



Citation: D. Aiello, G. Polizzi, G. Gusella, A. Fiorenza, V. Guarnaccia (2019) Characterization of *Eutypa lata* and *Cytospora pistaciae* causing dieback and canker of pistachio in Italy. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 58(3): 699-706. doi: 10.13128/Phyto-10880

Accepted: September 26, 2019

Published: December 30, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 D. Aiello, G. Polizzi, G. Gusella, A. Fiorenza, V. Guarnaccia. This is an open access, peer-reviewed article published by Firenze University Press (<http://www.fupress.com/pm>) and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Competing Interests: The Author(s) declare(s) no conflict of interest.

Editor: Lizel Mostert, Faculty of AgriSciences, Stellenbosch, South Africa.

New or Unusual Disease Reports

Characterization of *Eutypa lata* and *Cytospora pistaciae* causing dieback and canker of pistachio in Italy

DALIA AIELLO^{1,*}, GIANCARLO POLIZZI¹, GIORGIO GUSELLA¹, ALBERTO FIORENZA¹, VLADIMIRO GUARNACCIA^{2,3}

¹ *Dipartimento di Agricoltura, Alimentazione e Ambiente, sezione Patologia Vegetale, University of Catania, Via S. Sofia 100, 95123 Catania, Italy*

² *Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Uppsalalaan 8, 3584 CT Utrecht, The Netherlands*

³ *DiSAFA, University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini, 2, 10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy*

*Corresponding author: dalia.aiello@unict.it

Summary. During the winter of 2017, dieback and canker symptoms were observed on pistachio (*Pistacia vera*) in two orchards in the Bronte area, Catania Province, Sicily, Southern Italy. Two different fungi were consistently isolated from infected tissues. Morphological observations and multi-locus phylogenies using five genomic loci (ITS, *act*, *rpb2*, *tef1* and *tub2*) identified these fungi as *Cytospora pistaciae* and *Eutypa lata*. Pathogenicity tests on 5-y-old potted plants of *P. vera* grafted on terebinth (*P. terebinthus*) reproduced similar symptoms as those observed in nature, and Koch's postulates were fulfilled for these two pathogens. This study is the first to report dieback and canker diseases of pistachio caused by *C. pistaciae* and *E. lata* in Italy.

Keywords. Pathogenicity, molecular analysis, disease symptoms, *Pistacia vera*.

INTRODUCTION

Pistachio is cultivated in the southern regions of Italy, of which Sicily is the main production area. The province of Catania (with 430 ha of pistachio), followed by the provinces of Caltanissetta (with 220 ha) and Agrigento (with 145 ha) are the largest pistachio-producing areas, with a total production of 3,878 tons (AGRISTAT, 2017). Currently, the commune of Bronte in Catania Province represents the most important area of Sicily for pistachio production, and pistachio is an important economic resource for this territory (Barone and Marra, 2004). In this area, different pistachio cultivars are grafted on terebinth plants which are grown on volcanic soils (Barone *et al.*, 1985). Few studies have been conducted to investigate pistachio diseases occurring in Italy, and only a few diseases have been reported to date. These include branch dieback (caused by *Botryodiplodia* sp.), leaf spot (*Alternaria alternata*), anthracnose, branch and twig cankers (*Botryosphaeria dothidea*)

and phylloptosis and leaf spots (mainly caused by *Septoria pistaciae*) (Casalicchio, 1963; Schilirò and Privitera, 1988; Frisullo *et al.*, 1996; Vitale *et al.*, 2007). In eastern Sicily, cankers and decline caused by *Liberomyces pistaciae* Voglmayr, Vitale, Aiello, Guarnaccia, Luongo & Belisario are the most important pistachio diseases (Vitale *et al.*, 2018). Blight caused by *Arthrinium xenocordella* Crous was also recently reported on pistachio fruit in the Agrigento Province (Aiello *et al.*, 2018).

