Site Effects in the Cultural Heritage Areas of the City of Catania

S. Grasso and M. Maugeri

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Ambientale, Università di Catania

Abstract

The city of Catania has been recognized as a typical Mediterranean city at high seismic risk. One of the peculiarities of this Mediterranean city is that there are many monuments and historical buildings. Recently some Churches were damaged by the moderate earthquake of December 13, 1990 with a magnitude M = 5.8. Because of that, to preserve the Churches from the damage which could be caused by a much stronger earthquake scenario (M = 7.0), an analysis of local site effects in the areas where the Churches are located was performed. The analysis was made on the basis of geotechnical site characterization by specific investigations or by the available data from the database implemented in the Land Information System (LIS) of the city of Catania. The location of the 108 Churches was also implemented in the LIS, as well as the location of the 910 existing boreholes. So, by a simple query, the Geographical Information System (GIS) gives the nearest borehole to the Church area considered. On the basis of the given geotechnical properties of the foundation soil up to a depth of 30 m, the soil response analysis was performed by a non-linear 1-D model. The results show a great variability of response from site to site where the Churches are located. For each Church the time history of displacement, velocity and acceleration were evaluated as well as response spectra.

1 Introduction

Since the beginning of the Project: Detailed Scenarios and Actions for Seismic Prevention of Damage in the Urban Area of Catania (Maugeri [1]), Catania was recognized as a typical Mediterranean city at high seismic risk. One of the peculiarities of this Mediterranean city is that there are many monuments and historical buildings. The Churches of Catania make up a unique chapter in the

architectural scenario of our country; mainly built or rebuilt after the earthquake of 1693, in Catanese Late-Baroque style, they show architectural and structural characteristics that can be found in other parts of Italy only with great difficulty. The development plan is often rather articulated, a typical feature of the eighteenth century both in the search for a formal originality (Churches with a central layout) and because the buildings had to fit in with a town that was already heavily urbanised. Almost always the churches are close to other buildings and in many cases they are completely incorporated in the other buildings, being recognizable only by their facades that look out onto the public spaces; this is, for instance, the case of the Churches that are part of the monasteries and convents which are very numerous in the town (Cherubini et al. [2]). Recently the Sicilian Baroque of the Val di Noto has been included among the world cultural heritage by Unesco. The Baroque must be preserved from seismic damage due to any earthquake scenario (Priolo [3]) which could occur in Catania. In spite of the fact that the earthquake scenario could reach a magnitude M = 7.0, the moderate Sicilian earthquake of December 13, 1990 with a magnitude M = 5.8 (Laurenzano and Priolo [4]) caused some damage to certain Churches. Because of that, to preserve the Churches from the damage which could be caused by a much stronger earthquake scenario, an analysis of local site effects in the areas where the churches are located, was performed. The analysis was made on the basis of geotechnical site characterization by specific investigations or by the available data from the database implemented in the Land Information System (LIS) of the city of Catania. The location of the 108 Churches was also implemented in the LIS, as well as the location of the 910 existing boreholes. So, by a simple query, the Geographical Information System (GIS), gives the nearest borehole to the Church area being considered. On the basis of the given geotechnical properties of the foundation soil up to a depth of 30 m, the soil response analysis was performed by a non-linear 1-D model.

2 Site characterization at the Church areas

The areas where the 108 Churches are located were inspected and localized in the map of Catania city. All the sites have been located and geo-settled in the GIS database (Figure 1). In the GIS database are implemented the 910 existing boreholes (Grasso and Maugeri [5]), the geological map (Monaco *et al.* [6]), as well as the geotechnical map giving the shear waves velocity of the upper 30 m of soil (Grasso and Maugeri [7]).

For the Churches damaged by the December 13, 1990 earthquake, a detailed site investigation was made. In particular, at the Saint Nicola alla Rena Church site, boreholes, a Down-Hole test and geo-seismic survey were performed (Cavallaro *et al.* [8]). At the Purità Church site, boreholes, SPT and laboratory tests were performed (Cavallaro *et al.* [9]). Other specific site investigations, consisting of boreholes, SPT and laboratory tests were performed at S. Agata La Vetere site. Figure 2 shows a plan view of the Church with the borings location; Figure 3 shows a photo of the main prospect of the Church.



Figure 1. Localization of the 108 Churches in the GIS database of the city of Catania.



Figure 2. Plan view of the S. Agata La Vetere Church with borings locations.



