



Chemical footprints of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on recent soil C : N ratios in Europe

C. Mulder¹, J.-P. Hettelingh¹, L. Montanarella², M. R. Pasimeni³, M. Posch¹, W. Voigt⁴, and G. Zurlini³

¹National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, the Netherlands

²European Commission, DG JRC, Ispra, Italy

³Biotechnology and Environmental Science, University of Salento, Lecce, Italy

⁴Institute of Ecology, Friedrich Schiller University, Jena, Germany

Correspondence to: C. Mulder (christian.mulder@rivm.nl)

Received: 16 December 2014 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 11 March 2015

Revised: 19 June 2015 – Accepted: 25 June 2015 – Published: 09 July 2015

Abstract. Long-term human interactions with the natural landscape have produced a plethora of trends and patterns of environmental disturbances across time and space. Nitrogen deposition, closely tracking energy and land use, is known to be among the main drivers of pollution, affecting both freshwater and terrestrial ecosystems. We present a statistical approach for investigating the historical and geographical distribution of nitrogen deposition and the impacts of accumulation on recent soil carbon-to-nitrogen ratios in Europe. After the second Industrial Revolution, large swaths of land emerged characterized by different atmospheric deposition patterns caused by industrial activities or intensive agriculture. Nitrogen deposition affects soil C : N ratios in a still recognizable way despite the abatement of oxidized and reduced nitrogen emissions during the last 2 decades. Given a seemingly disparate land-use history, we focused on ~ 10 000 unmanaged ecosystems, providing statistical evidence for a rapid response of nature to the chronic nitrogen supply through atmospheric deposition.

1 Introduction

The global cycle of nitrogen is highly sensitive to human activities (Galloway et al., 2004; Costanza et al., 2007; Doney et al., 2007; Fowler et al., 2013). Shifts in nitrogen availability alter the carbon cycle and litter decomposition (Vitousek et al., 1997; Stevens et al., 2004; Reich, 2009), affecting the heterotrophic component of ecosystem respiration (Janssens et al., 2010). In terrestrial ecosystems, atmospheric nitro-

gen deposition is also a major source of concern because it induces soil acidification by decreasing the exchangeable cation pools (Bowman et al., 2008). Moreover, nutrient enrichment directly influences the biodiversity and ecological stoichiometry of vascular plants through the soil (Stevens et al., 2004; Mulder et al., 2013).

Public and political concerns for current agricultural and environmental policies have focused on the loss of biodiversity and the impacts on ecosystem services related to nitrogen deposition (Reis et al., 2012; Sutton et al., 2014). It is widely accepted that correct relative proportions of physiologically required nutrients will promote the growth of plant species, influence their diversity and finally drive vegetation succession (Sturner and Elser, 2002; Hillebrand et al., 2014). Among such chemical elements, carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) are the most important, which makes the determination of relationships between soil C : N and nitrogen deposition interesting.

To investigate such correlations, we used 19 458 sites in 23 European countries to quantify the effect of atmospheric deposition of nitrogen compounds on soil C : N measurements. We separately investigated the effects of nitrogen oxides (NO_x, sum of NO and NO₂), atmospheric ammonia (NH₃) and reactive nitrogen (Nr, defined as the sum of NO_x and NH₃). NO_x is mostly emitted from fossil fuel combustion in industry and transport, whereas NH₃ reflects the use of fertilizers, with agriculture the causal agent of such emissions (Dignon and Hameed, 1989; Williams et al., 1992; Vitousek et al., 1997; Doney et al., 2007; Woodward et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013). More than half of the investigated

sites are located in France (2950 sites), Spain (2693 sites), Sweden (2254 sites) and Germany (1888 sites).

Given the rapid expansion in Europe of industrial technology and intensive agriculture during the second Industrial Revolution (Mokyr, 1990), we chose 1880 as the starting point of our time series under the hypothesis that accumulated nitrogen deposition since 1880 may contribute the most to the spatial variability of recent soil C : N ratios. The statistical relationship between long-term nitrogen deposition and recent soil C : N ratio was tested by exploring whether spatial clusters of accumulated nitrogen deposition exist and if chemical footprints on soil C : N occur. This large-scale statistical comparison was made possible by using consistent data from one single survey in which all soils were sampled according to the same protocol and analysed in the same laboratory.

2 Methods

2.1 Nitrogen deposition

Between 1880 and 2010, estimated nitrogen emissions in each country for every 5 years until 1990 and each year afterwards were used to compute depositions with the aid of atmospheric dispersion models. Annual-average deposition time series of total (wet and dry) oxidized and reduced nitrogen were obtained from simulations with a Eulerian atmospheric dispersion model (Simpson et al., 2012; for a comparison with measurements see Simpson et al., 2006), operated and maintained by the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) at the Norwegian Meteorological Institute and routinely used in European air pollution assessments (www.emep.int/mscw).

Total oxidized N deposition is the sum of NO_2 , HNO_3 , nitrous acid (HONO), particulate NO_3 , peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and peroxyacetyl nitrate (MPAN), whereas total reduced N deposition is comprised of NH_3 and NH_4 aerosols. The model output is provided on a grid covering Europe with a resolution of $50\text{ km} \times 50\text{ km}$ in a polar stereographic projection (see Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Deposition fields are provided for 1990 and after. For the years up to 1996, the results from the former (Lagrangian) version of the EMEP model were used (Eliassen and Saltbones, 1983). This former model version produced results on a $150\text{ km} \times 150\text{ km}$ grid (see thick lines in Fig. S1). Results from the overlapping years (1990–1996) were used to adjust the older (Lagrangian) simulations to ensure a smooth transition in the deposition time series (see Schöpp et al., 2003 for details). Depositions at the C : N measurement sites were bilinearly interpolated from the four nearest grid values (Fig. S2).

