highest currents in the system are obtained for the mild interaction strengths. In the section 4.2 we will demonstrate that our approach improves the scaling of the energy gap with the filling factor in the limit of mild interactions (U = 1).

4.1. Density profiles

In this section we focus on the density distribution of cold atoms along the ring when the system is in the ground state and close to the qubit working point, $\Omega = \pi$.

An evident effect of the barrier is a reduction of the particle density in its vicinity; depending on the ring size, the whole density profile along the ring may well be affected. The interplay between the interaction strength U and the strength of the weak links implies different behaviors, as exemplified in figure 5 for the mesoscopic ring. The depth of the density depression in the case of one impurity increases monotonously with the barrier strength [13, 27], while its width decreases with increasing U since the density can be reduced at the cost of multi-occupancy of the other sites; which means with increasing interaction strength multiple occupancy and thus the healing length tends to decrease in order to minimize the ground state energy. As it is clearly seen from the left panel of figure 5, the density distribution at the lattice sites 5 and 8 decreases with increasing barrier strength (which is equivalent to the decreasing hopping strength t''). However, at lattice site 2 even if we keep the same barrier strength t' still the density depression is achieved when the other two links have the smallest barrier strengths t'' = 0.8t (which is given by the blue curve). And subsequently, the density depression decreases with increasing t'' at the lattice site 2.

As explained previously, with increasing interactions the healing length decreases which is clearly seen from the right panel of figure 5. However the appearance of Friedel oscillations is apparent especially for the case of strong weak links given by the red curves. This kind of oscillations are a result of the strong correlations of 1D bosons that respond to impurities similarly to fermions since for strong interactions the system undergoes fermionization [40]. We finally observe that, for moderate interaction (U = 1 in figure 5), the weak links are









effective enough to determine commensurate effects on a tripartite ring. For stronger interaction, instead, the weak links are washed out with the density distribution along the ring that is nearly uniform along the ring; in section 6 we will see that such a feature implies commensurate effects and Mott peaks at values of the filling of the uniform system.

4.2. Scaling of the qubit energy spectrum with the filling

In figure 6 we present our results for the qubit energy gap at the frustration point for two different t', t' = 0.5 (top), and t' = 0.9 (bottom) as a function of the filling at fixed system size, studying its dependence on the strength of the two equal weak links t'' for the different interaction strength values.

The top panels of figure 6 present the data for fixed interaction strengths for ΔE_1 (U/t = 1 and U/t = 4, respectively) with different curves representing t'' = 0.4t; 0.6t; 0.8t.





At small U(figure 6 top-left), we observe two kinds of behaviors for the energy gap: monotonously decreasing (t'' = 0.8t) and monotonously decreasing but modulated with an oscillatory behavior t'' = 0.4t; 0.6t. First of all, we remark that compared to the case of the rf-AQUID in [13] introducing extra impurities in the system improves the scaling of the energy gap with the filling factor, which can be clearly seen for the case given by the blue curve. The reason for the very fast decrease of the gap in the case of the rf-AQUID is the fact that the healing length scales as $\sim 1/\sqrt{nU}$, and consequently soon enough the system is not affected by the barrier, which opens the gap at the frustration point. As it was pointed out in section 4 having several weak links in the system modifies significantly the dependence of the healing length from the particle number and the interaction strength due to the effect of the overlap of several healing lengths (this effect is also clearly seen from the density profiles analyzed in the previous section). We also notice that the energy gap and quality factor have non-monotonous dependence on t'' as it was already evident in section 4. We conclude, that the values of the weak links t'' = 0.6; 0.8 are favorable for defining a good qubit with a finite energy gap ($\Delta E_1 \sim 0.05t$) and good quality factor ($\Delta E_1/\Delta E_2 \sim 0.1$).

For large values of the interaction strength (figure 6) the system effectively enters into the Mott regime. We comment that the superfluid to Mott transition normally occurs in the thermodynamic limit. However, signatures of this transition can be seen even in the mesoscopic system under study. An apparent signature of the Mott phase is the appearance of the Mott peaks for the values of commensurate fillings (which in our case corresponds to the number of particles N = 8; 16). It is interesting to point out that in the case of the rf-AQUID, for a strong barrier, the Mott peaks appear not exactly at the commensurate filling, which occurs because the strong barrier effectively opens the ring and the system reduces to an M - 1 lattice site ring. However, in the case of three weak links, the Mott peaks appear exactly at the commensurate filling and this can be understood from the fact that the density distribution in this case is almost homogeneous along the ring (see right panel figure 5). We also conclude from figure 6 that for the values of t'' = 0.6t; 0.8t, a good qubit can be obtained with $\Delta E_1 \sim 0.3$ and $\Delta E_1/\Delta E_2 \sim 0.3$ for all values of the filling except for commensurate fillings, where the quality factor becomes 0.5, see figure 7. This is unfavorable for defining a functional qubit.

