



Research article

The anisotropic integrability logarithmic regularity criterion to the 3D micropolar fluid equations

Ahmad Mohammad Alghamdi¹, Sadek Gala^{2,3,*}, Jae-Myoung Kim⁴ and Maria Alessandra Ragusa^{3,5}

¹ Department of Mathematical Science , Faculty of Applied Science, Umm Alqura University, P. O. Box 14035, Makkah 21955, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, ENS of Mostaganem, Box 227, Mostaganem 27000, Algeria

³ Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania, Viale Andrea Doria, 6 95125 Catania - Italy

⁴ Department of Mathematical Education, Andong National University, Andong, Gyeongsangbuk-do, 36729, Korea (Republic of)

⁵ RUDN University, 6 Miklukho - Maklay St, Moscow, 117198, Russia

* **Correspondence:** Email: sgala793@gmail.com.

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to establish the regularity criterion of weak solutions to the 3D micropolar fluid equations by one directional derivative of the pressure in anisotropic Lebesgue spaces. We improve the regularity criterion for weak solutions previously given by Jia, Zhang and Dong in [21].

Keywords: micropolar fluid equations; regularity criterion; anisotropic Lebesgue spaces; a priori estimates

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q35, 35B65

1. Introduction

Let us consider the following Cauchy problem of the incompressible micropolar fluid equations in three-spatial dimensions :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla) u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi - \nabla \times \omega = 0, \\ \partial_t \omega - \Delta \omega - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \omega) + 2\omega + (u \cdot \nabla)\omega - \nabla \times u = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \omega(x, 0) = \omega_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u = u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\omega = \omega(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\pi = \pi(x, t)$ denote the unknown velocity vector field, the micro-rotational velocity and the unknown scalar pressure of the fluid at the point $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$, respectively, while u_0, ω_0 are given initial data with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distributions.

Theory of micropolar fluid equations was first proposed by Eringen [11] in 1966, which have important applications in fluid mechanics and material sciences and which enables to consider some physical phenomena that cannot be treated by the classical Navier-Stokes equations for the viscous incompressible fluids, for example of animal blood, liquid crystals and dilute aqueous polymer solutions, etc. (see [28, 29, 31]). If $\omega = 0$, then (1.4) reduces to be the well-known Navier-Stokes equations. Besides its physical applications, the Navier-Stokes equations are also mathematically significant. Since Leray [24] and Hopf [23] constructed the so-called well-known Leray-Hopf weak solution $u(x, t)$ of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation for arbitrary $u_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0(x) = 0$ in last century, the problem on the uniqueness and regularity of the Leray-Hopf weak solutions is one of the most challenging problem of the mathematical community. Later on, much effort has been devoted to establish the global existence and uniqueness of smooth solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. Different criteria for regularity of the weak solutions have been proposed and many interesting results were established (see e.g. [12–14, 22, 33] and references there in).

Due to the importance of both physics and mathematics, the question of smoothness and uniqueness of weak solutions to (1.4) is one of the most challenging problems in the theory of PDE's. Galdi and Rionero [19], Łukaszewicz [26] considered the existence of weak solutions of the micropolar fluid flows (1.4). While the existence of regular solutions is still open problem, there are many interesting sufficient conditions which guarantee that a given weak solution is smooth (see [4, 9, 15–18, 32] and references there in). In particular, as for the pressure criterion, Dong et al. [10] (see also Yuan [31]) showed that the weak solution becomes regular if the pressure satisfies

$$\pi \in L^q(0, T; L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \text{for } \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2, \quad \frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty,$$

or

$$\pi \in L^1(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

where $L^{p,\infty}$ and $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0$ denote Lorentz space and homogeneous Besov space. Later on, Jia et al. [20] extended and improved Serrin's regularity criterion to the largest critical Besov spaces as

$$\pi \in L^q(0, T; B_{p,\infty}^r(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

where $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} = 2 + r$, $\frac{3}{2+r} < p < \infty$ and $-1 < r \leq 1$.

Besides, some interesting logarithmical pressure regularity criteria of micropolar fluid equations are studied. In particular, in [21], Jia et al. refined this question by establishing a regularity criterion in terms of the partial derivative of the pressure in the Lebesgue space. More precisely, they showed that if the partial derivative of the pressure $\partial_3 \pi$ satisfies

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^q}^p}{1 + \ln(e + \|\omega\|_{L^4})} dt < \infty, \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{7}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{12}{7} < q \leq \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

then the weak solution (u, ω) becomes a regular solution on $(0, T]$. (see, for instance [1–3] and the more recent papers [5–8] and the references therein).

Here we would like to give an improvement of the anisotropic regularity criterion of (1.5). Before giving the main result, we recall the definition of weak solutions for micropolar fluid equations (1.4) (see [26, 27]).