2.2 Soil data

We collected data from a recent European Soil Survey study known as LUCAS (Land Use/Cover Area frame Survey): $\sim 20\,000$ geo-referenced points were chosen for this field sampling with the same standardized procedure, covering several ecosystem types, from unfertilized “grasslands” (steppes, wet or saline grasslands, (sub)alpine forb grasslands, arctic meadows and abandoned pastures), “shrublands” (tundra and heathlands) and “woodlands” (broadleaved, evergreen, coniferous and mixed forests) up to fertilized “croplands” (cereal fields, winter farms, orchards, vineyards, etc.). Soil samples were collected in 2009 from 23 European countries and all samples, weighing ~ 11 tons, were sent to one central ISO-certified laboratory at the Joint Research Centre (Ispra, Italy) and stored in the European Soil Archive Facility in order to obtain a coherent pan-European data set with harmonized analytical methods (Tóth et al., 2013).

Total soil carbon (g C kg^{-1}) and total soil nitrogen (g N kg^{-1}) were determined simultaneously by dry combustion with a quantification limit of 50 mg kg^{-1} (Richard and Proix, 2009). Then, every soil C : N ratio was computed in mass units ($\text{g C} / \text{g N}$) for the upper part of each of these soil profiles (i.e. 0–30 cm). We have selected 19 458 locations with a complete categorical site description: 8010 (intensively) fertilized locations were assigned in situ to fodder crops, annual crops and permanent crops (here as croplands), 14 locations could not be assigned to any specific land use (incomplete documentation for 12 sites) or were outliers (soil C : N > 200 for two sites) and were excluded from further analysis, and the remaining 11 434 unfertilized locations were assigned in situ to woodlands, shrublands or grasslands (here as nature).

2.3 Cluster analysis

To explore the similarities of the time series from 1880 to 2010, we used the TwoStep Clustering method implemented in SPSS, which is suitable for very large data sets. The first step of the two-step algorithm is a BIRCH algorithm to define pre-clusters (Zhang et al., 1996, 1997); in the second step, using an agglomerative hierarchical algorithm, these pre-clusters are merged stepwise until all locations hierarchically close to each other fall within the same cluster (SPSS, 2001). The numbers of clusters are determined with a two-phase estimator like the Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) and a (ratio of) distance measure in both pre-cluster and cluster steps. AIC is a relative measure of goodness of fit and is used to compare different hierarchical solutions with different numbers of clusters: any “correct” good hierarchical solution will have a reasonably large ratio of AIC changes with the distance ratio measuring the most reliable current number of clusters against alternative solutions.




Figure 1. Nitrogen deposition and the recent soil C : N ratios (mass units). Spatial clusters (shown clockwise) of NO_x , NH_3 and Nr (NO_x and NH_3) 1880–2010 depositions at the 19 458 sites of the soil C : N in 2009. The darker the colour of a cluster, the higher the nitrogen load for NH_3 , NO_x and Nr. Deposition Cluster IV reveals a high degree of homogeneity in NO_x deposition, in contrast to the patchiness of Deposition Cluster I (NH_3). However, NH_3 deposition accounts the most for the aggregation of Deposition Cluster VII (Nr).

The TwoStep Clustering method became rapidly accepted when Chiu et al. (2001) demonstrated that such a technique was able to identify objectively the correct number of clusters for more than 98 % of a large number of simulated data sets. This clustering method for very large databases has been used in many different fields, including biochemistry, genetics, molecular biology (e.g. Lazary et al., 2014) and medicine (e.g. Kretzschmar and Mikolajczyk, 2009). Here we identified seven clusters running TwoStep Clustering separately for the three N deposition categories: nitrogen oxides, atmospheric ammonia and reactive nitrogen (see Tables S1–S3).

3 Results and discussion

Our statistical clustering enables the objective detection of sites with similar historical paths of nitrogen deposition, showing how much sites respond to nitrogen supply through atmospheric deposition over time. Figure 1 shows the distribution of hotspots and spatial aggregations in all forms of nitrogen deposition across Europe. The ammonia clusters are distinct (the high load is more than two-fold the low load) and Deposition Cluster I visualizes an emerging cocktail of manure and synthetic fertilizers due to intensive agriculture (Fig. 1, upper left). In contrast, long-term deposition of NO_x reflects demographic pressure and industrial

Table 1. Soil C:N values clearly differ by nitrogen deposition cluster. The soil C:N ratios are given as cluster-specific averages (\pm standard error); Roman numerals (I–VII) as in Figs. 1 and 2. Both the three-factor ANOVA with NH_3 , NO_x and Nr (NO_x and NH_3) and the nested ANOVA with $\text{NH}_3(\text{Nr})$ and $\text{NO}_x(\text{Nr})$ are significant for their long-term effects on the soil C:N ratios (all share $p < 0.0001$).

	High nitrogen loads	Low nitrogen loads
NH_3 Deposition Clusters	I: 13.97 (± 0.15)	II: 16.43 (± 0.06)
NO_x Deposition Clusters	IV: 14.16 (± 0.07)	III: 17.89 (± 0.09)
	V: 12.67 (± 0.23)	
Nr Deposition Clusters	VII: 14.26 (± 0.14)	VI: 16.51 (± 0.07)

boundaries and needs three clusters to be fully characterized (Fig. 1, bottom left). Also, Nr shows a clear distinction between its two clusters, where the high annual load (averaging $\sim 15.2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$, Deposition Cluster VII) covers the former Austro-Hungarian Empire, Germany, Brittany and the Po Valley (Fig. 1, upper right).

There are multiple fates for atmospheric N, and its sources have changed substantially (Holtgrieve et al., 2011; Steffen et al., 2015). Within a century, the average of Nr increased more than two-fold everywhere between 1880 and 1980. In 2010 the Nr deposition was still much higher than in 1880, and only 16 sites (0.082 %) exhibited a lower Nr deposition in 2010 than in 1880, with the highest increase in southern Europe (up to 8 times the Nr deposition of 1880). Shortly after World War II, NH_3 and NO_x started to rise rapidly in Europe (Fig. 2), as agricultural production surpassed pre-war levels and industrial production recovered (van Aardenne et al., 2001). The 1980s was a tipping point for nitrogen deposition, and beginning with the 1999 Gothenburg Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone, the deposition of oxidized and reduced nitrogen have simultaneously decreased (Fig. 2), with the most pronounced reductions in eastern Europe (Rafaj et al., 2014).

