

PROBIOTICS AND PREBIOTICS IN THE MANAGEMENT OF CONSTIPATION IN THE ELDERLY

MICHELE MALAGUARNERA¹, MARCO VACANTE², GIOVANNI CONDORELLI², FEDERICO LEGGIO², MICHELINO DI ROSA³, MASSIMO MOTTA³, GIULIA MALAGUARNERA¹, INNOCENZA ALESSANDRIA², LIBORIO RAMPELLO⁴, GIUSEPPE CHISARI³

¹International PhD programme in Neuropharmacology, University of Catania - ²Research Center “La Grande Senescenza”, University of Catania - ³Center of Ocular Microbiology – Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Catania - ⁴Department of Neurosciences, University of Catania

ABSTRACT

The prevalence of constipation and its impact on quality of life are high among elderly people, with a incidence of 26% in men and 34% in women aged 65 or more. The current standard treatment consists of laxatives administration, lifestyle modifications such as regular physical activity and increased fluids and fibers intake. Several studies have demonstrated that modifications in the intestinal microflora of elderly could alter the metabolic environment of the colon with important changes in the concentration of physiologically active substances that may alter the motor and secretory functions of the bowel. Probiotics are live microorganisms (such as Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli), which, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit to the host. Studies examining the effects of probiotics on constipation in elderly are limited, and different strains have been used so far. The aim of this review is to summarize the best available data and indications regarding probiotics as a tool for the management of constipation in the elderly.

Key words: Probiotics, Constipation, Bifidobacterium, Prebiotics, Elderly.

Received June 13, 2013; Accepted July 12, 2013

Introduction

Constipation is a common condition affecting elderly people. According to “Rome criteria III” it is characterized by the presence of two or more of the following symptoms occurring for at least 12 weeks: hard or lumpy stools, painful and strained defecation, a sense of incomplete evacuation, the need to use manual manoeuvres to pass stool or sense of anorectal obstruction with >25% of bowel movements and/or <3 bowel movements per week, no evidence for irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)⁽¹⁾. The prevalence of constipation and its impact on quality of life are high among elderly, with a incidence of 26% in men and 34% in women aged 65 or more⁽²⁾. The prevalence rises to 80% in people living in nursing homes and hospitals because of many risk factors, including immobility, chronic medical conditions (e.g. diabetes mellitus, hypothy-

roidism, dementia, Parkinson disease, neuropathy), and drugs (e.g. anticholinergic, antidepressants, opioid analgesics, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs)⁽³⁾.

Primary or idiopathic constipation is divided into three subtypes:

a) functional constipation (normal transit and pelvic floor function)⁽⁴⁾;

b) slow transit constipation (abnormal bowel motor function)⁽⁵⁾;

c) outlet delay constipation (inability to coordinate actions of abdominal musculature, anorectum and pelvic floor musculature)⁽⁶⁾.

Secondary constipation is caused by medical conditions (gastrointestinal, neurologic, endocrine and metabolic diseases) and medications. The current standard treatment consists of laxatives administration that promotes bowel movements and the passing of soft stools without pain⁽⁷⁾ (Table 1).

Class	Agents	Mechanism of action
Bulk-forming laxatives	<i>Psyllium, bran, methylcellulose, calcium polycarbophil.</i>	Increase water absorption from the intestinal lumen, thereby softening stool consistency, and increasing stool bulk.
Osmotic laxatives	<i>Polyethyleneglicol, lactulose, sorbitol and saline laxative.</i>	Increase the amount of water in the large bowel, either by osmotic secretion of water into the intestinal lumen.
Stimulant laxatives	<i>Senna, bisacodyl.</i>	Stimulate the myenteric nerve plexus and increase intestinal motility. They also increase secretion of water into the bowel.
Stool softeners and emollients	<i>Docusatesodium, docusatecalcium.</i>	Ionic agents that moisten the stool through a detergent action.
Chloride channel activators	<i>Lubiprostone</i>	Bicyclic fatty acid that acts as a selective chloride channel activator. It increases intestinal water secretion.
Other agents	<i>Tegaserod</i>	Selective 5-HT ₄ receptor agonist, reduces constipation
		symptoms and increases bowel movements.

Table 1: Classification of laxatives.

