

Global attitudes in the management of acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic: ACIE Appy Study

B. Ielpo¹, M. Podda⁴, G. Pellino^{2,5}, F. Pata^{6,7}, R. Caruso³, G. Gravante⁸ and S. Di Saverio⁹, on behalf of the ACIE Appy Study Collaborative*

¹Department of Surgery, Hepatopancreatobiliary Unit, University Hospital Leon, Leon, ²Colorectal Surgery, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Barcelona, ³Hospital Universitario HM Sanchinarro, Madrid, Spain,⁴Department of General and Emergency Surgery, Cagliari University Hospital, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria, Cagliari, ⁵Department of Advanced Medical and Surgical Sciences, Universitá degli Studi della Campania 'Luigi Vanvitelli', Naples, ⁶General Surgery Unit, Nicola Giannettasio Hospital, Corigliano-Rossano, ⁷Department of General Surgery, La Sapienza University, Rome, ⁸Department of General Surgery, Ospedale 'Francesco Ferrari', Casarano, ⁹Department of General Surgery, University of Insubria, University Hospital of Varese, ASST Sette Laghi, Regione Lombardia Varese, Italy

Correspondence to: Dr B. Ielpo, Department of Surgery, Hepatopancreatobiliary Unit, University Hospital Leon, Leon, Spain (e-mail: ielpo.b@gmail.com; @ @IelpoB, @ACIEuro)

Background: Surgical strategies are being adapted to face the COVID-19 pandemic. Recommendations on the management of acute appendicitis have been based on expert opinion, but very little evidence is available. This study addressed that dearth with a snapshot of worldwide approaches to appendicitis.

Methods: The Association of Italian Surgeons in Europe designed an online survey to assess the current attitude of surgeons globally regarding the management of patients with acute appendicitis during the pandemic. Questions were divided into baseline information, hospital organization and screening, personal protective equipment, management and surgical approach, and patient presentation before *versus* during the pandemic.

Results: Of 744 answers, 709 (from 66 countries) were complete and were included in the analysis. Most hospitals were treating both patients with and those without COVID. There was variation in screening indications and modality used, with chest X-ray plus molecular testing (PCR) being the commonest (19·8 per cent). Conservative management of complicated and uncomplicated appendicitis was used by 6·6 and 2·4 per cent respectively before, but 23·7 and 5·3 per cent, during the pandemic (both P < 0.001). One-third changed their approach from laparoscopic to open surgery owing to the popular (but evidence-lacking) advice from expert groups during the initial phase of the pandemic. No agreement on how to filter surgical smoke plume during laparoscopy was identified. There was an overall reduction in the number of patients admitted with appendicitis and one-third felt that patients who did present had more severe appendicitis than they usually observe.

Conclusion: Conservative management of mild appendicitis has been possible during the pandemic. The fact that some surgeons switched to open appendicectomy may reflect the poor guidelines that emanated in the early phase of SARS-CoV-2.

*Members of the ACIE Appy Study Collaborative are co-authors of this study and are listed in *Appendix S1* (supporting information)

Paper accepted 23 July 2020 Published online in Wiley Online Library (www.bjs.co.uk). **DOI:** 10.1002/bjs.11999

Introduction

Since the first cases of an unusual pneumonia were described in China during late December 2019, the new coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which causes COVID-19, has spread rapidly worldwide. On 11 March 2020, COVID-19 disease was declared a pandemic infection by the WHO.

deaths have been reported globally¹. Healthcare systems adopted specific measures to pre-

serve hospital capacity, increase ICU beds and create COVID-19 units, including the postponement of all non-oncological elective procedures². Furthermore, in light of preliminary data³ reporting a high perioperative

As of 2 June 2020, 6194533 confirmed cases and 376320

mortality rate (20-5 per cent) among patients operated in the incubation phase of COVID-19, several surgical societies^{4–6} globally recommended a safe approach even in emergency surgery, with implementation of non-operative management (NOM) whenever possible, including for acute appendicitis. Other recommendations included selective use of minimally invasive surgery (MIS), and the use of ultrafiltration systems for carbon dioxide filtering and evacuation during laparoscopy^{2,3,6,7}. However, given the lack of availability of ultrafiltration systems, the paucity of personal protective equipment (PPE), the shortage of surgical workforce, and the impossibility of routine testing of all patients, a trend towards a more conservative attitude may have occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Approximately 300 000 people undergo appendicectomy annually in the USA⁸. According to a recent meta-analysis⁹ on the topic, the most recently reported incidence of acute appendicitis is approximately 98 per 100 000 individuals per year in the USA. Therefore, it could be estimated that around 322 000 patients might have suffered from acute appendicitis in 2019 in the USA¹⁰. In other words, should the state of emergency last 2 months, in the USA alone, approximately 54 000 patients would be affected, which would rise to 80 000 in the event of prolongation of the state of emergency for an additional month.

Given the rapidly evolving situation and the absence of evidence to support recommendations during the COVID-19 pandemic, it is useful to assess how the current situation is influencing the management of patients with acute appendicitis, as no definitive conclusions can be drawn at present.

The aim of this global, multicentre study survey was to explore whether the strategies for management and choice of surgical approach for patients admitted for acute appendicitis have changed during the pandemic among a large pool of respondents from several countries, and, if so, how.

Methods

The Association of Italian Surgeons in Europe (Associazione Chirurghi Italiani in Europa, ACIE) working group conducted an internet-based survey to investigate how the COVID-19 pandemic changed the clinical decision for patients with acute appendicitis. The data sample came from different surgeons and trainees working in general surgery units across Europe, Asia, Africa, Oceania, North and South America. Survey respondents were informed of the purpose of the study and their participation remained voluntary as no incentives were offered to participants.

Questionnaire development and composition

The Steering Committee developed the questionnaire using web-based and remote discussion and brainstorming, after identifying the components and topics to include. The technical functionality of the electronic questionnaire was tested before the invitations were sent. Baseline information on respondents, along with names and locations of surgical units, were stored with the questionnaire. Once agreement had been reached, the questionnaire (The COVID-19 Appy Study Form) was completed using Google Form survey software (Google, Mountain View, California, USA).

The questionnaire has five sections and includes 40 questions (*Table S1*, supporting information). Only closed-ended questions were used. The first four sections include general questions about the hospital organization, screening policies, PPE, and personal attitudes about the management of acute appendicitis. The final section focuses on the real-life analysis of presentation and management strategies for patients with acute appendicitis before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Uncomplicated appendicitis was defined as appendicitis without an abscess, whereas complicated appendicitis included the presence of an intra-abdominal abscess. NOM was defined as conservative management with antibiotics; this could include percutaneous abscess drainage.

The list of alternatives for each quantitative question included the following categories: 25 per cent or less, 26–50, 51–75 and 76–100 per cent. The Steering Committee decided to use ranges of predetermined percentages to allow easier aggregation and analysis of the information collected.

The estimated time needed to complete the survey was 8-10 min. The aim was to define the current status of the management of acute appendicitis compared with that in the interval before the pandemic. The respondents were invited to disclose their hospital and country of practice.

Study circulation

On 8 April 2020, the questionnaire was made available online and was open for completion until 15 April 2020. The link (https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/ 1FAIpQLSfVelIe3yrEZRZx5FebUYMCrxzC3WqYi3GN nOuN8jjRPyO9ZA/formResponse) was circulated by means of personal e-mail invitations, and was shared on social media (LinkedIn, Twitter, Facebook, WhatsApp groups) by members of the Steering Committee.



a Chart showing percentage of participants from each country and b map showing global distribution of respondents.

Data handling and extraction

A member of the Steering Committee downloaded the questionnaires and shared them with the other members for data analysis and discussion. Multiple entries from the same individual or members of the same surgical unit were sought manually and eliminated if contradictory findings were observed.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables are reported using counts and percentages. Data from the surveys were compared using 4×2 contingency tables and analysed by means of the χ^2 test. P < 0.050 was considered statistically significant. SPSS[®] version 22 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA) was used for the statistical analysis.

Results

Overall, 744 answers were received; after removing those that were incomplete, 709 were included from 66 countries. The distribution of respondents by country of origin is shown in Fig. 1. Most respondents were from countries that were the most affected at the time of the survey; almost half of the answers were returned from Spain and Italy. Some 69.9 per cent of respondents were consultant/attending surgeons, 22.7 per cent trainees/residents and 6.9 per cent fellows. General surgeons had a higher rate of participation (57.6 per cent) than colorectal (22.9 per cent), hepatopancreatobiliary (9.9 per cent), upper gastrointestinal (6.3 per cent) and paediatric (3.3 per cent) surgeons (Table S2, supporting information). Baseline information about the national health system and type of hospital in which each of the survey participants reported working is shown in Fig. 2.

Hospital organization and screening policies

Some 8.9 per cent of participants declared that their hospital was exclusively dedicated to patients with COVID-19, whereas 83.1 per cent reported restricted COVID-19 areas, and 8.0 per cent do not treat patients with COVID-19. The majority of respondents (51.0 per cent) reported that only patients with respiratory symptoms or suspected of having SARS-CoV-2 infection are screened before surgery for acute appendicitis; 37.4 per cent routinely screen all patients before surgery, whereas 11.6 per cent of respondents declared that they do not test under any circumstances.

Surgeons who stated that they screen patients with acute appendicitis before surgery adopted the following protocols: chest X-ray (7·3 per cent), chest X-ray and serology (6·3 per cent), chest X-ray and PCR (19·8 per cent), chest CT (13·9 per cent), chest CT and serology (6·7 per cent), chest CT and PCR (18·1 per cent), serology alone



(1.4 per cent), PCR alone (17.2 per cent) and rapid test (9.3 per cent).

Overall, 28.2 per cent of respondents reported that patients tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 after surgery, with 21.3 per cent reporting that this occurred in 1-5 per cent of patients at their centre, 2.8 per cent in 6-10 per cent, and 4.1 per cent in more than 10 per cent of patients.

