Late-onset and long-lasting autoimmune neutropenia: an analysis from the Italian Neutropenia Registry

Francesca Fioredda,¹ Gioacchino Andrea Rotulo,¹ Piero Farruggia,² Francesca Dagliano,¹ Marta Pillon,³ Angela Trizzino,² Lucia Notarangelo,⁴ Laura Luti,⁵ Tiziana Lanza,¹ Paola Terranova,¹ Marina Lanciotti,¹ Isabella Ceccherini,⁶ Alice Grossi,⁶ Laura Porretti,⁷ Federico Verzegnassi,⁸ Elena Mastrodicasa,⁹ Angelica Barone,¹⁰ Giovanna Russo,¹¹ Sonia Bonanomi,¹² Gianluca Boscarol,¹³ Andrea Finocchi,^{14,15} Marinella Veltroni,¹⁶ Ugo Ramenghi,¹⁷ Daniela Onofrillo,¹⁸ Baldassare Martire,¹⁹ Roberta Ghilardi,²⁰ Paola Giordano,²¹ Saverio Ladogana,^{22,23} Nicoletta Marra,²⁴ Sabrina Zanardi,^{1,25} Fabian Beier,²⁶ Maurizio Miano,¹ and Carlo Dufour¹

¹Hematology Unit, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico (IRCCS)-Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, Italy; ²Pediatric Hematology and Oncology Unit, ARNAS (Azienda di Rilievo Nazionale ad Alta Specializzazione) Ospedale Civico, Palermo, Italy; ³Pediatric Onco-Hematology Department, University of Padua, Padua, Italy; ⁴Oncology-Haematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation Unit, Children's Hospital Spedali Civili, Brescia, Italy; ⁵Pediatric Hematology Oncology, Bone Marrow Transplant, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Pisana, S. Chiara Hospital, Pisa, Italy; ⁶Medical Genetics Unit, IRCCS Giannina Gaslini Children's Hospital, Genoa, Italy; ⁷Flow Cytometry Service, Laboratory of Clinical Chemistry and Microbiology, IRCCS "Ca' Granda" Foundation, Maggiore Hospital Policlinico, Milan, Italy; ⁸Institute for Maternal and Child Health-IRCCS Burlo Garofolo, Trieste, Italy; ⁹Pediatric Oncology Hematology Unit, S. Maria Della Misericordia Hospital, Perugia, Italy; ¹⁰Department of Pediatric Oncology-Hematology, University Hospital, Parma, Italy; ¹¹Pediatric Hematology and Oncology Unit, Azienda Policlinico-Vittorio Emanuele, University of Catania, Catania, Italy; ¹²MBBM (Monza e Brianza per Bambino e Mamma) Foundation, Department of Pediatrics, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy; ¹³Department of Pediatrics, Central Teaching Hospital Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy; 14 Unit of Immune and Infectious Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy; 15 Department of Systems Medicine, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy; ¹⁶Department of Pediatric Oncology-Hematology, Meyer Children's Hospital, Florence, Italy; ¹⁷Department of Pediatric and Public Health Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy, ¹⁹Pediatric Hematology and Oncology Unit, Department of Hematology, Spirito Santo Hospital, Pescara, Italy; ¹⁹Unit of Pediatrics and Neonatology "Monsignor Dimiccoli" Hospital, Barletta, Italy; ²⁰Department of Pediatrics, IRCCS-Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy; ²¹Department of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology, Pediatric Section, University "A. Moro" of Bari, Bari, Italy; ²²Pediatric Science and Surgery Department, Pediatric Oncology-Hematology Unit, Hospital Policlinico-Giovanni XXIII, Bari, Italy; 23 Department of Hematology, IRCCS Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza, San Giovanni Rotondo, Italy; ²⁴AORN (Azienda Ospedaliera Rilievo Nazionale), Santobono Pausillipon, Naples, Italy; ²⁵Biostatistic and Epidemiology Unit, IRCCS–Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, Italy; and ²⁶Department of Hematology, Oncology, Hemostaseology, and Stem Cell Transplantation, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

Key Points

- Late-onset and longlasting autoimmune neutropenia are different entities compared with primary autoimmune neutropenia.
- Autoimmune neutropenia presenting at >3 years of age, with no tendency to resolution, would deserve extensive immunologic investigations.