Other treatments include lifestyle modifications such as regular physical activity and increased fluid and fibers intake. However there is no evidence to support an increased fluid intake in older people and caution is required in patient with renal or cardiac failure⁽⁸⁾. Regular exercise is frequently recommended for the management of constipation basing on the assumption that exercise may reduce the gastrointestinal time transit⁽⁹⁾. “Dietary fibers” consists of non digestible carbohydrates and lignin that are intrinsic and intact in plants. Dietary fibers or non-starch polysaccharide are composed of cellulose, non cellulose polysaccharide and lignin. It can be decomposed by colonic bacterial flora but not by human digestive enzymes. In the colon, anaerobic enzymes produce substances as short-chain fatty acids and gases, as well as energy, which bacteria use for growth and maintenance. Grains, legumes, vegetables and fruits are main foods rich in “dietary fibers”. The “functional fiber” is defined as a non-digestible carbohydrate extract from food that have positive effects in people. The

“total fibers” is the sum of dietary fibers and functional fibers⁽¹⁰⁾. Different types of fibers have different effects on stool weight. The cellulosic polysaccharides increase the stool weight, decrease the transit time and resist the digestion better than non-cellulosic polysaccharides. Soluble fibers (e.g. pectins, inulin, fructo-oligosaccharides) are less effective on increasing the stool weight and some of them are totally fermented in the colon. Moreover, in the colon fermented fibers contribute to increase bulk by increasing microbial mass, while less well fermented fibers increase bulk by their water-holding properties. Non-degraded fibers increase bulk in the colon thus leading to a decreased transit time and a less water reabsorption. The consequence of this process is an increasing stool weight⁽¹¹⁾. The diminished intake of dietary fibers contribute to constipation. In fact several studies showed that adequate fibers supplementation increases stool weight and intestinal transit time⁽¹²⁾. Dietary fibers intake is the simplest, most physiologic and cheapest treatment for constipation⁽¹³⁾.

Gut microflora

The gastrointestinal tract is a complex ecosystem and our knowledge about the intestinal microbiota is still limited. The gut of human adult is colonised by approximately 10¹⁴ microbial cells, about 10 times more than all tissue cells of the body taken together⁽¹⁴⁾. This great metabolic potential suggests important regulatory effects on body functions, especially in the colon where the largest concentration of up to 5x10¹¹ bacterial cell per g is found. The “autochthonous” microorganisms include more than 400 species and diverse bacterial genera, of which the Gram-positive, anaerobic genera *Bacteroides*, *Eubacterium*, *Bifidobacterium* are predominant.

On the other hand, *Peptostreptococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Fusobacterium*, *Ruminococcus*, *Clostridium* and *Escherichia* also play a role in the maintenance of a stable gut mucosa and in the generation of short chain fatty acids (SCFA) in a favourite ratio⁽¹⁵⁾. Several studies have demonstrated a decrease in bifidobacteria and an increase in clostridia, lactobacilli, streptococci and Enterobacteriaceae in the gut microflora of elderly people⁽¹⁶⁾. These modifications in the intestinal microflora could alter the metabolic environment of the colon with important changes in the concentration of physiologically active substances

that may alter the motor and secretory functions of the bowel. Consequently, bifidobacteria, more generally the lactic acid bacteria (LAB), are an important component of a good balanced intestinal microbiota and are often used as probiotics⁽¹⁷⁾. Further changes in the microflora increase susceptibility to gastrointestinal functional disorders, infections, inflammation or cancer^(18, 19). Conversely, probiotics and prebiotics can promote the homeostasis of the colonic microbiota⁽²⁰⁾. Causes of changes in the microflora with age are still unclear. Several physiological and immunological modifications occur in the old person⁽²¹⁾ (Table 2).

Genera	Young-adult people	Elderly people
Bacteroides	+++	--+
Bifidobacterium	+++	--+
Clostridium	-+	+++
Streptococcus	+--	+++
Lactobacillus	++-	+++
Enterobacteriaceae	+--	+++
Fungi	+--	+++

Table 2: Age-related changes in gut microflora.

+: increase

-: decrease

It has been suggested that some bacterial strains could take advantage of new ecological niches, thereby inducing a shift in the composition of the gut microflora⁽²²⁾. The decreasing colonisation of elderly people by certain species of bifidobacteria could be caused by a reduced adhesion to the mucosa⁽²³⁾.

Probiotics

According to the Joint FAO/WHO Working Group 2002 the definition of probiotics: “live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host”⁽²⁴⁾. Probiotic microorganisms live mainly in the large intestine but also in other organs where they modulate immunological parameters, intestinal permeability and bacterial translocation and provide bioactive or regulatory metabolites. Probiotics modulate the bowel microflora and inhibit colo-

nization of the gut by pathogens and translocation of bacteria through the intestinal wall⁽²⁵⁾. Mechanisms of these effects include a decrease of intestinal pH, production of antimicrobial substances, agglutination of pathogenic bacteria^(26, 27) integrity of the gut mucosa, competition for substrates or receptors on the mucosa wall, release of gut-protective substances (arginine, short chain fatty acids (SCLA)^(28, 29) and neutralization of toxic or cancerogenic molecular substances, modulation of immune system⁽³⁰⁾ and stimulation of peristalsis⁽³¹⁾. Most probiotic products contain bacteria from the genera *Lactobacillus* or *Bifidobacterium*, although many other genera have been marketed as probiotics (Table 3).