Figure 3. Main prospect of the S. Agata La Vetere Church.

Similar specific investigations were made at Saint Sebastiano Church. The plan view of the church and the location of the borings are reported in Figure 4. Among the other Churches considered, which could be damaged by the M = 7.0 earthquake scenario, figures 5, 6 and 7 report respectively the Cathedral, the Collegiata and the Stesicoro Churches. For the site characterizations of these Churches and of the remaining ones by checking the GIS database, the nearest boring was considered. If the nearest boring was farther than 100 m from the Church site, a set of borings were considered and by the interpolation of data the stratigraphy at the site was established. This stratigraphy was checked by a query to the geological map and the geotechnical map, to obtain the final stratigraphy at the site.



Figure 4. Plan view of the Saint Sebastiano Church with borings locations.



Figure 5. Main prospect of the Cathedral.



Figure 6. Main prospect of the Collegiata Church.



Figure 7. Main prospect of the Stesicoro Church.

The shear waves velocity was evaluated by simple a estimation related to the soil nature given by boreholes or by empirical correlations between the results of *in situ* tests (mainly SPT and CPT tests) and shear waves velocity. According to Cavallaro *et al.* [8] the following correlations for SPT tests were used: a) Ohta and Goto [10]:

$$V_{\rm S} = 54.33 \cdot (N_{\rm SPT})^{0.173} \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \left(\frac{Z}{0.303}\right)^{0.193}$$
(1)

where: Vs = shear waves velocity (m/s), N_{SPT} = number of blows SPT, Z = depth (m), α = age factor (Olocene = 1.000, Pleistocene = 1.303), β = geological factor (clay = 1.000, sand = 1.086).

b) Yoshida and Motonori [11]:

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{S}} = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \left(\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{SPT}}\right)^{0.25} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{v0}}^{\prime 0.14} \tag{2}$$

where: Vs = shear waves velocity (m/s), N_{SPT} = number of blows SPT, Z = depth (m), σ'_{vo} = overburden pressure, β = geological factor (all soil = 55, fine sand = 49).

In a few cases, such as for the location of Saint Nicola alla Rena Church (Cavallaro *et al.* [8]), the estimation of shear waves velocity was checked by *in situ* dynamic tests: Down-Hole (DH) tests and by laboratory tests plus Resonant Column Tests (RCT) and Cyclic Loading Torsional Shear Tests (CLTST).

In addition the site and laboratory investigations, performed at some test sites and reported by Cavallaro and Maugeri [12] were considered.

For site response evaluation, the shear modulus at small strain (G_0) was evaluated by the following expression according to:

c) Jamiolkowski et al. [13]:

$$G_o = \frac{600 \cdot \sigma_m^{0.5} p_a^{0.5}}{e^{1.3}}$$
(3)

where: $\sigma'_{m} = (\sigma'_{v} + 2 \cdot \sigma'_{h})/3$; $p_{a} = 1$ bar is a reference pressure; G_{o} , σ'_{m} and p_{a} are reported in the same unit.

The results obtained by (3) were checked with the V_s measured by Down-Hole and Cross-Hole performed at test sites by means of the equation of elasticity: $G_o = \rho V_s^2$, where $\rho = mass$ density. The values of G_0 were also checked by the Resonant Column Tests (RCT) and by Cyclic Loading Torsional Shear Tests (CLTST). The results are quite often in good agreement (Cavallaro *et al.* [8]); sometime laboratory tests give lower values of G_0 than those obtained by *in situ* tests (Cavallaro *et al.* [9]).

RCT and CLTST performed at the test sites allow the measurement of the soil non-linearity which is very relevant for ground motion evaluation for the city of Catania (Grasso *et al.* [14]).

Soil non-linearity is taken into account by the following expressions

according to Yokota et al. [15]:

$$\frac{G(\gamma)}{G_{o}} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \gamma (\%)^{\beta}}$$
$$D(\gamma)(\%) = \eta \cdot \exp\left[-\lambda \cdot \frac{G(\gamma)}{G_{o}}\right]$$
(4)

in which: G_0 = initial shear modulus; $G(\gamma)$ = strain dependent shear modulus; γ = shear strain; α , β = soil constants; $D(\gamma)$ = strain dependent damping ratio; η , λ = soil constants.

For the clayey soil at the test site of via Stellata (Maugeri and Cavallaro [16]), the values are: $\alpha = 11$, $\beta = 1.119$, $\eta = 31$, $\lambda = 1.921$.

