

**LOGARITHMICALLY IMPROVED BLOW-UP CRITERION FOR
SMOOTH SOLUTIONS TO THE
LERAY- α -MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS**

INES BEN OMRANE, SADEK GALA, JAE-MYOUNG KIM,
AND MARIA ALESSANDRA RAGUSA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the Cauchy problem for the 3D Leray- α -MHD model is investigated. We obtain the logarithmically improved blow-up criterion of smooth solutions for the Leray- α -MHD model in terms of the magnetic field B only in the framework of homogeneous Besov space with negative index.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the following incompressible Leray- α -MHD model in \mathbb{R}^3 take the form (see e.g. [5] and references therein):

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t v + (u \cdot \nabla)v - \Delta v + \nabla \pi + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |B|^2 = (B \cdot \nabla)B, \\ \partial_t B + (u \cdot \nabla)B - (B \cdot \nabla)v - \Delta B = 0, \\ v = (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)u, \quad \alpha > 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} v = \operatorname{div} B = 0, \\ (v, B)|_{t=0} = (v_0, B_0), \operatorname{div} u_0 = \operatorname{div} v_0 = \operatorname{div} B_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{array} \right.$$

where v : the fluid velocity field, u : “the filtered” fluid velocity, B : the magnetic field and π : the pressure, are the unknowns; α is the lengthscale parameter that represents the width of the filter. Note that the magnetic field is not regularized.

It has lately received significant attention in mathematical fluid dynamics due to its connection to three-dimensional incompressible flows. When $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, the model (1.1) reduce to the following MHD equations:

$$(1.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |B|^2 = (B \cdot \nabla)B, \\ \partial_t B + (u \cdot \nabla)B - (B \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta B = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0, \\ (u, B)|_{t=0} = (u_0, B_0), \operatorname{div} u_0 = \operatorname{div} B_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{array} \right.$$

(1.1) is smoother than (1.2). It is currently unknown whether solutions of the initial value problem of the 3D Navier-stokes equations or the 3D MHD equations can develop finite time singularities even if the initial data is sufficiently smooth. Thus

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 35B40; secondary 76D03.

Key words and phrases: magnetohydrodynamic- α model, regularity criterion, Besov space.

Received June 11, 2018, revised December 2018. Editor E. Feireisl.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2019-1-55

it is easier to prove that the problem (1.1) has a unique local smooth solution. However, it is still open to prove whether the local solution is global or not. For simplicity, without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha = 1$. When $B = 0$, the system (1.2) becomes the well-known Navier-Stokes- α (also known as the Lagrangian averaged Navier-Stokes equations- α or the viscous Camassa-Holm equations) as a closure model of turbulence in infinite channels and pipes, whose solutions give an excellent agreement with empirical data for a wide range of large Reynolds numbers, the alpha subgrid scale models of turbulence have been extensively studied (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10]).

An extension of the Navier-Stokes- α model to the nondissipative MHD is given, e.g., in [9]. The model was obtained from variational principles by modifying the Hamiltonian associated with the ideal MHD equations subject to the incompressibility constraint. Then the dissipation is introduced in an ad hoc fashion in analogy to the Navier-Stokes- α model following (see [5] and the references therein).

The existence of weak solutions to the problem (1.1) has been established by Linshiz and Titi [13]. Also, it is easy to prove the existence and uniqueness of local smooth solutions to the problem (1.1) with initial data $(v_0, B_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\operatorname{div} u_0 = \operatorname{div} v_0 = \operatorname{div} B_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . However, the regularity of weak solutions to the problem (1.1) is still open. In [19], the authors established various regularity criteria in terms of the velocity field, which implies that the velocity field plays a dominant role in the regularity theorem. It is reasonable and similar to the case for the standard MHD equations (for example, see [17, 18, 24]).

We recall a solution pair (v, B) is a local smooth solution of system (1.1) in the interval $[0, T]$ for $(v_0, B_0) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ provided that

$$(v, B) \in C(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)) \times C(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Since the solutions to the Leray- α -MHD model are smoother than that of the original MHD equations, Fan and Ozawa [5] consider the blow-up criterion of smooth solution in terms of the magnetic field B only by using the Fourier localization technique and Bony's paraproduct decomposition. More precisely, they proved that (v, u, π, B) is smooth at time $t = T$ provided that

$$\int_0^T \|B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^0}^2 dt < \infty,$$

where $B_{\infty, \infty}^0$ denotes the homogeneous Besov space. There are other types of blow up criteria of smooth solutions to the Leray- α -MHD model, (see for example [5, 19]).