Lactobacilli and *Bifidobacteria* are Gram positive, lactic acid-producing bacteria. In particular, *lactobacilli* are non-spore forming rod-shaped bacteria. They are strictly fermentative, aerotolerant or anaerobic, aciduric or acidophilic. *Lactobacilli* are available on animal and human mucosal membranes, on plants or material derived plant, sewage and fermented milk products fermenting or spoiling food. *Bifidobacteria* are the main strain of the human microflora. The number of bifidobacteria in the colon of adults ranges between 10¹⁰-10¹¹ CFU/g, but this number decrease with age. They are non-spore forming rods, non-motile and strictly anaerobic. As effects of probiotics have been shown to be strain specific, it is essentially to report health benefits for single strains or for a mix of strains separately^(32, 33, 34). According to the definition of Food and Nutrition Board, a functional food can be defined as “any food or food ingredient that may provide a health benefit beyond the traditional nutrients it contains”. Functional food may contain health-promoting microorganisms. Also, foods must be healthy, safe and free of pathogenic and toxic effects. Probiotic microorganisms must resist to gastric acid, bile and digestive enzymes. Effects on human health are bacterial strains specific, clearly defined with modern molecular biological detection methods. 108-109 probiotic bacteria daily are often sufficient to achieve healthy effects. Furthermore, the effects of probiotics change with age, gender, health and diet environment (rural or urban) (Table 4).

Prebiotics

A prebiotic is “a non-digestible food ingredient that beneficially affects the host by selectively stimulating the growth and/or activity of one or a

LACTOBACILLI	BIFIDOBACTERIA	OTHERS
L. acidophilus-group	B. longum(BB536)	Enterococcusfaecium
L.acidophilus(LA-5)	B. longum (SP 07/3)	Enterococcusfaecalis
L. crispatus (L. acidophilus "Gilliland")	B. bifidum(MF 20/5)	Lactococcuslactis
L. johnsonii (LA1)	B. infantis	Streptococcusthermophilus
L. gasseri (PA 16/8)	B animalis (B. animalissp. lactisBB-12)	Propionibacteria
L. casei- group	B. adolescentis	E. colic (E. coli"Nissle 1917")
L.(para) casei- L. (para)casei (L. casei) "shirota" L. casei "defensis")	B. breve	Sporolactobac. Inulinus
L. rhamnosus(LGG)		Spores of Bacillus cereus
L. reuteri		"toyoi"
L. plantarum(299 and 299v)		Saccharomyces boulardii

Table 3: Bacteria genera with probiotic effects.

limited number of bacteria in the colon"⁽³⁵⁾. Thus, health-promoting bacteria, especially, but not exclusively, lactobacilli and bifidobacteria, may become predominant in the colonic microflora^(35,36). So, a prebiotic can be defined as a "bifidogenic" substance. Roberfroid introduced the prebiotic index defined as the absolute increase of the fecal bifidobacteria concentration per gram of daily-consumed prebiotics⁽³⁷⁾.

• Yogurt-like, solid or liquid milk products
• Sour milk, sour whey, sour cream, buttermilk
• Fresh cheese
• Ice cream
• Sweets, e.g cookies and chocolate
• Meat products

Table 4: Examples of probiotic foods.

According to the definition, prebiotics are non digestible carbohydrates but they can be fermented by the intestinal microbiota. These oligosaccharides (chains consisting of 3 to 10 carbohydrate monomers) include inulin, oligofructose (FOS), galactooligosaccharides (GOS), lactulose, lactosucrose and xylooligosaccharides⁽³⁸⁾. Effects of prebiotics include a increase of bifidobacteria or lactobacteria cell counts on the intestinal microflora and a decrease of pathogenic bacteria as well as stabilization of the intestinal environment by lowering of pH and release of short chain organic acids. These effects depend on the type and concentration of the prebiotic and on the bifidobacteria concentra-

tion on the gut of the host. So, inulin, oligofructose or GOS, as well as their synbyotic combination with probiotic bacteria (strains of L. Plantarum, L. Paracesei or B. Bifidum), increased bifidobacteria and lactobacilli or inhibited various human- and animal pathogenic bacteria strains (Clostridium spec, E. Coli, Campylobacter jejuni, Enterobacterium spec., Salmonella enteritis or S. Typhimurium) in vitro or in mice, piglets or humans⁽³⁹⁻⁴¹⁾ (Table 5). It is not the prebiotic by itself but rather the changes induced in microbiota composition that is responsible for its effects. Many studies showed an increase in bifidobacteria and lactobacilli on the intestinal microflora after administration of prebiotics^(40, 42, 43). Bifidobacteria are thought to improve the immune system, produce B vitamins, inhibit pathogen growth, reduce blood ammonia and blood cholesterol levels, and help to restore the normal flora after antibiotic therapy^(34, 44). Lactobacilli may help digestion of lactose in lactose-intolerant individuals, reduce constipation and infantile diarrhea, help to resist infections such as salmonellae and relieve irritable bowel syndrome⁽⁴⁵⁾. Criteria for classification of a food ingredient as prebiotic include resistance to gastric acid, bile, enzymatic digestion and intestinal absorption, fermentation by intestinal microbiota, beneficial effect to the host health, selective stimulation of probiotics and stability to food processing treatment. In humans, intestinal fermentation can be studied measuring breath hydrogen or fecal recovery of the administered carbohydrates after a single prebiotic meal⁽⁴⁶⁾.