Definition 1.1 (weak solutions). *Let $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distribution and $T > 0$. A measurable function $(u(x, t), \omega(x, t))$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ is called a weak solution of (1.4) on $[0, T)$ if (u, ω) satisfies the following properties :*

- (i) $(u, \omega) \in L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2((0, T); H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$;
- (ii) $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ in the sense of distribution;
- (iii) (u, ω) verifies (1.4) in the sense of distribution.
- (iv) (u, ω) satisfies the energy inequality, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla \omega(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_0\|_{L^2}^2, \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

We endow the usual Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$. We denote by $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ the partial derivative in the x_i -direction. Recall that the anisotropic Lebesgue space consists on all the total measurable real valued functions $h = h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with finite norm

$$\left\| \|h\|_{L^{x_i}} \right\|_{L^{x_j x_k}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x)|^p dx_i \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx_j dx_k \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where (i, j, k) belongs to the permutation group $S = \text{span}\{1, 2, 3\}$. Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Suppose that (u, ω) is a weak solution of (1.4) in $(0, T)$. If the pressure satisfies the condition*

$$\int_0^T \frac{\left\| \|\partial_3 \pi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{x_1 x_2}}^q}{1 + \ln(e + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4})} dt < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{\alpha} = \lambda \in [2, 3) \text{ and } \frac{3}{\lambda} \leq \gamma \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda - 2},$$

then the weak solution (u, ω) becomes a regular solution on $(0, T]$.

This allows us to obtain the regularity criterion of weak solutions via only one directional derivative of the pressure. This extends and improve some known regularity criterion of weak solutions in term of one directional derivative, including the notable works of Jia et al. [21].

Remark 1.1. *Criterion (1.6) can be viewed as a generalization of the recent result (1.5) of Jia-Zhang-Dong in [21]. Moreover, thanks to the fact that micropolar fluid equations (1.4) with $\omega = 0$ reduce to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations, we notice that our criterion (1.6) becomes the recent result of Liu-Dai [25] for the Navier-Stokes equations.*

As an application of Theorem 1.5, we also obtain the following regularity criterion of weak solutions.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distributions. Assume that (u, ω) is a weak solution of (1.4) in $(0, T)$. If the pressure satisfies the condition*

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\partial_3 \pi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\alpha}^q}{1 + \ln(e + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4})} dt < \infty,$$

where

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{\alpha} = \lambda \in [2, 3) \text{ and } \frac{3}{\lambda} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda - 2},$$

then the weak solution (u, ω) becomes a regular solution on $(0, T]$.

Let us consider the following Cauchy problem of the incompressible micropolar fluid equations in three-spatial dimensions :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla) u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi - \nabla \times \omega = 0, \\ \partial_t \omega - \Delta \omega - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \omega) + 2\omega + (u \cdot \nabla)\omega - \nabla \times u = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \omega(x, 0) = \omega_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $u = u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\omega = \omega(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\pi = \pi(x, t)$ denote the unknown velocity vector field, the micro-rotational velocity and the unknown scalar pressure of the fluid at the point $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$, respectively, while u_0, ω_0 are given initial data with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distributions.

Theory of micropolar fluid equations was first proposed by Eringen [11] in 1966, which have important applications in fluid mechanics and material sciences and which enables to consider some physical phenomena that cannot be treated by the classical Navier-Stokes equations for the viscous incompressible fluids, for example of animal blood, liquid crystals and dilute aqueous polymer solutions, etc. (see [28, 29, 31]). If $\omega = 0$, then (1.4) reduces to be the well-known Navier-Stokes equations. Besides its physical applications, the Navier-Stokes equations are also mathematically significant. Since Leray [24] and Hopf [23] constructed the so-called well-known Leray-Hopf weak solution $u(x, t)$ of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation for arbitrary $u_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0(x) = 0$ in last century, the problem on the uniqueness and regularity of the Leray-Hopf weak solutions is one of the most challenging problem of the mathematical community. Later on, much effort has been devoted to establish the global existence and uniqueness of smooth solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. Different criteria for regularity of the weak solutions have been proposed and many interesting results were established (see e.g. [12–14, 22, 33] and references there in).

Due to the importance of both physics and mathematics, the question of smoothness and uniqueness of weak solutions to (1.4) is one of the most challenging problems in the theory of PDE's. Galdi and Rionero [19], Łukaszewicz [26] considered the existence of weak solutions of the micropolar fluid flows (1.4). While the existence of regular solutions is still open problem, there are many interesting sufficient conditions which guarantee that a given weak solution is smooth (see [4, 9, 15–18, 32] and references there in). In particular, as for the pressure criterion, Dong et al. [10] (see also Yuan [31]) showed that the weak solution becomes regular if the pressure satisfies

$$\pi \in L^q(0, T; L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \text{for } \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2, \quad \frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty,$$

or

$$\pi \in L^1(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

where $L^{p, \infty}$ and $\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^0$ denote Lorentz space and homogeneous Besov space. Later on, Jia et al. [20] extended and improved Serrin's regularity criterion to the largest critical Besov spaces as

$$\pi \in L^q(0, T; B_{p, \infty}^r(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

where $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} = 2 + r$, $\frac{3}{2+r} < p < \infty$ and $-1 < r \leq 1$.

Besides, some interesting logarithmical pressure regularity criteria of micropolar fluid equations are studied. In particular, in [21], Jia et al. refined this question by establishing a regularity criterion in terms of the partial derivative of the pressure in the Lebesgue space. More precisely, they showed that if the partial derivative of the pressure $\partial_3 \pi$ satisfies

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^q}^p}{1 + \ln(e + \|\omega\|_{L^4})} dt < \infty, \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{7}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{12}{7} < q \leq \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

then the weak solution (u, ω) becomes a regular solution on $(0, T]$.