During the winter of 2017, pistachio trees with dieback, canker and gummosis symptoms were observed in the area of Bronte. Following culturing from necrotic tissues, two fungal species were consistently isolated. Cankers from one orchard generated colonies of *Cytospora* while cankers from a second orchard generated *Eutypa* colonies.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the etiology of pistachio canker diseases, which could represent new threats for the pistachio production of Sicily.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation and morphology of fungi

Surveys were conducted in ten pistachio orchards with histories of branch canker and dieback in eastern Sicily (Catania Province). Approximately 20 symptomatic pistachio branches with canker were collected from each orchard for analyses. Sub-cortical and wood fragments (about 5 × 5 mm) were cut from the margins between affected and healthy branch tissues. Tissue pieces were disinfected in 1.2% sodium hypochlorite for 60 s, rinsed in sterile water and dried on sterile filter paper. The fragments were then placed into Petri plates containing potato dextrose agar (PDA, Oxoid) amended with 100 mg L⁻¹ of streptomycin sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich), and incubated at room temperature (25 ± 5°C). Fungal colonies consistently growing from symptomatic tissues were cultured into new PDA plates. To obtain pure cultures, single-conidium or hyphal-tip isolations were performed after 1 month incubation at room temperature under natural light conditions. Isolates for each putative fungal pathogen (four isolates of *Eutypa* and three of *Cytospora*) were characterized by morphological, molecular and phylogenetic analyses (Table 1). These cultures were deposited in the working collection of Dr Pedro Crous (CPC), at the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands (Table 1). Size and shape of conidia were recorded for each fungal isolate grown on PDA for 2 weeks at 25 ± 1°C.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Extractions of genomic DNA were performed from pure cultures, as reported elsewhere (Guarnaccia and Crous, 2017), using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega Corporation). Partial regions of five loci were amplified. The primers ITS5 and ITS4 (White *et al.*, 1990) were used to amplify the internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) of the nuclear ribosomal RNA operon, including the 3' end of the 18S rRNA, the first internal transcribed spacer region, the 5.8S rRNA gene; the second internal transcribed spacer region and the 5' end of the 28S rRNA gene. The primers ACT-512F and ACT-783R (Carbone and Kohn, 1999) were used to amplify part of the actin gene (*act*). The partial beta-tubulin (*tub2*) gene was amplified with primers Bt-2a and Bt-2b (Glass and Donaldson, 1995). The primers EF1-728F and EF1-986R (Carbone and Kohn, 1999) were used to amplify part of the translation elongation factor 1- α gene (*tef1*). The primers 5f2/7cr were used to amplify part of *rpb2* (O'Donnell *et al.*, 2010). The regions ITS, *act*, *tef1* and *rpb2* were amplified for the species of *Cytospora* using the PCR programmes adopted by Lawrence *et al.* (2018) and Jami *et al.* (2018). The regions ITS and *tub2* were amplified for the species of *Eutypa* following the PCR programmes used by Moyo *et al.* (2018a). The PCR products were sequenced in both directions using the BigDye[®] Terminator v. 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems Life Technologies), after which amplicons were purified through Sephadex G-50 Fine columns (GE Healthcare) in MultiScreen HV plates (Millipore). Purified sequence reactions were analyzed on an Applied Biosystems 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Life Technologies). The DNA sequences generated were analyzed and consensus sequences were computed using the program SeqMan Pro (DNASTAR).

Phylogenetic analyses

Novel sequences generated in this study were blasted against the NCBI's GenBank nucleotide database, to determine the closest relatives to be included in the phylogenetic analyses. Blast analyses indicated that three isolates belonged to *Cytospora* and the remaining four to *Eutypa*. Sequence alignments of the different gene regions, including sequences obtained from this study and sequences from GenBank, were initially performed using the MAFFT v. 7 online server (<http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/index.html>) (Katoh and Standley, 2013), and then manually adjusted in MEGA v. 7 (Kumar *et al.*, 2016). To establish the identity of the fungal isolates, phylogenetic analyses were conducted using

one locus (data not shown) as well as concatenated analyses of four loci (ITS, *act*, *tef1* and *rpb2*) for *Cytospora* spp. and two loci (ITS and *tub2*) for *Eutypa* spp., as indicated by blast analysis. Additional reference sequences were selected based on recent studies on *Cytospora* and *Eutypa* species (Lawrence *et al.*, 2018, Moyo *et al.*, 2018a, b). Phylogenetic analyses were based on Maximum Parsimony (MP) for all the individual loci and for the multi-locus analyses. The MP analyses were carried out using PAUP (Swofford, 2003). Phylogenetic relationships were estimated by heuristic searches with 100 random addition sequences. Tree bisection-reconnection was used, with the branch swapping option set on 'best trees' only, with all characters weighted equally and alignment gaps treated as fifth state. Tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI) and rescaled consistence index (RC) were calculated for parsimony and the bootstrap analyses (Hillis and Bull 1993) were based on 1,000 replicates. Sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