Here, motivated by the results in [5] and [19], our aim is to establish the logarithmically improved blow-up criterion to (1.1) in the framework homogeneous Besov space with negative index $B_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}$ by means of only magnetic field B . More precisely, we will prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $v_0, B_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = \operatorname{div} B_0 = 0$ and (v, u, B) is a local smooth solution to the system (1.1) for $0 \leq t < T$. If B satisfies*

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^T \frac{\|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}}^2 \cdot^{-1}}{\ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}} \cdot^{-1})} dt < \infty,$$

then the solution (v, u, B) can be extended beyond $t = T$.

Remark 1.1. Usually, regularity criteria are established in terms of the velocity field for the MHD equations. But here the magnetic field plays a dominant role. As a corollary, we reprove the solution to the density-dependent Leray- α -Navier-Stokes equations ($B = 0$ in (1.2) exists globally in time).

We have the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 1.2. *Assume that $v_0, B_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = \operatorname{div} B_0 = 0$. Let (v, u, B) be a local smooth solution to the system (1.1) for $0 \leq t < T$. Suppose that T is the maximal existence time. Then*

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}}^2 \cdot^{-1}}{\ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}} \cdot^{-1})} dt = \infty.$$

It is well known that $B_{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the biggest critical homogeneous space of degree -1 , and as shown by Frazier, Jawerth and Weiss [14] any critical homogeneous space continuously embedded in $S'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is also continuously embedded into $B_{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

As a consequence of the fact $\|\nabla B\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}} \cdot^{-1} \approx \|B\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}} \cdot^0$, from Theorem 1.1, we obtain immediately the following result

Corollary 1.3. *Assume that $v_0, B_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = \operatorname{div} B_0 = 0$. Let (v, u, B) be a local smooth solution to the system (1.1) for $0 \leq t < T$. If B satisfies*

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}}^2 \cdot^0}{\ln(e + \|B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}} \cdot^0)} dt < \infty,$$

then the solution (v, u, B) remains smooth on $[0, T]$.

Thanks to

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}}^2 \cdot^0}{\ln(e + \|B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}} \cdot^0)} dt \leq \int_0^T \|B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}}^2 \cdot^0 dt,$$

it is easy to deduce that our criterion (1.3) can be viewed as a generalization of the result of Fan and Ozawa [5]. Moreover, thanks to the fact that the system (1.1) with $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $B = 0$ reduce to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations, we notice

that our criterion becomes the recent reults of Gala-Guo [7] for the Navier-Stokes equations (see also [23]).

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since we deal with the regularity condition of the smooth solutions, we only need to prove the a priori estimates for smooth solutions. Throughout the rest of the paper, C denotes various positive and finite constants whose exact values are unimportant and may vary from line to line.

To prove the theorem we will use the following bilinear commutator estimate. We can find the detailed proof in [12] for example.

Lemma 2.1. *suppose that $1 < p < \infty$ and $s > 0$. Let f and g be two smooth functions such that $\nabla f \in L^{q_1}$, $\Lambda^s f \in L^{r_2}$, $\Lambda^{s-1}g \in L^{r_1}$ and $g \in L^{q_2}$. Then there exists an abstract constant C such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \|\Lambda^s(fg) - f\Lambda^s g\|_{L^p} \leq C (\|\nabla f\|_{L^{q_1}} \|\Lambda^{s-1}g\|_{L^{r_1}} + \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^{r_2}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}),$$

with $1 < q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$, $1 < r_1, r_2 < \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{r_2}$, where $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see [8]) will be frequently used later.

Lemma 2.2. *Let j, m, k be any integers satisfying $k \leq j \leq m$ and let $1 \leq q, r \leq \infty$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{j}{3} = \theta\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{m}{3}\right) + (1 - \theta)\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{k}{3}\right).$$

Then for all $f \in W^{k,q}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{m,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there is a positive constant C depending only on m, j, k, q, r, θ such that the following inequality holds

$$(2.2) \quad \|\Lambda^j f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Lambda^k f\|_{L^q}^{1-\theta} \|\Lambda^m f\|_{L^r}^\theta.$$

In order to prove our main result, we need the following interpolation inequality which may be found in ([15, 16]):

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}^{-1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}.$$

Recall also that for $0 \leq s < \frac{3}{2}$, we have

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \forall p \in \left[2, \frac{6}{3-2s}\right).$$

Now let us proceed to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Owing to (1.3), we know that that for any small constant $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $T_0 = T_0(\epsilon) < T$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{T_0}^T \frac{\|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}^2}{\ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}})} dt \leq \epsilon.$$

Consequently, the main goal of this section is to establish the following a priori estimate

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \sup (\|\Lambda^3 v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^3 B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2) < \infty.$$

Step 1. L^2 and H^1 energy estimates.

