

## APPLYING TWICE A MINIMAX THEOREM

BIAGIO RICCERI

*To Professor Wataru Takahashi, with esteem and friendship, on his 75th birthday*

ABSTRACT. Here is one of the results obtained in this paper: Let  $X, Y$  be two convex sets each in a real vector space, let  $J : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be convex and without global minima in  $X$  and concave in  $Y$ , and let  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be strictly convex. Also, assume that, for some topology on  $X$ ,  $\Phi$  is lower semicontinuous and, for each  $y \in Y$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $J(\cdot, y)$  is lower semicontinuous and  $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda\Phi(\cdot)$  is inf-compact.

Then, for each  $r \in ]\inf_X \Phi, \sup_X \Phi[$  and for each closed set  $S \subseteq X$  satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r]) ,$$

one has

$$\sup_Y \inf_S J = \inf_S \sup_Y J .$$

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A real-valued function  $f$  on a topological space is said to be inf-compact (resp. sup-compact) if  $f^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$  (resp.  $f^{-1}([r, +\infty[)$ ) is compact for all  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ .

A real-valued function  $f$  on a convex set is said to be quasi-concave if  $f^{-1}([r, +\infty[)$  is convex for all  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ .

In [3], we proved two general minimax theorems which, grouped together, can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** ([3], Theorems 1.1 and 1.2). *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y$  a convex set in a Hausdorff real topological vector space and  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  a function such that  $f(\cdot, y)$  is lower semicontinuous, inf-compact and has a unique global minimum for all  $y \in Y$ . Moreover, assume that either, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x, \cdot)$  is continuous and quasi-concave or, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x, \cdot)$  is concave.*

*Then, one has*

$$\sup_Y \inf_X f = \inf_X \sup_Y f .$$

Theorem 1.1 was first proved in the case where  $Y$  is a real interval ([1], [2]) and successively extended to the general case by means of a suitable inductive argument.

In [1], we applied Theorem 1.1 (with  $Y$  a real interval) to obtain a result ([1], Theorem 1) about the following problem: given two functions  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , find

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49J35, 49K35, 49K27, 90C47.

*Key words and phrases.* Minimax theorem, global minimum; iniqueness.

The author has been supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and by the Università degli Studi di Catania, "Piano della Ricerca 2016/2018 Linea di intervento 2".

a interval  $I \subseteq g(X)$  such that, for each  $r \in I$ , the restriction of  $f$  to  $g^{-1}(r)$  has a unique global minimum.

The aim of the present paper is to establish a new minimax theorem (Theorem 2.1) which is the fruit of a joint application of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1 of [1]. So, it follows, essentially, from a double application of Theorem 1.1, as the title stresses.

We then show some consequences of Theorem 2.1.

## 2. RESULTS

In the sequel,  $X$  is a topological space,  $Y$  is a non-empty set,  $J : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $a, b$  are two numbers in  $[0, +\infty]$ , with  $a < b$ .

For  $y \in Y$  and  $\lambda \in [0, +\infty]$ , we denote by  $M_{\lambda, y}$  the set of all global minima of the function  $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda\Phi(\cdot)$  if  $\lambda < +\infty$ , while if  $\lambda = +\infty$ ,  $M_{\lambda, y}$  stands for the empty set. We adopt the conventions  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ ,  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ . We also set

$$\alpha := \sup_{y \in Y} \max \left\{ \inf_X \Phi, \sup_{M_{b, y}} \Phi \right\} ,$$

$$\beta := \inf_{y \in Y} \min \left\{ \sup_X \Phi, \inf_{M_{a, y}} \Phi \right\} .$$

The following assumption will be adopted:

(a)  $Y$  is a convex set in a Hausdorff real topological vector space and either, for each  $x \in X$ , the function  $J(x, \cdot)$  is continuous and quasi-concave, or, for each  $x \in X$ , the function  $J(x, \cdot)$  is concave.

Our main result is as follows:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Besides (a), assume that:*

(a<sub>1</sub>)  $\alpha < \beta$  ;

(a<sub>2</sub>)  $\Phi$  is lower semicontinuous ;

(a<sub>3</sub>) for each  $\lambda \in ]a, b[$  and each  $y \in Y$ , the function  $J(\cdot, y)$  is lower semicontinuous and the function  $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda\Phi(\cdot)$  is inf-compact and admits a unique global minimum in  $X$ .