Here we would like to give an improvement of the anisotropic regularity criterion of (1.5). Before giving the main result, we recall the definition of weak solutions for micropolar fluid equations (1.4) (see [26]).

Definition 1.4 (weak solutions). *Let $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distribution and $T > 0$. A measurable function $(u(x, t), \omega(x, t))$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ is called a weak solution of (1.4) on $[0, T)$ if (u, ω) satisfies the following properties :*

- (i) $(u, \omega) \in L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2((0, T); H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$;
- (ii) $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ in the sense of distribution;
- (iii) (u, ω) verifies (1.4) in the sense of distribution.
- (iv) (u, ω) satisfies the energy inequality, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla \omega(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

We endow the usual Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$. We denote by $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ the partial derivative in the x_i -direction. Recall that the anisotropic Lebesgue space consists on all the total measurable real valued functions $h = h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with finite norm

$$\|h\|_{L_{x_i}^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x)|^p dx_i \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx_j dx_k \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where (i, j, k) belongs to the permutation group $S = \text{span}\{1, 2, 3\}$. Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.5. Let $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Suppose that (u, ω) is a weak solution of (1.4) in $(0, T)$. If the pressure satisfies the condition

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\|\partial_3 \pi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\gamma_3}}\|_{L^{\alpha_{x_1 x_2}}}\|^q}{1 + \ln(e + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4})} dt < \infty, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{\alpha} = \lambda \in [2, 3) \text{ and } \frac{3}{\lambda} \leq \gamma \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda - 2},$$

then the weak solution (u, ω) becomes a regular solution on $(0, T]$.

This allows us to obtain the regularity criterion of weak solutions via only one directional derivative of the pressure. This extends and improve some known regularity criterion of weak solutions in term of one directional derivative, including the notable works of Jia et al. [21].

Remark 1.2. Criterion (1.6) can be viewed as a generalization of the recent result (1.5) of Jia-Zhang-Dong in [21]. Moreover, thanks to the fact that micropolar fluid equations (1.4) with $\omega = 0$ reduce to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations, we notice that our criterion (1.6) becomes the recent result of Liu-Dai [25] for the Navier-Stokes equations.

As an application of Theorem 1.5, we also obtain the following regularity criterion of weak solutions.

Corollary 1.6. Let $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distributions. Assume that (u, ω) is a weak solution of (1.4) in $(0, T)$. If the pressure satisfies the condition

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\partial_3 \pi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\alpha}^q}{1 + \ln(e + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4})} dt < \infty,$$

where

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{\alpha} = \lambda \in [2, 3) \text{ and } \frac{3}{\lambda} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda - 2},$$

then the weak solution (u, ω) becomes a regular solution on $(0, T]$.

2. Preliminaries

Before to prove our main result, we first recall the following result proved in [30].

Lemma 2.1. Let $n \geq 2$ be a natural number, $\gamma_i, t_i \geq 0$, $p_i \in (1, +\infty)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ and suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} > 1 \text{ and } \delta = \frac{1 + t_i}{\gamma_i(n-1) - 1 + \frac{1}{p_i}} > 0.$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in C^\infty \cap L^2$

$$\left(\int |f(x)|^\delta dx \right)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^{-1}} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\int |f(x)|^{t_i p_i} |\partial_i f(x)|^{p_i} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

Next, we recall the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality in \mathbb{R}^1 .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 \leq \kappa, \mu, \nu < \infty$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 1\right)\theta + \frac{1 - \theta}{\mu} \quad \text{for some } \theta \in [0, 1].$$

Assume that $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^{\kappa}_{x_3}} \leq C \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L^{\nu}_{x_3}}^{\theta} \|\varphi\|_{L^{\mu}_{x_3}}^{(1-\theta)}. \quad (2.1)$$

The crucial tool in this paper is the following result, which plays important role in proving our main result.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $r > 1$ and $1 < \gamma \leq \alpha < \infty$. Then for $f, g, \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fg\varphi dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right| \\ & \leq C \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L^{\gamma}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\alpha}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L^{\gamma}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\alpha\theta(r-1)}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{\theta(r-1)}{r}} \left\| \|\varphi\|_{L^{\beta}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{b(1-\theta)(r-1)}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{(1-\theta)(r-1)}{r}} \\ & \quad \times \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \|g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \|\partial_1 g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \|\partial_2 g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}}. \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma(r-1)} + \frac{\theta}{\gamma} = \frac{1 - \theta}{\beta(\gamma - 1)}. \quad (2.3)$$

and C is a constant independent of f, g, φ .