5. Experiment

We produce the optical potential using a spacial light modulator (Holey Photonics AG, PLUTO-NIR II), SLM [12]. A collimated Gaussian beam, of 8 mm diameter, is reflected from the SLM's surface forming an image through a f = 200 mm lens. The light is then split into the two sides of our system, with 10% of the light in the 'monitoring' arm, and 90% into the 'trapping' arm used to create a red-detuned dipole trapping potential for a gas of Rb⁸⁷ atoms. A Ti:Saph laser (Coherent MBR-110) produces a 1 W, 828 nm beam, which is spacially filtered and collimated, before reflection on the SLM. To produce the trapping potential the SLM's kinoform is



imaged through a 4*f* lens system reducing the beam size to 3 mm diameter and focused through a $50 \times$ microscope objective with a 4 mm focal distance and a numerical aperture of NA = 0.42 (Mitutoyo $50 \times$ NIR M-Plan APO). The monitoring arm of the system creates an image of the potential through a $10 \times$ infinity-corrected microscope objective focused on a CCD camera (PointGrey FL3-GE-13S2M-C). The CCD camera views, therefore, an enlarged image of the optical potential.

The SLM is comprised of a liquid-crystal-on-silicon display of $1920 \times 1080 8 \mu m$ pixels, with 8-bit phase values from 0 to 2π , covering a 15.36×8.64 mm region with a filling factor of 87%. The individual pixels create a phase-shift pattern (kinoform) on the incoming light, which can be used to create arbitrary 2D optical potentials on the image-plane of a Fourier transforming lens. To construct the kinoform applied to the SLM we used an improved version of the mixed-region-amplitude-freedom (MRAF) algorithm [41, 42] with angular spectrum propagator. A region outside of the desired pattern is used to collect unwanted light contributions which result from the iterative MRAF algorithm. The MRAF algorithm iteratively finds a solution to minimise the error, within the region of interest, between the computationally produced image (the Fourier transform of the kinoform) and the desired result. However, when this kinoform is applied to the SLM there arise additional errors due to imperfections in the SLM and aberrations in the optics.

To increase the accuracy of the output potential we use the computationally generated kinoform and produce an image of the optical potential in the monitoring arm of our system, and use this as a further source of feedback to the MRAF algorithm. Our method is broadly similar to Bruce et al [43], however it is specialised for producing ring-lattices. Figure 8 shows a flow chart of our improved algorithm. In the first step, the target image, T_i , and the initial phase, ϕ_0 , is loaded as an input to the MRAF code. This runs for 20 iterations (this was found to be sufficient to get good convergence in most cases) and outputs a phase kinoform, ϕ_i . The kinoform is now applied to the SLM and an image recorded on the camera in the monitoring arm of our system, M_i . The discrepancy, D_p between the original target and the measurement is calculated and used to form an updated target T_{i+1} . Here our algorithm differs from [43] as we take the discrepancy to be $D_i = -(M_i^2 + T_0^2)/2T_0$. Also, we do not take into account the whole image, the discrepancy is calculated by comparing the maxima and minima around the azimuthal, 1D, profile of the lattice to the target profile. The targets maxima and minima are then adjusted with $T_{i+1} = T_i + \alpha D_i$, where α is a problem specific feedback gain and *i* the iteration number. The process now repeats with, ϕ_0 and T_{i+1} , as the inputs to the MRAF code. The feedback gain, α , is set to be 0.3 to ensure a quick convergence and this process iterates 30 times. At this point the algorithm is complete and the best image from the set M is selected that minimises the discrepancy below 2%. With this method we produce the ring-lattice potential shown in figure 9 (left), that on the trapping side of our apparatus creates a scaled-down lattice with radius of 5–10 μ m with more than sufficient power to trap ultra-cold atoms. On the right of figure 9, the azimuthal profile around the ring lattice is shown. The red curve indicates the profile on the first iteration of



the feedback loop. After 5 iterations (blue curve), the algorithm has converged significantly towards the original target (solid line).