Screening policies according to the country in which the respondents practise are shown in *Appendix S2* (supporting information). In Spain, the UK and Italy, more than 50 per cent of respondents screened all patients, irrespective of clinical symptoms. In other countries, such as Brazil, the USA, Mexico and France, the most frequent trend has been to test patients only in the presence of respiratory symptoms; 17·2 per cent of respondents from the USA, 35·9 per cent from Mexico, 15·8 per cent from France and

Table 1 Changes in use of personal protective equipment during COVID-19 pandemic, according to patient SARS-CoV-2 status					
	% of respondents				
	Patients who tested negative for COVID-19	Patients not tested for COVID-19	Patients who tested positive for COVID-19		
No changes	37.9	18.1	4.1		
FFP2/FFP3 face mask	10.2	10.6	4.3		
N95 face mask	6.4	6.0	1.9		
Goggles	3.4	2.4	0.4		
FFP2/FFP3 face mask and goggles	24.0	40.1	56.3		
N95 mask and goggles	18.0	22.6	33.0		

Table 2 Patient presentation and management of acute appendicitis before and during COVID-19 pandemic					
	% of respondents				
	Before COVID-19	During COVID-19	P*		
How do you manage uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess)?			< 0.001		
Non-operative management with antibiotics	6.6	23.7			
Decision by individual patient	29.0	38.8			
Straightforward laparoscopic appendicectomy	57.2	22.5			
Straightforward open appendicectomy	7.2	15.0			
How do you manage complicated acute appendicitis (abscess)?			< 0.001		
Non-operative management with antibiotics	2.4	5.3			
Non-operative management with antibiotics and percutaneous drainage	21.1	32.9			
Straightforward laparoscopic appendicectomy	62.5	33.7			
Straightforward open appendicectomy	14.0	28.1			
How many patients with acute appendicitis are referred to your hospital per month?			< 0.001		
<5	13.3	39.3			
5–9	26.9	33.5			
10–20	27.0	16.7			
>20	32.8	10.5			
In what percentage of patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess) is non-operative management with antibiotics used at your hospital?			< 0.001		
≤25	79.3	60.1			
26-50	11.8	16.2			
51-75	6.6	11.6			
76–100	2.3	12.1			
What percentage of patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess) treated conservatively with antibiotics are sent home and followed up at the outpatient clinic at your hospital?			< 0.001		
≤25	78.2	67.5			
26–50	10.9	12.9			
51-75	5.7	9.8			
76–100	5.2	9.8			
What percentage of patients with complicated acute appendicitis (abscess) undergo conservative treatment with antibiotics +/- percutaneous drainage at your hospital?			0.001		
≤25	77.3	68.4			
26–50	10.8	12.5			
51-75	5.6	9.3			
76–100	6.3	9.8			
What percentage of patients with acute appendicitis treated with surgery undergo open appendicectomy at your hospital?			< 0.001		
≤25	73.6	53.8			
26–50	8.7	13.7			
51-75	8.6	9.8			
76–100	9.1	22.7			

 $^{*}\chi^{2}$ test.

13.3 per cent from Brazil did not routinely screen patients with appendicitis for SARS-CoV-2.

Personal protective equipment

Table 1 shows changes in the use of PPE. Most surgeons (37.9 per cent) did not change their use of PPE in COVID-19-negative patients; the remainder adopted some measures that are not usually used, the commonest being use of face masks and goggles (24.0 per cent). In COVID-19-positive patients, 4.1 per cent of surgeons stated that no changes were adopted for operative protection, 4.3 per cent reported use of an FFP2/FFP3 face mask, 1.9 per cent an N95 face mask, 0.4 per cent goggles, 56.3 per cent a FFP2/FFP3 face mask and goggles, and 33.0 per cent an N95 face mask and goggles.



a Uncomplicated and b complicated acute appendicitis. NOM, non-operative management.

In treatment of COVID-19 patients who were not tested for COVID-19, 40·1 per cent reported using an FFP2/FFP3 face mask and goggles, 22·6 per cent an N95 face mask and goggles, 10·6 per cent an FFP2/FFP3 mask, 6 per cent an N95 mask and 2·4 per cent goggles alone; 18·1 per cent did not use PPE.

Personal attitude: operative *versus* non-operative management of acute appendicitis

In patients with uncomplicated appendicitis (no right iliac fossa abscess), 28.5 per cent of the surgeons changed their attitude during the COVID-19 pandemic: of these, 15.6 per cent did so in COVID-19-positive and untested patients, and only 13.2 per cent in COVID-19-positive patients; 42.7 per cent did not change their conduct at all. In the event of appendicitis complicated by right iliac fossa abscess, 24.6 per cent changed their attitude only in COVID-19-positive patients and 47.1 per cent did not change their attitude at all. Approximately 22 per cent of the respondents declared that they would change their attitude from surgery to NOM with antibiotics, or vice versa, if they had the chance to test all patients before surgery; 17.5 per cent stated that they already test all patients, whereas 26.9 per cent stated that they would have changed their attitude only if quick tests or PCR were available.

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, 6.6 per cent of the respondents adopted NOM with antibiotics for patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis, compared with 23.7 per cent during the pandemic (P < 0.001) (*Table 2*). Regarding complicated acute appendicitis, NOM was used by 2.4 and 5.3 per cent before and during the pandemic, and percutaneous drainage by 21.1 *versus* 32.9 per cent, respectively (P < 0.001) (*Table 2* and *Fig. 3*).

Personal attitude: surgical approach

A total of 39.0 per cent of respondents changed their standard surgical approach from laparoscopic to open (36.6 per cent) or from open to laparoscopic (2.4 per cent) during the pandemic. *Fig. 4* shows how the rate of open appendicectomy changed from before to during the pandemic globally.

The preferred surgical approach and associated safety measures being adopted are summarized in *Table 3*. Some 30·1 and 28·0 per cent of surgeons prefer open appendicectomy in COVID-19-positive and untested patients respectively. Specific devices to filter surgical plumes are used by 43·0 per cent of respondents in COVID-19-positive and by 17·0 per cent in untested patients, whereas no filtering



Values are mean number of participants performing open appendicectomy.

systems for carbon dioxide are being used in 6.2 per cent and 49.4 per cent respectively. If any smoke evacuation system with filters is being used, 32.8 per cent of surgeons use commercially available systems (*Table 3*).

A straightforward open appendicectomy for uncomplicated appendicitis was used by 7.2 per cent of participants before and 15.0 per cent during the pandemic; for complicated appendicitis, this approach was used by 14.0 per cent before and 28.1 per cent during the pandemic (P < 0.001) (*Table 2* and *Fig. 3*). In all, 76.4 per cent of surgeons who took part in the survey were confident in performing open appendicectomy, whereas 15.8 per cent preferred supervision by someone with experience in open appendicectomy.

Patient presentation before and during pandemic at participants' institutions

Before the pandemic, 32.8 per cent of surgeons stated that more than 20 patients per month were usually referred to their hospital with acute appendicitis, compared with only

Table 3 Surgical approach for acute appendicitis and aspiration of smoke plumes					
	% of respondents				
	COVID-19 positive	Untested patients			
Surgical approach					
Always open surgery, personal preference	30.1	28.0			
Laparoscopic surgery without specific devices for protection and smoke evacuation	6.2	49.4			
Laparoscopic surgery with specific devices for protection and smoke evacuation	43.0	17.0			
I would use laparoscopy, but do not have devices for pneumoperitoneum/smoke evacuation	20.7	5.6			
Systems to filter surgical smoke					
If laparoscopic appendicectomy is performed, do you use any filter system?					
Yes	37.8				
Yes, only in COVID-19-positive patients	11.9				
Yes, only in COVID-19-positive or untested patients	24.3				
No	26.0				
If any smoke evacuation system is used, which type of device do you use?					
Commercially available	32.8				
Commercially available with filtration connected to a container with water	7.7				
Commercially available with filtration connected to a sealed container	22.0				
Home-made	11.9				
Home-made with filtration connected to a container with water	14.0				
Home-made with filtration connected to a sealed container	11.6				

10.5 per cent reported during the pandemic (P < 0.001) (*Table 2* and *Fig. 5*). According to 34.3 per cent of participants, patients had more advanced disease features at presentation during the COVID-19 emergency.

Only 8.9 per cent of the respondents reported that NOM was being used in over half of procedures for uncomplicated appendicitis at their institution before compared with 23.7 per cent during the COVID-19 pandemic (P < 0.001) (*Table 2*). The percentage of respondents reporting that their institution treated uncomplicated appendicitis with antibiotics at home and followed up at the outpatient clinic in almost all patients (76–100 per cent) increased from 5.2 per cent before to 9.8 per cent during the pandemic (P < 0.001). Similar trends in use of NOM with antibiotics with or without percutaneous drainage were observed in patients with complicated appendicitis, with 11.9 *versus* 19.1 per cent of the respondents' institutions using it in more than 50 per cent of cases before *versus* during the pandemic (P = 0.001) (*Table 2*).

Regarding surgical technique, the proportion of centres using open appendicectomy in more than 50 per cent of patients increased from 17.7 to 32.5 per cent during the pandemic (P < 0.0001) (*Table 2*).

Subgroup analyses

Country-specific subgroup analyses are shown in *Appendix S2* (supporting information).

Discussion

Given the lack of available data about the management of acute appendicitis during the COVID-19 pandemic, the authors decided to conduct the first worldwide survey about its current management. This survey showed a high degree of variation among the policies used for screening patients (indications and modalities) with acute appendicitis, as well as different attitudes to management of the condition.

Since the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic in Europe, several guidelines and recommendations^{4,6,11–13} have been released to support the decision-making process in surgery. The overall level of evidence is low, with many recommendations based on expert opinion and case series. Even though substantial agreement exists on many issues, some aspects remain controversial.

Delivering a surgical service in a safe manner is a key factor in the response to a pandemic. According to this survey, 18·1 per cent of surgeons have not changed their use of PPE when treating untested patients, and 4·1 per cent are not using protective measures even for COVID-19-positive patients. These figures might be justified by the shortage of PPE. Of note, 37·9 per cent of surgeons have not changed their PPE when treating COVID-19-negative patients, which is reasonable given the possibility of false-positive results. The results confirm the current uncertainty concerning PPE use in the context of the COVID-19 crisis.



The availability of PPE can influence the perceived safety and fears of surgeons working under such stressful conditions¹⁴. This should be addressed in detail, considering that some countries have not yet reached the peak of the pandemic and additional waves of COVID-19 have been anticipated in the near future.

Most hospitals have been treating both patients with COVID-19 and those without, but the screening policies for patients with appendicitis vary widely between centres. Screening all emergency patients for SARS-CoV-2 is advisable before surgery, whenever possible⁴. However, half of the respondents are only screening patients with respiratory symptoms or suspected infection. This raises concerns, as data on asymptomatic patients suggest that postoperative outcomes are poor, with high complication and mortality rates³. Approximately 12 per cent of participants have not been screening emergency patients at all. This is deeply worrisome, considering that 28.2 per cent of respondents reported that at least one patient tested positive after surgery, and this occurred in more than 10 per cent of cases according to 4.1 per cent of respondents. Furthermore, given the recently reported data that patients with COVID-19 may have a worse postoperative outcome, it is paramount to test patients before any surgery, especially in an emergency setting where the risk of complications may be increased³. The high proportion of respondents from countries such as Mexico and the UK that did not test patients routinely might have been responsible for the course of COVID-19 observed in these countries (Appendix S2, supporting information).