Proof: Invoking Hölder's inequality and Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |fg\varphi| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \max_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g|^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} dx_1 dx_2 \\ & \leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\max_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi| \right)^r dx_1 dx_2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} dx_1 dx_2 \right\}^{\frac{r-1}{2r}} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g|^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} dx_1 dx_2 \right\}^{\frac{r-1}{2r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\max_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi|^r \leq r \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_3 \varphi| \cdot |\varphi|^{r-1} dx_3.$$

Moreover, by Hölder’s inequality and (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\max_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi| \right)^r dx_1 dx_2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} &\leq r^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_3 \varphi| \cdot |\varphi|^{r-1} dx_3 dx_1 dx_2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq r^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\gamma} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq r^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\gamma} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\gamma}^{\theta(r-1)} \|\varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\beta}^{(1-\theta)(r-1)} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq r^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\gamma} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\gamma}^{\theta(r-1)} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^a}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\beta}^{(1-\theta)(r-1)} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^b}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq r^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\gamma} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\gamma} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^{a\theta(r-1)}}^{\frac{\theta(r-1)}{r}} \left\| \|\varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\beta} \right\|_{L_{x_1, x_2}^{b(1-\theta)(r-1)}}^{\frac{(1-\theta)(r-1)}{r}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we use the interpolation theorem

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma(r-1)} + \frac{\theta}{\gamma} = \frac{1-\theta}{\beta(\gamma-1)}.$$

We can use Lemma 2.1, where we put $n = 2$, $\delta = \frac{2r}{r-1}$, $p_1 = p_2 = \frac{2}{r}$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $t_1 = t_2 = r - 1$ and estimate by applying Hölder’s inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^{\frac{2r}{r-1}} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} &= \left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^{\frac{2r}{r-1}} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{r-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^{\frac{2(r-1)}{r}} |\partial_i f|^{\frac{2}{r}} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_i f|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_1 f|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_2 f|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &= \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{2(r-1)}{r}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{r}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{r}}
 \end{aligned}$$

So by applying Minkowski’s inequality, we obtain

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} dx_1 dx_2 \right\}^{\frac{r-1}{2r}} \leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^{\frac{2r}{r-1}} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} dx_3 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{2(r-1)}{r}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{r}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{r}} dx_3 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g|^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} dx_1 dx_2 \right\}^{\frac{r-1}{2r}} \leq \|g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \|\partial_1 g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \|\partial_2 g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |fg\varphi| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\ &\leq C \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\gamma} \right\|_{L_{x_1 x_2}^\alpha}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\gamma} \right\|_{L_{x_1 x_2}^{\theta(r-1)a}}^{\frac{\theta(r-1)}{r}} \left\| \|\varphi\|_{L_{x_3}^\beta} \right\|_{L_{x_1 x_2}^{(1-\theta)(r-1)b}}^{\frac{(1-\theta)(r-1)}{r}} \\ &\quad \times \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \|g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \|\partial_1 g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \|\partial_2 g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2r}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We recall the following result according to Dong et al. [10], that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s > 3$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then there exists $T > 0$ and a unique strong solution (u, ω) of the 3D micropolar fluid equations (1.4) such that*

$$(u, \omega) \in (L^\infty \cap C)\left([0, T]; L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)\right).$$

Moreover, let $(0, T_0)$ be the maximal interval such that (u, ω) solves (1.4) in $C\left((0, T_0); L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)\right)$, $s > 3$. Then, for any $t \in (0, T_0)$,

$$\|(u, \omega)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^s} \geq \frac{C}{(T_0 - t)^{\frac{s-3}{2s}}}$$

with the constant C independent of T_0 and s .

By a strong solution we mean a weak solution (u, ω) such that

$$(u, \omega) \in L^\infty\left((0, T); H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\right) \cap L^2\left((0, T); H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\right).$$

It is well-known that strong solution are regular (say, classical) and unique in the class of weak solutions.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof: First, we multiply both sides of the equation (1.4)₁ by $u|u|^2$, and integrate over \mathbb{R}^3 . After suitable integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 dx \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \pi \cdot (|u|^2 u) dx \right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega| |u|^2 |\nabla u| dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where we used the following identities due to divergence free condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot |u|^2 u dx &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla |u|^4 dx = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\Delta u) \cdot |u|^2 u dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^2 dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 |u|^2 dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times \omega \cdot |u|^2 u dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 \omega \cdot \nabla \times u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega \cdot \nabla |u|^2 \times u dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|\nabla \times u| \leq |\nabla u|, \quad |\nabla |u|| \leq |\nabla u|.$$

Multiplying the second equation of (1.4) by $\omega |\omega|^2$, then integrating the resulting equation with respect to x over \mathbb{R}^3 and using integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |\omega|^2|^2 dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \times \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^4 dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times u \cdot |\omega|^2 \omega dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where we have used the fact that $\nabla \operatorname{div} \omega = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \omega) + \Delta \omega$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \operatorname{div} \omega \cdot |\omega|^2 \omega dx \\ & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times (\nabla \times \omega) + \Delta \omega) \cdot |\omega|^2 \omega dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \times \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times \omega \cdot \nabla |\omega|^2 \times \omega dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |\omega|^2|^2 dx \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \times \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla \times \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 + |\nabla |\omega|^2|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |\omega|^2|^2 dx \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \times \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |\omega|^2|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2) together, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |\omega|^2|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^4 dx \\
& \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \pi \cdot (|u|^2 u) dx \right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega| |u|^2 |\nabla u| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u| |\omega|^2 |\nabla \omega| dx \\
& = A_1 + A_2 + A_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