For the stiff soil at the site of Saint Nicola alla Rena Church (Cavallaro *et al.* [8]), the values are: $\alpha = 7.5$, $\beta = 0.897$, $\eta = 90$, $\lambda = 4.5$.

For the sandy soil at the test site of Piazza Palestro (Cavallaro and Maugeri [12]), the values are: $\alpha = 6.9$, $\beta = 1.0$, $\eta = 23$, $\lambda = 2.21$.

The unit weight γ ranges between 18.3 and 20.5 KN/m³.

3 Site response analysis

The soil response analysis for the areas where the 108 Churches are located, has been performed by the 1-D non-linear code. As input earthquake at the bedrock we considered the scaled accelerograms of the December 13, 1990 Sicilian earthquake (M=5.8), recorded at the Sortino Station located on rock.

The maximum acceleration recorded at Sortino E-W component was 1.005 m/s^2 . To simulate the scenario earthquake (M = 7.0), the maximum acceleration was increased up to 0.25 g, which was about the maximum acceleration recorded at the Catania station during the December 1990 earthquake. Also the frequency was scaled, increasing the period by a factor of 1.333, simulating that, for a big earthquake, there will be a bigger period. The scaled earthquake input was applied at a depth of 30 m, as recommended by EC8 (CEN [17]), or at a shallow depth, where there is a contrast of shear waves velocity.

Considering that the city of Catania has not so many topographic irregularities, a 1-D code was used. By the way, there are not so many differences using 1-D or 2-D codes (Grasso *et al.* [14]). More relevant in soil response evaluations are the soil properties and the soil non-linearity. Soil non-linearity was taken into account considering the decrease of initial shear modulus and increase of damping with shear strength, as reported in the previous paragraph.

The 1-D code (Frenna and Maugeri [18]) gives the time history of displacement, velocity and acceleration. The time history at the surface, as well as the response spectra, were evaluated at each site where the 108 Churches are located. In Table 1 are reported the time history of accelerations and the response spectra at each site. The results show that there is different site amplification

from site to site and so different response spectra, which shows the possible damage for each Church in relation to the site where it is located. In Figure 8 are reported the locations of the 108 churches, with the different hazard for each Church.



Figure 8. Locations of the 108 Churches, with the different seismic geotechnical hazard for each Church.

4 Conclusions

The seismic protection of the monuments located in Mediterranean cities is one of the main tasks to be achieved in the city of Catania. To this aim, the seismic hazard must be evaluated on the basis of an accurate site characterization of the areas where the churches are located. In some cases detailed site characterization was performed; in other cases the site characterization was reached by a query to the GIS database, including geology, borings and geotechnical soil properties. The site effects were evaluated by a 1-D code which takes into account soil non-linearity. The results show that seismic hazard changes very much from site to site. The soil response given for each church could be used to evaluate the design spectra, which can be used for the structural improvement of the Churches resist against to the earthquake scenario.