Clustering highly increased the discrimination power to establish historical shifts in recent soil C:N ratios (Table 1). We used these nitrogen deposition clusters to assess the spatial distribution of recent soil C:N assuming the existence a long-term footprint in soil C:N ratios due to atmospheric deposition, although some authors claim that significant correlations between the nitrogen of mineral soils and the anthropogenic nitrogen deposition are either weak or far from causal (Nadelhoffer et al., 1999; Aber et al., 2003). Our soil C:N ratio averages $16.18 (\pm 8.38 \text{ SD})$ and the coefficient of variation is 51.8 % (Fig. 1, bottom right).

To investigate the extent to which atmospheric nitrogen deposition affects terrestrial ecosystems, we compared geospatial patterns of recent soil C:N ratios with temporal trends in nitrogen deposition, keeping in mind that time is one-dimensional and directional, whereas space is two-




Figure 2. Temporal cluster vector means (averages and standard errors of the series) of the depositions of NH_3 (upper panel), NO_x (middle panel) and Nr (lower panel) across Europe. The colours and Roman numerals correspond to those used for the clusters in Fig. 1. Nitrogen deposition did not increase during the 1940s and started to rise again shortly after the introduction of the Marshall Plan in Europe. The time series for Deposition Cluster V (NO_x), encompassing 408 sites located in the Po Valley (Italy) subject to local thermic inversion, is the only trend that suddenly intercepts other trends when the resolution of the data set increases from 5-year calculations (1880–1990) to yearly observations (1990–2009).

dimensional and non-directional (White, 2007). Overall, a generalized linear model (here as GLM with normal distribution, identity link) for soil C:N as a function of historical depositions showed a temporal increase in Wald's χ^2 from 1814.9 (in 1905) to 2450.7 (in 2005), suggesting the short-term supply of nitrogen through atmospheric deposition as primarily responsible for recent soil C:N ($p < 0.0001$).

We analysed the clusters separately with high versus low nitrogen loads as classification variables, and detected a comparable χ^2 increase in time. We also analysed the unmanaged and managed ecosystems separately and detected nega-

tive associations between the soil C : N ratio and the nitrogen deposition clusters (the Mantel asymptotic method exhibits $t = -12.23$ for the 11 434 (semi-)natural non-agricultural ecosystems but only a slight $t = -0.59$ for the 8010 agroecosystems). Given the computational independence of our matrices, this Mantel analysis determined that the associations between the nitrogen deposition during 130 years and the recent soil C : N ratios were much stronger in less-disturbed ecosystems than could result from chance.

Focusing on unmanaged ecosystems, the same type of GLM was performed for the recent soil C : N as function of accumulated Nr, assuming that all locations sampled in 2009 and classified as nature were definitely unmanaged 5 years before sampling and most probably even unmanaged 50 years before sampling. For the soil C : N ratios of the unmanaged ecosystems under chronic pollution, there was a significant increase of explanatory power by reduced time spans of accumulated Nr deposition ($p = 0.00004$). In these ecosystems – all located within Deposition Cluster VI (Fig. 3, upper panel) – almost half of the variation of the soil C : N ratio is likely to be explained by chronic nitrogen pollution at the site ($R^2 = 46.3\%$).

Such a conclusion is indirectly supported by the lack of any significant trend in the other (semi-)natural ecosystems, all located within Deposition Cluster VII (Fig. 3, lower panel), given that these areas are associated with intense human activity, high emissions and soil saturation due to elevated nitrogen loads. Soil C : N of (semi-)natural sites seem to be the most sensitive to 5-year pulses of atmospheric nitrogen supply; short-term deposition is clearly the best predictor for recent soil C : N ratios under chronic nitrogen deposition ($R^2 = 89.2\%$, $F = 66.09$).

In summary, spatial clustering reveals long-term effects of atmospheric nitrogen deposition on the recent soil C : N ratios in Europe. While an inverse correlation between this anthropogenic input and soil C : N seems to be intuitive, the extent to which this relationship holds has never been investigated before. Our results show that the C : N ratio varies more across the soils of (semi-)natural ecosystems with a history of low (chronic) nitrogen pollution and that it remains surprisingly constant elsewhere. Moreover, despite the investigated deposition of nitrogen since the 1880s, it turns out that soils supposed to be under low pressure are not only the most affected by nitrogen accumulation, but also the most responsive to a short-term supply of atmospheric nitrogen in the recent past.

Statistical signals from responsive chronic nitrogen pollution became detectable only after clustering the nitrogen deposition, and we were able to provide novel evidence that the soil C : N of (semi-)natural ecosystems is highly responsive to Nr. We detected where nitrogen supply through atmospheric Nr deposition affects (semi-)natural ecosystems. As examining the soil black box is now at the “front line” of research (Schmidt et al., 2011; Amundson et al., 2015), mapping the soil and the air compartments together can con-




Figure 3. Cumulative Nr deposition of the last 5 years prior to sampling and soil C : N ratios: negative power functions of soil C : N ratios in nature (measured in 2009) as predicted by cumulative Nr deposition. Upper panel: 9888 unmanaged sites belonging to the cluster with low Nr load but chronic exposure to nitrogen (Deposition Cluster VI); lower panel: 1546 unmanaged sites under excessive Nr load (Deposition Cluster VII). This last cluster acts as a kind of envelope which incorporates sites with low soil C : N ratios. We were not able to extract a significant deposition effect for managed ecosystems although long-term inverse relationships between Nr and soil C : N hold (see Table S4).

tribute to a better conservation of our unmanaged environment.

It is challenging to find a mechanistical explanation of why the atmospheric nitrogen supply does not also seem to affect managed ecosystems: for instance, are many exploited soils N-saturated? How much anthropogenic nitrogen becomes mediated through soil processes has to be addressed in the future, given the long history of land (ab)use in Europe that has until now hampered the detection of robust effects directly attributable to nitrogen deposition.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bg-12-4113-2015-supplement.