Guidelines for screening and testing continue to evolve as knowledge of the pandemic improves and the availability of testing kits increases. According to the latest Chinese guidelines¹⁵, the diagnosis of COVID-19 must be confirmed by one of the following: real-time reverse transcriptase–PCR; viral gene identified by gene sequencing highly homologous with SARS-CoV-2; or SARS-CoV-2-specific IgM and IgG. Several studies have suggested that the majority of patients develop an antibody response only in the second week after onset of symptoms, thereby limiting the usefulness of antibody testing for early diagnosis¹⁶. The role of chest CT is debated. The American College of Radiology¹⁷ recommends not using chest CT for screening COVID-19, and reserving it for hospitalized patients, when needed for management. Some societies recommend against the use of chest CT in screening for COVID-19¹⁸, whereas others suggest that it can be used in emergency settings when it is not possible to wait for the results of a PCR test⁴. When assessing screening modalities, disagreement was noted among respondents. Most participants used chest X-ray plus PCR (19.8 per cent) or PCR alone (17.2 per cent). Some 13.9 per cent used only chest CT, whereas 7.3 per cent used chest X-ray alone. Clearer guidance about testing is desirable.

Although there is no evidence that SARS-CoV-2 could spread by aerosolization by both pneumoperitoneum and smoke during MIS, the risk cannot be ruled out at present. Some data on hepatitis B virus (HBV)-positive patients suggested that HBV could be detected in surgical smoke during MIS¹⁹. Even if the risk is hypothetical with SARS-CoV-2, some have suggested that this should be prioritized over the benefits of laparoscopy. These considerations justify some discrepancies among current guidelines. Contradictions can be found in recommendations from the same surgical society; the American College of Surgeons has emphasized the benefits of laparoscopic appendicectomy as an outpatient procedure in patients with failed NOM in a guideline¹², but suggested that laparoscopy should be avoided in another document about the optimal protection for surgeons²⁰.

On the other hand, the current British Intercollegiate General Surgery¹¹ guidance on COVID-19 suggests that

laparoscopy should be considered only in selected patients in whom the clinical benefit for the patient substantially outweighs the risk of potential viral transmission. Whenever possible, NOM should be considered; open appendicectomy is recommended if NOM is not feasible. Because ultrafiltration devices can be difficult to implement, erring on the side of safety may be the best option in the current situation²¹.

The benefits of laparoscopic appendicectomy should also be considered, including the possibility of performing surgery as an outpatient procedure²², shorter hospital stay, lower incidence of surgical-site infections and faster recovery compared with the open technique^{23,24}. These are promising features during an outbreak, where hospital capacity and resources are limited. Interestingly, most of the respondents did not change their attitude to the management of acute appendicitis, but approximately one in three changed the approach from laparoscopic to open. Almost one-third of the participants reported performing open appendicectomy in all patients with COVID-19, but 43.0 per cent of these would use laparoscopy if the devices for smoke filtering were available at their centre. Special attention should be paid to the establishment and evacuation of pneumoperitoneum, and liberal use of suction devices to remove smoke and aerosol during operations by means of an ultrafiltration system (smoke evacuation or filtration), especially before converting from laparoscopy to open surgery^{25,26}. Moreover, intraoperative pneumoperitoneum pressure and carbon dioxide ventilation should be kept at the lowest possible levels without compromising exposure of the surgical field in order to minimize the effect of pneumoperitoneum on lung function and circulation, in an effort to reduce susceptibility to pathogens. Incisions for ports should be as small as possible to avoid leakage around ports⁶. Among those using systems to filter smoke, less than one-third of respondents reported using commercially available devices.

However, 26.0 per cent reported that they are performing laparoscopic appendicectomy with no devices to filter carbon dioxide (*Table 3*). Half of the respondents (49.4 per cent) use laparoscopy in untested patients. The finding that 4.1 per cent of respondents declared they had not changed their operational protection measures in COVID-19-positive patients is a finding that deserves thorough reflection. The importance of applying adequate measures is highlighted by the fact that almost 30 per cent of patients tested positive after surgery in the present study. Filtering the pneumoperitoneum through filters able to remove most viral particles is highly recommended²⁵. Considering that COVID-19 virus particles range in size from 0.06 to 0.14 µm, surgeons might be aware that not all smoke filters can effectively filter them. Ultralow particulate air (ULPA) filters are extremely efficient at filtering SARS-CoV-2. According to the ISO standard 29463 (issued to harmonize European Standard EN 1822 and US MIL-STD-282), a ULPA filter must have at least 99·9995 per cent efficiency at filtering particles with a most penetrating particle size (MMPS) of $0.12 \,\mu\text{m}$. The MMPS is the particle at which the filter is less efficient. Smaller particles are filtered with even lower efficiency. Therefore, the authors' advice is to check that the filter is appropriate ($0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$) before undertaking laparoscopic surgery as well as performing a test of insufflation and smoke evacuation before use. Appropriate equipment and understanding are paramount in mitigating the risk of aerosolization.

It is worth considering that evacuation of smoke might be easier with laparoscopy than with open surgery⁴, if adequate measures are adopted. Although the evidence is poor, there are some concerns that the risk of virus aerosolization is higher during the open approach as smoke generated from electrocautery is more difficult to capture. Very few respondents reported a change to their usual management from open to laparoscopic appendicectomy. Conversely, the proportion of centres that performed 76–100 per cent of appendicectomies by an open approach increased from 9·1 per cent before to 22·7 per cent during the pandemic.

A potential issue that has been raised recently is the ability of training programmes to provide the skills to perform open appendicectomy proficiently and safely during recent years²⁷. Most of the respondents were confident in performing open appendicectomy, excluding the possibility that this factor might have influenced their decision.

Also to be taken into consideration is the finding that there might have been a reduction in the number of patients admitted to emergency departments during the pandemic; according to the present survey, 13.3 per cent of centres had fewer than five patients with appendicitis referred per month before *versus* 39.3 per cent during the pandemic. Moreover, there may have been a trend towards more advanced presentation (34.2 per cent stated that this was the case, whereas 39.3 per cent were unsure). These factors might also play a role in the decision-making between open and MIS appendicectomy.

NOM with antibiotics represents a promising strategy to reduce resource consumption and avoid unnecessary surgery during the outbreak. The present survey shows that NOM with antibiotics was used routinely in over 50 per cent of patients with uncomplicated appendicitis by only 8.9 per cent of respondents before the pandemic, but currently by 23.7 per cent. Antibiotic management of uncomplicated appendicitis remains uncommon worldwide^{28–30}, but RCTs^{31–35} have recently demonstrated that this strategy is safe, with no increased risk of appendiceal perforation and sepsis, and no reported deaths. Although the relapse rate is not negligible, with 27 per cent of patients undergoing appendicectomy within 1 year³⁶, these data may be acceptable in the context of an overall strategy during the COVID-19 outbreak.

Furthermore, a NOM strategy may be implemented as outpatient treatment for uncomplicated appendicitis, with discharge directly from the emergency department after initiation of antibiotic treatment and control of symptoms³⁴. In the present survey, the percentage of respondents reporting that their institution treated uncomplicated appendicitis with antibiotics at home and followed up at the outpatient clinic in almost all patients (76–100 per cent) increased from 5.2 per cent before to 9.8 per cent during the pandemic.

A therapeutic strategy based on the shortest possible stay in hospital is highly relevant during the COVID-19 crisis, as it can reduce the risk of infection and overload of hospitals already stretched by the effects of the outbreak. Safe and effective strategies that allow outpatient antibiotic management of imaging-confirmed uncomplicated appendicitis are feasible only if established pathways exist to separate patients suspected of having COVID-19, those who are infected and those who are not¹³. Furthermore, careful evaluation of the clinical presentation and assessment of CT images, and a minimum period of observation in hospital of 6–10 h may be necessary.

A trend towards NOM with antibiotics with or without percutaneous drainage in patients with appendicular abscess was revealed by the survey, with a 7.2 per cent increase in those using it in more than 50 per cent of patients before versus during the COVID-19 pandemic. Conservative treatment of appendicular abscess has been reported to be successful in over 90 per cent of patients, with an overall risk of recurrence of 7.4 per cent and only 19.7 per cent of cases of abscess requiring percutaneous drainage37. Conservative treatment has been associated with fewer overall complications (wound infections, postoperative abdominal/pelvic abscesses, ileus/bowel obstructions, and reoperations) than immediate appendicectomy³⁸. On the contrary, current evidence shows that surgical treatment is preferable to NOM with antibiotics in reducing duration of hospital stay and need for readmission, especially when laparoscopic expertise is available³⁹. A high-quality randomized trial⁴⁰ demonstrated that laparoscopic appendicectomy in experienced hands is a safe and feasible first-line treatment for appendiceal abscess; early laparoscopic appendicectomy was associated with fewer readmissions (3 *versus* 27 per cent) and fewer additional interventions (7 *versus* 30 per cent) than conservative treatment, with a comparable duration of hospital stay.

The authors suggest that the laparoscopic approach remains the treatment of choice for complicated appendicitis with abscess, if the patient's clinical condition and the hospital organizational pathways allow safe performance of laparoscopy, with appropriate establishment and management of pneumoperitoneum. Conversely, if management of the COVID-19 emergency does not allow surgery to be performed safely, NOM could be a reasonable first-line treatment. Percutaneous drainage as an adjunct to antibiotics, if available, could be beneficial.

This study has limitations. In an effort to collect the largest number of replies, the link was circulated by means of social media, e-mail lists and via personal contacts. Therefore, the number of recipients cannot be quantified accurately. Using closed questions eased the delivery and rapid analysis of data, and is used in most studies; however, this might have resulted in some information not being captured (such as other hospital settings not reported in question 8). It should be noted that the reported data are estimates based on the best available surgical data from each participating centre. Moreover, respondents in countries where the pandemic was in its earliest stages at the time of survey circulation, such as in Latin America, the UK and the USA, may have underestimated the real impact of COVID-19 on emergency surgery referrals and operations. The relatively short time since the start of the outbreak could have been insufficient to allow detection of overall changes in decision-making strategies. However, this study assessed the attitude of surgeons worldwide to a very common disease, and important information can be obtained at a time when sound evidence is lacking. Such data can be useful in identifying adherence to the available guidance statements, and to highlight the priorities that need to be addressed in the near future.

The variation in practice identified by the survey warrants further investigation and should be addressed by international societies globally, ideally by means of joint assessment and preparation of agreed recommendations. The evolving situation calls for guidance to be revised dynamically, as new evidence becomes available.

