



# A logarithmically improved regularity criterion for the Boussinesq equations in a bounded domain

Ahmad M. Alghamdi<sup>1</sup> · Sadek Gala<sup>2,3</sup> · Maria Alessandra Ragusa<sup>3,4</sup>

Received: 24 January 2020 / Accepted: 5 October 2020  
© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

## Abstract

The paper is concerned with the regularity of solutions of the Boussinesq equations for incompressible fluids without heat conductivity. The main goal is to prove a regularity criterion in terms of the vorticity for the initial boundary value problem in a bounded domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with Navier-type boundary conditions and we prove that if

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{BMO(\Omega)}}{\log\left(e + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{BMO(\Omega)}\right)} dt < \infty,$$

where  $\omega := \text{curl } u$  is the vorticity, then the unique local in time smooth solution of the 3D Boussinesq equations can be prolonged up to any finite but arbitrary time.

**Keywords** Boussinesq equations · Bounded domain · Smooth solutions · BMO spaces

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 35Q35 · 76D03

---

This article is part of the section “Applications of PDEs” edited by Hyeonbae Kang.

---

✉ Sadek Gala  
sgala793@gmail.com  
Ahmad M. Alghamdi  
amghamdi@uqu.edu.sa  
Maria Alessandra Ragusa  
mariaalessandra.ragusa@unict.it

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematical Science, Faculty of Applied Science, Umm Alqura University, P. O. Box 14035, Mecca 21955, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, ENS of Mostaganem, Box 227, 27000 Mostaganem, Algeria

<sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania, Viale Andrea Doria, 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

<sup>4</sup> RUDN University, 6 Miklukho, Maklay St, Moscow 117198, Russia

## 1 Introduction and main result.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded, simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\partial\Omega \in C^\infty$  and  $n = (n^1, n^2, n^3)$  be the outward unit normal vector field along boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . In this note, we consider the classical problem of regularity conditions for fluid mechanics equations. Precisely, we consider the initial boundary value problem for the 3D Boussinesq equations without heat conductivity modeling the flow of an incompressible fluid with Navier-type boundary conditions :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = \theta e_3, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \partial_t \theta + (u \cdot \nabla)\theta = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u \cdot n = 0, \quad (\nabla \times u) \times n = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ (u, \theta)(x, 0) = (u_0, \theta_0) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $u = u(x, t)$  and  $\theta = \theta(x, t)$  denote the unknown velocity vector field and the scalar temperature. Initial data  $u_0$  is assumed to satisfy a compatibility condition :  $\nabla \cdot u_0(x) = 0$  in  $\Omega$ .  $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)^t$ .  $\pi = \pi(x, t)$  is the pressure of fluid at the point  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ . The Boussinesq system has important roles in atmospheric sciences (see, e.g., [18]).

When  $\theta = 0$ , (1.1)<sub>1</sub> and (1.1)<sub>3</sub> are the well-known Navier-Stokes system. Giga [13], Kim [15] and Kang and Kim [14] have proved some Serrin type regularity criteria.

These type of regularity results are very well-known in literature and they all started with the improvement of Kozono and Taniuchi [16] of the Beale–Kato–Majda criterion for the 3D Euler equations, namely

$$\int_0^T \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt < \infty.$$

Here *BMO* stands for the space of the bounded mean oscillation.

This paper is an improvement on the results contained in the paper [7, 20]. Precisely, in the Reference [20] it is proved that if

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{\sqrt{\ln(e + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^3)})}} dt < \infty,$$

holds then the unique local in time smooth solution of the Cauchy problem for the 3D Boussinesq equations with thermal diffusivity can be prolonged up to any finite but arbitrary time. On the other hand in the reference [7] it is proved that if

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{BMO(\Omega)} dt < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

then the unique local in time smooth solution of the initial boundary value problem for the 3D Boussinesq equations without thermal diffusivity and with Navier boundary conditions can be prolonged up to any finite but arbitrary time.

One may also refer to some interesting results are devoted to find regularity criteria or prove partial regularity for these equations, such as [8] for Boussinesq equations, and [9–12, 21] for system, in a bounded domain.