CHURCH 01	- San Giovanni Battista Piazza Chiesa Madre	in S.G. Galermo,	CHURCH 02	– <i>S. Maria del C</i> Piazza S.M. de	Carmelo Barriera d el Carmelo	el Bosco,
Acceleration max =	2.88 m/s ² Res	ponse Spectra	Acceleration max =	= 3.35 m/s ²	Response Spe	ctra
		1 15 2 25 1		s garly have a start and a	an 5 1 1.5	a z 2 c z 10 x 2 c z 2 c z 2 c z 2 c z 2 c z z
CHURCH 03 -	- S. Stefano Primo Marta Via Pelagie	re,	CHURCH 04	– Santi Pietro e Via Siena	Paolo,	
Acceleration max =	2.72 m/s^2 Res	ponse Spectra	Acceleration max =	= 2.97 m/s ²	Response Spe	ctra
		- 15 2 25 7		2.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1	an 5 1 1.5	a 2 2 × 2 10 × 2 × 2 2 × 2 10 ×
CHURCH 05 -	- S. Cuore alla Barriera. Via del Bosco		CHURCH 06	– S. Maria del C Via Pietra dell	Carmelo al Canalic 'Ova	chio,
Acceleration max =	3.08 m/s ² Res	ponse Spectra	Acceleration max =	2.93 m/s ²	Response Spe	ctra
		9		3 25 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	x 5 1 15	a x 2 x 5 x 10 x 2 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 x
CHURCH 07 -	- S. Giovanni Apostolo e Largo Gaspare Bertoni	d Evangelista,	CHURCH 08	– S.M. delle Gra Via Messina	izie in Carruba di (Ognina,
Acceleration max =	2.50 m/s ² Res	ponse Spectra	Acceleration max =	= 3.40 m/s ²	Response Spe	ctra
		1 15 2 25 3		y jet frank fra 1	x x 1 1.5	€ × 2 × 30 × 30 × 30 × 2 × 5 3
CHURCH 09 -	- S. Maria di Nuovaluce Via L. Lizio Prupo Mo	nta Po	CHURCH 10	– Spirito Santo i Via Paginotti	in Nesima Superior	e,
Acceleration max =	3.26 m/s ² Res	ponse Spectra	Acceleration max =	$\sim 1.52 \text{ m/s}^2$	Response Spe	ctra
	MAM	1 15 2 25 1		25 2 15 15 3 1-	ar 5 1 1.5	6 × 2 × 8 2 × 8 38 × 2
CHURCH 11 -	- S. Pio X in Nesima Sup Piazza Santo Pio X	eriore,	CHURCH 12	 Sacra Famigli Viale M. Rapis 	a, sardi	
Acceleration max =	2.54 m/s ² Res	ponse Spectra	Acceleration max =	2.58 m/s ²	Response Spe	ctra
	- 25 2 15 15 - 2 15 - 5	8 - 2 - 2 2 - 2 2 2 - 2 2 2 - 2 2 2 - 2 2 2 2		- 25 2 Nachriensmann 3		8 X 2 X 38 K

Table 1. Time history of accelerations with the response spectra at each site.

CHURCH 13 – S. Michele Arcangelo, Via Ota		CHURCH 14 – Natività del Signore, Piazza Santa Maria Ausiliatrice		
Acceleration max = 2.67 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.68 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 15 – Divina Ma Piazza Boz	<i>iternità della B.V.M. in Cibali</i> , nadies	CHURCH 16 – S. Antonio, Viale M. Ra	apisardi	
Acceleration max = 2.65 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.55 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
and the second se				
CHURCH 17 – S. Giovani Viale M. H	<i>ni Bosco,</i> Rapisardi	CHURCH 18 – S. Maria di Piazza Sant	Gesù, a Maria di Gesù	
Acceleration max = 2.50 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.51 m/s^2	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 19 – S. Maria della Consolazione al Borgo, Via Milo		10		
CHURCH 19 – S. Maria a Via Milo	lella Consolazione al Borgo,	CHURCH 20 – S.M. della C Via Consola	<i>Consolazione</i> , azione	
CHURCH 19 – S. Maria a Via Milo Acceleration max = 2.54 m/s ²	lella Consolazione al Borgo, Response Spectra	CHURCH 20 $-S.M. della CVia ConsoleAcceleration max = 2.62 \text{ m/s}^2$	Consolazione, azione Response Spectra	
CHURCH 19 – S. Maria a Via Milo Acceleration max = 2.54 m/s ²	Response Spectra	CHURCH 20 - S.M. della C Via Consoli Acceleration max = 2.62 m/s ²	Consolazione, izione Response Spectra urmu o, a di ananzi i di consolazione, i di consola	
CHURCH 19 - S. Maria a Via Milo Acceleration max = 2.54 m/s ²	Response Spectra sum u.s. v do namo 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CHURCH 20 - S. S. Sacram	Consolazione, izione Response Spectra and a secondaria di secondaria and a secondaria di seco	
CHURCH 19 – S. Maria a Via Milo Acceleration max = 2.54 m/s ²	Response Spectra urm a, s de sanco 1 m 4 m 4 m 4 m 4 m 4 m 4 m 4 m 4 m 4 m	CHURCH 20 - S.M. della C Via Consoli Acceleration max = 2.62 m/s ²	Consolazione, izione Response Spectra urmu n.a di usardi territo da Borgo, pur Response Spectra urmu n.a di usardi territo da Borgo, pur	
CHURCH 19 - S. Maria a Via Milo Acceleration max = 2.54 m/s ²	Response Spectra <i>Borgo</i> , Your Response Spectra <i>Borgo</i> , Your <i>Response Spectra</i> <i>Borgo</i> , Your	CHURCH 20 - S.M. della C Via Consoli Acceleration max = 2.62 m/s ²	Consolatione, izione Response Spectra and a service de la more and a service de la more entre al Borgo, pur Response Spectra and and a service de la more and a service de	
CHURCH 19 – S. Maria a Via Milo Acceleration max = 2.54 m/s ²	Response Spectra	CHURCH 20 - S.M. della C Via Consol Acceleration max = 2.62 m/s ²	Consolazione, izione Response Spectra orne La da anaco to al Borgo, pur Response Spectra orne La da anaco to al Borgo, pur Response Spectra orne La da anaco to al Borgo, pur rento al Borgo, pur rento al Lourdes, Pordenone	
CHURCH 21 - S. Maria a Via Milo Acceleration max = 2.54 m/s ²	lella Consolazione al Borgo, Response Spectra provincia di antici di antici le di alta consolazione al Borgo, senti un di antici di antici le di alta consolazione al Borgo, le di alta consolazione alla consolazione alla consolazione le di alta consolazione alla consolazione alla consolazione alla consolazione le di alta consolazione alla consolazione alla consolazione alla consolazione le di alta consolazione alla consolazione alla consolazione alla consolazione le di alta consolazione alla consol	CHURCH 20 - S.M. della C Via Consoli Acceleration max = 2.62 m/s ²	Consolazione, izione Response Spectra a a b b c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	