Acknowledgements

B.I., M.P., G.P. and F.P. contributed equally to this article. *Disclosure:* The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 WHO. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard; 2020. https://who.sprinklr.com/ [accessed 6 June 2020].
- 2 Spinelli A, Pellino G. COVID-19 pandemic: perspectives on an unfolding crisis. Br 7 Surg 2020; 107: 785-787.
- 3 Lei S, Jiang F, Su W, Chen C, Chen J, Mei W et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients undergoing surgeries during the incubation period of COVID-19 infection. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020; 21: 100331.
- 4 Balibrea JM, Badia JM, Rubio Pérez I, Antona EM, Peña EÁ, Botella SG *et al.* Surgical management of patients with COVID-19 infection. *Cir Esp* 2020; **98**: 251–259.
- 5 Asociación Española de Coloproctología. Asociación Española de Coloproctología (AECP) Recommendations Regarding Surgical Response to COVID-19; 2020. https://aecp-es.org/images/site/ covid/DOCUMENTO_COVID.pdf [accessed 4 April 2020].
- 6 Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons. SAGES and EAES Recommendations Regarding Surgical Response to COVID-19 Crisis; 2020. https://www .sages.org/recommendations-surgical-response-covid-19/ [accessed 30 March 2020].
- 7 Di Saverio S, Khan M, Pata F, Ietto G, De Simone B, Zani E et al. Laparoscopy at all costs? Not now during COVID-19 and not for acute care surgery and emergency colorectal surgery. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2020; 88: 715–718.
- 8 Chang DC, Shiozawa A, Nguyen LL, Chrouser KL, Perler BA, Freischlag JA *et al.* Cost of inpatient care and its association with hospital competition. *J Am Coll Surg* 2011; 212: 12–19.
- 9 Ferris M, Quan S, Kaplan BS, Molodecky N, Ball CG, Chernoff GW *et al.* The global incidence of appendicitis: a systematic review of population-based studies. *Ann Surg* 2017; 266: 237–241.
- 10 United States Census Bureau. 2019 U.S. Population Estimates Continue to Show the Nation's Growth is Slowing; 2019. https:// www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2019/popestnation.html [accessed 18 April 2020].
- 11 Royal College of Surgeons of England. Updated Intercollegiate General Surgery Guidance on COVID-19; 2020. https://www .rcseng.ac.uk/coronavirus/joint-guidance-for-surgeons-v2/ [accessed 7 April 2020].
- 12 American College of Surgeons. COVID-19 Guidelines for Triage of Emergency General Surgery Patients; 2020. https:// www.facs.org/covid-19/clinical-guidance/elective-case/ emergency-surgery [accessed 15 April 2020].
- 13 Coccolini F, Perrone G, Chiarugi M, Di Marzo F, Ansaloni L, Scandroglio I *et al.* Surgery in COVID-19 patients: operational directives. *World J Emerg Surg* 2020; 15: 25.
- 14 An Y, Bellato V, Konishi T, Pellino G, Sensi B, Siragusa L et al. Surgeons' fear of getting infected by COVID19: a global survey. Br J Surg 2020; 107: e543–e544.
- 15 Liu Y, Liu Y, Diao B, Ren F, Wang Y, Ding J *et al.* Diagnostic indexes of a rapid IgG/IgM combined antibody test for SARS-CoV-2. *medRxiv* 2020.

- 16 Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, Liu W, Liao X, Su Y et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients of novel coronavirus disease 2019. medxriv. 2020.
- 17 American College of Radiology. ACR Recommendations for the Use of Chest Radiography and Computed Tomography (CT) for Suspected COVID-19 Infection; 2020. https://www.acr.org/ Advocacy-and-Economics/ACR-Position-Statements/ Recommendations-for-Chest-Radiography-and-CT-for-Suspected-COVID19-Infection [accessed 1 April 2020].
- 18 Societá Italiana di Chirurgia Oncologica. Societá Italiana di Chirurgia Oncologica (SICO) Recommendations Regarding Surgical Response to COVID 19; 2020. https://www.sicoweb.it/ raccomandazioni-sico.pdf [accessed 18 April 2020].
- 19 Kwak HD, Kim SH, Seo YS, Song KJ. Detecting hepatitis B virus in surgical smoke emitted during laparoscopic surgery. *Occup Environ Med* 2016; 73: 857–863.
- 20 American College of Surgeons. COVID-19: Considerations for Optimum Surgeon Protection Before, During, and After Operation. https://www.facs.org/covid-19/clinical-guidance/ surgeon-protection [accessed 15 April 2020].
- 21 Vanni G, Materazzo M, Pellicciaro M, Ingallinella S, Rho M, Santori F *et al.* Breast cancer and COVID-19: the effect of fear on patients' decision-making process. *In Vivo* 2020; **34** (3 Suppl): 1651–1659.
- 22 Cash CL, Frazee RC, Smith RW, Davis ML, Hendricks JC, Childs EW *et al.* Outpatient laparoscopic appendectomy for acute appendicitis. *Am Surg* 2012; **78**: 213–215.
- 23 Jaschinski T, Mosch CG, Eikermann M, Neugebauer EA, Sauerland S. Laparoscopic versus open surgery for suspected appendicitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; 11: CD001546.
- 24 Athanasiou C, Lockwood S, Markides GA. Systematic review and meta-analysis of laparoscopic versus open appendicectomy in adults with complicated appendicitis: an update of the literature. World J Surg 2017; 41: 3083–3099.
- 25 MIS Filtration Group. How to manage smoke evacuation and filter pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopy to minimize potential viral spread: different methods from SoMe – a video vignette. *Colorectal Dis* 2020; 22: 644–645. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FC-LofYpkOU [accessed 2 August 2020].
- 26 Mintz Y, Arezzo A, Boni L, Chand M, Brodie R, Fingerhut A; Technology Committee of the European Association for Endoscopic surgery *et al.* A low cost, safe and effective method for smoke evacuation in laparoscopic surgery for suspected coronavirus patients. *Ann Surg* 2020; 272: e7–e8.
- 27 Melmer PD, Chaconas C, Taylor R, Verrico E, Cockcroft A, Pinnola A *et al.* Impact of laparoscopy on training: are open appendectomy and cholecystectomy on the brink of extinction? *Am Surg* 2019; 85: 761–763.
- 28 Khalil M, Rhee P, Jokar TO, Kulvatunyou N, O'Keeffe T, Tang A et al. Antibiotics for appendicitis! Not so fast. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2016; 80: 923–932.
- 29 Bhangu A; RIFT Study Group on behalf of the West Midlands Research Collaborative. Evaluation of appendicitis

www.bjs.co.uk

risk prediction models in adults with suspected appendicitis. *Br J Surg* 2020; **107**: 73–86.

- 30 Sartelli M, Baiocchi GL, Di Saverio S, Ferrara F, Labricciosa FM, Ansaloni L *et al.* Prospective observational study on acute appendicitis worldwide (POSAW). *World J Emerg Surg* 2018; 13: 19.
- 31 Vons C, Barry C, Maitre S, Pautrat K, Leconte M, Costaglioli B *et al.* Amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid *versus* appendicectomy for treatment of acute uncomplicated appendicitis: an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011; **377**: 1573–1579.
- 32 Hansson J, Körner U, Khorram-Manesh A, Solberg A, Lundholm K. Randomized clinical trial of antibiotic therapy *versus* appendicectomy as primary treatment of acute appendicitis in unselected patients. *Br J Surg* 2009; 96: 473–481.
- 33 Hansson J, Khorram-Manesh A, Alwindawe A, Lundholm K. A model to select patients who may benefit from antibiotic therapy as the first line treatment of acute appendicitis at high probability. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2014; 18: 961–967.
- 34 Talan DA, Saltzman DJ, Mower WR, Krishnadasan A, Jude CM, Amii R *et al.* Antibiotics-first *versus* surgery for appendicitis: a US pilot randomized controlled trial allowing outpatient antibiotic management. *Ann Emerg Med* 2017; **70**: 1.e9–11.e9.

- 35 Podda M, Gerardi C, Cillara N, Fearnhead N, Gomes CA, Birindelli A *et al.* Antibiotic treatment and appendectomy for uncomplicated acute appendicitis in adults and children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg* 2019; 270: 1028–1040.
- 36 Salminen P, Paajanen H, Rautio T, Nordström P, Aarnio M, Rantanen T *et al.* Antibiotic therapy *vs* appendectomy for treatment of uncomplicated acute appendicitis: the APPAC randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2015; **313**: 2340–2348.
- 37 Andersson RE, Petzold MG. Nonsurgical treatment of appendiceal abscess or phlegmon: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg* 2007; 246: 741–748.
- 38 Simillis C, Symeonides P, Shorthouse AJ, Tekkis PP. A meta-analysis comparing conservative treatment *versus* acute appendectomy for complicated appendicitis (abscess or phlegmon). *Surgery* 2010; **147**: 818–829.
- 39 Helling TS, Soltys DF, Seals S. Operative versus non-operative management in the care of patients with complicated appendicitis. Am J Surg 2017; 214: 1195–1200.
- 40 Mentula P, Sammalkorpi H, Leppäniemi A. Laparoscopic surgery or conservative treatment for appendiceal abscess in adults? A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 2015; 262: 237–242.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

BJS11999

Global attitudes in the management of acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic: ACIE

Appy Study

B. Ielpo, M. Podda, G. Pellino, F. Pata, R. Caruso, G. Gravante and S. Di Saverio, on behalf of the ACIE Appy Study Collaborative

Appendix S1 ACIE Appy Study Collaborative – List of Collaborators

Steering and Writing committee: Benedetto Ielpo, Mauro Podda, Gianluca Pellino, Francesco Pata, Riccardo Caruso, Gianpiero Gravante, Salomone Di Saverio

Dissemination: Gaetano Gallo, Rashid Lui

Participants:

Adam Orengia, Aditya Chowdary, Aditya Kulkarni, Adnan Kuvvetli, Adolfo Navarro, Adolfo Pisanu, Adrian Smith, Adriana Cavero Ibiricu, Aeris Jane D. Nacion, Ahmad Alsaleh, Ahmad Alhazmi, Ahmad Elmabri, Ajaz Wani, Ahmet Rencuzogullari, Aingeru Sarriugarte Lasarte, Ainhoa Valle Rubio, Akshay Bavikatte, Akshay Kumar, Alradjid Jamiri, Alain Michel Alvarado Padilla, Alban Cacurri, Alberto de San Ildefonso, Alberto Porcu, Alberto Sartori, Aldo Rocca, Alejandro Paz Yáñez, Alejandro Becaria, Alejandro Solís-Peña, Aleksandar Sretenović, Alex Urbistondo, Alfonso Bandin, Alfonso Najar, Alessandro De Luca, Alex Boddy, Alexandros Charalabopoulos, Alexios Tzivanakis, Alfonso Amendola, Alfredo Ramirez-Gutierrez de Velasco, Ali Cihat Yildirim, Alice Frontali, Alpha Oumar Toure, Alvaro García-Granero, Amaia Martínez Roldan, Amaia Sanz Larrainzar, Amila Sanjiva Ratnayake, Ana María Gonzalez-Ganso, Ana M. Minaya-Bravo, Andre Das, Andrea Bondurri, Andrea Costanzi, Andrea Lucchi, Andrea Mazzari, Andrea Musig, Andrea Peloso, Andrea Piano, Andrea Police, Andrei Mihailescu, Andrés Pouy, Angela Romano, Angelo Iossa, Anna Carmen Leonetti, Anna Guariniello, Anna Isaac, Anna Pia Delli Bovi, Antonella Chessa, Antonella Tromba, Antonio Álvarez Martínez, Antonio Brillantino, Antonio Caira, Antonio Castaldi, Antonio Ferronetti, Antonio Giuliani, Antonio Prestera, Antonio Ramos-De la Medina, Antonio Tarasconi, Antonino Tornambè, Arcangelo Picciariello, Argyrios Ioannidis, Ari Leppäniemi, Arshad Khan, Arshad Rashid, Arteaga Luis Eduardo Pérez-Sánchez, Ashok Mittal, Ashrarur Rahman Mitul, Asif Mehraj, Asim Laharwal, Asnel Dorismé, Athanasios Marinis, Atif Igbal, Augusto Moncada, Bartolomeo Braccio, Basim Alkhafaji, Beatriz de Andrés Asenjo, Beatriz Martin-Perez, Belinda De Simone, Belinda Sánchez Pérez, Ben Creavin, Benedetto Calì, Benedetto Cali, Beniamino Pascotto, Benjamin Stubbs, Benjamin Zavala Retes, Branislav Jovanovic, Brian KP Goh, Bruno Sensi, Carlo Biddau, Carlo Gazia, Carlo Vallicelli, Carlos Alberto Fagundes, Carlos Cerdán

Santacruz, Carlos Chirico, Carlos Javier Gómez Díaz, Carlos Petrola, Carlos Sánchez Rodriguez, Carlos Yánez Benítez, Carmelisa Dammaro, Carmelo Lo Faro, Caroline Reinke, Casandra Dominguez Paez, Catalina Oliva, Charudutt Paranjape, Charlotte Thomas, Chi Fung Chia, Chi Kwan Kong, Chiara De Lucia, Christian Ovalle Chao, Claudio Arcudi, Claudio Guerci, Clement Chia, Cristiano Parise, Cristina Folliero, Cristopher Varela, Dalya M. Ferguson, Daniel Camacho, Daniel Popowich, Daniel Souza Lima, Daniela Rega, Daniele Delogu, Daniele Zigiotto, Danilo Vinci, Dario D'Antonio, Dario Parini, David Alessio Merlini, David DE Zimmerman, David Moro-Valdezate, Davide Pertile, Deborah Maria Giusti, Deborah S. Keller, Delko Tarik, Denis Kalivaci, Dennis Mazingi, Diana Gabriela Maldonado-Pintado, Diego Sasia, Dimitrios Linardoutsos, Dixon Osilli, Domenico Murrone, Domenico Russello, Edgar Rodas, Edisson Alberto Acuña Roa, Edoardo Ricciardi, Edoardo Rosso, Edoardo Saladino, Eduardo Flores-Villalba, Eduardo Ruiz Ajs, Eduardo Smith-Singares, Efstratia Baili, Efstratios Kouroumpas, Eirini Bourmpouteli, Eleftheria Douka, Elena Martin-Perez, Eleonora Guaitoli, Elgun Samadov, Elisa Francone, Elisa Vaterlini, Emilio Morales, Emilio Peña, Enhao Zhao, Eneko Del Pozo Andres, Enrico Benzoni, Enrico Erdas, Enrico Pinotti, Enrique Colás-Ruiz, Erman Aytac, Ernesto Laterza, Ervis Agastra, Esteban Foianini, Esteban Moscoso, Estefania Laviano, Ester Marra, Eugenia Cardamone, Eugenio Licardie, Eustratia Mpaili, Eva Pinna, Evaristo Varo, Fabian Martín Navarro, Fabio Marino, Fabio Medas, Fabio Romano, Fatlum Maraska, Fatmir Saliu, Fausto Madrid, Fausto Rosa, Federica Mastella, Federico Gheza, Federico Luvisetto, Felipe Alconchel, Felipe Monge Vieira, Felipe Pareja, Ferdinando Agresta, Fernanda Luna, Fernando Bonilla, Fernando Cordera, Fernando Burdió, Fernando Mendoza-Moreno, Fernando Muñoz Flores, Fernando Pardo Aranda, Fiona Taylor, Flavia L. Ramos, Flavio Fernandes, Francesca Paola Tropeano, Francesco Balestra, Francesco Bianco, Francesco Ceci, Francesco Colombo, Francesco Di Marzo, Francesco Ferrara, Francesco Lancellotti, Francesco Lazzarin, Francesco Litta, Francesco Martini, Francesco Pizza, Francesco Roscio, Francesco Virdis, Francisco Blanco Antona, Francisco Cervantes Ramírez, Francisco Miguel Fernandez, Francisco Oliver Llinares, Francisco Quezada, Francisco Schlottmann, Fransisco Quezada, Gabriel Herrera-Almario, Gabriel Massaferro, Gabriele Bislenghi, Gabrielle van Ramshorst, Gaetano Gallo, Gaetano Luglio, Georgios Bointas, Georgios Kampouroglou, Georgios Papadopoulos, Gerardo Arredondo Manrique, Giacomo Calini, Giacomo Nastri, Giampaolo Formisano, Giampaolo Galiffa, Gian Marco Palini, Gianluca Colucci, Gianluca Pagano, Gianluca Pellino, Gianluca Vanni, Gianmaria Casoni Pattacini, Gianpiero Gravante, Gilda De Paola, Giorgio Lisi, Giovanna Partida, Giovanni Bellanova, Giovanni De Nobili, Giovanni Sammy Necchi, Giovanni Sinibaldi, Giovanni Tebala, Giulia Bagaglini, Giuliano Izzo, Giulio Argenio, Giuseppe Brisinda, Giuseppe Candilio, Giuseppe Di Grezia, Giuseppe Esposito, Giuseppe Faillace, Giuseppe Frazzetta, Giuseppe La Gumina, Giuseppe Nigri, Giuseppe Romeo, Gloria Chocarro Amatriaín, Gloria Ortega, Gonzalo Martin-Martin, Gregor A. Stavrou, Gunadi, Gustavo Armand Ugon, Gustavo Machain, Gustavo Marcucci, Gustavo Martínez-Mier, Gustavo Miguel Machain, Gustavo Nari, Haydée Calvo, Hamada Fathy, Hamilto, Hazem Ahmed, Hazem Faraj, Hector Nava, Hector Ordas Macias, Herald Nikaj, Heriberto Solano, Huma Ahmed Khan, Humberto Sánchez Alarcón, Husam Ebied, Iacopo Giani, Ibabe Villalabeitia Ateca, Ignacio Neri, Igor Alberdi San Roman, Iliya Fidoshev, Iñaki Martinez Rodriguez, Ionut Negoi, Irene Ortega, Irina Bernescu, Iris Shari Russo, Irune Vincente Rodríguez, Irving Palomares, Isaac Baltazar, Isabel Jaén Torrejimeno, Isabel María Cornejo Jurado, Isabella Reccia, Ishtiyaq Hussain, Ismael Brito Toledo, Ismael Mora-Guzmán, Issam al-Najami, Iulia Dogaru, Ivan Romic, Izaskun Balciscueta, J Cleo Kenington, Jackison Sagolsem, Jae Y Jang, James Olivier, Jan Lammel-Lindemann, Jana Dziakova, Javier Ismael Roldán Villavicencio, Javier Salinas, Jelena Pejanovic Jose Gustavo Parreira, Jovanovic, Jeny Rincón Pérez, Jeryl AS Reyes, Jesus Antonio Medina Luque, Joanna Mak, Joanne Salas Rodriguez, Johnn Henry Herrera Kok, Jon Krook, Jose Antonio Diaz-Elizondo, Jose Castell, José Eduardo García-Flores, José María Jover Navalón, Jose Mauro Silva Rodrigues, José Pereira Pinto, José Tomas Castell Gómez, Juan Bellido Luque, Juan Carlos Martín del Olmo, Juan Carlos Salamea, Juan Francisco Coronel Olivier, Juan Luis Blas Laina, Juliana Maria Ordoñez, Julieta Gutierrez, Julio Abba, Junaid Ahmad Sofi, Kashaf Sherafgan, Kapil Sahnan, Katsuhiko Yanaga, Kevin Beatson, Laharwal Asim, Laura Alvarez, Leandro Siragusa, Lee Farber, Lester Ong, Liarakos Athanasios, Lorena García-Bruña, Luca De Martino, Luca Ferrario, Luca Giordano, Luca Gordini, Luca Pio, Luca Ponchietti, Lucia Moletta, Luciano Curella, Luciano Poggi, Lucio Taglietti, Luigi Bonavina, Luigi Conti, Luigi Goffredi, Luis Angel Garcia Ruiz, Luis Barrionuevo, Luis Enrique Fregoso, Luis F. Cabrera, Luis G Rodriguez, Luis Grande, Luis Gregorio Osoria, Luis Javier Kantun Gonzalez, Luis Sánchez-Guillén, Luis Tallon-Aguilar, Luis Tresierra, Luisa Giavarini, Mahmoud Hasabelnabi, Maja Odovic, Mamoru Uemura, Mansoor Khan, Manuel Artiles-Armas, Mara David, Marcello Di Martino, Marcello Giuseppe Spampinato, Marcelo A. F. Ribeiro Jr, Marcelo Viola, Marco Angrisani, Marco Calussi, Marco Cannistrà, Marco Catarci, Marco Cereda, Marco Conte, Marco Giordano, Marco Pellicciaro, Marco Vito Marino, Maria E Vaterlini, María F. Jiménez, María Giulia Lolli, Maria Irene Bellini, Maria Lemma, Maria Michela Chiarello, Maria Nicola, Mario Arrigo, Mario Caneda Mejia, Mario Montes Manrique, Mario Rodriguez-Lopez, Mario Serradilla-Martín, Mario Zambrano Lara, Marisa Martínez, Mark Bagnall, Mark Peter, Marta Cañón Lara, Marta Jimenez Gomez, Marta Paniagua-Garcia-Señorans, Marta Perez Gonzalez, Martin Rutegård, Martin Salö, Marzia Franceschilli, Massimiliano Silveri, Massimiliano Veroux, Massimo Pezzulo, Matteo Nardi, Matteo Rottoli, Matti Tolonen, Mauricio Pedraza Ciro, Mauricio Zuluagua, Maurizio Cannavò, Maurizio Cervellera, Maurizio Iacobone, Mauro Montuori, Mauro Podda, Melody García Domínguez, Meltem Bingol-Kologlu, Mian Tahir, Michael Lim, Michael Sj Wilson, Michael Wilson, Michela Campanelli, Michele Bisaccia, Michele De Rosa, Michele Maruccia, Michele Paterno, Michele Pisano, Michele Torre, Michelle Treviño, Michele Zuolo, Miguel A. Hernandez Bartolome, Miguel Farina, Miguel Pera, Mikel Prieto Calvo, Milagros Sotelo, Min Myat Thway, Mohamed Hassan, Mohamed Salah Eldin Hassan, Mohammad Azfar, Mohammad Bouhuwaish, Mohammad Taha, Mohammad Zaieem, Mohammed Korkoman, Montserrat Guraieb, Mostafa Shalaby, Muhammad Asif Raza, Muhammad Umar Younis, Muhammed Elhadi, Mujahid Zulfiqar Ali, Nadeem Quazi, Nagendra N. Dudi-Venkata, Nahar Alselaim, Natasha Loria, Nathalie Villan Ramírez, Nay Win Than, Neil Smart, Nelson Trelles, Nicanor Pinto, Niccolò Allievi, Niccolo Petrucciani, Nicola Antonacci, Nicola Cillara, Nicola de'Angelis, Nicolae Gica,