Motivated by the result in [7], we will improve (1.2) as

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{BMO(\Omega)}}{\ln\left(e + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{BMO(\Omega)}\right)} dt < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\omega = \nabla \times u$  is the vorticity. More precisely, we will prove

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $(u_0, \theta_0) \in H^3(\Omega) \times W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  with  $3 < q \leq 6$  and  $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $u_0 \cdot n = 0, (\nabla \times u_0) \times n = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $(u, \theta)$  be a strong solution of problem (1.1). If (1.3) holds, then the solution  $(u, \theta)$  can be extended beyond  $T > 0$ .*

**Remark 1.1** This result says that the velocity field of the fluids plays a more dominant role than the temperature  $\theta$  in the regularity theory of the system (1.1). So our theorem is a complement and improvement of the previous results. Therefore, if  $\theta = 0$ , Theorem 1.1 directly yields an alternative proof of logarithmically improved Beale-Kato-Majda type extension criterion for smooth solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, which improves the result in [19].

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove our main result and to this end, we recall some preliminary results which will be used in the proof.

**Lemma 2.1** [4] *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a domain with smooth connected boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $w : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a smooth vector field and let  $1 < s < \infty$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} \Delta w \cdot w |w|^{s-2} dx \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |w|^{s-2} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \frac{4(s-2)}{s^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |w|^{\frac{s}{2}}|^2 dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} |w|^{s-2} (n \cdot \nabla) w \cdot w d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Here  $d\sigma$  denotes the surface measure sur  $\partial\Omega$ .

In addition to the classical integration by parts, in some calculations we will also use the following Gauss–Green formula, where  $\omega = \nabla \times u$ .

**Lemma 2.2** [5] *Assume that  $u$  is divergence-free and that on  $\partial\Omega$  condition (1.1)<sub>4</sub> holds, i.e.  $u \cdot n = 0$  and  $\omega \times n = 0$ . Then*

$$-\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial n} \cdot \omega = (\epsilon_{1jk}\epsilon_{1\beta\gamma} + \epsilon_{2jk}\epsilon_{2\beta\gamma} + \epsilon_{3jk}\epsilon_{3\beta\gamma}) \omega_j \omega_{\beta} \partial_k n_{\gamma}, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  denotes the totally anti-symmetric tensor such that  $(a \times b)_i = \epsilon_{ijk} a_j b_k$ .

We shall often make use of the following

**Lemma 2.3** (See [1], Lemma 7.44 and [17], Corollary 1.7) *Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth and bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then, there is a constant  $C$  depending on  $\Omega$ , such that if  $f \in L^q(\Omega) \cap W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  with  $1 < q < \infty$ , then*

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (2.3)$$

We need the following Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality using *BMO*-norm.

**Lemma 2.4** [3] *Let  $1 \leq r < q < \infty$ . There exists a constant  $C$  depending on  $\Omega$ , such that for every  $f \in L^r(\Omega) \cap BMO(\Omega)$ ,*

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^r(\Omega)}^{\frac{r}{q}} \|f\|_{BMO(\Omega)}^{1-\frac{r}{q}}. \quad (2.4)$$

In the sequel, we will use the classical regularity result for the following Stokes problem, see for instance [2].

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded, simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\partial\Omega \in C^\infty$  and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $m \geq -1$  and  $q \in (1, \infty)$ . For any  $f \in W^{m,q}(\Omega)$ , there exists a unique solution  $(u, \pi)$  of the following Stokes system*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla \pi = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \cdot n = 0, \quad (\nabla \times u) \times n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

such that  $u \in W^{m+2,q}(\Omega)$  and  $\pi \in W^{m+1,q}(\Omega)$ . The solution satisfies the estimate

$$\|u\|_{W^{m+2,q}(\Omega)} + \|\pi\|_{W^{m+1,q}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{m,q}(\Omega)},$$

for some constant  $C$  depending only on  $\Omega$  and  $q$ . In particular, for  $m = 0$ , we have

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\|\nabla \pi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (2.6)$$

We need also the following lemma due to [6], (see Theorem 2.6).