To begin with, we multiply the first, second equation of (1.1) by v , B , respectively and integrate them over \mathbb{R}^3 with respect to x , then add the resulting equation, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v^2 + B^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla B|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B \cdot \nabla B) \cdot v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla v) \cdot v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \pi \cdot v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B \cdot \nabla v) \cdot B dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla B) \cdot B dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B \cdot \nabla (Bv) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla (v^2) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla (B^2) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot v = \nabla \cdot B = 0$.

Integrating above equality with respect to time yields

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} + \|v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1)} \leq C, \\ \|B\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} + \|B\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1)} \leq C. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence, the relation between v and u allows us to show

$$(2.6) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2)} + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^3)} \leq C.$$

We apply ∇ to (1.1)₁ and multiplying the resulting equation by ∇v , and integrating with respect to x on \mathbb{R}^3 , using integration by parts, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (u \cdot \nabla) v \cdot \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (B \cdot \nabla) B \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla) v \cdot \Delta v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B \cdot \nabla) B \cdot \Delta v dx \\ (2.7) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla) v \cdot \Delta v dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k B_j \cdot \partial_j B + B_j \partial_j \partial_k B) \partial_k v dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B \cdot \nabla) B \cdot \Delta v dx = - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B_j \cdot \partial_j B) \partial_k^2 v dx = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k B_j \cdot \partial_j B + B_j \partial_j \partial_k B) \partial_k v dx.$$

Similarly, applying ∇ to (1.1)₂ and multiplying the resulting equation by ∇B , and integrating with respect to x on \mathbb{R}^3 , using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla(u \cdot \nabla)B \cdot \nabla B dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla(B \cdot \nabla)v \cdot \nabla B dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla)B \cdot \Delta B dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B \cdot \nabla)v \cdot \Delta B dx \\
(2.8) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla)B \cdot \Delta B dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k B_j \cdot \partial_k B \partial_j v - B_j \partial_j \partial_k B \cdot \partial_k v) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B \cdot \nabla)v \cdot \Delta B dx &= - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B_j \partial_j v) \cdot \partial_k^2 B dx = - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k (B_j v) \partial_k \partial_j B dx \\
&= - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k B_j v + B_j \partial_k v) \partial_j \partial_k B dx \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k B_j \cdot \partial_k B \cdot \partial_j v - B_j \partial_j \partial_k B \cdot \partial_k v) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up (2.7) and (2.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla^2 v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(u \cdot \nabla)v \cdot \Delta v + (u \cdot \nabla)B \cdot \Delta B] dx \\
(2.9) \quad &+ \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k B_j \cdot (\partial_j B \cdot \partial_k v + \partial_k B \cdot \partial_j v) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder inequality, (2.6) and then due to the inequality $a^{1-\beta}b^\beta \leq a + b$, $0 < \beta < 1$, $a, b > 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(u \cdot \nabla)v \cdot \Delta v + (u \cdot \nabla)B \cdot \Delta B] dx \\
&\leq \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla B\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla B\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2} \\
(2.10) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 + C \left(\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the Sobolev embedding $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is applied.

Thanks to (2.3) and Young inequality, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k B_j \cdot (\partial_j B \cdot \partial_k v + \partial_k B \cdot \partial_j v) dx \\
& \leq 2 \|\nabla B\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla B\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \|\nabla B\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 \\
(2.11) \quad & \leq C \|\nabla B\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}}^2 \left(\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.10) and (2.11) into (2.9), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla^2 v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
(2.12) \quad & \leq C(1 + \|\nabla B\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}}^2) (\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $T_0 \leq t < T$, we denote

$$F(t) = \max_{\tau \in [T_0, t]} (\|v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H^3}^2 + \|B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H^3}^2).$$