Then, for each  $r \in ]\alpha, \beta[$  and for each closed set  $S \subseteq X$  satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]) , \quad (2.1)$$

one has

$$\sup_Y \inf_S J = \inf_S \sup_Y J . \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* Since  $r \in ]\alpha, \beta[$ , for each  $y \in Y$ , Theorem 1 of [1] (see Remark 1 of [1]) ensures the existence of  $\lambda_{r, y} \in ]a, b[$  such that the unique global minimum of  $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda_{r, y}\Phi(\cdot)$ , say  $x_{r, y}$ , lies in  $\Phi^{-1}(r)$ . Notice that  $x_{r, y}$  is the only global minimum of the restriction of the function  $J(\cdot, y)$  to  $\Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r])$ . Indeed, if not, there would exist  $u \in \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r])$ , with  $u \neq x_{r, y}$ , such that  $J(u, y) \leq J(x_{r, y}, y)$ . Then, (since  $\lambda_{r, y} > 0$ ) we would have

$$J(u, y) + \lambda_{r, y}\Phi(u) \leq J(x_{r, y}, y) + \lambda_{r, y}\Phi(u) \leq J(x_{r, y}, y) + \lambda_{r, y}r = J(x_{r, y}, y) + \lambda_{r, y}\Phi(x_{r, y})$$

which is absurd. Therefore, since  $S$  satisfies (2.1), the restriction of  $J(\cdot, y)$  to  $S$  has a unique global minimum. Now, observe that, for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\lambda \in ]a, b[$ , one has

$$\{x \in S : J(x, y) \leq \rho\} \subseteq \{x \in X : J(x, y) + \lambda\Phi(x) \leq \rho + \lambda r\} .$$

By assumption, the set on the right-hand side is compact. Hence, the set  $\{x \in S : J(x, y) \leq \rho\}$ , being closed, is compact too. Summarizing: for each  $y \in Y$ , the restriction of the function  $J(\cdot, y)$  to  $S$  is lower semicontinuous, inf-compact and has a unique global minimum. So,  $J|_{S \times Y}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 and hence (2.2) follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** From the above proof, it follows that, when  $X$  is Hausdorff and each sequentially compact subset of  $X$  is compact, Theorem 2.1 is still valid if we replace “lower semicontinuous”, “inf-compact”, “closed” with “sequentially lower semicontinuous”, “sequentially inf-compact”, “sequentially closed”, respectively.

We now draw a series of consequences from Theorem 2.1

**Corollary 2.3.** *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, suppose that  $\beta = \sup_X \Phi$  and that  $\Phi$  has no global maxima. Moreover, suppose that the function  $J(x, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous for all  $x \in X$  and  $J(x_0, \cdot)$  is sup-compact for some  $x_0 \in X$ .*

*Then, one has*

$$\sup_Y \inf_X J = \inf_X \sup_Y J .$$

*Proof.* Clearly, the assumptions imply that

$$X = \bigcup_{\alpha < r < \beta} \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]) .$$

Since the family  $\{\Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r])\}_{r \in ]\alpha, \beta[}$  is filtering with respect to inclusion, the conclusion follows from a joint application of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1 of [3].  $\square$

Another corollary of Theorem 2.1 is as follows:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Besides (a), assume that  $X$  is a convex set in a real vector space and that:*

- (b<sub>1</sub>)  $\Phi$  is lower semicontinuous and strictly convex ;
- (b<sub>2</sub>) for each  $\lambda > 0$  and each  $y \in Y$ , the function  $J(\cdot, y)$  is convex, lower semicontinuous and has no global minima, and the function  $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda\Phi(\cdot)$  is inf-compact.

*Then, for each  $r \in ]\inf_X \Phi, \sup_X \Phi[$  and for each closed set  $S \subseteq X$  satisfying*

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]) ,$$

*one has*

$$\sup_Y \inf_S J = \inf_S \sup_Y J .$$

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 2.1 taking  $a = 0$  and  $b = +\infty$ . So, we have

$$\alpha = \inf_X \Phi$$

as well as

$$\beta = \sup_X \Phi$$

since  $M_{0,y} = \emptyset$  for all  $y \in Y$ . By strict convexity, the function  $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda\Phi(\cdot)$  has a unique global minimum for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ . So, each assumption of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** We stress that, in Corollary 2.4, no relation is required between the considered topology on  $X$  and the algebraic structure of the vector space which contains it.

**Remark 2.6.** In the setting of Corollary 2.4, although  $J$  is convex in  $X$ , we cannot apply the classical Fan-Sion theorem when  $S$  is not convex.

If  $E, F$  are Banach spaces and  $A \subseteq E$ , a function  $\psi : A \rightarrow F$  is said to be  $C^1$  if it is the restriction to  $A$  of a  $C^1$  function on an open convex set containing  $A$ .

A further remarkable corollary of Theorem 2.1 is as follows:

**Corollary 2.7.** *Besides (a), assume that  $X$  is a closed and convex set in a reflexive real Banach space  $E$  and that:*

(c<sub>1</sub>)  $\Phi$  is of class  $C^1$  and there is  $\nu > 0$  such that

$$(\Phi'(x) - \Phi'(u))(x - u) \geq \nu \|x - u\|^2$$

for all  $x, u \in X$  ;

(c<sub>2</sub>) for each  $y \in Y$ , the function  $J(\cdot, y)$  is  $C^1$ , sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and  $J'_x(\cdot, y)$  is Lipschitzian with constant  $L$  (independent of  $y$ ) ;

(c<sub>3</sub>)  $\inf_{y \in Y} \inf_{M_{\frac{L}{\nu}, y}} \Phi > \inf_X \Phi$  .