**Lemma 2.6** [6] *Let  $s$  be a non-negative real. If  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  such that  $\Delta u \in H^s(\Omega)$  and such that*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \cdot n = 0, \quad (\nabla \times u) \times n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

then  $u \in H^{s+2}(\Omega)$  and there is a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $u$  such that

$$\|u\|_{H^{s+2}(\Omega)} \leq C \left( \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \right). \tag{2.7}$$

In particular, for  $s = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^3(\Omega)} &\leq C \left( \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \right) \\ &= C \left( \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \times (\nabla \times u)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\omega\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Now we are in a position to prove our main result.

**Proof** We only need to establish a priori estimates. We will show that under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, the  $H^3 \times W^{1,q}$ -norms of both velocity and temperature remain uniformly bounded, hence we can uniquely continue the solution beyond  $T$ , contradicting its maximality.

First we observe that, by standard energy method we have

$$\theta \in L^\infty((0, T); L^q(\Omega)) \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq q \leq \infty, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$u \in L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2((0, T); H^1(\Omega)).$$

Next, we consider the equation for the vorticity  $\omega$  :

$$\partial_t \omega - \Delta \omega + (u \cdot \nabla) \omega = (\omega \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla \times (\theta e_3). \tag{2.10}$$

By multiplying (2.10) by  $\omega|\omega|^{s-2}$  ( $1 < s < \infty$ ), using (1.1)<sub>3</sub>, (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{s} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\omega|^s dx + \int_{\Omega} |\omega|^{s-2} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx + \frac{4(s-2)}{s^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}}|^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} |\omega|^{s-2} (n \cdot \nabla) \omega \cdot \omega d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} \omega \cdot \nabla u \cdot \omega |\omega|^{s-2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \times (\theta e_3) \cdot \omega |\omega|^{s-2} dx \\
 &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\omega|^{s-2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{i\beta\gamma} \right) \omega_j \omega_{\beta} \hat{\partial}_k n_{\gamma} d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} (\omega \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \omega |\omega|^{s-2} dx \\
 &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} (\theta e_3 \times n) \cdot \omega |\omega|^{s-2} d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} (\theta e_3) \cdot \nabla \times (\omega |\omega|^{s-2}) dx \\
 &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\omega|^{s-2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{i\beta\gamma} \right) \omega_j \omega_{\beta} \hat{\partial}_k n_{\gamma} d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} (\omega \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \omega |\omega|^{s-2} dx \\
 &+ \int_{\Omega} (\theta e_3) \cdot |\omega|^{s-2} (\nabla \times \omega) dx + (s-2) \int_{\Omega} (\theta e_3) \cdot |\omega|^{s-3} \nabla |\omega| \times \omega dx \\
 &\leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} |\omega|^s d\sigma + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{s+1}} \|\omega\|_{L^{s+1}}^s + (s-1) \int_{\Omega} |\theta| |\omega|^{s-2} |\nabla \omega| dx \\
 &\leq C \left\| |\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + C \|\omega\|_{L^{s+1}}^{s+1} \\
 &+ (s-1) \|\theta\|_{L^s} \left\| |\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2s}{s-2}}} \left\| |\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}-1} |\nabla \omega| \right\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \left\| |\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla |\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}}\|_{L^2} + C \|\omega\|_{L^s}^s \|\omega\|_{BMO} + 2(s-1)^2 \|\theta\|_{L^s}^2 \|\omega\|_{L^s}^{s-2} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{4} \left\| |\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}-1} |\nabla \omega| \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{2(s-2)}{s^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}}|^2 dx + C \|\omega\|_{L^s}^s (1 + \|\omega\|_{BMO}) \\
 &+ C \|\theta\|_{L^s}^s + C \|\omega\|_{L^s}^s + \frac{1}{4} \left\| |\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}-1} |\nabla \omega| \right\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\omega|^s dx &\leq C(1 + \|\omega\|_{L^s}^s)(1 + \|\omega\|_{BMO}) \\
 &= C(1 + \|\omega\|_{L^s}^s) \frac{1 + \|\omega\|_{BMO}}{\log(e + \|\omega\|_{BMO})} \log(e + \|\omega\|_{BMO}) \\
 &\leq C(1 + \|\omega\|_{L^s}^s) \frac{1 + \|\omega\|_{BMO}}{\log(e + \|\omega\|_{BMO})} \log(e + \|\omega\|_{H^2}) \\
 &\leq C(1 + \|\omega\|_{L^s}^s) \frac{1 + \|\omega\|_{BMO}}{\log(e + \|\omega\|_{BMO})} \log(e + \|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Defining