Pathogenicity of representative isolates

Pathogenicity tests with one representative isolate of *C. pistaciae* (CPC34208) and one of *E. lata* (CPC34213; Table 1) were carried out to satisfy Koch's postulates. These tests were carried out in a growth chamber maintained at $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. Potted 5-y-old plants of *P. vera* grafted onto *P. terebinthus* were used for artificial inoculations. Three plants were inoculated with each isolate. Six wounds were made on individual plant stems approx. 8-10 cm apart from each other.

Inoculations were made on stems after removing of bark discs with a cork borer, placing a 5 mm plug from a 14-d-old PDA culture of test isolate into the wound and covering with Parafilm[®] (Pechney Plastic Packaging Inc.) to prevent desiccation. An equivalent number of plants and inoculation sites were inoculated with sterile PDA plugs to serve as controls. The inoculated plants were observed once each month for symptoms development, and a final assessment was conducted 5 months after inoculation. To fulfil Koch's postulates, re-isolations were carried out following the procedure described above, where tissue fragments were plated onto PDA. Each re-isolated fungus was identified through morphological characteristics.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Symptomatic plants showed cankers with cracking and gum exudation, and often branches or shoots

showed dieback. Under the bark of affected branches, cankers were characterized by discolouration and necrosis, and in some cases discolouration extended to the vascular tissue (xylem) and pith. Two different fungal colony types were consistently obtained from isolations from symptomatic tissues (Figure 1) taken from the two orchards. Cankers from one orchard generated *Cytospora* colonies while cankers from the other orchard generated *Eutypa* colonies. The same symptoms in the remaining orchards investigated in the Bronte area produced colonies of *L. pistaciae* (Vitale *et al.*, 2018).

Conidia of three representative isolates of *Cytospora* were in accordance with the description by Lawrence *et al.* (2018) of *C. pistaciae* Lawr., Holland & Trouillas. The four MP trees derived from the single gene sequence alignments (ITS, *act*, *tef1* and *rpb2*) were topologically similar, confirming that the three isolates used for the molecular analyses were *Cytospora*. The combined phylogeny of *Cytospora* species consisted of 35 sequences, including the outgroup sequences of *Diaporthe limoncola* (culture CBS 142549; Guarnaccia and Crous, 2017). A total of 2,056 characters (ITS: 1–574, *act*: 581–890, *tef1*: 897–1289, *rpb2*: 1296–2056) were included in the phylogenetic analysis of *Cytospora* spp. For the phylogeny of *Cytospora* species, 489 characters were parsimony-informative, 336 were variable and parsimony-uninformative and 1,213 characters were constant. A maximum of 1,000 equally most parsimonious trees were saved (Tree length = 1 552, CI = 0.743, RI = 0.782 and RC = 0.581). Bootstrap support values from the parsimony analysis were plotted on the phylogenetic trees presented in Figure 2. In the combined analyses, the three representative isolates clustered with four reference strains of *C. pistaciae*. The individual alignments and trees of the single loci used in the analyses were compared with respect to their performance in species recognition. *Cytospora pistaciae* was differentiated and identified in all single-gene analyses.

Cytospora terebinthi Bres. has been reported in Italy as the causal agent of cankers and gummosis of pistachio (Corazza *et al.*, 1990; Furnitto, 1984), while other *Cytospora* species have been reported in other crops, including peach (Hampson and Sinclair., 1973; Banko and Helton, 1974). The taxonomy of *Cytospora* species associated with fruit and nut crops was recently revised, and *C. pistaciae* was described as a new species on pistachio in California, but the pathogenicity of this species was not investigated (Lawrence *et al.* 2018).