Author contributions. C. Mulder and J.-P. Hettelingh developed the study. L. Montanarella and M. Posch collected soil C : N coverage and atmospheric deposition data. M. R. Pasimeni and G. Zurlini contributed nitrogen deposition clusters. C. Mulder, W. Voigt and G. Zurlini analysed the data. All authors had input on the composition of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements. This research was partially funded by the Dutch Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment, the Working Group on Effects within the effect-oriented activities under the UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution and the 7th EU Framework Programme, Theme ENV.2011.1.1.2-1, project agreement no. 282910: Effects of Climate Change on Air Pollution Impacts and Response Strategies for European Ecosystems. The EMEP MSC-W at the Norwegian Meteorological Institute is acknowledged for providing deposition calculations over the last 3 decades.

Edited by: A. Neftel

References

- Aber, J. D., Goodale, C. L., Ollinger, S. V., Smith, M.-L., Magill, A. H., Martin, M. E., Hallett, R. A., and Stoddard, J. L.: Is nitrogen deposition altering the nitrogen status of northeastern forests?, *BioScience*, 53, 375–389, 2003.
- Amundson, R., Berhe, A. A., Hopmans, J. W., Olson, C., Sztein, A. E., and Sparks, D. L.: Soil and human security in the 21st century, *Science*, 348, 1261071, doi:10.1126/science.1261071, 2015.
- Bowman, W. D., Cleveland, C. C., Halada, L., Hresko, J., and Baron, J. S.: Negative impact of nitrogen deposition on soil buffering capacity, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1, 767–770, 2008.
- Chiu, T., Fang, D., Chen, J., Wang, Y., and Jeris, C.: A robust and scalable clustering algorithm for Mixed Type Attributes in large database environment, *Proc. 7th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, San Francisco, CA, 263–268, 2001.
- Costanza, R., Graumlich, L., Steffen, W., Crumley, C., Dearing, J., Hibbard, K., Leemans, R., Redman, C., and Schimel, D.: Sustainability or collapse: What can we learn from integrating the history of humans and the rest of nature?, *Ambio*, 36, 522–527, 2007.
- Dignon, J. and Hameed, S.: Global emissions of nitrogen and sulfur oxides from 1860 to 1980, *J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc.*, 39, 180–186, 1989.
- Doney, S. C., Mahowald, N., Lima, I., Feely, R. A., Mackenzie, F. T., Lamarque, J.-F., and Rasch, P. J.: Impact of anthropogenic atmospheric nitrogen and sulfur deposition on ocean acidification and the inorganic carbon system, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 104, 14580–14585, 2007.
- Eliassen, A. and Saltbones, J.: Modelling of long-range transport of sulphur over Europe: A two-year model run and some model experiments, *Atmos. Environ.*, 17, 1457–1473, 1983.
- Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A., Cape, J. N., Reis, S., Sheppard, L. J., Jenkins, A., Grizzetti, B., Galloway, J. N., Vitousek, P., Leach, A., Bouwman, A. F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Amann, M., and Voss, M.: The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century, *Philos. T. R. Soc. Lond.*, 368, 20130164, doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0164, 2013.
- Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Asner, G. P., Cleveland, C. C., Green, P. A., Holland E. A., Karl, D. M., Michaels, A. F., Porter, J. H., Townsend, A. R., and Vöosmarty, C. J.: Nitrogen cycles: Past, present, and future, *Biogeochemistry*, 70, 153–226, 2004.
- Hillebrand, H., Cowles, J. M., Lewandowska, A., Van de Waal, D. B., and Plum, C.: Think ratio! A stoichiometric view on biodiversity–ecosystem functioning research, *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, 15, 465–474, 2014.
- Holtgrieve, G. W., Schindler, D. E., Hobbs, W. O., Leavitt, P. R., Ward, E. J., Bunting, L., Chen, G., Finney, B. P., Gregory-Eaves, I., Holmgren, S., Lisac, M. J., Lisi, P. J., Nydick, K., Rogers, L. A., Saros, J. E., Selbie, D. T., Shapley, M. D., Walsh, P. B., and Wolfe, A. P.: A coherent signature of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition to remote watersheds of the northern hemisphere, *Science*, 334, 1545–1548, 2011.
- Janssens, I. A., Dieleman, W., Luysaert, S., Subke, J.-A., Reichstein, M., Ceulemans, R., Ciais, P., Dolman, A. J., Grace, J., Matteucci, G., Papale, D., Piao, S. L., Schulze, E.-D., Tang, J., and Law, B. E.: Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition, *Nat. Geosci.*, 3, 315–322, 2010.
- Kretzschmar, M. and Mikolajczyk, R. T.: Contact profiles in eight European countries and implications for modelling the spread of airborne infectious diseases, *PLoS ONE*, 4, e5931, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005931, 2009.
- Lazary, J., Dome, P., Csala, I., Kovacs, G., Faludi, G., Kaunisto, M., and Dome, B.: Massive withdrawal symptoms and affective vulnerability are associated with variants of the *CHRNA4* gene in a subgroup of smokers, *PLoS ONE*, 9, e87141, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087141, 2014.
- Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Han, W., Tang, A., Shen, J., Cui, Z., Vitousek, P., Erisman, J. W., Goulding, K., Christie, P., Fangmeier, A., and Zhang, F.: Enhanced nitrogen deposition over China, *Nature*, 494, 459–462, 2013.
- Mokyr, J.: *The Lever of Riches: Technological creativity and economic progress*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1990.