Primary autoimmune neutropenia (pAN) is typified by onset in early infancy and a mild/ moderate phenotype that resolves within 3 years of diagnosis. In contrast, secondary AN is classically an adult disease associated with malignancy, autoimmunity, immunodeficiency, viral infection, or drugs. This study describes a cohort of 79 children from the Italian Registry who, although resembling pAN, did not fully match the criteria for pAN because neutropenia either appeared after age 5 years (LO-Np) or lasted longer than 3 years (LL-Np). These 2 categories compared with classical pAN showed a far inferior rate of resolution (P < .001), lower severity of neutropenia (P = .03), leukopenia (P < .001), lymphopenia (P < .001) with low B+ (P = .001), increased need of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (P = .04), and increased frequency of autoimmunity over the disease course (P < .001). A paired comparison between LO-Np and LL-Np suggested that LO-Np had a lower rate of resolution (P < .001) and lower white blood cell (P < .001) and lymphocyte (P < .001) values, higher occurrence of apthae (P = .008), and a stronger association with autoimmune diseases/ markers (P = .001) than LL-Np, thus suggesting a more pronounced autoimmune signature for LO-Np. A next-generation sequencing panel applied in a small subgroup of LO-Np and LL-Np patients identified variants related to immune dysregulations. Overall, these findings indicate that there are important differences among pAN LL-Np and LO-Np. Forms rising after 3 years of age, with low tendency to resolution, require tight monitoring and extensive immune investigations aimed to early identify underlying immunologic disease.

For data, please contact the corresponding author at francescafioredda@gaslini.org.

The full-text version of this article contains a data supplement. $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2020 by The American Society of Hematology

Submitted 25 June 2020; accepted 3 September 2020; published online 18 November 2020. DOI 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020002793.

Introduction

Autoimmune neutropenia is a disease characterized by chronic reduction of the absolute neutrophils count (ANC) below the threshold for age (in White subjects $<1.0 \times 10^9$ /L up to 1 year of age and <1.5 \times 10⁹/L above 1 year of age) because of the presence of antibodies against human neutrophil antigens. According to the current knowledge and classification, autoimmune neutropenia is designated as primary or as secondary. Primary autoimmune neutropenia (pAN) rises in early infancy, usually before age 3 years, shows a mild/moderate phenotype, and almost always spontaneously resolves over time, with 90% of cases recovering within 24 to 36 months of onset.¹⁻³ Conversely, secondary autoimmune neutropenia is usually associated with autoimmune diseases (ie, rheumatoid arthritis, Felty syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus, scleroderma) or malignancies (ie, large granular lymphocytic leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, Waldenström macroglobulinemia, Hodgkin lymphoma, thymoma), viral infections, and drugs; may appear after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT); and is mostly seen in adults.^{4,5} In everyday clinical practice, several cases of autoimmune neutropenia apparently recapitulating the phenotype of pAN but not completely fitting the criteria of pAN have been observed. These cases either tend not to present in early infancy but after the first 3 years of life or not to resolve within 36 months since diagnosis as occurs in typical pAN. These patients pose a challenge because they may be under- or misdiagnosed and improperly classified as pAN and thus not receive appropriate treatment and monitoring plans. The aim of this study is to characterize the clinical and immunohematologic features of a population of children with autoimmune neutropenia with a phenotype apparently resembling that of pAN but who, for age of onset or duration of the disease, did not fit the classical category of pAN.

Patients and methods

AN was defined by the presence of an ANC (confirmed on \geq 3 samples) below the threshold for age, lasting longer than 3 months, and associated with positive indirect anti-neutrophil antibody testing (antibodies found in the patient's serum) as detected through granulocyte indirect immunofluorescence test (GIFT). GIFT was centralized only in 1 laboratory and was performed \geq 3 times for all the patients with the aim to overcome the well-known lack of sensitivity.^{1,6,7}

Two categories of autoimmune neutropenia patients were eligible for the study. The first included subjects diagnosed at age \geq 5 years up to 18 years who were defined as late-onset neutropenia (LO Np). The second included children diagnosed at <3 years of age, whose neutropenia lasted >3 years, and who were called long-lasting neutropenia (LL Np).

Neutropenia resolution was defined when ANC were stably >1.5 $\times~10^9/L$ in >3 samples within 3 months.