CHURCH 25 – S. Domenico Savio, Piazza S. Domenico Savio		CHURCH 26 – Cuore Immacolato della B.V.M. Picanello, Viale V. Veneto		
Acceleration max = 2.72 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.68 m/s^2	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 27 – S.M. della Piazza Ma	Salute in Picanello, donna della Salute	CHURCH 28 – S. Lucia in C Via Policast	Ognina, ro	
Acceleration max = 3.12 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.68 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
		and the second second		
CHURCH 29 – S. Giusepp Via Grasse	pe in Ognina, o Finocchiaro	CHURCH 30 – S. Maria in Piazza Ogni	<i>Ognina</i> , na	
Acceleration max = 3.00 m/s^2	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.52 m/s^2	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 31 – Maris Stel	lla,	CHURCH 32 – S. Maria della Guardia in Ognina, Via Zoccolanti		
Acceleration max = 2.56 m/s^2	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.89 m/s^2	Response Spectra	
045'2	54.7	Provide and the second s	To an	
an and a fail with a summer of				
CHURCH 33 - Cristo Re.		CHURCH 34 – S. Maria del	Rosario in Nesima,	
CHURCH 33 – Cristo Re, Corso Itali Acceleration max = 2.94 m/s ²	a Response Spectra	CHURCH 34 – S. Maria det Via Monte F Acceleration max = 2.33 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 33 - Cristo Re, Corso Itali Acceleration max = 2.94 m/s ²	ia Response Spectra urm s, a da same is is is is is is is is is is	CHURCH 34 - S. Maria de Via Monte F Acceleration max = 2.33 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 35 - Cristo Re, Corso Itali Acceleration max = 2.94 m/s ²	ia Response Spectra server to A do namedo to a do namedo t	CHURCH 36 – S. Leone Ve CHURCH 36 – S. Leone Ve CHURCH 36 – S. Leone Ve Via S Leone Ve Via S Leone Ve	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 33 - Cristo Re, Corso Ital Acceleration max = 2.94 m/s ² CHURCH 35 - S. Teresa Cristo Ital CHURCH 35 - S. Teresa Corso Indi Acceleration max = 2.00 m/s ²	ia Response Spectra urum a.s. do sawar del Bambino Gesù, pendenza Response Spectra gendenza Response Spectra	CHURCH 34 – S. Maria del Via Monte F Acceleration max = 2.33 m/s ² CHURCH 36 – S. Leone Ve Via S. Leon Acceleration max = 2.49 m/s ²	Response Spectra	