- Mulder, C., Ahrestani, F. S., Bahn, M., Bohan, D. A., Bonkowski, M., Griffiths, B. S., Guicharnaud, R. A., Kattge, J., Krogh, P. H., Lavorel, S., Lewis, O. T., Mancinelli, G., Naeem, S., Peñuelas, J., Poorter, H., Reich, P. B., Rossi, L., Rusch, G. M., Sardans, J., and Wright, I. J.: Connecting the green and brown worlds: Allometric and stoichiometric predictability of above- and belowground networks, *Adv. Ecol. Res.*, 49, 69–175, 2013.
- Nadelhoffer, K. J., Emmett, B. A., Gundersen, P., Kjønnaas, O. J., Koopmans, C. J., Schleppi, P., Tietema, A., and Wright, R. F.: Nitrogen deposition makes a minor contribution to carbon sequestration in temperate forests, *Nature*, 398, 145–148, 1999.
- Rafaj, P., Amann, M., Siri, J., and Wuester, H.: Changes in European greenhouse gas and air pollutant emissions 1960–2010: Decomposition of determining factors, *Climatic Change*, 124, 477–504, 2014.
- Reich, P. B.: Elevated CO₂ reduces losses of plant diversity caused by nitrogen deposition, *Science*, 326, 1399–1402, 2009.
- Reis, S., Grennfelt, P., Klimont, Z., Amann, M., ApSimon, H., Hettelingh, J.-P., Holland, M., LeGall, A.-C., Maas, R., Posch, M., Spranger, T., Sutton, M. A., and Williams, M.: From acid rain to climate change, *Science*, 338, 1153–1154, 2012.
- Richard, A. and Proix, N.: Biosoil Project – Soil samples analysis technical report, INRA, Laboratoire d'analyses des sols, Arras, France, 1–132, 2009.
- Schmidt, M. W. I., Torn, M. S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I. A., Kleber, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D. A. C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D. P., Weiner, S., and Trumbore, S. E.: Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property, *Nature*, 478, 49–56, 2011.
- Schöpp, W., Posch, M., Mylona, S., and Johansson, M.: Long-term development of acid deposition (1880–2030) in sensitive freshwater regions in Europe, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 7, 436–446, doi:10.5194/hess-7-436-2003, 2003.
- Simpson, D., Fagerli, H., Hellsten, S., Knulst, J. C., and Westling, O.: Comparison of modelled and monitored deposition fluxes of sulphur and nitrogen to ICP-forest sites in Europe, *Biogeosciences*, 3, 337–355, doi:10.5194/bg-3-337-2006, 2006.
- Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Berge, H., Bergström, R., Emberson, L. D., Fagerli, H., Flechard, C. R., Hayman, G. D., Gauss, M., Jonson, J. E., Jenkin, M. E., Nyíri, A., Richter, C., Semeena, V. S., Tsyro, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Valdebenito, Á., and Wind, P.: The EMEP MSC-W chemical transport model – technical description, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 7825–7865, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7825-2012, 2012.
- SPSS: The SPSS TwoStep Cluster Component, A scalable component enabling more efficient customer segmentation, White paper – Technical report, Chicago, IL, 2001.
- Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockström, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. M., Biggs, R., Carpenter, S. R., de Vries, W., de Wit, C. A., Folke, C., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Mace, G. M., Persson, L., M., Ramanathan, V., Belinda Reyers, B., and Sörlin, S.: Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet, *Science*, 347, 1259855, doi:10.1126/science.1259855, 2015.
- Sterner, R. W. and Elser, J. J.: *Ecological Stoichiometry: The biology of elements from molecules to the biosphere*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2002.
- Stevens, C. J., Dise, N. B., Mountford, J. O., and Gowing, D. J.: Impacts of nitrogen deposition on the species richness of grasslands, *Science*, 303, 1876–1879, 2004.
- Sutton, M. A., Mason, K. E., Sheppard, L. J., Sverdrup, H., Haeuber, R., and Hicks, W. K. (Eds.): *Nitrogen Deposition, Critical Loads and Biodiversity*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2014.
- Tóth, G., Jones, A., and Montanarella, L.: The LUCAS topsoil database and derived information on the regional variability of cropland topsoil properties in the European Union, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 185, 7409–7425, 2013.
- van Aardenne, J. A., Dentener, F. J., Olivier, J. G. J., Klein Goldewijk, C. G. M., and Lelieveld, J.: A 1° × 1° resolution data set of historical anthropogenic trace gas emissions for the period 1890–1990, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 15, 909–928, 2001.
- Vitousek, P. M., Aber, J. D., Howarth, R. W., Likens, G. E., Matson, P. A., Schindler, D. W., Schlesinger, W. H., and Tilman, D. G.: Human alterations of the global nitrogen cycle: Sources and consequences, *Ecol. Appl.*, 7, 737–750, 1997.
- White, E. P.: Spatiotemporal scaling of species richness: Patterns, processes and implications, *Ecol. Rev.*, 4, 325–346, 2007.
- Williams, E. J., Hutchinson, G. L., and Fehsenfeld F. C.: NO_x and NO₂ emissions from soil, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 6, 351–388, 1992.
- Woodward, G., Gessner, M. O., Giller, P. S., Gulis, V., Hladyz, S., Lecerf, A., Malmqvist, B., McKie, B. G., Tiegs, S. D., Cariss, H., Dobson, M., Elosegi, A., Ferreira, V., Graça, M. A. S., Fleituch, T., Lacoursière, J. O., Nistorescu, M., Pozo, J., Risnoveanu, G., Schindler, M., Vadineanu, A., Vought, L. B.-M., and Chauvet, E.: Continental-scale effects of nutrient pollution on stream ecosystem functioning, *Science*, 336, 1438–1440, 2012.
- Zhang, T., Ramakrishnan, R., and Livny, M.: BIRCH: An efficient data clustering method for very large data bases, in: *Proc. SIGMOD/PODS '96 Joint ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data and ACM Symposium on Principles of Database Systems*, Montreal, QC, Canada, 103–114, 1996.
- Zhang, T., Ramakrishnan, R., and Livny, M.: BIRCH: A new data clustering algorithm and its applications, *Data Min. Knowl. Disc.*, 1, 141–182, 1997.

Supplement of Biogeosciences, 12, 4113–4119, 2015
<http://www.biogeosciences.net/12/4113/2015/>
doi:10.5194/bg-12-4113-2015-supplement
© Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Supplement of

Chemical footprints of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on recent soil C : N ratios in Europe

C. Mulder et al.

Correspondence to: C. Mulder (christian.mulder@rivm.nl)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC-BY 3.0 licence.