Patients with AN were also excluded if AN was associated at diagnosis with autoimmune markers or disorders (systemic autoimmune diseases, primary immunodeficiencies, common variable immunodeficiencies defined according to the European Society of Immunodeficiency) criteria (with the exception of isolated immunoglobulin A deficiency) or with concomitant additional cytopenias.^{8,9} Also excluded were all patients with neutropenia

associated with drug assumption, neoplasms, or that occurred after HSCT or in whom GIFT tested negative for \geq 3 times. Criteria for treatment with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) were those of the Italian Guidelines.¹⁰

Demographic, clinical, biochemical, immunologic, and genetic data of patients were extracted from the database of the Italian Neutropenia Registry (INR).

Data were collected according to Helsinki declaration of principles. Each patient or his/her guardian signed an informed consent form. Molecular analysis was performed with a next-generation sequencing (NGS) through the HaloPlex Target Enrichment System, including 315 genes involved in hematologic disorders, immuno-deficiencies, immune dysregulation, and inflammatory and bone marrow failure syndromes. Variants were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Clinical and immunologic data were compared with those from a group of patients included in the INR who entirely fulfilled the diagnostic criteria of pAN that were as follows: onset in early infancy at <3 years of age, positive GIFT indirect anti–neutrophil antibody test, mild/moderate infection load, no association with autoimmune markers or disease, and resolution of neutropenia within 36 months since diagnosis.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis has been reported as absolute frequency for qualitative data and according to median, range, and standard deviation for quantitative data. The χ^2 test was used for frequency distribution (and Fisher's test for expected frequency <5). The Mann-Whitney *U* test was used for nonparametric comparison, and the Kruskall-Wallis test was used for comparison between groups. Bonferroni's adjustment was used to compare the ratings for each group. Values were significant at P < .05. STATA software was used for analysis (release 13.0; StataCorp 2001, College Station, TX).

Results

Data source and general description

From 2002 to 2019, a total of 644 diagnoses of neutropenia were collected within the INR. The complete dataset of 214 patients with AN was retrieved from the registry, and 3 categories were defined according to the abovementioned criteria: pAN comprised 135 patients (63%), and LO-Np and LL-Np comprised 31 (15%) and 48 (22%) subjects, respectively.

Comparison of pAN vs LO-Np vs LL-Np

We initially compared these 3 groups to see whether there were differences besides age of onset and duration of neutropenia. Indeed the 3 populations showed significant differences in most of the analyzed variables with the exception of monocytosis, CD8⁺ cell depletion, deficiency of \geq 1 class of immunoglobulin, and severity of infections that were similarly distributed among the 3 populations. Details are reported in Table 1.

Median ANC values were significantly higher in LO-Np (0.649 \times 10⁹/L; interquartile range [IQR], 0.43-0.97) and in LL-Np (0.552 \times 10⁹/L; IQR, 0.376-0.79) vs pAN (0.43 \times 10⁹/L; IQR, 0.22-0.716) (Figure 1) and severe neutropenia (0.5 \times 10⁹/L) was more frequent in pAN (58%) vs LL-Np (37%) and LO-Np (32%), respectively (*P* = .03)

Lymphocytes were lower in LO-Np (1.68 \times 10⁹/L; IQR, 1.24-1.90) and LL-Np (2.37 \times 10⁹/L; IQR, 1.92-3.40) vs pAN (4.74 \times 10⁹/L;