Nicolaescu Diana Cristiana, Nicolás Krystek, Nicolò Falco, Nicolò Pecorelli, Nicolò Tamini, Nikolaos Andreas Dallas, Nikolaos Machairas, Noelia Brito, Nura Ahmed Fieturi, Nuria Ortega, Octavio Avila Mercado, Oktay Irkorucu, Omar Alsherif, Orestes Valles, Orestis Ioannidis, Oscar Hernández Palmas, Oscar Isaac Hernandez Palmas, Oscar Sanz Guadarrama, Osman Bozbiyik, Pablo Omelanczuk, Pablo Ottolino, Pablo Rodrigues, Pablo Ruiz, Paola Campenni, Paola Chiarade, Paola Prieto Olivares, Paolo Baroffio, Paolo Panaccio, Pascal Wintringer, Pasquale Di Fronzo, Pasquale Talento, Pasqualino Favoriti, Patricia Sendino, Patrizia Marsanic, Patricia Mifsut, Paúl Andrade, Pawel

Valentina Ferri, Giuseppe Massimiliano de Luca, Sara Ingallinella, Eva Pueyo, Francesco Palmieri, Jesus Silva, Ken Min Chin, Nicholas Syn, Brian K. P. Goh, Ye Xin Koh, Valeria Tonini, Ana Gonzales-Ganso, Vicente Simó, Maria Victoria Diago.

Ajawin, Pedro Abadía-Barnó, Pedro Alfonso Najar Castañeda, Pedro Omar Sillas Arevalos, Pedro Palazón Bellver, Peng Soon Koh, Petry Souza, Piotr Major, Rajandeep Singh Bali, Rakesh Mohan Khattar, Renato Bessa Melo, Reza Ebrahiminia, Ricardo Azar, Ricardo López Murga, Riccardo Caruso, Riccardo Pirolo, Richard Brady, Richard Justin Davies, Rishi Dholakia, Rishi Rattan, Rishi Singhal, Robert Lim, Roberta Angelico, Roberta Maria Isernia, Roberta Tutino, Roberto Faccincani, Roberto Peltrini, Rocio Carrera-Ceron, Rodrigo Tejos, Rohit Kashyap, Roosevelt Fajardo, Rosa Lozito, Royer Madariaga Pareja, Sabrina Garbarino, Salomone Di Saverio, Salvador Morales-Conde, Sami Benli, Sami Mansour, Samir Flores, Samuel Limon Suarez, Santiago Lopez Ben, Sara Fuentes, Sara Gortazar de las Casas, Sara Napetti, Sara Ortiz de Guzmán, Selmy Awad, Sergio A. Weckmann Luján, Sergio Gentilli, Sergio Grimaldi, Sergio Olivares Pizarro, Serkan Tayar, Shakeeb Nabi, Shannon M Chan, Sheikh Junaid, Sidney Rojas, Silvana Monetti, Silvia García, Silvia Salvans, Silvia Tenconi, Simon Shaw, Simone Santoni, Sofia Andrea Parra, Sofía Cárdenas, Sonia Pérez-Bertólez, Sonia Chiappetta, Sophie Dessureault, Spiros Delis, Stefano Amore Bonapasta, Stefano Rausei, Stefano Scaringi, Sundeep Keswani, Syed Muhammad Ali, Süleyman Cetinkunar, Tak Lit Derek Fung, Tarig Rawashdeh ,Tatiana Nicolás López, Tercio De Campos, Teresa Calderon Duque, Teresa Perra, Theodore Liakakos, Theodoros Daskalakis, Theodoros Liakakos, Thomas Barnes, Tijmen Koëter, Tiku Zalla, Tomás E. González, Tomás Elosua, Tommaso Campagnaro, Tommy Brown, Topi Luoto, Touré Alpha Oumar, Ugo Giustizieri, Ugo Grossi, Umberto Bracale, Uriel Rivas, Valentina Sosa, Valentina Testa, Valeria Andriola, Valeria Tonini, Valerio Balassone, Valerio Celentano, Valerio Progno, Varun Raju, Vanessa Carroni, Venera Cavallaro, Venkateswara Rao Katta, Veronica De Simone, Vicent Primo Romaguera, Victor Hugo García Orozco, Victor Luraschi, Victor Rachkov, Victor Turrado-L, Victor Visag-Castillo, Victoria Dowling, Victoria Graham, Vincenzo Papagni, Vincenzo Vigorita, Vinicius Cordeiro Fonseca, Virginia Jimenez Carneros, Vittoria Bellato, Walyson Gonçalves, William F. Powers, William Grigg, Wolf O. Bechstein, Yu Bing Lim, Yuksel Altinel, Zoran Golubović, Zutoia Balciscueta

Appendix S2 Subgroup analyses

Screening patients with appendicitis for SARS-CoV-2				
	Yes, all patients	Only patients with respiratory symptoms or suspected of SARS-CoV-2 infection	No	
General	37.4%	51.0%	11.6%	
USA	17.2%	65.5%	17.2%	
UK	66.7%	-	33.3%	
Spain	53.6%	39.3%	7.1%	
Mexico	14.1%	50.0%	35.9%	
Italy	57.1%	39.0%	3.8%	
France	26.3%	57.9%	15.8%	
Brazil	40.0%	46.7%	13.3%	
India	4.5%	86.4%	9.1%	

Type of screening									
	Chest X-ray	Chest X- ray + Serology	Chest X-ray + PCR	Chest CT scan	Chest CT scan + serology	Chest CT scan + PCR	Serology	PCR	Rapid test
General	7.3%	6.3%	19.8%	13.9%	6.7%	18.1%	1.4%	17.2%	9.3%
USA	-	8.3%	12.5%	-	-	4.2%	4.2%	50.0%	20.8%
UK	4.9%	2.4%	9.8%	36.6%	12.2%	26.8%	-	4.9%	2.4%
Spain	6.5%	0.9	29.6%	24.1%	2.8%	17.6%	-	16.7%	1.9%
Mexico	29.2%	6.3%	10.4%	16.7%	4.2%	16.7%	-	10.3%	6.2%
Italy	4.4%	11.7%	18.0%	6.8%	9.2%	18.4%	2.4%	12.1%	17.0%
France	-	-	-	27.8%	5.6%	38.9%	5.6%	5.6%	16.5%
Brazil	-	-	-	69.2%	-	23.1%	-	7.7%	-
India	18.2%	13.6%	40.9%	-	4.5%	9.2%	-	13.6%	-

Changes	Changes in operative protection							
Did you c	Did you change your operative protection in COVID-19 negative patients?							
	No changes	Face mask (FFP2/FFP3)	N95 Face mask	Goggles	Face mask (FFP2/FFP3) and goggles	N95 mask and goggles		
General	37.9%	10.2%	6.4%	3.4%	24.0%	18.0%		
USA	31.0%	-	20.7%	-	6.9%	41.4%		
UK	19.0%	4.8%	2.4%	4.8%	59.5%	9.5%		
Spain	31.6%	12.3%	1.8%	7.0%	39.5%	7.8%		
Mexico	19.0%	6.3%	14.3%	1.6%	9.5%	49.2%		
Italy	42.9%	15.2%	5.2%	2.9%	28.6%	5.2%		
France	31.6%	21.1%	10.5%	10.5%	21.1%	5.3%		
Brazil	53.3%	-	-	-	6.7%	40%		
India	13.6%	18.2%	9.1%	-	18.2%	40.9%		
Did you c	hange you	r operative pro	tection in CO	OVID-19 unte	ested patients?			
General	18.1%	10.6%	6.0%	2.4%	40.1%	22.6%		
USA	13.8%	-	27.6%	-	6.9%	51.7%		
UK	5.0%	7.5%	2.5%	-	72.5%	12.5%		
Spain	14.9%	10.5%	0.9%	4.4%	53.8%	10.5%		
Mexico	14.1%	7.8%	14,1%	1.6%	10.9%	51.6%		
Italy	12.0%	16.3%	5.7%	3.3%	58.9%	5.7%		
France	31.6%	21.1%	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	5.3%		
Brazil	26.7%	-	-	-	13.3%	60%		
India	-	22.7%	9.1%	-	22.7%	45.5%		
Did you c	hange you	r operative pro	tection in CO	OVID-19 posi	tive patients?			
General	4.1%	4.3%	1.9%	0.4%	56.3%	33.0%		
USA	-	-	17.2%	-	10.3%	72.4%		
UK	2.4%	2.4%	-	-	76.2%	19.0%		
Spain	-	-	-	1.8%	81.6%	13.2%		
Mexico	7.8%	25.0%	-	-	-	67.2%		
Italy	3.8%	9.6%	0.5%	-	77.9%	8.2%		
France	-	15.8%	-	-	78.9%	5.3%		
Brazil	6.7%	-	6.7%	-	6.7%	80%		
India	-	4.8%	-	-	23.8%	71.4%		

Management of uncomplicated (no abscess) acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic						
	Non-operative management with antibiotics	Case-by-case decision	Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy	Straightforward open appendectomy		
General	23.7%	38.8%	22.5%	15.0%		
USA	10.3%	37.9%	48.3%	3.4%		
UK	59.5%	23.8%	9.5%	7.1%		
Spain	11.4%	35.1%	41.2%	12.3%		
Mexico	3.1%	35.9%	21.9%	39.1%		
Italy	39.3%	50.2%	8.1%	2.4%		
France	15.8%	47.4%	36.8%	-		
Brazil	6.7%	46.7%	13.3%	33.3%		
India	68.2%	18.2%	-	13.6%		