Integrating above inequality (2.12) over interval $[T_0, t]$ and observing that $F(t)$ is a monotonically increasing function of t , we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{T_0}^t (\|\nabla^2 v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\
& \leq (\|\nabla v(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla B(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}^2) \exp \left(C \int_{T_0}^t (1 + \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}}^2) d\tau \right) \\
& \leq M \exp \left(C \int_{T_0}^t \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}}^2 d\tau \right) \\
& = M \exp \left(C \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}}^2}{\ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}})} \ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}}) d\tau \right) \\
& \leq M \exp \left(C \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}}^2}{\ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}})} \ln(e + \|B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,0}}) d\tau \right) \\
& \leq M \exp \left(C \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}}^2}{\ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{\cdot,-1}})} \ln(e + \|B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty}) d\tau \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq M \exp \left(C \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}}^2}{\ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}})} \ln(e + \|B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H^3}) d\tau \right) \\
&\leq M \exp \left(C \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}}^2}{\ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}})} \ln(e + F(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\
&\leq M \exp \left(C \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}}^2}{\ln(e + \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}})} d\tau \ln(e + F(t)) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the Sobolev embedding $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is applied. We want to state here that from the above observation C is an absolute constant and M depends on $\|\nabla v(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}$, $\|\nabla B(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}$, T_0 and T .

By Gronwall's inequality, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{T_0}^t \left(\|\nabla^2 v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \\
&\leq C_0(e + F(t))^{C\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. We go to the estimate for the H^3 -norm. Taking the operation $\Lambda^3 = (-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ on both sides of (1.1)₁, then multiplying them by $\Lambda^3 v$ and integrate with respect to x on \mathbb{R}^3 , using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^3 v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^3 \nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^3 (u \cdot \nabla) v \cdot \Lambda^3 v dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^3 (B \cdot \nabla) B \cdot \Lambda^3 v dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.14) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^3 B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^3 \nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^3 (u \cdot \nabla) B \cdot \Lambda^3 B dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^3 (B \cdot \nabla) v \cdot \Lambda^3 B dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up (2.13) and (2.14) and using $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot v = \nabla \cdot B = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^3 v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^3 B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^3 \nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^3 \nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\Lambda^3 (u \cdot \nabla v) - u \cdot \nabla \Lambda^3 v] \cdot \Lambda^3 v dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\Lambda^3(u \cdot \nabla B) - u \cdot \nabla \Lambda^3 B] \cdot \Lambda^3 B dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\Lambda^3(B \cdot \nabla B) - B \cdot \nabla \Lambda^3 B] \cdot \Lambda^3 v dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\Lambda^3(B \cdot \nabla v) - B \cdot \nabla \Lambda^3 v] \cdot \Lambda^3 B dx \\
(2.15) \quad & = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the divergence free condition $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot v = \nabla \cdot B = 0$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla) \Lambda^3 v \cdot \Lambda^3 v dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla) \Lambda^3 B \cdot \Lambda^3 B dx = 0, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B \cdot \nabla) \Lambda^3 B \cdot \Lambda^3 v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B \cdot \nabla) \Lambda^3 v \cdot \Lambda^3 B dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

According to the fact that $v = (I - \alpha^2 \Delta) u$ and using (2.6), we easily get

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2)$$

whence

$$\nabla u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1) \subset L^\infty(0, T; L^2).$$

Note the following fact

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^{s+2} u\|_{L^2}^2 &= \left\| \widehat{\Lambda^{s+2} u}(\omega) \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^{2(s+2)} |\widehat{u}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^{2s} \left(1 + \alpha^2 |\omega|^2\right)^2 |\widehat{u}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^{2s} |\widehat{v}(\omega)|^2 d\omega = \|\Lambda^s v\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we will use the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities which follows from (2.2):

$$(2.16) \quad \|\Lambda^s v\|_{L^4} \leq C \|\Lambda^s v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Lambda^{s+1} v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}},$$

$$(2.17) \quad \|\Lambda^s u\|_{L^4} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{4(s+1)}} \|\Lambda^{s+2} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4s-1}{4(s+1)}}.$$