Then, for each  $r \in ]\inf_X \Phi, \inf_{y \in Y} \inf_{M_{\frac{L}{\nu}, y}} \Phi[$  and for each sequentially weakly closed set  $S \subseteq X$  satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r]) ,$$

one has

$$\sup_Y \inf_S J = \inf_S \sup_Y J .$$

*Proof.* For each  $x, u \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ ,  $\lambda \geq \frac{L}{\nu}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (J'_x(x, y) + \lambda\Phi'(x) - J'_x(u, y) - \lambda\Phi'(u))(x - u) \\ & \geq \lambda\nu \|x - u\|^2 - \|J'_x(x, y) - J'_x(u, y)\|_{E^*} \|x - u\| \geq (\lambda\nu - L) \|x - u\|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the function  $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda\Phi(\cdot)$ , if  $\lambda > \frac{L}{\nu}$ , is strictly convex and coercive when  $X$  is unbounded ([4], pp. 247-249). Hence, if we consider  $X$  with the relative weak topology, we can apply Theorem 2.1 (in the sequential form pointed out in Remark 2.2) taking  $a = \frac{L}{\nu}$  and  $b = +\infty$ , and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

If  $E$  is a normed space, for each  $r > 0$ , we put

$$B_r = \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq r\} .$$

If  $A \subseteq E$ , a function  $f : A \rightarrow E$  is said to be sequentially weakly-strongly continuous if, for each  $x \in A$  and for each sequence  $\{x_k\}$  in  $A$  weakly converging to  $x$ , the sequence  $\{f(x_k)\}$  converges strongly to  $f(x)$ .

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $E$  be a real Hilbert space and let  $X = B_\rho$  for some  $\rho > 0$ . Besides (a) and (c<sub>2</sub>), assume that*

$$\delta := \inf_{y \in Y} \|J'_x(0, y)\| > 0 .$$

*Then, for each  $r \in ]0, \min\{\rho, \frac{\delta}{2L}\}[$ , one has*

$$\sup_Y \inf_{B_r} J = \inf_{B_r} \sup_Y J .$$

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 2.7, taking  $\Phi(x) = \|x\|^2$ . Let  $y \in Y$  and  $\tilde{x} \in M_{\frac{L}{2}, y}$ , with  $\|\tilde{x}\| < \rho$ . Then, we have

$$J'_x(\tilde{x}, y) + L\tilde{x} = 0 .$$

Consequently, in view of (c<sub>2</sub>), we have

$$\|L\tilde{x} + J'_x(0, y)\| \leq \|L\tilde{x}\| .$$

In turn, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, this readily implies that

$$\|\tilde{x}\| \geq \frac{\|J'_x(0, y)\|}{2L} \geq \frac{\delta}{2L} .$$

Therefore, we have the estimate

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in M_{\frac{L}{2}, y}} \|x\| \geq \min \left\{ \rho, \frac{\delta}{2L} \right\}$$

and the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.7.  $\square$

We now apply Corollary 2.8 to a particular function  $J$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $E, X$  be as in Corollary 2.8, let  $Y \subseteq E$  be a closed bounded convex set and let  $f : X \rightarrow E$  be a sequentially weakly-strongly continuous  $C^1$  function whose derivative is Lipschitzian with constant  $\gamma$ . Moreover, let  $\eta$  be the Lipschitz constant of the function  $x \rightarrow x - f(x)$ , set*

$$\theta := \sup_{x \in X} \|f'(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(E)} ,$$

$$L := 2 \left( \eta + \theta + \gamma \left( \rho + \sup_{y \in Y} \|y\| \right) \right)$$

*and assume that*

$$\sigma := \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle f'(0)(u), y \rangle - \langle f(0), u \rangle| > 0 .$$

*Then, for each  $r \in ]0, \min\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\}[$  and for each non-empty closed convex set  $T \subseteq Y$ , there exist  $x^* \in \partial B_r$  and  $y^* \in T$  such that*

$$\|x^* - f(x^*)\|^2 + \|f(x) - y^*\|^2 - \|x - f(x)\|^2 \leq \|f(x^*) - y^*\|^2 = (\text{dist}(f(x^*), T))^2$$