Prebiotic	Proven effect
<i>Oligosaccharides, undigestible but fermentable in the colon</i>	
Lactulose	B +, P -, PM -
Lactosucrose	B +
Xilooligosaccharides	B +
Glucooligosaccharides	B +
<i>Prebiotic oligosaccharides</i>	
Fructooligosaccharides	B +, P-
Galactooligosaccharides	B +, P-
Oligofructose	B +, P-
Inulin	B +, P-

Table 5: Effects of prebiotics on gut microbiota.

B: bifidogen, P: putrefactive/pathogenic bacteria, PM: putrefactive metabolites

Selective promotion of the growth and activity of the gut bacteria are difficult to measure. The best in model *vitro* is the measure of bacterial counts in fecal samples before and during exposure to the test material in batch or multichamber fermentation systems. Carbohydrates have a positive prebiotic activity score if they are metabolized, as well as glucose, by probiotic strains and are selectively metabolized by probiotics but not by other intestinal bacteria. Candidate prebiotics are reported to be particularly suited to the growth and activities of the probiotics such as bifidobacteria and lactobacilli. Beneficial effects of prebiotics on constipation include an increased biomass, fecal stool weight and frequency and healthy benefits on the mucosa of the bowel^(47, 48). Adverse effects of prebiotics include headache, belching, flatulence, bowel contractions or liquid stool. Several prebiotics are found in vegetables and fruits and can be industrially processed from renewable materials.

In food formulations, they can significantly improve organoleptic characteristics, upgrading both taste and mouth feel. To serve as functional food ingredients, prebiotics must be chemically stable to food processing treatments, such as heat, low pH, and Maillard reaction conditions. In fact, a prebiotic would no longer provide selective stimulation of beneficial microorganisms if it was degraded to its component mono- and disaccharides or chemically altered and unavailable for bacterial metabolism. Huebner et al showed that heating at low pH (30 min at 85 °C, pH 4-7) caused an important reduction in prebiotic (FOS and inulin) activity. Low pH (pH 3 to 6) and Maillard reaction conditions (up to 6 h at 85 °C with 1% glycine, pH 7) caused only little change in activity⁽⁴⁹⁾.

Most prebiotics and prebiotic candidates identified today are non-digestible oligosaccharides. They are obtained either by extraction from plants (e.g., chicory inulin), possibly followed by an enzymatic hydrolysis (e.g., oligofructose from inulin) or by synthesis (by trans-glycosylation reactions) from mono- or disaccharides such as sucrose (fructooligosaccharides) or lactose (trans-galactosylated oligosaccharides or galactooligosaccharides)⁽⁵⁰⁾. Among these prebiotics, inulin and oligosaccharides are the most studied prebiotics and have been recognized as dietary fibers in most countries⁽⁵¹⁾. Prebiotics can be used for either their nutritional advantages or technological properties, but they are often applied to offer a double benefit: an improved organoleptic quality and a better-balanced nutrition-

al composition⁽⁵²⁾. Food applications of prebiotics are summarized in Table 6.

<i>Yoghurts and desserts</i>
<i>Breads and fillings</i>
<i>Beverages and drinks</i>
<i>Meat products</i>
<i>Dietetic products</i>
<i>Chocolate</i>
<i>Cake and biscuits</i>
<i>Sugar confectionary</i>
<i>Soups and sauces</i>
<i>Baby food</i>

Table 6: Food applications of prebiotics.

Effects of probiotics and prebiotics on constipation in the elderly

Probiotics might have therapeutic potential for the treatment of constipation for several reasons. Some studies showed differences in the intestinal microbiota between individuals in good health and patients with chronic constipation in which an increased number of Clostridia and Enterobacteriaceae are frequently isolated⁽⁵³⁾.

The origin of this dysbiosis is unclear. It is not clear if dysbiosis is a secondary manifestation of constipation, or it is a factor that promotes the constipation. Probiotics may reduce pH in the colon by the bacterial production of short chain fatty acids (butyric acid, propionic acid, and lactic acid).