Table 1. Comparison between pAN, LO-Np, and LL-Np

	pAN (n = 135 patients)	LO-Np (n =31 patients)	LL-Np (n = 48 patients)	Р
Female sex	41/135 (30)	16/31 (52)	25/48 (52)	.001
Age at diagnosis, median (IQR), y	0.6 (0.3-1.3)	11.5 (7.6-14.6)	1.18 (0.6-2.2)	<.001
Lenght of neutropenia, median (IQR), y	1.03 (0.54-1.7)	2.1 (1.4-4.4)	4.5 (3.5-7.09)	<.001
Resolution of neutropenia	135/135 (100)	4/31 (13)	28/48(58)	<.001
ANC at onset, median (IQR), $\times 10^9/L$	0.43 (0.23-0.716)	0.649 (0.43-0.97)	0.552 (0.35-0.79)	<.001
Leukocyte count at onset, median (IQR), $\times 10^9/L$	6.125 (5.01-7.92)	3.18 (2.67-3.71)	5.03 (3.44-6.90)	<.001
Lymphocyte count, median (IQR), $ imes 10^9$ /L	4.74 (3.50-5.88)	1.68 (1.24-1.90)	2.37 (1.92-3.40)	<.001
Monocitosis at onset	15/120 (12.5)	5/26 (19)	10/38 (26)	NS
Low CD3*	7/72 (10)	2/24 (8)	11/39 (28)	.02
Low CD4*	8/72 (11)	4/25 (16)	11/38 (29)	.06
Low CD8*	11/71 (15)	4/25 (16)	7/40 (17,5)	NS
Low CD19*	6/64 (9)	12/25 (48)	13/37 (35)	<.001
Low NK* (CD3 ⁺ CD16 ⁺ CD56 ⁺)	10/62 (16)	9/23 (39)	10/34 (29)	.06
Immunoglobulin depletion*	7/113 (6)	4/26 (15)	3/44 (7)	NS
Infectious episodes	65/130 (50)	14/29 (48)	18/47 (38)	.4
Severe infections	16/65 (25)	3/14(21)	3/18 (17)	.2
G-CSF therapy	7/135 (5)	3/21 (14)	7/42 (17)	.04
Autoimmune diseases/markers	2/135 (1)	16/29 (55)	8/48 (17)	<.001

Data are n/N (%) unless other noted. NS, not significant.

*Values below the third percentile for age.

IQR, 3.50-5.88; P < .001). This might account for the higher frequency of leukopenia found in LO-Np (73%) vs LL-Np (26%) vs pAN (11%; P = .01).

Lymphocyte subset analysis showed that decreased CD19⁺ cells were more frequent in LO-Np (48%), followed by LL-Np (35%) and pAN (9%; P < .001) and so was depletion of CD3⁻CD16⁺CD56⁺ (natural killer [NK] cells; 39% in LO-Np), followed by LL-Np (29%) and by pAN (16%), although not definitely reaching statistical significance (P = .06).

The 3 populations also differed for the proportion of patients with low (absolute values; below the third percentile for age) $CD3^+$ cells (P = .02). This difference was borderline for $CD4^+$ cells (P = .06).

Rate of severe infections (ie, meningitis, sepsis, osteomyelitis, phlegmons or deep abscesses, pneumonia), as scored before G-CSF treatment, were equally distributed among the 3 groups and therefore were recurrent infections. Likewise, type of infections (fever of unknown origin and upper respiratory infections including otitis, skin infections) were comparable.



Figure 1. WBCs and absolute neutrophils count: differences between AN, LL-Np, and LO-Np.

Despite a similar infectious load, G-CSF treatment, mostly on demand according to Italian National Guidelines,¹⁰ was adopted more frequently in LO-Np (3/21; 14%) and LL-Np (7/42; 17%) than in pAN (7/135; 5%; P = .04).

Finally, the occurrence during follow-up of autoimmune diseases was another element of differentiation among the 3 populations (Table 1). Both autoimmune markers and diseases occurred more frequently in LO-Np (55%) and LL-Np (17%) compared with pAN (1%; P = .01)

In particular, autoimmune diseases included the following, autoimmune thyroiditis (5 patients), Evans' syndrome (4 patients), autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome (2 patients), type 1 diabetes (2 patients), celiac disease (1 patient), vitiligo (1 patient), rheumatoid arthritis (1 patient), and appeared 42 months (range, 5-168 months) since diagnosis of neutropenia, whereas autoimmune markers included anti-smooth muscle antibodies (3 patients), anti-double-stranded DNA (2 patients), antibodies to glutamic acid decarboxylase (1 patient), antithyroid antibodies (1 patient), and anti-*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* antibodies (1 patient).

Overall, this comparison indicates that, in our cohort, on immunohematologic and clinical ground, patients with pAN, LO-Np, and LL-Np differ not only because of late-onset or longer duration but also because of rate of resolution, leukopenia, severity of neutropenia, lymphopenia with low B+ and NK cells, increased need of G-CSF, and increased frequency of associated autoimmunity.