*for all  $x \in B_r$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the function  $J : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$J(x, y) = \|f(x) - x\|^2 - \|f(x) - y\|^2$$

for all  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Clearly, for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $J(\cdot, y)$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and  $C^1$ . Moreover, one has

$$\langle J'_x(x, y), u \rangle = 2\langle x - f(x), u \rangle - 2\langle f'(x)(u), x - y \rangle$$

for all  $x \in X$ ,  $u \in E$ . Fix  $x, v \in X$  and  $u \in E$ , with  $\|u\| = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} |\langle J'_x(x, y) - J'_x(v, y), u \rangle| \\ &= |\langle x - f(x) - v + f(v), u \rangle - \langle f'(x)(u), x - y \rangle + \langle f'(v)(u), v - y \rangle| \\ &\leq \eta \|x - v\| + |\langle f'(x)(u), x - v \rangle + \langle f'(x)(u) - f'(v)(u), v - y \rangle| \\ &\leq \eta \|x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u)\| \|x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u) - f'(v)(u)\| \|v - y\| \\ &\leq \left( \eta + \theta + \gamma \left( \rho + \sup_{y \in Y} \|y\| \right) \right) \|x - v\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the function  $J'(\cdot, y)$  is Lipschitzian with constant  $L$ .

Fix  $r \in ]0, \min\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\}[$  and a non-empty closed convex set  $T \subseteq Y$ . Clearly

$$\inf_{y \in T} \|J'_x(0, y)\| \geq \inf_{y \in Y} \|J'_x(0, y)\| = 2\sigma$$

and

$$\frac{\inf_{y \in T} \|J'_x(0, y)\|}{2L} > r.$$

Then, applying Corollary 2.8 to the restriction of  $J$  to  $B_r \times T$ , we get

$$\sup_T \inf_{B_r} J = \inf_{B_r} \sup_T J.$$

By the weak compactness of  $B_r$  and  $T$ , we then infer the existence of  $x^* \in B_r$  and  $y^* \in T$  such that

$$J(x^*, y) \leq J(x^*, y^*) \leq J(x, y^*)$$

for all  $x \in B_r$ ,  $y \in T$  which is equivalent to the conclusion. To show that  $x^* \in \partial B_r$ , notice that if  $\|x^*\| < r$  then we would have  $J'_x(x^*, y^*) = 0$  and so

$$r < \frac{\sigma}{L} \leq \frac{\|J'_x(0, y^*)\|}{2L} \leq \frac{L\|x^*\|}{2L} < r,$$

an absurd.  $\square$

From Corollary 2.9, in turn, we draw the following characterization about the existence and uniqueness of fixed points:

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let the assumptions of Corollary 2.9 be satisfied.*

*Then, for each  $r \in ]0, \min\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\}[$  such that  $f(B_r) \subseteq Y$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the function  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $B_r$  and this lies in  $\partial B_r$  ;*
- (ii) *the function  $f$  has a fixed point in  $\partial B_r$  ;*
- (iii) *for each  $x \in \partial B_r$  for which  $f(x) \notin B_r$ , there exists  $v \in B_r$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - x\|^2 > \|f(v) - v\|^2 - \|f(v) - f(x)\|^2.$$

*Proof.* The implications (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii) are obvious. So, suppose that (iii) holds. Apply Corollary 2.9 taking  $T = \overline{\text{conv}}(f(B_r))$ . Let  $x^*, y^*$  be as in the conclusion of Corollary 2.9. Then, we have

$$\|f(x^*) - y^*\| = \text{dist}(f(x^*), T) = 0$$

and

$$\|x^* - f(x^*)\|^2 + \|f(x) - f(x^*)\|^2 - \|x - f(x)\|^2 \leq 0 \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x \in B_r$ . Clearly, in view of (iii), we have  $f(x^*) \in B_r$ . So, in particular, (2.3) holds for  $x = f(x^*)$  and this implies that

$$\|x^* - f(x^*)\| \leq 0$$

that is  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $f$  in  $B_r$ . Finally, if  $\tilde{x} \in B_r$  and  $\tilde{x} = f(\tilde{x})$ , from (2.3) it follows that  $f(\tilde{x}) = f(x^*)$ , and so  $\tilde{x} = x^*$ . That is,  $x^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $f$  in  $B_r$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.11.** It is important to notice that, when  $\dim(E) < \infty$ , Corollaries 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 are still valid replacing  $B_r$  with any closed set  $S$  satisfying  $\partial B_r \subseteq S \subseteq B_r$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ricceri, *Well-posedness of constrained minimization problems via saddle-points*, J. Global Optim. **40** (2008), 389–397.
- [2] B. Ricceri, *Multiplicity of global minima for parametrized functions*, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **21** (2010), 47–57.
- [3] B. Ricceri, *On a minimax theorem: an improvement, a new proof and an overview of its applications*, Minimax Theory Appl. **2** (2017), 99–152.
- [4] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, vol. III, Springer, 1985.

*Manuscript received* ,  
*revised* ,

B. RICCERI

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

*E-mail address:* ricceri@dmf.unict.it