SUPPLEMENT

The N deposition values used in this paper originate from the EMEP atmospheric dispersion model(s) that provide results on a $150 \text{ km} \times 150 \text{ km}$ grid (older model version) and a compatible 3×3 subdivision of $50 \text{ km} \times 50 \text{ km}$, both in a polar stereographic projection (see Fig. S1). For more information on the EMEP grid see www.emep.int/grid and Posch et al. (*Calculation and mapping of critical thresholds in Europe: Status Report 1999*, RIVM, Bilthoven).




Fig. S1. The EMEP150 grid (thick lines) and the EMEP50 grid (additional thin lines). The labels at the bottom and at the left are the EMEP50 grid indices (every third cell labeled) and the labels at the top and at the right are the EMEP150 grid indices.

A bilinear interpolation is called that way because it is the product of two linear functions. To obtain this kind of interpolation (i.e. the value of the deposition field) at an arbitrary point (x,y) in a given grid cell (irrespective of its size), when it is known at the four corner points (x_1,y_1) , (x_2,y_1) , (x_2,y_2) and (x_1,y_2) (see Fig. S2), we firstly interpolate linearly in the x-direction:

$$(1a) f(x, y_1) \approx (1 - \lambda)f(x_1, y_1) + \lambda f(x_2, y_1) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1b) f(x, y_2) \approx (1 - \lambda)f(x_1, y_2) + \lambda f(x_2, y_2) \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda = \frac{x - x_1}{x_2 - x_1}$$

Then we interpolate linearly between these two values in the y -direction to obtain the desired estimate:

$$(2) f(x, y) \approx (1 - \mu)f(x, y_1) + \mu f(x, y_2) \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = \frac{y - y_1}{y_2 - y_1}$$

Inserting eqs. 1a,b into eq. 2, this results in the bilinear interpolation formula:

$$(3) f(x, y) \approx (1 - \lambda)(1 - \mu)f(x_1, y_1) + \lambda(1 - \mu)f(x_2, y_1) + (1 - \lambda)\mu f(x_1, y_2) + \lambda\mu f(x_2, y_2)$$

Note that the same result is obtained if the interpolation is firstly done along the y -direction and then along the x -direction.




Fig. S2. Graphical representation of the notation used for the bilinear interpolation.

Table S1. Clustering NH₃

Nitrogen Variables

1880NH3 1885NH3 1890NH3 1895NH3 1900NH3 1905NH3 1910NH3 1915NH3 1920NH3 1925NH3 1930NH3 1935NH3 1940NH3
 1945NH3 1950NH3 1955NH3 1960NH3 1965NH3 1970NH3 1975NH3 1980NH3 1985NH3 1990NH3 1991NH3 1992NH3 1993NH3
 1994NH3 1995NH3 1996NH3 1997NH3 1998NH3 1999NH3 2000NH3 2001NH3 2002NH3 2003NH3 2004NH3 2005NH3 2006NH3
 2007NH3 2008NH3 2009NH3 2010NH3

Other Variables/Categories

LAT LONG SOIL2009C2N NATURE CROPLANDS AT BE CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GR HU IE IT LT LU LV NL PL PT SE SI SK UK

Cluster Distribution

		N	% of Total
Cluster	Dep. Cluster I	1966	10.1%
	Dep. Cluster II	17492	89.9%
Total		19458	100.0%

Auto-Clustering

Number of Clusters	Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC)	AIC Change(a)	Ratio of AIC Changes(b)	Ratio of Distance Measures(c)
1	10338845.606			
2	10159324.403	-179521.202	1.000	2.461
3	10152583.943	-6740.461	.038	1.288
4	10144239.062	-8344.880	.046	1.115
5	9887604.407	-256634.655	1.430	1.299
6	9883536.784	-4067.623	.023	1.275
7	9883671.148	134.364	-.001	1.055
8	9883030.657	-640.491	.004	1.463
9	9882149.697	-880.961	.005	1.061
10	9881637.258	-512.438	.003	1.043
11	9881565.742	-71.517	.000	1.231
12	9881315.047	-250.695	.001	1.032
13	9858636.053	-22678.994	.126	1.072
14	9858732.023	95.971	-.001	1.030
15	9858710.377	-21.647	.000	1.067
16	9855987.262	-2723.114	.015	1.074
17	9851773.248	-4214.014	.023	1.133
18	9851904.244	130.996	-.001	1.014
19	9830028.150	-21876.093	.122	1.015
20	9829147.668	-880.482	.005	1.032
21	9829283.418	135.750	-.001	1.054
22	9829324.232	40.814	.000	1.045
23	9829334.484	10.252	.000	1.004
24	9829247.632	-86.851	.000	1.035
25	9829374.037	126.405	-.001	1.094
26	9829434.604	60.567	.000	1.000
27	9829587.361	152.757	-.001	1.013
28	9829732.352	144.990	-.001	1.019
29	9829869.404	137.052	-.001	1.005
30	9829976.122	106.718	-.001	1.011
31	9829955.815	-20.307	.000	1.042
32	9829705.691	-250.124	.001	1.002
33	9829719.339	13.648	.000	1.009
34	9829858.248	138.909	-.001	1.014
35	9829864.308	6.060	.000	1.008
36	9814184.573	-15679.735	.087	1.010
37	9814273.389	88.816	.000	1.301
38	9814237.520	-35.869	.000	.854
39	9813919.944	-317.577	.002	1.032
40	9814085.488	165.544	-.001	1.041

a The changes are from the previous number of clusters in the table.

b The ratios of changes are relative to the change for the two cluster solution.

c The ratios of distance measures are based on the current number of clusters against the previous number of clusters.