Conidia of four isolates of *Eutypa* were in accordance with the description of *E. lata* by Moyo *et al.* (2018b). The two MP trees derived from the single gene sequence alignments (ITS and *tub2*) were topologi-

cally similar, and this confirmed that the four isolates used in this study were *Eutypa*. All the species belonging to *Eutypa* and other Xylariales used in the multi-locus phylogeny consisted of 29 sequences with the out-group sequences of *L. pistaciae* (CBS 144255; Vitale *et al.*, 2018). A total of 1,076 characters (ITS: 1–582, *tub2*: 589–1,076) were used for the Xylariales analysis, and 453 characters were parsimony-informative, 166 were variable and parsimony-uninformative and 451 characters were constant. A maximum of 1,000 equally most parsimonious trees were saved (Tree length = 1 669, CI = 0.648, RI = 0.786 and RC = 0.509). Bootstrap support values from the parsimony analysis were plotted on the phylogenetic trees presented in Figure 3. In the combined analyses, the four isolates were related to reference isolates of *E. lata*. The individual alignments and trees of the single loci used in the analyses were compared with respect to their performance in species recognition. *Eutypa lata* was differentiated and identified in all single-gene analyses.

Eutypa lata is a pathogen with a wide host range, occurring in more than 160 hosts (Farr and Rossman, 2017). In Italy, *E. lata* has been reported on *Acer* sp. in Sicily (Greuter *et al.*, 1991), *Ribes rubrum* (Prodorutti *et al.*, 2008), olive trees (Tosi and Natalini, 2009) and *Vitis vinifera* (Acero *et al.*, 2004). *Eutypa dieback* and gummosis of pistachio caused by *E. lata* has been reported only in Greece (Rumbos, 1986).

Five months after artificial inoculation, symptoms produced from each fungus in trees were similar to those present on trees in the field. These consisted of external cankers and gummosis produced around the inoculation sites, with small cracks present in each sunken lesion. After removing the bark, a dark discolouration and necrotic tissues were visible (Figure 1). The respective inoculated pathogens were re-isolated from symptomatic tissues, thus fulfilling Koch's postulates. No symptoms were observed on control (uninoculated) plants.

This is the first report of *E. lata* and *C. pistaciae* associated with cankers on pistachio in Europe. Further



Figure 1. Symptoms reproduced from mycelial plug inoculation with *Cytospora pistaciae* (a) and *Eutypa lata* (b) on 5-y-old potted plants of *Pistacia vera* 5 months after inoculation with respective fungi. Cultural characteristics of *Cytospora pistaciae* (c) and *Eutypa lata* (d) colonies grown on PDA are also illustrated.

Table 1. Collection details and GenBank accession numbers for isolates included in this study.

Species	Culture No.	Host	Locality	GenBank Number ^a				
				ITS	act	tefl	rpb2	tub2
<i>Cytospora austromontana</i>	CMW 6735	<i>Eucalyptus pauciflora</i>	Australia	NR137542	-	-	-	-
<i>C. berkeleyi</i>	StanfordT3T	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	California, USA	AY347350	-	-	-	-
<i>C. californica</i>	9c-24 = CBS 144234 KARE264	<i>Juglans regia</i>	California, USA	MG971935	MG972083	MG971645	-	-
<i>C. cincta</i>	CFCC 89956	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	California, USA	MG971920	MG972069	MG971630	-	-
<i>C. cinereostroma</i>	CMW 5700	<i>Prunus cerasifera</i>	China	KR045624	-	-	KU710953	-
<i>C. diatrypelloidea</i>	CMW 8549	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Chile	AY347377	-	-	-	-
<i>C. disciformis</i>	CMW 6509	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Australia	AY347368	-	-	-	-
<i>C. eriobotryae</i>	IMI136523	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Uruguay	AY347374	-	-	-	-
<i>C. eucalypticola</i>	ATCC 96150	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i>	India	AY347327	-	-	-	-
	CMW 5309	<i>Eucalyptus nitens</i>	Tasmania, Australia	AY347358	-	-	-	-
	CMW 40051	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Entebbe, Uganda	AF260266	-	-	-	-
	CMW 40048	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	Zimbabwe	KF923249	-	-	-	-
<i>C. gigaspora</i>	CFCC 89634	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	Zimbabwe	KF923248	-	-	-	-
<i>C. granati</i>	CBS 144237	<i>Salix psammophila</i>	China	KF765671	KU711000	-	KU710960	-
<i>C. joaquinensis</i>	CBS 144235	<i>Punica granatum</i>	USA	MG971799	MG971949	MG971514	-	-
<i>C. leucostoma</i>	CFCC 50015	<i>Populus deltoides</i>	USA	MG971895	MG972044	MG971605	-	-
<i>C. nivea</i>	MFLUCC 15-0860	<i>Sorbus pohuashanensis</i>	China	KR045634	-	-	-	-
<i>C. parapistaciae</i>	KARE232	<i>Salix acutifolia</i>	Russia	KY417737	KU711006	-	KY417805	-
	KARE268	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	California, USA	MG971807	MG971957	MG971522	-	-
	KARE269	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	California, USA	MG971806	MG971956	MG971521	-	-
	KARE270 = CBS 144506	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	California, USA	MG971805	MG971955	MG971520	-	-
<i>C. parasitica</i>	MFLUCC 15-0507	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	California, USA	MG971804	MG971954	MG971519	-	-
<i>C. pistaciae</i>	KARE441	<i>Malus domestica</i>	Russia	KY417740	-	-	KY417808	-
	KARE442	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	California, USA	MG971800	MG971950	MG971515	-	-
	KARE443 = CBS 144238	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	California, USA	MG971803	MG971953	MG971518	-	-
	KARE444	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	California, USA	MG971802	MG971952	MG971517	-	-
	CPC 34208 = CBS 144226	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	California, USA	MG971801	MG971951	MG971516	-	-
	CPC 34209	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	Italy	MN078066	MN078063	MN078077	MN078080	-
	CPC 34211	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	Italy	MN078067	MN078064	MN078078	MN078081	-
	5A-80 = CBS 144244	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	Italy	MN078068	MN078065	MN078079	MN078082	-
<i>C. punicea</i>	CFCC 89624	<i>Punica granatum</i>	USA	MG971943	MG972091	MG971654	-	-
<i>C. sacculus</i>		<i>Juglans regia</i>	China	KR045645	-	-	KU710976	-