With the use of Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, the first two terms on the right-hand side of (3.3) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega| |u|^2 |\nabla u| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u| |\omega|^2 |\nabla \omega| dx \\
& \leq \| |\omega| |u| \|_{L^2} \| |u| |\nabla u| \|_{L^2} + \| |\omega| |u| \|_{L^2} \| |\omega| |\nabla \omega| \|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |u| |\nabla u| \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| |\omega| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| |\omega| |\nabla \omega| \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| |\omega| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |u| |\nabla u| \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| |\omega| |\nabla \omega| \|_{L^2}^2 + \| u \|_{L^4}^2 \| \omega \|_{L^4}^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |u| |\nabla u| \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\| u \|_{L^4}^4 + \| \omega \|_{L^4}^4).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Let us now estimate the integral A_1 . The Cauchy inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 & = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \pi \cdot (|u|^2 u) dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \pi \cdot \operatorname{div}(|u|^2 u) dx \right| \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\pi| |u|^2 |\nabla u| dx \leq 2 \| \pi u \|_{L^2} \| |u| |\nabla u| \|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\pi|^2 |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \| |u| |\nabla u| \|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let us estimate the integral

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\pi|^2 |u|^2 dx$$

on the right-hand side of (3.5). Before turning to estimate I , it is well-known that for the micropolar fluid equations in \mathbb{R}^3 , we have the following relationship between π and u and Calderón-Zygmund inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Delta \pi & = \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \partial_i \partial_j (u_i u_j), \\
\| \pi \|_{L^q} & \leq C \| u \|_{L^{2q}}^2, \quad 1 < q < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

We select that $a = \frac{\alpha(\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha)}{\alpha-\gamma}$ and $b = \frac{\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha}{\alpha(\gamma-1)}$ in Lemma 2.3, then the selected a and b satisfy (2.4). Then we can estimate I as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\pi| |\pi| |u|^2 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\
& \leq C \left\| \partial_3 \pi \right\|_{L^{x_3}}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1)}} \left\| \pi \right\|_{L^\beta}^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1)}} \left\| \pi \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha-\gamma+\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \left\| \partial_1 \pi \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha}{2\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \left\| \partial_2 \pi \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha}{2\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \| |u|^2 \|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha-\gamma+\alpha\beta(\gamma-1)}{\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \| \partial_1 |u|^2 \|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha}{2\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \| \partial_2 |u|^2 \|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha}{2\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \\
 \leq & C \| \partial_3 \pi \|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1)}}_{x_3}} \| \pi \|_{L^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1)}}_{\beta}} \| u \|_{L^4}^{\frac{4(\alpha-\gamma+\alpha\beta(\gamma-1))}{\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \| \nabla \pi \|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha}{\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \| \nabla |u|^2 \|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha}{\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \\
 \leq & C \| \partial_3 \pi \|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1)}}_{x_3}} \| \pi \|_{L^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1)}}_{\beta}} \| u \|_{L^4}^{\frac{4(\alpha-\gamma+\alpha\beta(\gamma-1))}{\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \| |u| |\nabla u| \|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha}{\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \| \nabla |u|^2 \|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\gamma-\alpha}{\alpha(\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1))}} \\
 \leq & C \| \partial_3 \pi \|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1)}}_{x_3}} \| \pi \|_{L^{\frac{\beta(\gamma-1)}{\gamma+\beta(\gamma-1)}}_{\beta}} \| u \|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\| |u| |\nabla u| \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla |u|^2 \|_{L^2}^2 \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where α, β, r and θ satisfy the following identities

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = \theta(r - 1)a, \\ \beta = (1 - \theta)(r - 1)b, \\ r = \frac{\alpha\gamma + \alpha\beta(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma + \alpha\gamma - \alpha} \\ \theta = \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{\alpha\beta(\gamma - 1) + \alpha - \gamma}. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Using the fact that $2 \leq \lambda < 3$, we choose $r = \frac{(4-\lambda)\alpha\gamma}{\gamma + \alpha\gamma - \alpha}$, then it follows from (3.6)₃ that $\beta = \frac{(3-\lambda)\gamma}{\gamma - 1}$. Now, on the one hand, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma &< \frac{1}{\lambda - 2} \Leftrightarrow \lambda\gamma - 3 < 2(3 - \lambda)\gamma \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \lambda\alpha\gamma - 3\alpha < 2(3 - \lambda)\alpha\gamma \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \lambda\alpha\gamma - \alpha - 2\gamma < 2(3 - \lambda)\alpha\gamma - 2\gamma + 2\alpha \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{\lambda\alpha\gamma - \alpha - 2\gamma}{2[(3 - \lambda)\alpha\gamma - \gamma + \alpha]} < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\gamma \geq \frac{3}{\lambda} \Leftrightarrow \lambda\alpha\gamma \geq 3\alpha \Leftrightarrow \lambda\alpha\gamma - \alpha - 2\gamma \geq 2\alpha - 2\gamma$$