$$\mathcal{Z}(t) = \sup_{T_* \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla \Delta u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2},$$

the inequality (2.11) implies that

$$\sup_{T_* \leq t \leq T} \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^s}^s \leq C_*(e + \mathcal{Z}(t))^{C\epsilon}, \tag{2.12}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a small constant, such that

$$\int_{T_*}^T \frac{\|\omega\|_{BMO}}{\log(e + \|\omega\|_{BMO})} dt \leq \epsilon.$$

By using the standard energy estimate, we can deduce that

$$\|\omega(\cdot, T_*)\|_{L^s} < \infty.$$

Now, testing (1.1)<sub>1</sub> by  $\partial_t u$ , using (1.1)<sub>3</sub> and (2.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \times u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \partial_t u dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \pi \cdot \partial_t u dx + \int_{\Omega} \theta e_3 \cdot \partial_t u dx \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2} + \|\theta\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 + C \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \|\omega\|_{L^3}^2 + C \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_*(e + \mathcal{Z}(t))^{C\epsilon} + C, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int_{T_*}^t \|\partial_t u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C_*(e + \mathcal{Z}(t))^{C\epsilon}. \tag{2.13}$$

Here we have used the facts

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \cdot \partial_t u dx &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \times (\nabla \times u) \cdot \partial_t u dx = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times u) \cdot \nabla \times (\partial_t u) dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \times u\|_{L^2}^2, \\ \int_{\Omega} \nabla \pi \cdot \partial_t u dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \pi \cdot \partial_t (\nabla \cdot u) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to estimate  $\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}$ . We take the time derivative of first equation in (1.1), we get

$$\partial_t^2 u + (u \cdot \nabla) \partial_t u - \Delta(\partial_t u) + \nabla(\partial_t \pi) = -(\partial_t u \cdot \nabla) u + \partial_t(\theta e_3). \tag{2.14}$$

Multiplying (2.14) by  $\partial_t u$ , using (1.1)<sub>3</sub>, (2.9), (2.12) and (2.13), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times (\partial_t u)|^2 dx \\
 & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega} (\partial_t u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \partial_t u dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla) \partial_t u \cdot \theta e_3 dx \right| \\
 & \leq \|\partial_t u\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + C \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla(\partial_t u)\|_{L^2} \|\theta\|_{L^3} \\
 & \leq \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla(\partial_t u)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times (\partial_t u)|^2 dx + C \|\theta\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\omega\|_{L^3}^2 + C \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2) \|\omega\|_{L^3}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the facts

$$\int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla)(\partial_t u) \cdot (\partial_t u) dx = 0,$$

and also we use the continuity equation (to substitute  $\partial_t \theta$  by  $-(u \cdot \nabla)\theta$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \theta e_3 \cdot \partial_t u dx &= \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla) \theta e_3 \cdot \partial_t u dx \\
 &= - \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla) \partial_t u \cdot \theta e_3 dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} (u \cdot n) (\theta e_3 \cdot \partial_t u) d\sigma,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the integration by parts we used the fact that  $u$  is divergence-free. In addition, the boundary term vanishes since  $(u \cdot n) = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Integrating over  $[T_*, t]$ , using (2.12) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\partial_t u|^2 dx + \int_{T_*}^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times (\partial_t u)(\cdot, \tau)|^2 dx d\tau \leq C_*(e + \mathcal{Z}(t))^{C\epsilon}. \tag{2.15}$$