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued).

Species	Culture No.	Host	Locality	GenBank Number ^a				
				ITS	act	tefl	rpb2	tub2
<i>C. salicacearum</i>	MFLUCC 16-0509	<i>Salix alba</i>	Russia	KY417746	-	-	KY417814	-
<i>C. salicicola</i>	MFLUCC 14-1052	<i>Salix alba</i>	Russia	KU982636	-	-	-	-
<i>Cryptosphaeria subcutanea</i>	CBS 240.87	<i>Salix borealis</i>	Norway	KT425232	-	-	-	KT425167
<i>Diaporthe limonicola</i>	CBS 142549	<i>Citrus limon</i>	Malta	MF418422	-	MF418501	MH797629	-
<i>Diatrypella atlantica</i>	HUEFS 194228	unknown	Brazil	KM396615	-	-	-	KR363998
<i>Eutypa crenea</i>	CBS 120837	<i>Prunus salicina</i>	South Africa	KY752762	-	-	-	KY752791
<i>Eutypa lata</i>	CBS 121430	<i>Prunus armeniaca</i>	South Africa	KY752766	-	-	-	KY752794
	ADSC300	<i>Schinus molle</i>	Australia	HQ692610	-	-	-	HQ692493
	SACEA01	<i>Ceanothus</i> sp.	Australia	HQ692615	-	-	-	HQ692499
	EP18	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	Australia	HQ692611	-	-	-	HQ692501
	CPC 34213	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	Italy	MN078069	-	-	-	MN078073
	CPC 34214	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	Italy	MN078070	-	-	-	MN078074
	CPC 34215	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	Italy	MN078071	-	-	-	MN078075
	CPC 34216	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	Italy	MN078072	-	-	-	MN078076
<i>Eutypa leptoplaca</i>	ADFIC100	<i>Ficus macrophylla</i>	Australia	HQ692608	-	-	-	HQ692485
<i>Eutypa mauna</i>	CBS 219.87	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	Switzerland	AY684224	-	-	-	DQ006967
<i>Eutypa tetragona</i>	CBS 284.87	<i>Sarothamnus scoparius</i>	France	DQ006923	-	-	-	DQ006960
<i>Eutypella citricola</i>	STEU 8098	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	South Africa	KY111634	-	-	-	KY111588
<i>Eutypella microtheca</i>	STEU 8107	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	South Africa	KY111629	-	-	-	KY111608
<i>Eutypella vitis</i>	MSUELM13	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	USA	DQ006943	-	-	-	DQ006999
<i>Liberomyces pistaciae</i>	CBS 144225	<i>Pistacia vera</i>	Italy	MH797562	-	-	-	MH797697
<i>Peroneutypa alsophila</i>	CBS 250.87	<i>Arthrocnemum fruticosum</i>	France	AJ302467	-	-	-	-
<i>Peroneutypa curvispora</i>	HUEFS 136877	unknown	Brazil	KM396646	-	-	-	-
<i>Peroneutypa diminutiasca</i>	MFLUCC 17-2144	unknown	Thailand	MG873479	-	-	-	MH316765
<i>Peroneutypa diminutispora</i>	HUEFS 192196	unknown	Brazil	KM396647	-	-	-	-
<i>Peroneutypa kochiana</i>	F-092.373	<i>Atriplex halimus</i>	Spain	AJ302462	-	-	-	-
<i>Peroneutypa longiasca</i>	MFLUCC 17-0371	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Thailand	MF959502	-	-	-	-
<i>Peroneutypa rubiformis</i>	MFLUCC 17-2142	unknown	Thailand	MG873477	-	-	-	MH316763
<i>Peroneutypa scoparia</i>	DFMAL100	<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>	France	GQ293962	-	-	-	GQ294029
	CBS 242.87	<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>	France	AJ302465	-	-	-	-
	MFLUCC 17-2143	unknown	Thailand	MG873478	-	-	-	MH316764