6. Conclusions

We proposed the construction of a flux qubit employing a ring condensate trapped in a regular lattice potential except for three specific lattice points with a reduced tunneling amplitude. The three weak links solution was originally suggested in quantum electronics to facilitate the function of the system as a qubit. We apply a similar logic leading to fluxonium from the rf-SQUID: the continuous quantum fluid, in our system, is replaced by a chain of junctions connecting the different weak links. We believe that the additional lattice helps in adjusting the persistent current flowing through the system. The three weak links architecture, indeed, realizes a two-level effective dynamics in a considerably enlarged parameter space. To this end, we applied a path integral and a ED analysis which provide information on the complementary bosonic density regime. We comment that, in the rationale adopted in the present paper, the analytical and numerical work complement each other. The path integral approach is both of foundational nature and explores the qubit functionality. Indeed, it provides essential insights on the physical reason behind the system's spectroscopy emerging by numerical inspection in section 4. Moreover, our analytics cover the regime of large fillings and 'medium system size' that is beyond reach of the results based on the ED. On the other hand, the latter provides a proof of the effective two level system dynamics, independently on the approximations employed in the analytical treatment (small quantum fluctuations of the bosonic densities) and for small system size. Overall, we believe that our system is indeed governed by a two-level dynamics in a wide range of parameters.

With path integral techniques, we obtained the quantum dynamics remaining from the integration of the fast degrees of freedom of the system in the limit of a quantum phase model (valid for large bosonic densities). It turns out that the effective action is similar to that one defining the quantum dynamics of the superconducting flux qubits [18, 19]. The ED study deal with systems of $M \sim 10$ and low filling ($\bar{n} \sim 1-2$) governed by the Bose–Hubbard dynamics (for small bosonic densities). Our analysis demonstrates that the system with three weak links gives more flexibility and freedom for a functional qubit: contrary to the case of the rf-AQUID, where the only tunable parameters are the interaction strength and the height of the barrier of the weak link. Specifically, we demonstrated that interactions can be varied from mild to strong values with t''/t', further parameter to adjust both the qubit energy gap and the quality factor. However, a substantial persistent current is obtained in the limit of mild interactions; in the limit of large interactions, quantum fluctuations of the phase implies a demoted phase coherence, implying in turn that a smaller persistent current can be sustained in the system.

Our ED study shows that if one fixes the interaction strength it is possible to adjust both the qubit energy gap and the quality factor by changing t''. This provides an extra parameter for defining a good qubit and for realizing single and two-qubit gates (the qubit gates will be addressed elsewhere). Moreover, it is demonstrated that the parameter regime for which the system can perform as a qubit is enlarged, since a functional qubit can be obtained even at moderate U interactions. This can be important for the actual realization of the device since a substantial persistent current is expected to be obtained in this case, section 4. In section 4.2 we have demonstrated that, compared to the rf-AQUID, a three-weak links system has more favorable scaling for the energy gap as a function of the filling factor in the limit of mild interactions (U = 1). Moreover, non-trivial physics emerges due to the overlap between the healing lengths established in the system by the insertion of the weak links. Since there are three healing lengths in the system of a small size their overlap generates a non-trivial density distribution along the ring, which is shown in section 4.1. As noted for the rf-AQUID in [13], and also in the present case we observed that the interaction and strength of the weak links are responsible for competing effects (see figure 5).

In section 5 we described our experimental apparatus based on a phase-only spacial light modulator, and our feedback algorithm to produce the ring-lattice potential. Our setup and algorithm can produce ring-lattice potentials with arbitrary link strengths, down to radii of 5–10 μ m with sufficient power to trap Rb⁸⁷ atoms.

Acknowledgments

This research has been supported by the National Research Foundation Singapore & by the Ministry of Education Singapore Academic Research Fund Tier 2 (Grant No. MOE2015-T2-1-101). During this work DA was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (contract no. ANR-12-BS04-0020-01). DA would like to thank Andrea Simoni for assisting him with submitting numerical jobs on ED on the cluster 'Simpatix' of Institute for Physical Research.