Comparison between LO and LL neutropenia

Because in the triple comparison of pAN vs LO-Np vs LL-Np, differences occurred also between the LO and LL groups, we next performed a paired analysis of these 2 populations for the same items of the triple evaluation (Table 1).

Whereas no significant differences were found in sex, monocytosis, severity of neutropenia, overall severe infection rate, need for G-CSF, and lymphocyte subsets, LO-Np in comparison with LL-Np appeared to have a lower rate of resolution (13% vs 58%; *P* < .001), lower white blood cells (WBC; 3.18×10^9 /L vs 5.03×10^9 /L; *P* < .001) and lymphocytes values (1.68×10^9 /L in LO-Np vs 2.37×10^9 /L in LL-Np; *P* < .001), higher occurrence of aphthae (24%; *P* = .008), and a stronger association with autoimmune diseases/markers (55% vs 17%; *P* = .001).

Although lymphocyte subset was nonsignificantly different in LO-Np vs LL-Np, a trend toward diminution of CD19⁺ and NK cells was seen in LO-Np compared with LL-Np, counterbalanced by CD3⁺ and CD4⁺ cells whose trend was opposite.

We applied an enlarged NGS panel including 315 genes involved in hematologic disorders, immunodeficiencies, immune dysregulation, inflammatory, and bone marrow failure syndromes to a small subgroup of LO-Np and LL-Np patients who showed some immunerelated stigmata that are detailed in supplemental Table 1. We found variants in 4 of 15 tested patients (27%). Variants were detected in 3 of 10 LO-Np and in 1 of 5 LL-Np patients and were identified in TACI (2 in LO-Np, 1 variant of uncertain significance, and 1 likely pathogenic variant), TINF2 (1 variant of uncertain significance in LO-Np), and LRBA (2 compound heterozygosis of 2 pathogenic variants in LL Np) genes according to GnomAD and VarSome databases. These findings raise the point that a clinical/biological difference might potentially exist between LO-Np and LL-Np, with LO-Np showing a more pronounced autoimmune signature.

Overall, considering the results of the triple (pAn vs LL-Np vs LO-Np) and of the double (LL-Np vs LO-Np) comparison, the issue that we are dealing with potentially different entities appears to be substantiated.

Discussion

The finding of autoimmune neutropenia patients apparently similar to classical pAN but indeed different from them because isolated neutropenia appears later than the age of 3 years (LO-Np) or because neutropenia persists beyond 36 months since diagnosis (LL-Np) is a rather common situation in clinical practice and represents a challenge for diagnosis and management because patients may not receive appropriate and tailored treatment and monitoring plans.

In our study, we describe a cohort of 79 autoimmune neutropenia patients who differ from classical pAN because either it presented after age of 3 years or recovered later than 36 months, and we compared this cohort with a group of pAN subjects whose diagnosis was firmly established, aiming to see whether they showed additional immunohematologic and clinical differences vs pAN. Indeed, this triple comparison showed that, in addition to late onset and longer duration, the 3 groups also differ in the rate of resolution, severity of neutropenia (that were both lowest in LO-Np), lymphopenia including reduced B+-cells (lowest in LO-Np) and low NK cells (although not fully statistically significant; P = .06), frequency of associated autoimmunity (whose highest rate was seen in LO-Np), and use of G-CSF compared with pAN. For reduced resolution rate, the difference was striking (100% in pAN vs 58% in LL-Np vs 13% in LO-Np), thus raising the hypothesis that a proportion of LL-Np patients still have a tendency to resolution that may occur later in time. It may be possible that these LL-Np patients are true pAN patients simply requiring a longer time beyond the classical 36 months to resolve their neutropenia. On the contrary, a small portion of subjects affected with those neutropenias are found mutated with monogenic immunodeficiency (TACI) or with disease including immune deficiency (TINF2).