^a ITS: internal transcribed spacers 1 and 2 together with 5.8S nrDNA; act: actin; tefl: translation elongation factor 1- α gene; rpb2: RNA polymerase second largest subunit; tub2: beta-tubulin. Sequences generated in this study indicated in italics. Ex-type and ex-epitype cultures are indicated in bold.




Figure 2. The first of two equally most parsimonious trees obtained from a heuristic search of the combined ITS, *act*, *tef1* and *rpb2* sequence alignments of *Cytospora* spp. Bootstrap support values are shown at the nodes. The strains isolated in this study are shown in red and the scale bar represents the number of changes. The tree was rooted to *Diaporthe limoncola* (CBS 142549).

studies should investigate the role of propagation material, mechanical injuries and pruning wounds in disease transmission and spread.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by Research Project 2016-2018 “Emergent Pests and Pathogens and Relative sustainable Management Strategies”, financed by University of Catania.

LITERATURE CITED

- Acero F.J., Gonzalez V., Sanchez-Ballesteros J., Rubio V., Checa J., ... Pelaez F., 2004. Molecular phylogenetic studies on the Diatrypeaceae based on rDNA-ITS sequences. *Mycologia* 96: 249–259.
- Aiello D., Gulisano S., Gusella G., Polizzi G., Guarnaccia V., 2018. First report of fruit blight caused by *Arthrinium xenocordella* on *Pistacia vera* in Italy. *Plant Disease* 102: 1853.
- AGRISTAT, 2017. AGRISTAT (Online). Available: http://agri.istat.it/sag_is_pdwout/jsp/dawinci.jsp?q=plC190000030000203200&an=2017&ig=1&ct=270&id=15A|21A|30A.
- Banko T.J., Helton A.W., 1974. *Cytospora* induced changes in stems of *Prunus persica*. *Phytopathology* 64: 899–901.




Figure 3. The first of four equally most parsimonious trees obtained from a heuristic search of the combined ITS, and *tub2* sequence alignments of species belonging to *Eutypa* and other genera of Diatrypeaceae. Bootstrap support values are shown at the nodes. The strains isolated in this study are shown in red and the scale bar represents the number of changes. The tree was rooted to *Liberomyces pistaciae* (CBS 144255).