A lower pH enhances peristalsis in the colon and, subsequently, might decrease the colonic transit time⁽⁷⁾. It has been reported a reduction of transit time after 10-day intervention with *Bifidobacterium animalis* DN-173 010⁽⁵⁴⁾, and an increase in stool frequency after a 2 weeks intervention with *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota⁽⁵⁵⁾. On the contrary, no beneficial effect on constipation was reported in a clinical trial performed on subjects receiving *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG⁽⁵⁶⁾. Many studies reported beneficial changes in the microbial metabolism in the colon of people with gut function disorders. The main metabolic markers of probiotic benefits include short chain fatty acid levels (SCFAs), faecal enzyme activity and certain toxic or carcinogenic compounds⁽⁵⁷⁾. SCFAs in the gut, for example, stimulate host receptors for gut motility and the immune response, and regulate mucosal

gene expression. The SCFA butyrate, an important energy source for colon cells, is involved in regulation of their growth. Probiotics have also been associated with production of substances that inhibit tumour growth, neutralisation of harmful molecular substances in the gut, and inhibition of species that can produce promoters, mutagens and carcinogens^(58, 59, 60). Phenol, cresol and ammonia are metabolites produced by colonic fermentation.

A significant reduction of such substances was associated with consumption of a probiotic containing *L. casei* Shirota, in double-blind placebo-controlled trials involving healthy subjects^(61, 62), and similar results have been reported after administration of other probiotics⁽⁶³⁻⁶⁶⁾. *Bifidobacterium animalis* intake reduced gut transit time in a group of subjects free of anygastrointestinal pathology⁽⁵⁴⁾. In an open trial on elderly subjects, a commercial mixture of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* improved defecation frequency in 24% of subjects, but no reduction in laxative use was observed⁽⁶⁶⁾. Thus, probiotics may be effective in patients with mild to moderate constipation. However, the effect of lactic acid bacteria ingestion may be dependent on the bacterial strain used and the population being studied. On the other hand, there are no studies evaluating the effect of probiotics as compared to fibre supplements⁽⁶⁷⁾. In a clinical trial, 19 old patients suffering from chronic constipation were assigned to receive Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) (3.0×10^{11} CFU/g) twice a day for 2 weeks. Subjects draw up a questionnaire on defecation habits (frequency of defecation, amount and state of stool).

Analysis of data showed that there was an increase in the frequency of defecation and amount of stool excreted in defecation habit after LAB treatment, but there were no significant changes. LAB treatment also affected the intestinal environment, through significantly increase ($p < 0.05$) of fecal LAB levels. In addition, tryptophanase and urease among harmful enzyme activities of intestinal microflora were significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$) after LAB treatment⁽³⁾. Data suggested that *Bifidobacterium animalis*, *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota, *Lacidophilus*, *L paracasei*, *L rhamnosus* and *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* might increase the frequency of defecations and reduce the time of bowel transit⁽⁷⁾. Prebiotics such as FOS, galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS), lactulose, and inulin act as substrates for lactic acid bacteria, thus improving their growth in the intestine. The widely

used laxative lactulose is not digested by human disaccharidases, and is a substrate for the *Bifidobacteria* in the colonic flora. Placebo-controlled trials have shown that lactulose (15–30 ml twice a day) is effective in increasing stool frequency and stool consistency in patients with mild to moderate chronic constipation^(68, 69). Other prebiotics could be a promising therapy for chronic constipation⁽⁷⁰⁾.

A study was initiated to test the effects of lactose or inulin on the bowel habits of constipated elderly patients. Two groups of 15 and 10 patients received lactose and inulin, respectively, for a period of 19 days. Only inulin stimulated the growth of *Bifidobacteria* and suppressed other microorganisms such as *Enterococci* in number and *Enterobacteria* in frequency. The latter microorganisms are potentially pathogenic, causing autogenous infections when host resistance mechanisms fail, possibly as a result of gastrointestinal disorders in aging⁽⁷¹⁾.

A recent study has associated the use of a synbiotic (a combination of prebiotics and probiotics) of lactitol and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* twice daily in healthy elderly subjects, with increased stool frequency, as well as other indications of positive results in the intestinal microbiota composition and mucosal function⁽⁷²⁾.

Conclusion

The role of normal intestinal flora in the maintenance of health and in the prevention of functional bowel disorders is well known.

Studies examining the effects of probiotics and prebiotics on constipation in elderly people are limited, and different strains have been used in those published so far. Although results are conflicting, the evidence is that specific probiotic strains are more effective than others. In general probiotics are safe and rare cases of adverse effects occurred in immunocompromised subjects or in patients with other life-threatening illnesses, who were managed in intensive care units⁽⁷⁾.