Management of complicated (abscess) acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic					
	Non-operative management with antibiotics	Non-operative management with antibiotics + percutaneous drainage	Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy	Straightforward open appendectomy	
General	5.3%	32.9%	33.7%	28.1%	
USA	-	82.8%	13.8%	3.4%	
UK	5.0%	50.0%	7.5%	37.5%	
Spain	6.1%	47.4%	37.7%	8.8%	
Mexico	-	14.1%	28.1%	57.8%	
Italy	8.1%	13.4%	50.2%	28.2%	
France	-	15.8%	73.7%	10.5%	
Brazil	-	53.3%	13.3%	33.3%	
India	9.1%	50.0%	4.5%	36.4%	

Changes in surgical approach to acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic					
	No	Yes, from laparoscopic to open	Yes, from open to laparoscopic		
General	61.0%	36.6%	2.4%		
USA	82.8%	13.8%	3.4%		
UK	40.5%	59.5%	-		
Spain	73.7%	25.4%	0.9%		
Mexico	50.0%	45.3%	4.7%		
Italy	67.1%	31.9%	1.0%		
France	84.2%	10.5%	5.3%		
Brazil	23.1%	76.9%	-		
India	40.9%	54.5%	4.5%		

Confidence in performing open appendectomy					
	No	Yes, supervised	Yes, unsupervised		
General	7.8%	15.8%	76.4%		
USA	3.4%	6.9%	89.7%		
UK	-	9.5%	90.5%		
Spain	12.3%	9.6%	78.1%		
Mexico	6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		
Italy	9.0%	28.4%	62.6%		
France	26.3%	-	73.7%		
Brazil	13.3%	-	86.7%		
India	-	9.1%	90.9%		

Appendectomy on COVID-19 positive patients						
	Always open (personal preference)	Laparoscopic surgery without smoke evacuation systems	Laparoscopic surgery with smoke evacuation systems	Would use laparoscopy, but smoke evacuation systems unavailable		
General	30.1%	6.2%	43.0%	20.7%		
USA	20.7%	3.4%	72.4%	3.4%		
UK	45.2%	11.9%	31.0%	11.9%		
Spain	17.7%	2.7%	69.0%	10.6%		
Mexico	32.8%	6.3%	21.9%	39.1%		
Italy	21.5%	9.8%	44.4%	24.4%		
France	5.6%	16.7%	77.8%	-		
Brazil	60.0%	-	26.7%	13.3%		
India	72.7%	-	9.1%	18.2%		

Appendectomy on COVID-19 untested patients						
	Always open (personal preference)	Laparoscopic surgery with smoke evacuation systems	Would use laparoscopy, but smoke evacuation systems unavailable	Would use laparoscopy, but hospital policy does not allow it		
General	28.0%	49.4%	17.0%	5.6%		
USA	10.3%	79.3%	10.4%	-		
UK	34.1%	39.0%	7.3%	19.5%		
Spain	17.5%	66.7%	12.3%	3.5%		
Mexico	32.8%	32.8%	26.6%	7.8%		
Italy	21.3%	55.1%	19.8%	3.9%		
France	5.3%	83.7%	-	11.0%		
Brazil	40.0%	46.7%	13.3%	-		
India	72.7%	9.1%	13.6%	4.5%		

Use of smoke filtrating systems during laparoscopic appendectomy						
	Yes	Only in COVID-19 positive patients	Only in COVID-19 positive or untested patients	Νο		
General	37.8%	11.9%	24.3%	26.0%		
USA	58.6%	-	27.6%	13.8%		
UK	66.7%	4.8%	7.1%	21.4%		
Spain	55.8%	5.3%	24.8%	14.2%		
Mexico	20.6%	4.8%	31.7%	42.9%		
Italy	36.5%	14.9%	24.5%	24.0%		
France	31.6%	21.1%	15.8%	31.6%		
Brazil	40.0%	26.7%	13.3%	20.0%		
India	20.0%	5.0%	25.0%	50.0%		

Type of s	Type of smoke filtrating systems						
	Commercially available	Commercially available with filtration connected to a container with water	Commercially available with filtration connected to a sealed container	Homemade	Homemade with filtration connected to a container with water	Homemade with filtration connected to a sealed container	
General	32.8%	7.7%	22.0%	11.9%	14.0%	11.6%	
USA	44.4%	3.7%	51.9%	-	-	-	
UK	55.6%	11.1%	13.9%	2.7%	5.6%	11.1%	
Spain	19.5%	7.5%	15.0%	2.8%	26.2%	29.0%	
Mexico	28.1%	10.5%	21.1%	17.5%	17.5%	5.3%	
Italy	40.3%	7.3%	20.9%	13.7%	9.9%	7.9%	
France	47.1%	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%	
Brazil	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	21.4%	21.4%	7.2%	
India	28.6%	21.4%	21.4%	14.3%	7.2%	7.1%	

Table S1 Questionnaire as it was circulated

	1 Baseline Information
1	Your email address
2	In which Country are you practicing?
3	Name of your hospital
4	Town
5	 What is your level of expertise? Student Consultant/Attending Fellow Trainee/Resident
6	 Please, pick the specialty that defines you better General and emergency Colorectal Upper GI HPB Pediatric
7	 In your Country, which kind of National Health System do you have? Public health system with universal coverage Mainly public health system, but without universal coverage Mainly private health system Only private health system
	2. Hospital organisation and screening policies
8	 Which type of hospital do you work for? Public university/teaching hospital Private university/teaching hospital Public non-teaching hospital Private non-teaching hospital
9	 Since COVID-19 pandemic, how did your hospital change its organization? My hospital is exclusively dedicated to COVID-19 patients My hospital has restricted areas dedicated to COVID-19 patients My hospital does not treat COVID-19 patients
1 0	 Do you routinely screen patients with appendicitis for SARS-CoV-2 infection before surgery? Yes, all patients Only patients with respiratory symptoms or suspect of SARS-CoV-2 infection No

1	If you do, how do you screen patients?			
1	Chest X-ray			
	Chest X-ray and serology			
	Chest X-ray and PCR			
	Chest CT scan			
	Chest CT scan and serology			
	Chest CT scan and PCB			
	Serology			
	• PCR			
	Ranid test			
	2 Dersonal protective equipment DDE			
1	Did you change your exercise protection in COVID 10 pegative patients			
1	Did you change your operative protection in COVID-19 negative patients			
2	No changes			
	• Face mask (FFP2/FFP3)			
	N95 Face mask			
	Goggles			
	 Face mask (FFP2/FFP3) and goggles 			
	N95 mask and goggles			
1	Did you change your operative protection in COVID-19 untested patients			
3	No changes			
	Face mask (FFP2/FFP3)			
	N95 Face mask			
	Goggles			
	 Face mask (FFP2/FFP3) and goggles 			
	 N95 mask and goggles 			
1	Did you change your operative protection in COVID-19 positive patients			
4	No changes			
	• Face mask (FFP2/FFP3)			
	• N95 Face mask			
	Goggles			
	• Face mask (FEP2/FEP3) and goggles			
	 N95 mask and goggles 			
	4. Personal attitude: management of acute appendicitis			
1	Personal attitude: Did you change your attitude in the management of uncomplicated acute			
5	annendicitis during the COVID-19 nandemic?			
2	Vos			
	 Yes only in COVID patients 			
	• Yes, only in COVID+ patients			
	res, only in COVID+ and untested patients			
	• INO			
4				
	Personal attitude: How do you manage uncomplicated (no abscess) acute appendicitis			
6	before COVID-19 pandemic?			
	Non-operative management with antibiotics			

	Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy
	 Straightforward open appendectomy
1 7	Personal attitude: How do you manage uncomplicated (no abscess) acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic? • Non-operative management with antibiotics • Case-by-case decision
	 Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy Straightforward open appendectomy
1 8	Personal attitude: How do you manage complicated (abscess) acute appendicitis before COVID-19 pandemic?
	 Non-operative management with antibiotics and percutaneous drainage
	Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy
	Straightforward open appendectomy
1 9	Personal attitude: How do you manage complicated (abscess) acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic?
	Non-operative management with antibiotics
	 Non-operative management with antibiotics and percutaneous drainage
	Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy
	Straightforward open appendectomy
2 0	Personal attitude: Did you change your attitude in the management of complicated (abscess) acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic? • Yes
	 Only in COVID+ patients No
2 1	 Personal attitude: Would you change your overall attitude (surgery vs NOM with antibiotics) if you could test all patients? I already test all patients
	Only if quick test or PCR were available
	 Yes No
2 2	Personal attitude: During the COVID-19 pandemic, did you change the surgical approach (open vs laparoscopic) to appendectomy? • No
	Yes, from laparoscopic to open
	• res, from open to laparoscopic
2 3	 Personal attitude: Would you say that you are confident in performing open appendectomy? No Yes, supervised by someone senior or with experience in open appendectomy
1	· ····································

	Yes, unsupervised
2 4	 Personal attitude: How are you operating on COVID-19 positive patients with appendicitis? Always open surgery, personal preference Laparoscopic surgery without specific devices for protection and smoke evacuation Laparoscopic surgery with specific devices for protection and smoke evacuation I would use laparoscopic, but I do not have devices for pneumoperitoneum/smoke evacuation
2 5	 Personal attitude: How are you operating on COVID-19 untested patients with appendicitis? Always open surgery, I prefer Laparoscopic surgery I would use laparoscopic, but I do not have devices for pneumoperitoneum/smoke evacuation I would use laparoscopic, but hospital policy does not allow it
2 6	 Personal attitude: If laparoscopic appendectomy is performed, do you use any filter system? Yes Yes, only in COVID-19 positive patients Yes, only in COVID-19 positive or untested patients No
2 7	 Personal attitude: If any evacuation system with filters is used, which type of device do you use? Commercially available Commercially available with filtration connected to a container with water Commercially available with filtration connected to a sealed container Homemade Homemade with filtration connected to a container with water Homemade with filtration connected to a container
	5. Patient presentation before and during the pandemic
2 8	Did any patient referred for acute appendicitis test positive for SARS-CoV-2 before surgery at your hospital (percentage)? 0% 1-5% 6-10% >10%
29	Did any COVID-19 negative patient referred for acute appendicitis later test positive for SARS-CoV-2 at your hospital (percentage?) • 0% • 1-5% • 6-10% • > 10% How many patients with acute appendicitis are referred to your hospital in one month
0	(before COVID-19 pandemic)?