On the other hand, since  $(u, \pi)$  is a solution of the Stokes system :

$$-\Delta u + \nabla \pi = -\partial_t u - (u \cdot \nabla)u + \theta e_3,$$

thanks to the  $H^2$ -theory of the Stokes system, we obtain by Hölder’s inequality, Sobolev’s inequality, (2.12) and (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u\|_{H^2} &\leq C \|-\Delta u + \nabla \pi\|_{L^2} = C \|\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \theta e_3\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2} + C \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + C \|\theta\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + C \\
 &\leq C_*(e + \mathcal{Z}(t))^{C\epsilon}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Multiplying (2.14) by  $[\nabla(\partial_t \pi) - \Delta(\partial_t u)]$ , using (1.1)<sub>3</sub>, (2.15) and (2.16), we derive



$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times (\partial_t u)|^2 dx \\
 & + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \partial_t \pi - \Delta \partial_t u|^2 dx \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} [(\partial_t \theta) e_3 - (u \cdot \nabla) \partial_t u - (\partial_t u \cdot \nabla) u] \cdot (\nabla \partial_t \pi - \Delta \partial_t u) dx \\
 & = - \int_{\Omega} [(u \cdot \nabla \theta) e_3 + (u \cdot \nabla) \partial_t u + (\partial_t u \cdot \nabla) u] \cdot (\nabla \partial_t \pi - \Delta \partial_t u) dx \\
 & \leq (\|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \partial_t u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \\
 & + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \partial_t \pi - \Delta \partial_t u\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C(\|u\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & + C\|u\|_{H^2}) \|\nabla \partial_t \pi - \Delta \partial_t u\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C(\|u\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2} \\
 & + \|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{H^1} + C\|u\|_{H^2}) \|\nabla \partial_t \pi - \Delta \partial_t u\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C\|u\|_{H^2} (\|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2} + 1) \|\nabla \partial_t \pi - \Delta \partial_t u\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \partial_t \pi - \Delta \partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u\|_{H^2}^2 (\|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2}^2 + 1),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where we have used the fact : since  $\Omega$  is simply connected and  $u \cdot n = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  , then

$$\|\nabla \partial_t u\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|\nabla \cdot (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2}) = C\|\nabla \times (\partial_t u)\|_{L^2}.$$

Integrating (2.17) over  $(T_*, t)$  and using (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times (\partial_t u)|^2 dx + \int_{T_*}^t \int_{\Omega} |(\nabla \partial_t \pi - \Delta \partial_t u)(x, \tau)|^2 dx d\tau \leq C_*(e + \mathcal{Z}(t))^{4C\epsilon}. \tag{2.18}$$

Here we have used the fact

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times (\partial_t u)(x, T_*)|^2 dx < \infty,$$

by the standard energy method.

On the other hand, it follows from (2.8), (2.10), (2.12), (2.16) and (2.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u\|_{H^3} & \leq C(\|u\|_{L^2} + \|\omega\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}) \leq C(1 + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}) \\
 & \leq C(1 + \|\partial_t \omega + (u \cdot \nabla) \omega - (\omega \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla \times (\theta e_3)\|_{L^2}) \\
 & \leq C(1 + \|\partial_t \omega\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2} + \|\omega\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}) \\
 & \leq C + C\|\partial_t \omega\|_{L^2} + C\|u\|_{H^2}^2 \\
 & \leq C_*(e + \mathcal{Z}(t))^{4C\epsilon}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^3(\Omega))} \leq C. \quad (2.19)$$

By taking the gradient of the continuity equation in (1.1), we get the equation

$$\nabla(\partial_t \theta) + (u \cdot \nabla)(\nabla \theta) = -(\nabla u)(\nabla \theta). \quad (2.20)$$

By multiplying (2.20) by  $\nabla \theta |\nabla \theta|^{q-2}$  for  $q > 3$  and by integrating by parts, we obtain the following differential inequality

$$\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta|^q dx \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta|^q dx \leq C \|u\|_{H^3} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta|^q dx. \quad (2.21)$$

Then, by integrating the above differential inequality with respect to time and by using (2.19), we get that there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $q$  such that

$$\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\Omega))} \leq C \quad \text{with } 3 < q \leq 6.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Acknowledgements** The part of the work was carried out while the second author was long-term visitor at University of Catania. The hospitality and support of Catania University are graciously acknowledged. The last author wish to thank the support of the RUDN University program 5-100. The authors would like to thank the referees for pointing out some typos and making helpful suggestions.