References

- 1) Longstreth GF, Thompson WG, Chey WD. *Functional bowel disorders*. *Gastroenterology*. 2006; 130: 1480-91.
- 2) Higgins PD, Johanson JF. *Epidemiology of constipation in North America*. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2004; 99: 750-9.
- 3) Rampello L, Vecchio I, Malaguarnera M, Rampello L. *Parkinsonism with cognitive impairment*. *Acta Medica*

- Mediterranea, 2012; 28: 123.
- 4) Mertz H, Naliboff B, Mayer E. *Physiology of refractory chronic constipation*. Am J Gastroenterol. 1999;94: 609-615.
 - 5) El-Salhy M, Norrgard O, Spinnell S. *Abnormal colonic endocrine cells in patients with chronic-idiopathic slow-transit constipation*. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1999; 34: 1007-1011.
 - 6) Rao SS. *Dyssynergic defecation*. GastroenterolClin North Am. 2001; 30: 97-114.
 - 7) Chmielewska A, Szajewska H. *Systematic review of randomised controlled trials: Probiotics for functional constipation*. World J Gastroenterol. 2010; 16: 69-75.
 - 8) Lindeman RD, Romero LJ, Liang HC. *Do elderly persons need to be encouraged to drink more fluids?* J Gerontol A BiolSci Med Sci. 2000; 55: 361-5.
 - 9) Meshkinpour H, Selod S, Movahedi H. *Effects of regular exercise in management of chronic idiopathic constipation*. Dig Dis Sci. 1998; 43: 2379-83.
 - 10) Panel on the Definition of Dietary Fiber, Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes, Food and Nutrition Board. *Dietary Reference Intakes. Proposed Definition of Dietary Fiber*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2001.
 - 11) Cummings JH. *Constipation, dietary fibre and the control of large bowel function*. Postgrad Med J. 1984; 60: 811-819.
 - 12) Müller-Lissner SA. *Effect of wheat bran on stool weight and gastrointestinal transit time. A meta-analysis*. Br Med J. 1988; 296: 615-617.
 - 13) Magnano M, Valastro I, Rampello L, Vacante M, Malaguarnera M, Rampello L, Alessandria I. *The gut-brain axis. Effect of probiotics on anxiety*. Acta Medica Mediterranea, 2012; 28: 231.
 - 14) Luckey TD, Floch MH. *Introduction to intestinal microecology*. Am J ClinNutr. 1972; 25: 1291-1295.
 - 15) Holzapfel WH, Schillinger U. *Introduction to pre- and probiotics*. Food Res Int 2002; 35: 109-116.
 - 16) Mitsuoka T. *Intestinal flora and aging*. Nutr Rev. 1991; 50: 438-46.
 - 17) Rowland IR, Rumney CJ, Coutts JT, Lievense LC. *Effect of Bifidobacterium longum and inulin on gut bacterial metabolism and carcinogen-induced aberrant crypt foci in rats*. Carcinogenesis. 1998; 19: 281-5.
 - 18) McKay DM. *Intestinal inflammation and the gut microflora*. Can J Gastroenterol. 1999; 13: 509-16.
 - 19) Gorbach SL, Goldin BR. *The intestinal microflora and the colon cancer connection*. Rev Infect Dis. 1990; 12: 252-61.
 - 20) Reddy BS. *Possible mechanisms by which pro- and prebiotics influence colon carcinogenesis and tumor growth*. J Nutr. 1999; 129: 1478-82.
 - 21) Smith J. *Foodborne illnesses in the elderly*. J Food Protect. 1998; 6: 1229-39.
 - 22) Hopkins MJ, Sharp R, Macfarlane GT. *Age and disease related changes in intestinal bacterial populations assessed by cell culture, 16s rRNA abundance, and community cellular fatty acid profiles*. Gut. 2001; 48: 198-205.
 - 23) Ouwehand AC, Isolauri E, Kirjavainen PV, Salminen SJ. *Adhesion of four Bifidobacterium strains to human intestinal mucus from subjects in different age groups*. FEMS Microbial Lett. 1999; 172: 61-4.