Now we start to estimate each term of (2.15). To estimate the first term J_1 , we use Hölder inequality, (2.1), (2.5), (2.16), (2.17) and Young inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\leq \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^4} \left\| [\Lambda^3(u \cdot \nabla)v - u \cdot \Lambda^3 \nabla v] \right\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \\
&\leq C \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^4} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^4} + \|\Lambda^3 u\|_{L^4} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq C \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^4} \|\Lambda^3 u\|_{L^4} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{16}} \|\Lambda^5 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{11}{16}} \\
&\leq C \|v\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{16}} \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{15}{16}} \\
&= \left(C \|v\|_{L^2}^4 \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
&\quad + \left(C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{8}{5}} \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{5}{8}} \left(\|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{8}} \\
&\leq C \|v\|_{L^2}^4 \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{8}{5}} \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|v\|_{L^2}^4 \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{32}{5}} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(1 + C \|v\|_{L^2}^4\right) \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{5}{32}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\Lambda^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\Lambda^3 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \left(\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^8 + \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^8 \right) \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing similarly as above J_1 , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\Lambda^3, u \cdot \nabla] B \cdot \Lambda^3 B dx \right| \leq \|\Lambda^3 B\|_{L^4} \|\Lambda^3, u \cdot \nabla\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla^3 B\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 B\|_{L^4} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^4} \\
&\leq C \|v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{4}} + C \|v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{8}} \|\nabla^6 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{8}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|v\|_{L^2}^8 \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{22}{3}} + \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 \left(\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^8 + \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^8 \right) + C \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (2.16) as well as inequality (2.1) allow us to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\nabla^3, B \cdot \nabla] B \cdot \nabla^3 v dx \leq \|\nabla^3 v\|_{L^4} \|[\nabla^3, B \cdot \nabla] B\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \\
&\leq \|\nabla^3 v\|_{L^4} \|\nabla B\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 B\|_{L^4} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla B\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^8 (\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By using the same estimates as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\nabla^3, B \cdot \nabla] v \cdot \nabla^3 B dx \leq \|\nabla^3 B\|_{L^4} \|[\nabla^3, B \cdot \nabla] v\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla^3 B\|_{L^4} \|\nabla B\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 v\|_{L^4} + C \|\nabla^3 B\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla B\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{4}} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^8 (\|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^8 \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^4 B\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C (\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^8 + \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^8) (\|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining the estimates of J_1, J_2, J_3 and J_4 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla^3 v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^8 + \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^8) (\|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + C (\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality over (T_0, t) , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla^3 v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 - (\|\nabla^3 v(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 B(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C \int_{T_0}^t (\|\nabla^2 v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{T_0}^t (\|\nabla v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^8 + \|\nabla B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^8) (\|\nabla^2 v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_0(e + F(t))^{C\epsilon} + C_0 \int_{T_0}^t (e + F(\tau))^{4C\epsilon} (\|\nabla^2 v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\
&\leq C_0(e + F(t))^{C\epsilon} + C_0(e + F(t))^{4C\epsilon} \int_{T_0}^t (\|\nabla^2 v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 B(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\
&\leq C_0(e + F(t))^{C\epsilon} + C_0(e + F(t))^{5C\epsilon} \\
&\leq C_0(e + F(t))^{5C\epsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$e + F(t) \leq C_{T_0} + C_0(e + F(t))^{5C\epsilon}$$

where $C_{T_0} = \|\nabla^3 v(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 B(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}^2$. Now we choose ϵ small enough so that $5C\epsilon \leq 1$, to conclude

$$F(t) \leq C(\|\nabla^3 v(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 B(\cdot, T_0)\|_{L^2}^2, T_0, T) < \infty \quad \text{for all } t \in [T_0, T].$$

As a consequence, we get the boundedness of $H^3 \times H^3$ -norm of (v, B) for all $t \in [0, T]$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgement. The researchers acknowledge the Deanship of Scientific Research at Al Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Saudi Arabia, for financing this project under the grant no. (381206). Part of the work was carried out while the second author was long-term visitor at University of Catania. The hospitality of Catania University is graciously acknowledged. The authors would like also to expresses gratitude to reviewer(s) for careful reading of the manuscript, useful comments and suggestions for its improvement which greatly improved the presentation of the paper. The last author wish to thank the support of the ‘‘RUDN University Program 5-100’’.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, S.Y., Foias, C., Holm, D.D., Olson, E., Titi, E.S., Wynne, S., *Camassa-Holm equations as a closure model for trubulent channel and pipe flow*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998), 5338–5341.
- [2] Chen, S.Y., Foias, C., Holm, D.D., Olson, E., Titi, E.S., Wynne, S., *A connection between the Camassa-Holm equations and trubulent flows in channels and pipes*, Phys. Fluids **11** (1999), 2343–2353.
- [3] Chen, S.Y., Foias, C., Holm, D.D., Olson, E., Titi, E.S., Wynne, S., *The Camassa-Holm equations and trubulence*, Phys. D **133** (1999), 49–65.
- [4] Cheskidov, A., Holm, D.D., Olson, E., Titi, E.S., *On a Leray- α model of trubulence*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **461** (2005), 629–649.
- [5] Equations, J. Differential, *Regularity criteria for the magnetohydrodynamic equations with partial viscous terms and the Leray- α -MHD model*, Kinet. Relat. Models **2** (2009), 293–305.
- [6] Foias, C., Holm, D.D., Titi, E.S., *The three dimensional viscous Camassa-Holm equations, and their relation to the Navier-Stokes equations and trubulence theory*, J. Differential Equations **14** (2002), 1–35.