	 < 5 5-9 10-20
	• > 20
3 1	 How many patients with acute appendicitis have been referred to your hospital during the last month (during COVID-19 pandemic?) < 5 5-9 10-20 > 20
32	 Would you agree that patients admitted with acute appendicitis had more aggressive presentation during COVID-19 pandemic? Yes No Unsure
33	 In percentage, in how many patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess) a non-operative management with antibiotics is usually used at your hospital (before COVID-19 pandemic)? ≤ 25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%
3 4	 In percentage, in how many patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess) a non-operative management with antibiotics is currently used at your hospital (during COVID-19 pandemic)? ≤ 25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%
35	In percentage, how many patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess) treated conservatively with antibiotics were sent home and followed-up at the outpatient clinic at your hospital before COVID-19 pandemic? ≤ 25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%
3 6	 In percentage, how many patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess) treated conservatively with antibiotics are currently sent home and followed-up at the outpatient clinic at your hospital (during COVID-19 pandemic)? ≤ 25% 26-50%

	• 51-75%
	• 76-100%
37	In percentage, how many patients with complicated acute appendicitis (with abscess) undergo conservative treatment with antibiotics +/- percutaneous drainage at your hospital (before COVID-19 pandemic)? ≤ 25% 26-50% 51-75 76-100%
38	 In percentage, how many patients with complicated acute appendicitis (with abscess) currently undergo conservative treatment with antibiotics +/- percutaneous drainage at your hospital (during COVID-19 pandemic)? ≤ 25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%
3 9	 In percentage, how many patients with acute appendicitis treated with surgery undergo open appendectomy at your hospital (before COVID-19 pandemic)? ≤ 25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%
4 0	In percentage, how many patients with acute appendicitis treated with surgery currently undergo open appendectomy at your hospital (during COVID-19 pandemic)? ≤ 25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-100%

Table S2 Summary of results

1. Baseline information				
Query	N. of respondents	Results		
What is your level of expertise?	705	Student	0.5%	
		Consultant/Attending	69.9%	
		Fellow	6.9%	
		Trainee/Resident	22.7%	
Pick the specialty that defines you better	708	General and emergency	57.6%	
		Colorectal	22.9%	
		Upper Gl	6.3%	
		НРВ	9.9%	
		Pediatric	3.3%	
In your Country, which kind of National Health System do you have?	707	Public health system with universal coverage	75.0%	
		Manly public health system, but without universal coverage	18.0%	
		Mainly private health system	6.0%	
		Only private health system	1.0%	
2. Hospital org	anization and screeni	ng policies	l	
Which type of hospital do you work for?	708	Public university/teaching hospital	63.5%	
		Private university/teaching hospital	13.0%	
		Public non-teaching hospital	16.6%	
		Private non-teaching hospital	6.9%	
Since COVID-19 pandemic, how did your hospital change its organization?	709	My hospital is exclusively dedicated to COVID-19 patients	8.9%	
		My hospital has restricted areas dedicated to COVID-19	83.1%	

		patients	
		My hospital does not treat COVID-19 patients	8.0%
Do you routinely screen patients with appendicitis for SARS-CoV-2	708	Yes, all patients	37.4%
infection before surgery?		Only patients with respiratory symptoms or suspected of SARS-CoV-2 infection	51.0%
		No	11.6%
If you do, how do you screen patients?	654	Chest X-ray	7.3%
		Chest X-ray and serology	6.3%
		Chest X-ray and PCR	19.8%
		Chest CT scan	13.9%
		Chest CT scan and serology	6.7%
		Chest CT scan and PCR	18.1%
		Serology	1.4%
		PCR	17.2%
		Rapid test	9.3%
3. Perso	onal protective equipn	nent	
Did you change your operative protection in COVID-19 negative patients?	709	No changes	37.9%
		Face mask (FFP2/FFP3)	10.2%
		N95 Face mask	6.4%
		Goggles	3.4%
		Face mask (FFP2/FFP3) and goggles	24.0%
		N95 mask and goggles	18.0%
Did you change your operative protection in COVID-19 untested patients?	705	No changes	18.1%
		Face mask (FFP2/FFP3)	10.6%
		N95 Face mask	6.0%
		Goggles	2.4%

		Face mask (FFP2/FFP3) and goggles	40.1%
		N95 mask and goggles	22.6%
Did you change your operative protection in COVID-19 positive patients?	702	No changes	4.1%
		Face mask (FFP2/FFP3)	4.3%
		N95 Face mask	1.9%
		Goggles	0.4%
		Face mask (FFP2/FFP3) and goggles	56.3%
		N95 mask and goggles	33.0%
4. Personal attitud	e: management of acu	ite appendicitis	
Did you change your attitude in the management of uncomplicated acute	705	Yes	28.5%
appendicitis during the COVID-19 pandemic?		Yes, only in COVID+ patients	13.2%
		Yes, only in COVID+ and untested patients	15.6%
		No	42.7%
How do you manage uncomplicated (no abscess) acute appendicitis	705	Non-operative management with antibiotics	6.6%
before COVID-19 pandemic?		Case-by-case decision	29.0%
		Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy	57.2%
		Straightforward open appendectomy	7.2%
How do you manage uncomplicated (no abscess) acute appendicitis	705	Non-operative management with antibiotics	23.7%
during COVID-19 pandemic?		Case-by-case decision	38.8%
		Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy	22.5%
		Straightforward open appendectomy	15.0%
How do you manage complicated (abscess) acute appendicitis before	704	Non-operative management with antibiotics	2.4%
COVID-19 pandemic?		Non-operative management with antibiotics and percutaneous drainage	21.1%
		Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy	62.5%
		Straightforward open appendectomy	14.0%
	1		

How do you manage complicated (abscess) acute appendicitis during	701	Non-operative management with antibiotics	5.3%
COVID-19 pandemic?		Non-operative management with antibiotics and percutaneous drainage	32.9%
		Straightforward laparoscopic appendectomy	33.7%
		Straightforward open appendectomy	28.1%
Did you change your attitude in the management of complicated	704	Yes	28.3%
(abscess) acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic?		Only in COVID+ patients	24.6%
		No	47.1%
Would you change your overall attitude (surgery versus non-operative	703	I already test all patients	17.5%
management with antibiotics) if you could test all patients?		Only if quick test for PCR were available	26.9%
		Yes	22.2%
		No	33.4%
During the COVID-19 pandemic, did you change the surgical approach	703	No	61.0%
(open versus laparoscopic) to appendectomy?		Yes, from laparoscopic to open	36.6%
		Yes, from open to laparoscopic	2.4%
Would you say that you are confident in performing open	704	No	7.8%
appendectomy?		Yes, supervised by someone senior, or with experience in open appendectomy	15.8%
		Yes, unsupervised	76.4%
How are you operating on COVID-19 positive patients with appendicitis?	695	Always open surgery, personal preference	30.1%
		Laparoscopic surgery without specific devices for protection and smoke evacuation	6.2%
		Laparoscopic surgery with specific devices for protection and smoke evacuation	43.0%
		I would use laparoscopy, but I do not have devices for pneumoperitoneum/smoke evacuation	20.7%
How are you operating on COVID-19 untested patients with appendicitis?	700	Always open surgery, personal preference	28.0%

		Laparoscopic surgery	49.4%			
		I would use laparoscopy, but I do not have devices for pneumoperitoneum/smoke evacuation	17.0%			
		I would use laparoscopy, but hospital policy does not allow it	5.6%			
If laparoscopic appendectomy is performed, do you use any filter system?	695	Yes	37.8%			
		Yes, only in COVID-19 positive patients	11.9%			
		Yes, only in COVID-19 positive or untested patients	24.3%			
		No	26.0%			
If any smoke evacuation system is used, which type of device do you use	637	Commercially available	32.8%			
		Commercially available with filtration connected to a container with water	7.7%			
		Commercially available with filtration connected to a sealed container	22.0%			
		Homemade	11.9%			
		Homemade with filtration connected to a container with water	14.0%			
		Homemade with filtration connected to a sealed container	11.6%			
5. Patient presentation before and during the COVID-19 pandemic						
Did any patient referred for acute appendicitis test positive for SARS-CoV- 2 before surgery at your hospital (percentage)?	698	0%	68.1%			
		1-5%	27.5%			
		5-10%	3.3%			
		>10%	1.1%			
Did any patient referred for acute appendicitis later test positive for SARS-CoV-2 at your hospital (percentage)?	691	0%	71.8%			
		1-5%	21.3%			
		5-10%	2.8%			

		>10%	4.1%
How many patients with acute appendicitis are referred to your hospital in one month (before COVID-19 pandemic)?	699	<5	13.3%
		5-10	26.9%
		10-20	27.0%
		>20	32.8%
How many patients with acute appendicitis have been referred to your hospital during the last month (during COVID-19 pandemic)?	699	<5	39.3%
		5-10	33.5%
		10-20	16.7%
		>20	10.5%
Would you agree that patients admitted with acute appendicitis had more aggressive presentation during COVID-19 pandemic?	701	Yes	34.3%
		No	26.5%
		Unsure	39.2%
In percentage, in how many patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess) a non-operative management with antibiotics is usually used at your hospital (before COVID-19 pandemic)?	701	<25%	79.3%
		26-50%	11.8%
		51-75%	6.6%
		76-100%	2.3%
In percentage, in how many patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess) a non-operative management with antibiotics is currently used at your hospital (during COVID-19 pandemic)?	697	<25%	60.1%
		26-50%	16.2%
		51-75%	11.6%
		76-100%	12.1%
In percentage, how many patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis (no abscess) treated conservatively with antibiotics were sent home and followed-up at the outpatient clinic at your hospital before COVID-19 pandemic?	696	<25%	78.2%
		26-50%	10.9%
		51-75%	5.7%
		76-100%	5.2%
In percentage, how many patients with uncomplicated acute appendicitis	692	<25%	67.5%

(no abscess) treated conservatively with antibiotics are currently sent home and followed-up at the outpatient clinic at your hospital (during COVID-19 pandemic)?		26-50%	12.9%
		51-75%	9.8%
		76-100%	9.8%
In percentage, how many patients with complicated acute appendicitis (with abscess) usually undergo conservative treatment with antibiotics +/- percutaneous drainage at your hospital (before COVID-19 pandemic)?	695	<25%	77.3%
		26-50%	10.8%
		51-75%	5.6%
		76-100%	6.3%
In percentage, how many patients with complicated acute appendicitis (with abscess) currently undergo conservative treatment with antibiotics +/- percutaneous drainage at your hospital (during COVID-19 pandemic)?	690	<25%	68.4%
		26-50%	12.5%
		51-75%	9.3%
		76-100%	9.8%
In percentage, how many patients with acute appendicitis treated with surgery usually undergo open appendectomy at your hospital (before COVID-19 pandemic)?	698	<25%	73.6%
		26-50%	8.7%
		51-75%	8.6%
		76-100%	9.1%
In percentage, how many patients with acute appendicitis treated with surgery currently undergo open appendectomy at your hospital (during COVID-19 pandemic)?	695	<25%	53.8%
		26-50%	13.7%
		51-75%	9.8%
		76-100%	22.7%