CHURCH 37 – S. Luigi Gonzaga, Viale M. Rapisardi	CHURCH 38 – S. Francesco di Paola, Via Curia		
Acceleration max = 2.50 m/s ² Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.50 m/s ² Response Spectra		
CHURCH 39 – S. <i>Cuore ai Cappuccini</i> , Via S.M. della Catena	CHURCH 40 – S. Cuore di Gesù al Fortino, Piazza Palestro		
Acceleration max = 2.56 m/s ² Response Spectra string 0.2% dk seeker	Acceleration max = 2.70 m/s ² KOTLOWING - WK + 2.60007 W/5 ² 1 + 1.401 ;		
CHURCH 41 – SS. Crocifisso Maiorana, Piazza SS. Crocifisso Maiorana	CHURCH 42 – S. Bambino, Via Plebiscito		
Acceleration max = 2.68 m/s ² Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.57 m/s^2 Response Spectra		
CHURCH 43 – S. Euplio Martire, Diagra M. Montescori	CHURCH 44 – S. Martino ai Bianchi, Via V. Emanuele		
Acceleration max = 1.83 m/s^2 Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.39 m/s^2 Response Spectra		
DUS2	Provide Address of Address and Address a		
CHURCH 45 - Santi Cosma e Damiano, Piazza Machiavelli	CHURCH 46 - S. Domenico, Piazza S. Domenico		
CHURCH 45 - Santi Cosma e Damiano, Piazza Machiavelli Acceleration max = 2.64 m/s ² Piazza Machiavelli	CHURCH 46 - S. Domenico, Piazza S. Domenico Acceleration max = 2.59 m/s ² Transformation for the state stat		
CHURCH 45 - Santi Cosma e Damiano, Piaza Machiavelli Acceleration max = 2.64 m/s ² Response Spectra	CHURCH 46 - S. Domenico Celeration max = 2.59 m/s ² Acceleration max = 2.59 m/s ²		
CHURCH 45 - S. Vito, Piazza S. Domenico	CHURCH 48 - senza nome, Via Plebiscito		
CHURCH 45 - Santi Cosma e Damiano, Piazza Machiavelli Acceleration max = 2.64 m/s ² CHURCH 47 - S. Vito, Piazza S. Domenico Acceleration max = 2.94 m/s ² Response Spectra CHURCH 47 - S. Vito, Piazza S. Domenico	CHURCH 46 - S. Domenico Piazza S. Domenico Acceleration max = 4.99 m/s ² CHURCH 48 - senza nome, Via Plebiscito Acceleration max = 4.99 m/s ² Response Spectra		



CHURCH 61 – Basilica Collegiata S.M. della Elemosina, Via Etnea		CHURCH 62 – S. Filippo Neri, Via Teatro Greco		
Acceleration max = 2.79 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.96 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
		A MARKEN HALLAND BOUNDARY		
CHURCH 63 – S. Agata, Via Teatro Greco		CHURCH 64 – SS. Trinità, Via V. Ema	nuele	
Acceleration max = 2.79 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 4.64 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 65 – S. Agostino, Via V. Emanuele		CHURCH 66 – S. Francesc Piazza S. Fr	o d'Assisi, rancesco	
Acceleration max = 4.64 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s^2	Response Spectra	
		-		
CHURCH 67 – S. Giusep, Via V. En	CHURCH 67 – S. Giuseppe al Duomo, Vie V. Emergencie		CHURCH 68 – S. Agata alle Sciare, Via V. Emanuele	
	Rundere		nacio	
Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra uma our de assor 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra unter the set of server	
Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra umm our de samer a di S. Agata V.M., nanuele Response Spectra a di S. Agata V.M., anuele	Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra arms to de du assert a di	
Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra umm u.u do do umor 2 1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ² Mathematical Wei Lander Gold II.e. CHURCH 70 - S. Agata Ba Via V. Ema Acceleration max = 2.79 m/s ² CHURCH 72 - S. Placido, Piazza S. Pl	Response Spectra urme of a dia and	
Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra umm u.u. de anner 2 1 2 4 4 4 4 5 5 6 6 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Acceleration max = 2.61 m/s ² Manufactor we reader way and a set of the set	Response Spectra dia, nucle Response Spectra dia, nucle Response Spectra a dia, nucle Response Spectra a a b c b a b b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b b a	