## References

1. Adams, R.A., Fournier, J.J.F.: Sobolev Spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 140, 2nd edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2003)
2. Amrouche, C., Seloula, N.E.H.: Stokes equations and elliptic systems with nonstandard boundary conditions. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I **349**(11–12), 703–708 (2011)
3. Azzam, J., Bedrossian, J.: Bounded mean oscillation and the uniqueness of active scalar equations. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **397**, 3095–3118 (2015)
4. Beirão da Veiga, H., Cripio, F.: Sharp inviscid limit results under Navier type boundary conditions. An  $L^p$  theory. J. Math. Fluid Mech. **12**, 397–411 (2010)
5. Beirão da Veiga, H., Berselli, L.C.: Navier-Stokes equations : Green’s matrices, vorticity direction, and regularity up to the boundary. J. Differ. Equ. **246**, 597–628 (2009)
6. Bendali, A., Dominguez, J.M., Gallic, S.: A variational approach for the vector potential formulation of the Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems in three dimensional domains. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **107**, 537–560 (1985)
7. Fan, J., Sun, W., Yin, J.: Blow-up criteria for Boussinesq system and MHD system and Landau-Lifshitz equations in a bounded domain. Bound. Value Probl. **2016**, 90 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-016-0598-3>
8. Fan, J., Zhou, Y.: A note on regularity criterion for the 3D Boussinesq system with partial viscosity. Appl. Math. Lett. **22**, 802–805 (2009)
9. Fan, J., Jia, X., Zhou, Y.: A logarithmic regularity criterion for 3D Navier-Stokes system in a bounded domain. Appl. Math. **64**, 397–407 (2019)
10. Fan, J., Fukumoto, Y., Zhou, Y.: Logarithmically improved regularity criteria for the generalized Navier-Stokes and related equations. Kinet. Relat. Models **6**(3), 545–556 (2013)
11. Fan, J., Jiang, S., Nakamura, G., Zhou, Y.: Logarithmically improved regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes and MHD equations. J. Math. Fluid Mech. **13**, 557–571 (2011)
12. Fan, J., Zhang, Z., Zhou, Y.: Regularity criteria for a Ginzburg-Landau-Navier-Stokes in a bounded domain. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **43**, 1009–1024 (2020)
13. Giga, Y.: Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in  $L^p$  and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system. J. Differ. Equ. **62**, 186–212 (1986)

14. Kang, K., Kim, J.: Regularity criteria of the magnetohydrodynamic equations in bounded domains or a half space. *J. Differ. Equ.* **253**, 764–794 (2012)
15. Kim, H.: A blow-up criterion for the nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **37**, 1417–1434 (2006)
16. Kozono, H., Taniuchi, Y.: Limiting case of the Sobolev inequality in BMO, with application to the Euler equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **214**, 191–200 (2000)
17. Lunardi, A.: *Interpolation theory*, 2nd edn. Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2009. xiv+191 pp. (distributed by Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel). Third edition. *Appunti. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (Nuova Serie) [Lecture Notes. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (New Series)]*, 16. Edizioni della Normale, Pisa (2018)
18. Majda, A.: Introduction to PDEs and waves for the atmosphere and ocean. In: *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 9, AMS/CIMS (2003)
19. Nakao, K., Taniuchi, Y.: An alternative proof of logarithmically improved Beale-Kato-Majda type extension criterion for smooth solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **176**, 48–55 (2018)
20. Yang, X., Zhang, L.: BKM’s criterion of weak solutions for the 3D Boussinesq equations. *J. Part. Diff. Equ.* **27**, 64–73 (2014)
21. Zhou, Y., Fan, J.: Logarithmically improved regularity criteria for the 3D viscous MHD equations. *Forum Math.* **24**, 691–708 (2012)