Table S2. Clustering NO_x

Nitrogen Variables

1880NO_x 1885NO_x 1890NO_x 1895NO_x 1900NO_x 1905NO_x 1910NO_x 1915NO_x 1920NO_x 1925NO_x 1930NO_x 1935NO_x 1940NO_x
 1945NO_x 1950NO_x 1955NO_x 1960NO_x 1965NO_x 1970NO_x 1975NO_x 1980NO_x 1985NO_x 1990NO_x 1991NO_x 1992NO_x 1993NO_x
 1994NO_x 1995NO_x 1996NO_x 1997NO_x 1998NO_x 1999NO_x 2000NO_x 2001NO_x 2002NO_x 2003NO_x 2004NO_x 2005NO_x 2006NO_x
 2007NO_x 2008NO_x 2009NO_x 2010NO_x

Other Variables/Categories

LAT LONG SOIL2009C2N NATURE CROPLANDS AT BE CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GR HU IE IT LT LU LV NL PL PT SE SI SK UK

Cluster Distribution

		N	% of Total
Cluster	Dep. Cluster III	10691	54.9%
	Dep. Cluster IV	8359	43.0%
	Dep. Cluster V	408	2.1%
Total		19458	100.0%

Auto-Clustering

Number of Clusters	Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC)	AIC Change(a)	Ratio of AIC Changes(b)	Ratio of Distance Measures(c)
1	8948406.515			
2	8615207.947	-333198.568	1.000	1.173
3	8594765.051	-20442.897	.061	1.770
4	8509224.562	-85540.489	.257	1.009
5	8508934.323	-290.239	.001	1.153
6	8507044.402	-1889.921	.006	1.203
7	8507117.447	73.045	.000	1.647
8	8501619.088	-5498.359	.017	1.028
9	8501771.293	152.205	.000	1.265
10	8501048.873	-722.420	.002	1.026
11	8464202.886	-36845.987	.111	1.271
12	8447395.238	-16807.647	.050	1.140
13	8447028.722	-366.516	.001	.944
14	8438030.186	-8998.537	.027	1.050
15	8436141.198	-1888.987	.006	1.008
16	8435562.443	-578.755	.002	1.020
17	8435557.625	-4.818	.000	1.026
18	8434018.146	-1539.479	.005	1.176

19	8433909.262	-108.884	.000	1.007
20	8431167.895	-2741.367	.008	1.054
21	8430981.590	-186.305	.001	1.019
22	8428448.332	-2533.258	.008	1.204
23	8425099.865	-3348.467	.010	1.061
24	8425172.499	72.634	.000	1.024
25	8424192.875	-979.624	.003	1.053
26	8424352.498	159.623	.000	1.019
27	8424484.745	132.247	.000	1.000
28	8424487.087	2.342	.000	1.006
29	8424466.224	-20.863	.000	1.036
30	8420783.191	-3683.033	.011	1.027
31	8420915.630	132.439	.000	1.038
32	8421039.020	123.390	.000	1.005
33	8416530.458	-4508.562	.014	1.008
34	8416691.617	161.159	.000	1.012
35	8416525.564	-166.052	.000	1.015
36	8416539.265	13.700	.000	1.019
37	8416492.218	-47.047	.000	1.048
38	8413792.363	-2699.855	.008	1.008
39	8410730.232	-3062.131	.009	1.004
40	8410719.097	-11.135	.000	1.004

- a The changes are from the previous number of clusters in the table.
- b The ratios of changes are relative to the change for the two cluster solution.
- c The ratios of distance measures are based on the current number of clusters against the previous number of clusters.

Table S3. Clustering Nr (NO_x+NH₃)

Nitrogen Variables

1880Nr 1885Nr 1890Nr 1895Nr 1900Nr 1905Nr 1910Nr 1915Nr 1920Nr 1925Nr 1930Nr 1935Nr 1940Nr 1945Nr 1950Nr 1955Nr 1960Nr 1965Nr 1970Nr 1975Nr 1980Nr 1985Nr 1990Nr 1991Nr 1992Nr 1993Nr 1994Nr 1995Nr 1996Nr 1997Nr 1998Nr 1999Nr 2000Nr 2001Nr 2002Nr 2003Nr 2004Nr 2005Nr 2006Nr 2007Nr 2008Nr 2009Nr 2010Nr

Other Variables/Categories

LAT LONG SOIL2009C2N NATURE CROPLANDS AT BE CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GR HU IE IT LT LU LV NL PL PT SE SI SK UK

Cluster Distribution

		N	% of Total
Cluster	Dep. Cluster VI	16604	85.3%
	Dep. Cluster VII	2854	14.7%
Total		19458	100.0%

Auto-Clustering

Number of Clusters	Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC)	AIC Change(a)	Ratio of AIC Changes(b)	Ratio of Distance Measures(c)
1	10911057.785			
2	10759640.334	-151417.451	1.000	2.127
3	10753459.490	-6180.843	.041	1.257
4	10497516.191	-255943.300	1.690	1.127
5	10488058.314	-9457.877	.062	1.123
6	10484819.361	-3238.953	.021	2.038
7	10484642.271	-177.090	.001	1.002
8	10420376.984	-64265.286	.424	1.121
9	10419275.670	-1101.315	.007	1.230
10	10419365.664	89.994	-.001	1.050
11	10418350.481	-1015.184	.007	1.109
12	10418488.467	137.986	-.001	1.146
13	10418437.396	-51.071	.000	1.062
14	10415380.509	-3056.887	.020	1.064
15	10415374.429	-6.079	.000	1.055
16	10415300.509	-73.920	.000	1.026
17	10415448.698	148.189	-.001	1.085
18	10398599.487	-16849.211	.111	1.043
19	10398727.473	127.986	-.001	1.046
20	10398869.402	141.929	-.001	1.002
21	10397745.656	-1123.746	.007	1.089
22	10397765.086	19.430	.000	1.014
23	10389611.669	-8153.417	.054	1.023
24	10389645.863	34.194	.000	1.027
25	10389779.351	133.488	-.001	1.004
26	10389886.268	106.917	-.001	1.038
27	10389885.982	-.286	.000	1.018
28	10389975.807	89.826	-.001	1.086
29	10390125.519	149.712	-.001	1.014
30	10389634.640	-490.879	.003	1.039
31	10388964.020	-670.620	.004	1.015
32	10388971.217	7.197	.000	1.027
33	10389037.965	66.748	.000	1.035
34	10388950.373	-87.593	.001	1.040
35	10382975.625	-5974.747	.039	1.027
36	10383119.261	143.635	-.001	1.029
37	10383103.250	-16.010	.000	1.236
38	10383228.917	125.667	-.001	.854
39	10383355.777	126.860	-.001	1.081
40	10383524.741	168.964	-.001	1.029

a The changes are from the previous number of clusters in the table.

b The ratios of changes are relative to the change for the two cluster solution.

c The ratios of distance measures are based on the current number of clusters against the previous number of clusters.