 - 24) Baker HC, Tran DN, Thomas LV. *Health benefits of probiotics for the elderly: a review*. Journal of Foodservice. 2009; 20: 250-262.
 - 25) De Vrese M, Schrezenmeir J. *Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics*. Adv Biochem engine/ Biotechnol. 2008; 111: 1-66.
 - 26) Gill HS, Shu Q, Lin H, Rutherford KJ, Cross ML. *Protection against translocating Salmonella typhimurium infection in mice by feeding the immuno-enhancing probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus strain HN001*. Med MicrobiolImmunol (Berlin). 2001; 190: 97-104.
 - 27) Mangell P, Nejdfor P, Wang M, Ahrne S, Westrom B, Thorlacius H, et al. *Lactobacillus plantarum 299v inhibits Escherichia coli-induced intestinal permeability*. Dig Dis Sci. 2002; 47: 511-516.
 - 28) Coakley M, Ross RP, Nordgren M, Fitzgerald G, Devery R, Stanton C. *Conjugated linoleic acid biosynthesis by human-derived Bifidobacterium species*. J Appl Microbiol. 2003; 94: 138-145.
 - 29) Fonden R, Mogensen G, Tanaka R, Salminen S. *Effect of fermented dairy products on intestinal microflora, human nutrition, and health: current knowledge and future perspectives*. Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation. 2000, 352.
 - 30) Walker WA. *Role of nutrients and bacterial colonization in the development of intestinal host defense*. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2000; 30: 2-7.
 - 31) Mattar AF, Teitelbaum DH, Drongowski RA, Yongyi F, Harmon CM, Coran AG. *Probiotics up-regulate MUC-2 mucin gene expression in a Caco-2 cell-culture model*. Pediatr Surg Int. 2002; 18: 586-590.
 - 32) Luyer MD, Buurman WA, Hadfoune M, Speelmans G, Knol J, Jacobs JA, et al. *Strain-specific effects of probiotics on gut barrier integrity following hemorrhagic shock*. Infect Immun. 2005; 73: 3686-92.
 - 33) Kekkonen RA, Lummela N, Karjalainen H, Latvala S, Tynkkynen S, Jarvenpaa S, et al. *Probiotic intervention has strain-specific anti-inflammatory effects in healthy adults*. World J Gastroenterol. 2008; 14: 2029-36.
 - 34) Malaguarnera M, Vacante M, Antic T, Giordano M, Chisari G, Acquaviva R, Mastrojeni S, Malaguarnera G, Mistretta A, Li Volti G, Galvano F. *Bifidobacterium longum with fructo-oligosaccharides in patients with non alcoholic steatohepatitis*. Dig Dis Sci. 2012; 57: 545-53.
 - 35) Gibson GR, Roberfroid MB. *Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: Introducing the concept of prebiotics*. J Nutr. 1995; 125: 1401-1412.
 - 36) Kailasapathy K, Chin J. *Survival and therapeutic potential of probiotic organisms with reference to Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium spp*. Immunology and Cell Biology. 2000; 78: 80-88.
 - 37) Roberfroid M. *Prebiotics: the concept revisited*. J Nutr. 2007; 137: 830-837.
 - 38) Playne MJ, Crittenden R. *Commercially available oligosaccharides*. IDF Bulletin. 1996; 313: 10-22.
 - 39) Asahara T, Nomoto K, Shimizu K, Watanuki M, Tanaka R. *Increased resistance of mice to Salmonella entericaserovarTyphimurium infection by synbiotic administration of Bifidobacteria and transgalactosylated oligosaccharides*. J Appl Microbiol. 2001; 91: 985-996.
 - 40) Langlands SJ, Hopkins MJ, Coleman N, Cummings JH. *Prebiotic carbohydrates modify the mucosa associated microflora of the human large bowel*. Gut. 2004; 53:

- 1610-1616.
- 41) Cummings JH, Macfarlane GT. *Gastrointestinal effects of prebiotics*. Br J Nutr. 2002; 87: 145-51.
 - 42) Macfarlane S, Macfarlane GT, Cummings JH. *Prebiotics in the gastrointestinal tract*. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2006; 24: 701-714.
 - 43) Probert HM, Gibson GR. *Investigating the prebiotic and gas-generating effects of selected carbohydrates on the human colonic microflora*. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2002; 35: 473-480.
 - 44) Malaguarnera M, Gargante MP, Malaguarnera G, Salmeri M, Mastrojeni S, Rampello L, et al. *Bifidobacterium combined with fructo-oligosaccharide versus lactulose in the treatment of patients with hepatic encephalopathy*. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2010; 22: 199-206.
 - 45) Manning TS, Gibson GR. *Prebiotics*. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2004; 18: 287-298.
 - 46) Malaguarnera M, Greco F, Barone G, Gargante MP, Malaguarnera M, Toscano MA. *Bifidobacterium longum with fructo-oligosaccharide (FOS) treatment in minimal hepatic encephalopathy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study*. Dig Dis Sci. 2007; 52: 3259-65.
 - 47) Cherbur C. *Inulin and oligofructose in the dietary fiber concept*. Brit J Nutr. 2002; 87: 159-162.
 - 48) Nyman M. *Fermentation and bulking capacity of indigestible carbohydrates: the case of Inulin and oligofructose*. Brit J Nutr. 2002; 87: 163-168.
 - 49) Huebner J, Wehling RL, Hutkins RW. *Functional activity of commercial prebiotics*. Int Dairy J. 2007; 17: 770-775.
 - 50) Crittenden RG, Playne MJ. *Production, properties and applications of food-grade oligosaccharides*. Trends Food Sci Technol. 1996; 7: 353-361.
 - 51) Li Volti G, Salomone S, Sorrenti V, Mangiameli A, Urso V, Siarkos I, Galvano F, Salamone F. *Effect of silybinin on endothelial dysfunction and ADMA levels in obese diabetic mice*. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2011; 10: 62.
 - 52) Franck A. *Technological functionality of inulin and oligofructose*. Br J Nutr. 2002; 87: 287-291.
 - 53) Zoppi G, Cinquetti M, Luciano A, Benini A, Muner A, Bertazzoni Minelli E. *The intestinal ecosystem in chronic functional constipation*. Acta Paediatr. 1998; 87: 836-841.
 - 54) Marteau P, Seksik P, Jian R. *Probiotics and health: new facts and ideas*. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2002; 13: 486-9.
 - 55) Matsumoto M, Benno Y. *Anti-inflammatory metabolite production in the gut from the consumption of probiotic yogurt containing Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis LKM512*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2006; 70: 1287-92.
 - 56) Banaszkiwicz A, Szajewska H. *Probiotics in the treatment of constipation: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials*. PediatriaWspolczesna. 2005; 7: 9-14.
 - 57) Khedkar CD, Ouwehand AC. *Modifying the gastrointestinal microbiota with probiotics*. In: *Gastrointestinal Microbiology*. Informa Healthcare publisher. 2006, 315-333.
 - 58) Hughes R, Rowland IR. *Metabolic activities of the gut microflora in relation to cancer*. Microb Ecol Health Dis. 2006; 11: 179-85.
 - 59) Malaguarnera G, Leggio F, Vacante M, Motta M, Giordano M, Bondi A, Basile F, Mastrojeni S, Mistretta A, Malaguarnera M, Toscano MA, Salmeri M. *Probiotics in the gastrointestinal diseases of the elderly*. J Nutr Health Aging. 2012; 16: 402-10.
 - 60) Uccello M, Malaguarnera G, Basile F, D'agata V, Malaguarnera M, Bertino G, Vacante M, Drago F, Biondi A. *Potential role of probiotics on colorectal cancer prevention*. BMC Surg. 2012; 12Suppl 1: S35.
 - 61) Spanhaak S, Havenaar R, Schaafsma G. *The effect of consumption of milk fermented by Lactobacillus casei strain Shirota on the intestinal microflora and immune parameters in humans*. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1998; 52: 899-907.
 - 62) De Preter V. *Effect of dietary intervention with different pre- and probiotics on intestinal bacterial enzyme activities*. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2008; 62: 225-31.
 - 63) Goldin BR, Gorbach SL. *The relationship between diet and rat faecal bacterial enzymes implicated in colon cancer*. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1976; 57: 371-5.
 - 64) Tomoda T, Nakano Y, Kageyama T. *Effect of yogurt and yogurt supplemented with Bifidobacterium and/or lactulose in healthy persons: a comparative study*. Bifidobacterium Microflora. 1991; 10: 123-30.
 - 65) Brigidi P, Vitali B, Swennen E, Bazzocchi G, Matteuzzi D. *Effects of probiotic administration upon the composition and enzymatic activity of human faecal microbiota for patients with irritable bowel syndrome or functional diarrhea*. Res Microbiol. 2001; 152: 735-41.
 - 66) Ouwehand AC, Lagstrom H, Suomalainen T, Salminen S. *Effect of probiotics on constipation, fecal azoreductase activity and fecal mucin content in the elderly*. Ann Nutr Metab. 2002; 46: 159-62.
 - 67) Fernández-Bañares F. *Nutritional care of the patient with constipation*. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2006; 20: 575-87.
 - 68) Bass P, Dennis S. *The laxative effects of lactulose in normal and constipated patients*. J Clin Gastroenterol. 1981; 3: 23-28.
 - 69) Wesselius-De Casparis A, Braadbaart S, Bergh-Bohlken GE. *Treatment of chronic constipation with lactulose syrup: results of a double-blind study*. Gut. 1968; 9: 84-86.
 - 70) Teuri U, Korpela R. *Galacto-oligosaccharides relieve constipation in elderly people*. Ann Nutr Metab. 1998; 42: 319-327.
 - 71) Kleesse B, Svkura B, Zunft HJ, Blaut M. *Effects of inulin and lactose on fecal microflora, microbial activity, and bowel habit in elderly constipated persons*. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997; 65: 1397-1402.
 - 72) Ouwehand AC, Tiihonen K, Saarinen M, Putaal H, Rautonen N. *Influence of a combination of Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM and lactitol on healthy elderly: intestinal and immune parameters*. Br J Nutr. 2009; 3: 367-75.

Request reprints from:

PROF. CHISARI GIUSEPPE

Centro di Microbiologia della Superficie Oculare -Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Policlinico di Catania

Via S. Sofia, 78
95123 Catania
(Italy)