CHURCH 85 – S. Gaetano alla Marina, Via S. Gaetano		CHURCH 86 – S. Francesco di Paola, Piazza S. Francesco di Paola		
Acceleration max = 2.82 m/s^2	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.84 m/s^2	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 87 – S. Maria dell'Indirizzo, Piazza Currò		CHURCH 88 – S. Lucia al Via Gismon	Fortino, do	
Acceleration max = 2.82 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 6.14 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
and the second second				
CHURCH 89 – S. Cristofo Via Plebiso	<i>ro alle Sciare</i> , cito	CHURCH 90 – S. Maria de Via Consola	ll'Aiuto, ito della Seta	
Acceleration max = 3.03 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.47 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
an the failth straight and		-		
CHURCH 91 – S. Giusepp Piazza Mar	e al Transito,	CHURCH 92 – S. Sebastian Piazza Fede	10, rice di Svevie	
Acceleration max = 2.36 m/s^2	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 2.36 m/s^2	Response Spectra	
BUST21	ing .	RULLINGTON 1 MMS 1 A CAMPACT MEDIA TO TOMOS 2	Say	
a Hill Man and				
CHURCH 93 – S. Chiara, Via Garibe		CHURCH 94 - Santi Angel	is	
CHURCH 93 - S. Chiara, Via Gariba Acceleration max = 2.60 m/s ²	di Response Spectra um a sin ta sawar	CHURCH 94 - Santi Angel Via S. Angel Acceleration max = 2.73 m/s ²	is custodi, lo Custode Response Spectra urm og da manz	
CHURCH 93 - S. Chiara, Via Gariba Acceleration max = 2.60 m/s ²	ddi Response Spectra untro e, s de server untro e, s de server	CHURCH 94 - Santi Angel Via S. Angel Acceleration max = 2.73 m/s ²	The second secon	
CHURCH 93 - S. Chiara, Via Gariba Acceleration max = 2.60 m/s ²	tidi Response Spectra some can be sensor to a be sensor to	CHURCH 94 - Santi Angel Via S. Angel Acceleration max = 2.73 m/s ²	The S.M. delle Grazie, Ile Grazie	
CHURCH 93 - S. Chiara, Via Garibe Acceleration max = 2.60 m/s ²	ddi Response Spectra unter a side water ella Salette, ella Salette Response Spectra minima a side water response Spectra side a sub- side a	CHURCH 94 - Santi Angel Via S. Angel Acceleration max = 2.73 m/s ² CHURCH 96 - Cappella Va Via S.M. de Acceleration max = 2.78 m/s ²	in the second states of the se	

CHURCH 97 – S. Anna, Via S. Anna		CHURCH 98 – B.V. Maria in Cielo Assunta alla Plaia, Piazza Caduti del Mare		
Acceleration max = 2.83 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 3.10 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
in the property of the second		Martin Harrison		
CHURCH 99 – Chiesa della Risurrezione, Cimitero Monumentale		CHURCH 100 – S. Maria Au Stradale S. O	siliatrice e S. Domenico Savio, Giorgio	
Acceleration max = 1.98 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 6.03 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
MAAMAAM				
CHURCH 101 – B.M.V. de Giorgio Vi	l Carmelo e S.M. Goretti in S. ia dell'Idris	CHURCH 102 – S. Chiara in Viale Mona	<i>Librino</i> , cale	
Acceleration max = 3.58 m/s^2	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 3.44 m/s^2	Response Spectra	
CHURCH 103 – Nostra Si Librino, Vi	gnora del SS. Sacramentro in a delle Susine	CHURCH 104 – Risurrezione Via Castagn	<i>e del Signore</i> , ola	
Acceleration max = 3.59 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 3.26 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
		M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M		
CHURCH 105 – Madonna del Divino Amore in Zia Lisa, Via Zia Lisa		CHURCH 106 – S. Giuseppe Via delle Cl	al Pigno, ementine	
Acceleration max = 5.61 m/s ²	Response Spectra	Acceleration max = 8.39 m/s ²	Response Spectra	
	90 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
	1 - sec .5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3		1 Poor 1 1.5 2 2.5 2	
CHURCH 107 – S. Giuseppu Via S. Gius	e la Rena,	CHURCH 108 – Madonna da Via Idra	ell'Idra,	
CHURCH 107 - S. Giuseppy Via S. Gius Acceleration max = 5.30 m/s ² Acceleration max = 5.30 m/s ²	e la Rena, septe la Rena Response Spectra	CHURCH 108 – Madonna da Via Idra Acceleration max = 2.96 m/s ²	ell'Idra, Response Spectra	