Table S4. Soil and atmospheric nitrogen.

Table S4. Correlations between soil C:N ratios as measured in 2009 and the cumulative Nr deposition in 130, 125, 120, ... 10, and 5 years. Pearson Correlation Coefficients, $n = 19,458$
 $\text{Prob} > |r| \text{ under } H_0: \rho = 0, \text{ all variables significantly correlated with each other}$

Nr Deposition	soilC:Nratio	since1880	since1885	since1890	since1895	since1900	since1905	since1910	since1915	since1920	since1925	since1930	since1935	since1940	since1945	since1950	since1955	since1960	since1965	since1970	since1975	since1980	since1985	since1990	since1995	since2000		
since1880	-0.2925	<0.0001																										
since1885	-0.29232	0.99998	<0.0001																									
since1890	-0.29217	0.99993	0.99998	<0.0001																								
since1895	-0.29208	0.99984	0.99993	0.99998	<0.0001																							
since1900	-0.29205	0.99971	0.99984	0.99993	0.99998	<0.0001																						
since1905	-0.29209	0.99955	0.99972	0.99984	0.99993	0.99998	<0.0001																					
since1910	-0.29222	0.99936	0.99956	0.99971	0.99984	0.99993	0.99998	<0.0001																				
since1915	-0.29243	0.99913	0.99936	0.99955	0.99971	0.99983	0.99992	0.99998	<0.0001																			
since1920	-0.29273	0.99885	0.99911	0.99934	0.99953	0.99969	0.99982	0.99992	0.99998	<0.0001																		
since1925	-0.29365	0.99817	0.99849	0.99878	0.99904	0.99927	0.99948	0.99965	0.9998	0.99991	0.99998	<0.0001																
since1930	-0.29433	0.99774	0.9981	0.99842	0.99872	0.99899	0.99923	0.99944	0.99963	0.99976	0.9999	0.99998	<0.0001															
since1935	-0.29522	0.99725	0.99764	0.998	0.99833	0.99863	0.99891	0.99916	0.9994	0.9996	0.99976	0.99989	0.99997	<0.0001														
since1940	-0.29748	0.99604	0.99649	0.99691	0.99731	0.99768	0.99804	0.99837	0.99869	0.99898	0.99925	0.99948	0.99968	0.99985	0.99996	<0.0001												
since1945	-0.29875	0.99524	0.99572	0.99618	0.99661	0.99703	0.99742	0.9978	0.99816	0.99851	0.99882	0.99911	0.99938	0.99962	0.99981	0.99995	<0.0001											
since1950	-0.3	0.99412	0.99464	0.99514	0.99562	0.99607	0.99652	0.99695	0.99736	0.99776	0.99814	0.9985	0.99885	0.99918	0.99948	0.99973	0.99991	<0.0001										
since1955	-0.30136	0.99259	0.99316	0.9937	0.99422	0.99473	0.99522	0.99571	0.99618	0.99664	0.99709	0.99753	0.99797	0.99841	0.99884	0.99922	0.99957	0.99986	<0.0001									
since1960	-0.30311	0.99056	0.99117	0.99175	0.99231	0.99286	0.9934	0.99383	0.99446	0.99499	0.9955	0.99602	0.99656	0.99712	0.99769	0.99823	0.99876	0.99931	0.99978	<0.0001								
since1965	-0.30477	0.98728	0.98793	0.98855	0.98915	0.98974	0.99033	0.99092	0.99151	0.99211	0.9927	0.99332	0.99397	0.99468	0.99543	0.99616	0.99693	0.99781	0.99873	0.99966	<0.0001							
since1970	-0.30532	0.98124	0.98194	0.9826	0.98324	0.98388	0.98452	0.98518	0.98585	0.98652	0.98722	0.98795	0.98876	0.98966	0.99064	0.99162	0.9927	0.99404	0.99559	0.99729	0.99902	<0.0001						
since1975	-0.30875	0.96826	0.96897	0.97066	0.97134	0.97203	0.97274	0.97348	0.97426	0.97507	0.97592	0.97684	0.97786	0.97905	0.98035	0.98168	0.98319	0.98515	0.98757	0.99046	0.99399	0.99779	<0.0001					
since1980	-0.31707	0.95608	0.95677	0.95744	0.95813	0.95884	0.9596	0.96043	0.96131	0.96226	0.96328	0.96437	0.96559	0.96699	0.96851	0.97005	0.97181	0.97417	0.97714	0.98078	0.98552	0.99132	0.99742	<0.0001				
since1985	-0.32107	0.94632	0.94685	0.94757	0.94821	0.94889	0.94963	0.95045	0.95136	0.95235	0.95341	0.95455	0.9558	0.95723	0.9588	0.96035	0.96213	0.96458	0.96772	0.97163	0.97691	0.98373	0.99203	0.99829	<0.0001			
since1990	-0.32327	0.91775	0.9184	0.91906	0.91974	0.92048	0.92129	0.9222	0.92322	0.92434	0.92557	0.92692	0.92843	0.93022	0.93221	0.93422	0.93657	0.93983	0.94411	0.94958	0.95713	0.9674	0.98105	0.99154	0.99574	<0.0001		
since2000	-0.33445	0.90695	0.90765	0.90834	0.90907	0.90987	0.91075	0.91174	0.91286	0.91409	0.91545	0.91693	0.91861	0.92059	0.92278	0.92501	0.92761	0.9312	0.93586	0.94172	0.94973	0.96047	0.97498	0.9866	0.99135	0.99804	<0.0001	
since2005	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	