and since $\alpha \geq \gamma$, we get

$$\lambda\alpha\gamma - \alpha - 2\gamma \geq 0.$$

But you know, λ must be less than 3, hence

$$\begin{cases} (3 - \lambda)\alpha\gamma > 0 \\ \alpha - \gamma \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

which implies that $(3 - \lambda)\alpha\gamma + (\alpha - \gamma) > 0$. Gathering these estimates together, we obtain

$$0 \leq \frac{\lambda\alpha\gamma - \alpha - 2\gamma}{2[(3 - \lambda)\alpha\gamma - \gamma + \alpha]} < 1,$$

and it is clear that

$$\frac{\lambda\alpha\gamma - \alpha - 2\gamma}{2[(3 - \lambda)\alpha\gamma - \gamma + \alpha]} + \frac{2(3 - \lambda)\alpha\gamma - \alpha(\lambda\gamma - 3)}{2[(3 - \lambda)\alpha\gamma - \gamma + \alpha]} = 1,$$

Now using Hölder inequality with exponents $\frac{\lambda\alpha\gamma-\alpha-2\gamma}{2[(3-\lambda)\alpha\gamma-\gamma+\alpha]}$ and $\frac{2(3-\lambda)\alpha\gamma-\alpha(\lambda\gamma-3)}{2[(3-\lambda)\alpha\gamma-\gamma+\alpha]}$, I can be further estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\nabla |u|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \| |u| \nabla |u| \|_{L^2}^2) + C \left\| \|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda-1}}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda-1}}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{1}{3-\lambda}} \|\pi\|_{L^3} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\nabla |u|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \| |u| \nabla |u| \|_{L^2}^2) + C \left\| \|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda-1}}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda-1}}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{1}{3-\lambda}} \|u\|_{L^6}^2 \|u\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\nabla |u|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \| |u| \nabla |u| \|_{L^2}^2) + C \left\| \|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda-1}}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda-1}}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{1}{3-\lambda}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|u\|_{L^4}^4, \end{aligned}$$

when $\frac{\lambda\alpha\gamma-\alpha-2\gamma}{2[(3-\lambda)\alpha\gamma-\gamma+\alpha]} = 0$ (i.e. $\alpha = \gamma = \frac{3}{\lambda}$) or

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\nabla |u|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \| |u| \nabla |u| \|_{L^2}^2) + C \left(\left\| \|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda-1}}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda-1}}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{2\alpha\gamma}{\lambda\alpha\gamma-\alpha-2\gamma}} + \|\pi\|_{L^{\frac{2\gamma(3-\lambda)}{2\gamma(3-\lambda)-3(\gamma-1)}}} \right) \|u\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\nabla |u|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \| |u| \nabla |u| \|_{L^2}^2) + C \left(\left\| \|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda-1}}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda-1}}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{2\alpha\gamma}{\lambda\alpha\gamma-\alpha-2\gamma}} + \|u\|_{L^{\frac{4\gamma(3-\beta)}{2\gamma(3-\lambda)-3(\gamma-1)}}} \right) \|u\|_{L^4}^4, \end{aligned}$$

when $0 < \frac{\lambda\alpha\gamma-\alpha-2\gamma}{2[(3-\lambda)\alpha\gamma-\gamma+\alpha]} < 1$ (i.e. $\frac{3}{\lambda} < \gamma \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda-2}$) and $\beta = \frac{(3-\lambda)\gamma}{\gamma-1}$.

Combining all the estimates from above, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4) \leq \begin{cases} C \left\| \|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda-1}}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda-1}}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{1}{3-\lambda}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + C (\|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4), & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha = \frac{3}{\lambda}, \\ \left(\left\| \|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda-1}}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda-1}}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{2\alpha\gamma}{\lambda\alpha\gamma-\alpha-2\gamma}} + \|u\|_{L^{\frac{4\gamma(3-\lambda)}{2\gamma(3-\lambda)-3(\gamma-1)}}} \right) \|u\|_{L^4}^4 & \\ \quad + C (\|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4), & \text{if } \frac{3}{\lambda} < \gamma \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda-2}. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Defining

$$H(t) = e + \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4,$$

and thanks to

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \ln(1 + \|\omega\|_{L^4}) &\leq 1 + \ln(e + \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4) \\ &\leq 1 + \ln(e + \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4), \end{aligned}$$

inequality (3.7) implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(t) \leq \begin{cases} C \frac{\left\| \|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda-1}}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda-1}}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{1}{3-\lambda}}}{1 + \ln(1 + \|\omega\|_{L^4})} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 H(t)(1 + \ln H(t)), & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha = \frac{3}{\lambda}, \\ \left(\frac{\left\| \|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda-1}}_{x_3}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda-1}}_{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{2\alpha\gamma}{\lambda\alpha\gamma-\alpha-2\gamma}}}{1 + \ln(1 + \|\omega\|_{L^4})} + \|u\|_{L^{\frac{4\gamma(3-\lambda)}{2\gamma(3-\lambda)-3(\gamma-1)}}} \right) H(t)(1 + \ln H(t)), & \\ \text{if } \frac{3}{\lambda} < \gamma \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda-2}, \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\frac{d}{dt}(1 + \ln H(t)) \leq \begin{cases} C \frac{\|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{x_3} L^{\gamma}} \|\cdot\|_{L^{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{1}{3-\lambda}}}{1 + \ln(1 + \|\omega\|_{L^4})} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \ln H(t)), & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha = \frac{3}{\lambda}, \\ \left(\frac{\|\partial_3 \pi\|_{L^{x_3} L^{\gamma}} \|\cdot\|_{L^{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{2\alpha\gamma}{\lambda\alpha\gamma - \alpha - 2\gamma}}}{1 + \ln(1 + \|\omega\|_{L^4})} + \|u\|_{L^{2\beta}}^{\frac{4\gamma(3-\lambda)}{2\gamma(3-\lambda) - 3(\gamma-1)}} \right) (1 + \ln H(t)), & \text{if } \frac{3}{\lambda} < \gamma \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda-2}. \end{cases}$$