References

- [1] Maugeri, M. (2000). Detailed Scenarios and actions for seismic prevention of damage in the urban area of Catania. Framework Program 2000-2002 of the National Group for the Defence Against Earthquakes (National Group of Geophysics and Volcanology), financed by the Department of Civil Defence, June 2000.
- [2] Cherubini, A., Cocina, S. & Lagomarsino, S. (2000). Survey of old Churches. In *The Catania Project: Earthquake Damage Scenarios for a high risk area in the Mediterranean*. Editors: Faccioli and Pessina. CNR-Gruppo Nazionale per la difesa dai terremoti-Roma 2000, pp. 105-110.
- [3] Priolo, E. (1999). 2-D spectral element simulations of destructive ground shaking in Catania (Italy). J. of Seismology, 3(3), 289-309.
- [4] Laurenzano G. & Priolo E. (2004). Numerical modeling of the december 13, 1990 M = 5.8 eastern Sicily earthquake. Workshop on: *Detailed Scenarios* and Actions for Seismic Prevention of Damage in the Urban Area of Catania, Catania, 9-10 January, 2003.
- [5] Grasso S. & Maugeri M. (2004). Vulnerability of physical environment of the city of Catania using GIS technique. Workshop on: *Detailed Scenarios* and Actions for Seismic Prevention of Damage in the Urban Area of Catania, Catania, 9-10 January, 2003.
- [6] Monaco, C., Catalano, S., De Guidi, G. & Tortorici, L. (2004): The Geological map of the urban area of Catania (Eastern Sicily). Workshop on: *Detailed Scenarios and Actions for Seismic Prevention of Damage in the Urban Area of Catania*, Catania, 9-10 January, 2003.
- [7] Grasso, S. & Maugeri, M. (2003). A GIS Model Application Supporting the Analysis of the Seismic Hazard for the Urban Area of Catania (Italy). Proc. of the XIII European Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, geotechnical problems with man-made and man influenced grounds, Prague, August 25-28, 2003, vol. 2, 941-946.
- [8] Cavallaro, A., Grasso, S. & Maugeri, M. (2001). A dynamic geotechnical characterization of soil at Saint Nicola alla Rena Church site damaged by the southeastern Sicily earthquake of 13 December 1990. XV International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering: Lessons Learned from Recent Strong Earthquakes. Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering Satellite Conference, Istanbul, Turkey, August 24, 2001.
- [9] Cavallaro, A., Grasso, S. & Maugeri, M. (2001). Geotechnical parameters for the seismic geotechnical hazard evaluation for the urban area of Catania. *X Italian Conference on Seismic Engineering*, Potenza-Matera, Italy, 9-13 September, 2001 (in Italian).
- [10] Ohta, Y. & Goto, N. (1978). Empirical shear wave velocity equations in terms of characteristic soil indexes. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, Vol. 6.
- [11] Yoshida, Y. & Motonori, I. (1988). Empirical formulas of SPT blow-counts for gravelly soils. *Proceedings of ISOPT-1*, Orlando (USA).

- [12] Cavallaro, A. & Maugeri M. (2004). Non linear behaviour of the soil of the city of Catania at the test sites. Workshop on: *Detailed Scenarios and Actions for Seismic Prevention of Damage in the Urban Area of Catania*, Catania, 9-10 January, 2003.
- [13] Jamiolkowski, M., Lo Presti, D.C.F. & Pallara, O. (1995). Role of in-situ testing in geotechnical earthquake engineering. *Third International Conference on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics*, State of the Art 7, Vol. 3, pp. 1523-1546.
- [14] Grasso, S., Laurenzano, G., Maugeri, M. & Priolo, E. (2004). Seismic response in Catania by different methodologies. Workshop on: *Detailed Scenarios and Actions for Seismic Prevention of Damage in the Urban Area* of Catania, Catania, 9-10 January, 2003.
- [15] Yokota, K., Imai, T. & Konno, M. (1981). Dynamic deformation characteristics of soils determined by laboratory tests. *OYO Tec.* Rep. 3, pp. 13-37.
- [16] Maugeri, M. & Cavallaro, A. (2000). Non linear soil behaviour of Catania clays. In *The Catania Project: Earthquake Damage Scenarios for a high risk area in the Mediterranean*. Editors: Faccioli and Pessina. CNR-Gruppo Nazionale per la difesa dai terremoti-Roma 2000, pp. 38-41.
- [17] CEN (2002). Design of Structures for Earthquake Resistance. Part 1: General Rules, seismic actions and rules for buildings. Draft No. 5, Revised Final Project Team Draft (Prestage 49). Brussels: European Committee for Standardization (CEN), May 2002, 197 pp.
- [18] Frenna, S.M. & Maugeri, M. (1995). GEODIN: a Computer Code for Seismic Soil Response. Proceedings of the 9th Italian Conference on Computational Mechanics. Catania, Italy, 20-22 June, (in Italian), pp. 145-148.