- Barone E., Marra F.P., 2004. “The Pistachio Industry in Italy: current situation and prospects”. *Nucis* 12: 16–19.
- Barone E., Caruso T., Di Marco L., 1985. Il pistacchio in Sicilia: superfici coltivate e aspetti agronomici. *L'Informatore Agrario* 40: 35–42.
- Carbone I., Kohn L.M., 1999. A method for designing primer sets for the speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. *Mycologia* 91: 553–556.
- Casalichio G., 1963. Le avversità del pistacchio (*Pistacia vera*). *Informatore Fitopatologico* 13: 148–188.
- Corazza L., et al., 1990. Principaux aspects phytopathologiques del la pistache en Italie. *Programme de recherche Agrimed Amélioration génétique de deux espèces de fruits secs méditerranéens: l'amandier et le pistachier*: 319.
- Farr D.F., Rossman A.Y., 2017. Fungal Databases, Syst. Mycol. Microbiol. Lab., ARS, USDA. Retrieved from <https://nt.ars-grin.gov/fungaldatabases/>.
- Frisullo S., Lops F., Carlucci A., Camele I., 1996. Parassiti fungini delle piante dell'Italia meridionale. L'antracnosi del pistacchio. *Informatore Fitopatologico* 46: 45–47.
- Furnitto S., 1984. Un deperimento di piante di pistacchio associato a cancri di *Cytospora terebinthi*. Tesi sperimentale di laurea, Anno accademico 1983–84, Istituto di patologia vegetale, Facoltà di agraria di Catania.
- Glass N.L., Donaldson G.C., 1995. Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify con-

- served genes from lamentous ascomycetes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 61: 1323–1330.
- Greuter W., Poelt J., Raimondo F.M., 1991. A checklist of Sicilian fungi. *Bocconea* 2: 222.
- Guarnaccia V., Crous P.W., 2017. Emerging citrus diseases in Europe caused by species of *Diaporthe*. *IMA Fungus* 8: 317–334.
- Hampson M.C., Sinclair W.A., 1973. Xylem dysfunction in peach caused by *Cytospora leucostoma*. *Phytopathology* 63: 676–681.
- Hillis D.M., Bull J.J., 1993. An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. *Systematic Biology* 42: 182–192.
- Katoh K., Standley D.M., 2013. MAFFT Multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30: 772–780.
- Kumar S., Stecher G., Tamura K., 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger data-sets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33: 1870–1874.
- Lawrence D.P., Holland L.A., Nouri M.T., Travadon R., Abramians A., ... Trouillas F.P., 2018. Molecular phylogeny of *Cytospora* species associated with canker diseases of fruit and nut crops in California, with the descriptions of ten new species and one new combination. *IMA Fungus* 9: 333–370.
- Moyo P., Damm U., Mostert L., Halleen F., 2018a. *Eutypa*, *Eutypella* and *Cryptovalsa* species (Diatrypaceae) associated with *Prunus* species in South Africa. *Plant Disease* 102: 1402–1409.
- Moyo P., Mostert L., Dedekind R., van Jaarsveld W.J., Pierron R., Halleen F., 2018b. First Report of *Eutypa lata* Causing Branch Dieback and Cankers on Cape Willow in South Africa. *Plant Disease* 102: 2033.
- O'Donnell K., Sutton D.A., Rinaldi M.G., Sarver B.A., Balajee S.A., ... Geiser D.M., 2010. Internet-accessible DNA sequence database for identifying fusaria from human and animal infections. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 48: 3708–3718.
- Prodorutti D., Michelo L., Vanblaere T., Gobbin D., Perrot I., 2008. First report of *Eutypa lata* on red currant (*Ribes rubrum*) in Italy. *New Disease Reports* 17: 11.
- Rumbos I.C., 1986. Isolation and identification of *Eutypa lata* from *Pistacia vera* in Greece. *Journal of Phytopathology* 116: 352–357.
- Schilirò E., Privitera S., 1988. Pistacchicoltura siciliana: aspetti fitosanitari. *L'Informatore Agrario*, 4: 85–89.
- Swofford D.L., 2003. *PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods)*, v. 4.0b10. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Tosi L., Natalini G., 2009. First report of *Eutypa lata* causing dieback of olive trees in Italy. *Plant Pathology* 58: 398.
- Vitale S., Avanzato D., Belisario A., 2007. Malattie fungine del pistacchio, possibile ostacolo allo sviluppo della coltivazione nell'Italia centrale. *Frutticoltura* 69: 68–71.
- Vitale S., Aiello D., Guarnaccia V., Luongo L., Galli M., ... Voglmayr H., 2018. *Liberomyces pistaciae* sp. nov., the causal agent of pistachio cankers and decline in Italy. *MycKeys* 40: 29–51.
- White T.J., Bruns T., Lee S., Taylor J.W., 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications (Innis M.A., Gelfand D.H., Sninsky J.J., White T.J., (eds.), Academic Press, San Diego, California, 315–322.