Thanks to (u, ω) is a weak solution of the 3D micropolar equations (1.4), that is

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)), \tag{3.8}$$

together with the interpolation inequality yields that

$$u \in L^s(0, T; L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{2}{s} + \frac{3}{r} = \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \leq r \leq 6.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\gamma < \frac{1}{\lambda-2} \Leftrightarrow 3\gamma - \lambda\gamma > \gamma - 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{(3-\lambda)\gamma}{\gamma-1} > 1$$

and

$$\gamma > \frac{3}{\lambda} \Leftrightarrow 3\gamma - \lambda\gamma < 3\gamma - 3 \Leftrightarrow \frac{(3-\lambda)\gamma}{\gamma-1} < 3,$$

it is easy to see that

$$2 < \frac{2(3-\lambda)\gamma}{\gamma-1} < 6 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{3}{\lambda} < \gamma \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda-2}$$

and consequently

$$\frac{2}{\frac{4\gamma(3-\lambda)}{2(3-\lambda)\gamma - 3(\gamma-1)}} + \frac{3}{\frac{2\gamma(3-\lambda)}{\gamma-1}} = \frac{2(3-\lambda)\gamma - 3(\gamma-1)}{2\gamma(3-\lambda)} + \frac{3(\gamma-1)}{2\gamma(3-\lambda)} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Hence, one has

$$u \in L^{\frac{4\gamma(3-\lambda)}{2(3-\lambda)\gamma - 3(\gamma-1)}}(0, T; L^{\frac{2\gamma(3-\lambda)}{\gamma-1}}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{3}{\lambda} < \gamma \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda-2}. \tag{3.9}$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality yields that

$$\ln(H(t)) \leq C(T, u_0, \omega_0) \begin{cases} \exp \left\{ C \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \left(\frac{\|\partial_3 \pi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^{x_3} L^{\gamma}} \|\cdot\|_{L^{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{1}{3-\lambda}}}{1 + \ln(1 + \|\omega(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^4})} \right) \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \right\}, \\ \text{if } \gamma = \alpha = \frac{3}{\lambda}, \\ \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \left(\frac{\|\partial_3 \pi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^{x_3} L^{\gamma}} \|\cdot\|_{L^{x_1 x_2}}^{\frac{2\alpha\gamma}{\lambda\alpha\gamma - \alpha - 2\gamma}}}{1 + \ln(1 + \|\omega(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^4})} + \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^{2\beta}}^{\frac{4\gamma(3-\lambda)}{2\gamma(3-\lambda) - 3(\gamma-1)}} \right) d\tau \right\}, \\ \text{if } \frac{3}{\lambda} < \gamma \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\lambda-2}. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Now, we are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.5. From Lemma 2.4, it follows that there exists $T_0 > 0$ and the smooth solution $(\bar{u}, \bar{\omega})$ of (1.4) satisfying

$$(\bar{u}, \bar{\omega})(t) \in (L^\infty \cap C)([0, T_0]; L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (\bar{u}, \bar{\omega})(0) = (u_0, \omega_0).$$

Since the weak solution (u, ω) satisfies the energy inequality, we may apply Serrin's uniqueness criterion to conclude that

$$(u, \omega) \equiv (\bar{u}, \bar{\omega}) \text{ on } [0, T_0).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that $T_0 = T$. Suppose that $T_0 < T$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that T_0 is the maximal existence time for $(\bar{u}, \bar{\omega})(t)$. By lemma 2.4 again, we find that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4} + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4} \geq \frac{C}{(T_0 - t)^{\frac{1}{8}}} \text{ for any } t \in (0, T_0). \quad (3.11)$$

On the other hand, from (3.10), we know that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} (\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4) \leq C(T, u_0, \omega_0) \quad (3.12)$$

which contradicts with (3.11). Thus, $T_0 = T$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5. \square

Acknowledgments

This work was done while the second author was visiting the Catania University in Italy. He would like to thank the hospitality and support of the University, where this work was completed. This research is partially supported by PRIN 2019 The fourth author wish to thank the support of "RUDN University Program 5-100".

Conflict of interest

All authors declare no conflicts of interest in this paper.