Indeed, the differences we observed in the triple comparison were to a large extent seen also when we compared LO-Np with LL-Np, thus further corroborating the fact that we are probably dealing with different clinical entities. In fact, we found a significantly lower rate of resolution, total lower WBCs, and lymphocytes, and an increased rate of autoimmunity developing during the course of disease (median appearance time, 42 months since diagnosis of neutropenia) in LO-Np vs LL-Np. These findings indicate a more pronounced autoimmune signature for LO-Np compared with LL-Np, thus supporting the hypothesis that in LO-Np, neutropenia would be an anticipatory phenomenon of an immunodeficiency/dysregulation disease rather than an isolated event.4,5 Indeed primary immunodeficiencies are often associated to autoimmune phenomena, and it is also known that the immunologic defect and the exhaustion of immunoglobulin may occur in the third/fourth decade of life, which may explain the substantial lack of immunoglobulin deficiency we observed in our younger cohort. LL-Np and LO-Np that do not show resolution generally resemble the characteristics of AN of young adults. A possible hypothesis would be that neutropenia, being rather asymptomatic at the beginning, occurs as a precocious sign and is followed by acquisition of associated autoimmune signs over time. Prevalence of females in patients without resolution has been shown (P < .002), as generally described in AN of young adults.

LL-Np may appear to parallel what sometimes happens with immune thrombocytopenia,¹¹ where those cases with long history or with poor response to first/second line immune thrombocytopenic purpura-directed therapy may anticipate lymphoproliferative (like chronic lymphocytic leukemia and large granular lymphocytic leukemia) or autoimmune disorders (ie, systemic lupus erythematosus). Interestingly, in the small aggregate group of LO-Np and LL-Np who underwent NGS analysis, we found variants in genes associated with monogenic immunodeficiency (TACI that encodes protein of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily found on the surface of B cells, and LRBA, whose mutations are associated with common variable immunodeficiencies, autoimmunity, and susceptibility to inflammatory bowel disease) or with telomere biology diseases that also include immune deficiency (TINF2, encoding a component of the shelterin complex involved in telomere maintenance).12-15

This might be in keeping with the hypothesis that LO-Np may herald an immune deficiency or an immune dysregulation that will develop later over the disease time course.⁶ This may have clinical implications because patients, still resembling but not fully fitting classical diagnostic criteria for pAN, and who have later onset, lower WBC and lymphocyte count, no tendency to spontaneous resolution after 3 years since diagnosis, and have, although subtle, autoimmune stigmata, deserve long-term monitoring and extensive immunologic workup, including a large immune dysregulation NGS gene panel aimed to identify a later shift toward secondary AN or to an immune deficiency. This might apply also to that proportion of LL-Np who do not get disease resolution. Another relevant implication is that an early diagnosis of an immunologic disease may enable to prevent complication and endeavor the use of targeted therapies.¹⁶

We observed a more frequent G-CSF use in LO-Np and LL-Np vs pAN, although there was no difference of overall infectious rate between the 3 groups. This may be explained by the prevalent use of on-demand G-CSF in adherence to national guidelines¹⁰ that suggest temporarily use in case of otherwise unmanageable infections or as prophylaxis before surgery.

This study has some limitations. As a retrospective registry analysis, it implies some selection bias and some incomplete data as in the

case of genetic tests. In addition, the relatively short follow-up does not allow to capture those patients who present in childhood with isolated neutropenia and that might become immunodeficient in adult age. In this respect, a joint study with adult neutropenia registry/groups would be advisable to fill this age gap.

There are, however, some important strengths represented by the robust comparative basis and the extensive clinical and immunohematologic characterization of the study populations.

Overall, this is the first large comparative study showing that children with apparent pAN but whose neutropenia lasts longer or appears later in life, have also other important differences, suggesting that cases presenting after 3 years of age, with low/ no tendency to resolution, require tight monitoring and extensive immune investigations aimed to early identify underlying immunologic disease that might develop.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Italian Ministry of Health (Ricerca Corrente 2020).

Authorship

Contribution: F.F. and G.A.R. designed the research; F.F., G.A.R., F.D., and P.F. performed research; A.T., A.B., E.M., L.L., L.N., M.P., F.V., G.R., S.B., G.B., A.F., M.V., U.R., D.O., B.M., R.G., S.L.D., and N.M. contributed to data collection; M.L., P.T., T.L., L.P., A.G., I.C., and F.B. performed laboratory analysis; S.Z., F.F., G.A.R., M.M., and C.D. reviewed and analyzed data; and F.F., G.A.R., and C.D. wrote the paper

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: F.F., 0000-0003-1504-5511; P.F., 0000-0002-3412-0399; P.T., 0000-0002-4545-9873; M.L., 0000-0003-4900-6908; I.C., 0000-0001-8732-1955; A.G., 0000-0002-4570-3485; L.P., 0000-0001-8100-4262; G.R., 0000-0001-9369-7473; U.R., 0000-0003-3576-3510; P.G., 0000-0002-7762-3500; M.M., 0000-0002-9816-1704.