References

- [1] Seaman B, Krämer M, Anderson D and Holland M 2007 Phys. Rev. A 75 023615
- [2] Pepino R, Cooper J, Anderson D and Holland M 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 140405
- [3] Amico L and Boshier M 2016 J. Opt. To be published (arXiv:1511.07215)
- [4] Amico L, Osterloh A and Cataliotti F 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 063201
- [5] Wright K C, Blakestad R B, Lobb C J, Phillips W D and Campbell G K 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 025302
- [6] Eckel S, Lee J G, Jendrzejewski F, Murray N, Clark C W, Lobb C J, Phillips W D, Edwards M and Campbell G K 2014 Nature 506 200–3
- [7] Ramanathan A, Wright K C, Muniz S R, Zelan M, Hill W T, Lobb C J, Helmerson K, Phillips W D and Campbell G K 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 130401
- [8] Ryu C, Blackburn P W, Blinova A A and Boshier M G 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 205301
- [9] Jendrzejewski F, Eckel S, Murray N, Lanier C, Edwards M, Lobb C J and Campbell G K 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 045305
- [10] Hallwood D W, Burnett K and Dunningham J 2006 New J. Phys. 8 180
- [11] Solenov D and Mozyrsky D 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 150405
- [12] Amico L, Aghamalyan D, Auksztol F, Crepaz H, Dumke R and Kwek L C 2014 Sci. Rep. 4 4298
- [13] Aghamalyan D, Cominotti M, Rizzi M, Rossini D, Hekking F, Minguzzi A, Kwek L C and Amico L 2015 New J. Phys. 17 045023
- [14] Leggett A J 1980 Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 69 80–100
- [15] Manucharyan V E, Koch J, Glazman L I and Devoret M H 2009 Science 326 5949
- [16] Aghamalyan D, Amico L and Kwek L C 2013 *Phys. Rev.* A 88 063627
- [17] Rey A M, Burnett K, Satija I I and Clark C W 2007 Phys. Rev. A 75 063616
- [18] Mooij J E, Orlando T P, Levitov L, Tian L, van der Wal C H and Lloyd S 1999 Science 285 1036
- [19] Feigelman MV, Geshkenbein VB, Ioffe LB and Blatter G 2000 J. Low Temp. Phys. 118 805
- [20] Fetter A L 2009 Rev. Mod. Phys. 81 647
- [21] Wright K, Blakestad R, Lobb C, Phillips W and Campbell G 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 025302
- [22] Leanhardt A, Görlitz A, Chikkatur A, Kielpinski D, Shin Y, Pritchard D and Ketterle W 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 190403
- [23] Lin Y J, Compton R L, Jimenez-Garcia K, Porto J and Spielman I B 2009 Nature 462 628-32
- [24] Dalibard J, Gerbier F, Juzeliūnas G and Öhberg P 2011 Rev. Mod. Phys. 83 1523
- [25] Leggett A 1991 NATO ASI Series B vol 251 (New York: Plenum) p 297
- [26] Loss D 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 343
- [27] Cominotti M, Rossini D, Rizzi M, Hekking F and Minguzzi A 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett 113 025301

Cominotti M, Rizzi M, Rossini D, Aghamalyan D, Amico L, Kwek L-C, Hekking F and Minguzzi A 2015 *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* 224 519 [28] Amico L 2000 *Mod. Phys. Lett.* B 14 759

- [29] Fazio R and Van Der Zant H 2001 Phys. Rep. 355 235
- [30] Caldeira A O and Leggett A J 1981 Phys. Rev. Lett. 46 211
- [31] Rastelli G, Pop I M and Hekking F W 2013 Phys. Rev. B 87 174513
- [32] Schulz H J and Shastry B S 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 1924 Osterloh A, Amico L and Eckern U 2000 Nucl. Phys. B 588 531
- [33] Schulman L S 1981 Techniques and Applications of Path Integration (New York: Wiley)
- [34] Rastelli G, Vanevic M and Belzig W 2015 New J. Phys. 17 053026
- [35] Zhang J and Dong R 2010 Eur. J. Phys. 31 591
- [36] Fehske H, Schneider R and Weie A 2008 Computational Many-Particle Physics vol 739 (Berlin: Springer)
- [37] Bloch F 1970 Phys. Rev. B 2 109
- [38] Cominotti M et al 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 025301
- [39] Nunnenkamp A, Rey A M and Burnett K 2008 Phys. Rev. A 77 023622
- [40] Girardeau M 1960 J. Math. Phys. 1 516–23
- [41] Gaunt A L and Hadzibabic Z 2012 Sci. Rep. 2 721
- [42] Pasienski M and DeMarco B 2008 Opt. Express 16 2176-90
- [43] Bruce G D, Johnson M Y H, Cormack E, Richards D A W, Mayoh J and Cassettari D 2015 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 48 115303