References

1. J. Chen, Z. M. Chen and B. Q. Dong, *Uniform attractors of non-homogeneous micropolar fluid flows in non-smooth domains*, *Nonlinearity*, **20** (2007), 1619–1635.
2. Q. Chen and C. Miao, *Global well-posedness for the micropolar fluid system in critical Besov spaces*, *J. Differ. Equations*, **252** (2012), 2698–2724.
3. Z. M. Chen and W. Price, *Decay estimates of linearized micropolar fluid flows in \mathbb{R}^3 space with applications to L^3 -strong solutions*, *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, **44** (2006), 859–873.
4. B. Q. Dong and Z. M. Chen, *Regularity criteria of weak solutions to the three-dimensional micropolar flows*, *J. Math. Phys.*, **50** (2009), 103525.
5. B. Q. Dong and Z. M. Chen, *Global attractors of two-dimensional micropolar fluid flows in some unbounded domains*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **182** (2006), 610–620.

6. B. Q. Dong and Z. M. Chen, *On upper and lower bounds of higher order derivatives for solutions to the 2D micropolar fluid equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **334** (2007), 1386–1399.
7. B. Q. Dong and Z. M. Chen, *Asymptotic profiles of solutions to the 2D viscous incompressible micropolar fluid flows*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamics Systems, **23** (2009), 765–784.
8. B. Q. Dong and Z. Zhang, *Global regularity of the 2D micropolar fluid flows with zero angular viscosity*, J. Differ. Equations, **249** (2010), 200–213.
9. B. Q. Dong and W. Zhang, *On the regularity criterion for the 3D micropolar fluid flows in Besov spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, **73** (2010), 2334–2341.
10. B. Q. Dong, Y. Jia and Z. M. Chen, *Pressure regularity criteria of the three-dimensional micropolar fluid flows*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., **34** (2011), 595–606.
11. A. C. Eringen, *Theory of micropolar fluids*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, **16** (1966), 1–18.
12. J. Fan, X. Jia and Y. Zhou, *A logarithmic regularity criterion for 3D Navier-Stokes system in a bounded domain*, Appl. Math., **64** (2019), 397–407.
13. J. Fan, Y. Fukumoto and Y. Zhou, *Logarithmically improved regularity criteria for the generalized Navier-Stokes and related equations*, Kinet. Relat. Models, **6** (2013), 545–556.
14. J. Fan, S. Jiang, G. Nakamura, et al. *Logarithmically improved regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes and MHD equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., **13** (2011), 557–571.
15. S. Gala, *On regularity criteria for the three-dimensional micropolar fluid equations in the critical Morrey-Campanato space*, Nonlinear Analysis : Real World Applications, **12** (2011), 2142–2150.
16. S. Gala and M. A. Ragusa, *A regularity criterion for 3D micropolar fluid flows in terms of one partial derivative of the velocity*, Annales Polonici Mathematici, **116** (2016), 217–228.
17. S. Gala and J. Yan, *Two regularity criteria via the logarithmic of the weak solutions to the micropolar fluid equations*, J. Partial Differ. Equ., **25** (2012), 32–40.
18. S. Gala, *A remark on the logarithmically improved regularity criterion for the micropolar fluid equations in terms of the pressure*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., **34** (2011), 1945–1953.
19. G. Galdi and S. Rionero, *A note on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of micropolar fluid equations*, Int. J. Eng. Sci., **15** (1977), 105–108.
20. Y. Jia, W. Zhang and B. Dong, *Remarks on the regularity criterion of the 3D micropolar fluid flows in terms of the pressure*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24** (2011), 199–203.
21. Y. Jia, W. Zhang and B. Dong, *Logarithmical regularity criteria of the three-dimensional micropolar fluid equations in terms of the pressure*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2012** (2012), 1–13.
22. X. Jia and Y. Zhou, *A new regularity criterion for the 3D incompressible MHD equations in terms of one component of the gradient of pressure*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **396** (2012), 345–350.
23. E. Hopf, *Über die anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen grundgleichungen*. Erhard Schmidt zu seinem 75. Geburtstag gewidmet, Math. Nachr., **4** (1950), 213–231.
24. J. Leray, *Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*, Acta Math., **63** (1934), 183–248.

25. Q. Liu and G. Dai, *On the 3D Navier–Stokes equations with regularity in pressure*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **458** (2018), 497–507.
26. G. Lukaszewicz, *Micropolar fluids. Theory and applications, Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology*, Birkhauser, Boston, MA, 1999.
27. M. A. Rojas-Medar, *Magneto-micropolar fluid motion: existence and uniqueness of strong solution*, Math. Nachr., **188** (1997), 301–319.
28. S. Popel, A. Regirer and P. Usick, *A continuum model of blood flow*, Biorheology, **11** (1974), 427–437.
29. R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1977.
30. M. Troisi, *Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi*, Ricerche Mat., **18** (1969), 3–24.
31. B. Yuan, *On the regularity criteria of weak solutions to the micropolar fluid equations in Lorentz space*, P. Am. Math. Soc., **138** (2010), 2025–2036.
32. J. Yuan, *Existence theorem and blow-up criterion of the strong solutions to the magneto-micropolar fluid equations*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., **31** (2008), 1113–1130.
33. Y. Zhou and J. Fan, *Logarithmically improved regularity criteria for the 3D viscous MHD equations*, Forum Math., **24** (2012), 691–708.



AIMS Press

©2020 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)