- [7] Gala, S., Guo, Z., *Remarks on logarithmical regularity criteria for the Navier–Stokes equations*, J. Math. Phys. **52** (6) (2011), 9pp., 063503 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3569967>.
- [8] Hajaiej, H., Molinet, L., Ozawa, T., Wang, B., *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities and applications to Navier–Stokes and generalized boson equations*, RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu **26** (2011).
- [9] Holm, D.D., *Lagrangian averages, averaged Lagrangians, and the mean effects of fluctuations in fluid dynamics*, Chaos **12** (2002), 518–530.
- [10] Ilyin, A.A., Lunasin, E.M., Titi, E.S., *A modified-Leray- α subgrid scale model of turbulence*, Nonlinearity **19** (2006), 879–897.
- [11] Kato, T., *Liapunov Functions and Monotonicity in the Euler and Navier–Stokes Equations*, Mathematics, vol. 1450, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [12] Kato, T., Ponce, G., *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier–Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), 891–907.
- [13] Linshiz, J.S., Titi, E.S., *Analytical study of certain magnetohydrodynamic- α models*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 28pp., 065504.
- [14] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, Weiss, G., *Littlewood–Paley theory and the study of function spaces*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991., 1991.
- [15] Machihara, S., Ozawa, T., *Interpolation inequalities in Besov space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 1553–1556.
- [16] Meyer, Y., *Oscillating patterns in some linear evolution equations*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1871, 2006, pp. 101–187.
- [17] Zhou, Y., *Remarks on regularities for the 3D MHD equations*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems **12** (2005), 881–886.
- [18] Zhou, Y., *Regularity criteria for the generalized viscous MHD equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **24** (3) (2007), 491–505.
- [19] Zhou, Y., Fan, J., *On the Cauchy problem for a Leray- α model*, Nonlinear Analysis Real World Applications (2010).
- [20] Zhou, Y., Fan, J., *Regularity criteria for a magnetohydrodynamic-model*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2010), 1410–1420.
- [21] Zhou, Y., Fan, J., *Regularity criteria for a Lagrangian-averaged magnetohydrodynamic- α model*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. **10** (2011), 309–326.
- [22] Zhou, Y., Fan, J., *Regularity criteria for a Lagrangian-averaged magnetohydrodynamic- α model*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), 1410–1420.
- [23] Zhou, Y., Gala, S., *Logarithmically improved regularity criteria for the Navier–Stokes equations in multiplier spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **356** (2009), 498–501.
- [24] Zhou, Y., Gala, S., *Regularity criteria for the solutions to the 3D MHD equations in the multiplier space*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **61** (2011), 193–199.

SADEK GALA,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ENS OF MOSTAGANEM
BOX 227, MOSTAGANEM 27000, ALGERIA

AND

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CATANIA,
VIALE ANDREA DORIA, 6 95125 CATANIA, ITALY,
E-mail: sgala793@gmail.com

MARIA ALESSANDRA RAGUSA,
RUDN UNIVERSITY,
6 MIKLUKHO – MAKLAY ST, MOSCOW, 117198, RUSSIA,
AND
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CATANIA,
E-mail: maragusa@dmi.unict.it

JAE-MYOUNG KIM,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY,
SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA,
E-mail: cauchy02@naver.com

INES BEN OMRANE,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE,
AL IMAM MOHAMMAD IBN SAUD, ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY (IMSIU),
P. O. BOX 90950, RIYADH 11623, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail: imbenomrane@imamu.edu.sa.