Correspondence: Francesca Fioredda, Unit of Hematology, IRCCS–Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Largo G Gaslini 5, 16147 Genoa, Italy; e-mail: francescafioredda@gaslini.org.

References

- 1. Bux J, Behrens G, Jaeger G, Welte K. Diagnosis and clinical course of autoimmune neutropenia in infancy: analysis of 240 cases. *Blood.* 1998;91(1): 181-186.
- 2. Bruin MC, von dem Borne AE, Tamminga RY, Kleijer M, Buddelmeijer L, de Haas M. Neutrophil antibody specificity in different types of childhood autoimmune neutropenia. *Blood*. 1999;94(5):1797-1802.
- 3. Farruggia P, Fioredda F, Puccio G, et al. Autoimmune neutropenia of infancy: Data from the Italian neutropenia registry. *Am J Hematol.* 2015;90(12): E221-E222.
- 4. Capsoni F, Sarzi-Puttini P, Zanella A. Primary and secondary autoimmune neutropenia. Arthritis Res Ther. 2005;7(5):208-214.
- 5. Farruggia P, Puccio G, Fioredda F, et al. Autoimmune neutropenia of childhood secondary to other autoimmune disorders: Data from the Italian neutropenia registry. *Am J Hematol.* 2017;92(9):E546-E549.
- 6. Bruin M, Dassen A, Pajkrt D, Buddelmeyer L, Kuijpers T, de Haas M. Primary autoimmune neutropenia in children: a study of neutrophil antibodies and clinical course. *Vox Sang.* 2005;88(1):52-59.

- 7. Lucas G, Porcelijn L, Fung YL, et al. External quality assessment of human neutrophil antigen (HNA)-specific antibody detection and HNA genotyping from 2000 to 2012. Vox Sang. 2013;105(3):259-269.
- 8. Seidel MG, Kindle G, Gathmann B, et al; ESID Registry Working Party and collaborators. The European Society for Immunodeficiencies (ESID) Registry working definitions for the clinical diagnosis of inborn errors of immunity. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(6):1763-1770.
- 9. Ghorbani M, Fekrvand S, Shahkarami S, et al. The evaluation of neutropenia in common variable immune deficiency patients. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* 2019;15(11):1225-1233.
- Fioredda F, Calvillo M, Bonanomi S, et al; Neutropenia Committee of the Marrow Failure Syndrome Group of the AIEOP (Associazione Italiana Emato-Oncologia Pediatrica). Congenital and acquired neutropenias consensus guidelines on therapy and follow-up in childhood from the Neutropenia Committee of the Marrow Failure Syndrome Group of the AIEOP (Associazione Italiana Emato-Oncologia Pediatrica). Am J Hematol. 2012;87(2): 238-243.
- 11. Cines DB, Bussel JB, Liebman HA, et al. The ITP syndrome: pathogenic and clinical diversity. Blood. 2009;113(26):6511-6521.
- 12. Salzer U, Chapel HM, Webster ADB, et al. Mutations in TNFRSF13B encoding TACI are associated with common variable immunodeficiency in humans. Nat Genet. 2005;37(8):820-828.
- 13. Zhang L, Radigan L, Salzer U, et al. Transmembrane activator and calcium-modulating cyclophilin ligand interactor mutations in common variable immunodeficiency: clinical and immunologic outcomes in heterozygotes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2007;120(5):1178-1185.
- Gámez-Diaz L, August D, Stepensky P, et al. The extended phenotype of LPS-responsive beige-like anchor protein (LRBA) deficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016;137(1):223-230.
- 15. Walne AJ, Vulliamy T, Beswick R, Kirwan M, Dokal I. TINF2 mutations result in very short telomeres: analysis of a large cohort of patients with dyskeratosis congenita and related bone marrow failure syndromes. *Blood.* 2008;112(9):3594-3600.
- 16. Cunningham-Rundles C. How I treat common variable immune deficiency. Blood. 2010;116(1):7-15.