



Indicators for Circular Economy in the Agri-food Sector

José A. Aznar-Sánchez^{a,1}, Joan Manuel F. Mendoza^{b,c}, Carlo Ingrao^d, Sabina Failla^e,
Alberto Bezama^f, Thomas Nemecek^g, Alejandro Gallego-Schmid^h

^a Department of Economy and Business, Andalusian Center for the Assessment and Monitoring of the Global Change, University of Almería, Almería, 04120, Spain

^b Industrial Organisation and Management, Faculty of Engineering, University of Mondragon, 20500 Arrasate-Mondragon, Gipuzkoa, Spain

^c Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain

^d Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Kore University of Enna, Cittadella Universitaria, 94100 Enna, Italy

^e Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Catania Via S. Sofia, 100 - 95123, Catania, Italy

^f Department of Bioenergy, Helmholtz Center for Environmental Research – UFZ, Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

^g Agroscope, Agroecology and Environment, LCA group, Reckenholzstrasse 191, CH-8046 Zurich, Switzerland

^h Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Manchester, HG1, Pariser Building, Sackville Street, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom

Agriculture and the food sector plays a crucial role in the preservation and sustainable use of natural resources. Moreover, it has an enormous potential to mitigate climate change if compared to other economic sectors. The development of agriculture activity has brought about relevant negative environmental impacts: overexploitation of natural resources, soil and water pollution, changes in land use, biodiversity loss and CO₂ emissions, among others. Future perspectives point out that these consequences will be strengthened. On the one side, agriculture production should be increased to meet the food needs of a growing world population. On the other side, changes in food demand drive changes in agricultural production. These trends will exert further pressure on natural resources and their intensification level. In this sense, more external inputs will be applied (nutrients, agrochemicals, energy, etc.) and more polluting outputs will be produced (sub-products, organic and inorganic wastes, nitrates, etc.). The food sector is inherently linked to agriculture and offers manifold opportunities to improve its circularity by reusing resources, valorising by-products, cascading use of biomass, reducing food loss and food waste. By-products and wastes from the food industry are often used in agriculture e.g. as feedstuffs or fertilisers.

Accordingly, alternative production and consumption models relying on the principles of Circular Economy (CE) are required to face these challenges and revert the trends. A CE can be considered “an economic system that replaces the ‘end-of-life’ concept with reducing, reusing, recycling and recovering materials in production and consumption processes... [] ...with the aim to accomplish sustainable development, thus simultaneously creating environmental quality, economic prosperity and social equity.” (Kirchherr et al. 2017). Therefore, a key principle of the CE is the optimization of resource yields by keeping materials in use for

the longest possible in technical and biological cycles. Nevertheless, this should be accompanied with the regeneration of natural systems (by controlling finite stocks and balancing renewable resource flows), and the design out of waste and pollution (by rethinking and re-designing processes) to ensure the deployment of a sustainable CE. The integration of these CE principles through technological and non-technological innovations in agricultural production, food production and consumption systems can contribute to increase resource efficiency and reduce environmental footprint substantially, at the time that the system becomes more cost-effective and socially responsible.

There are some different types of agriculture systems (intensive/extensive) and contexts (urban/rural) where CE indicators have to be defined and used to quantify the circularity of the systems. Reliable indicators to measure and document progress in the achievement of CE principles are still lacking, particularly in the agri-food sector (Kristensen and Mosgaard, 2020). Circularity assessment of such indicators is an essential requirement for the achievement of concrete actions and for the achievement of measurable results in the transition to a CE in agricultural and food systems. Agrarian companies and food producers’ commitments toward the CE might remain aspirational and unspecific in the absence of specific indicators. Contrarily, the development of these measurement tools can help producers and consumers to differentiate the genuinely circular agricultural and food products from the rest. In addition to circularity indicators, other sustainability indicators are needed to assess positive or negative impacts of CE approaches in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly in a sector like agri-food, crucial to achieving many of those goals (e.g. zero hunger and clean water and sanitation).

The conceptual grounds of CE have been developed mainly in the

E-mail addresses: jaznar@ual.es (J.A. Aznar-Sánchez), jmfernandez@mondragon.edu (J.M.F. Mendoza), ing.carloingrao@gmail.com (C. Ingrao), sailla@unict.it (S. Failla), alberto.bezama@ufz.de (A. Bezama), thomas.nemecek@agroscope.admin.ch (T. Nemecek), alejandro.gallegoschmid@manchester.ac.uk (A. Gallego-Schmid).

¹ Managing Guest Editor

manufacturing sector. Further developments are needed to apply these concepts to agriculture. The recent developments at EU level, i.e. incorporating CE within the Green Deal, have made the CE part of a more integrative space, dealing also with resources use and protecting and restoring biodiversity. For the understanding, measurement and monitoring of CE, a major challenge will be the establishment of a consensual and holistic indicator-based monitoring system (Kalmykova et al, 2018). These indicators must cope with a coordinated alignment of the production sectors with the envisaged developments promoted by the SDGs in order to assess the agricultural sector in a holistic way, preventing unexpected spill-over effects (Moraga et al, 2019). In addition, these indicators should be able to assess different scales in order to capture CE's regional and global impacts. Thus far, a series of indicator sets, footprints and dashboards have been proposed, but have not yet achieved consensus for their application, so this is still subject for international debate (Paulik, 2018).

This virtual special issue (VSI) intends to contribute to this field of research, by collecting high-quality manuscripts dealing with exploration and implementation of indicators to measure the progress level in the achievement of CE in the agri-food sector. Original research articles and review papers from all disciplines are invited to address the following topics:

New socio-economic indicators at different levels to measure the circularity in the agri-food sector

New indicator-based monitoring systems in the agri-food sector

Analysis of the synergies and trade-offs between agri-food CE strategies and the SDGs

- Novel metrics to evaluate the potential of CE strategies to regenerate agricultural land
- Novel metrics quantifying the resource and sustainability savings of cascading practices in the agri-food sector
- Metrics demonstrating how CE principles and strategies could manifest in food production and consumption systems
- Quantitative and qualitative comparisons of new CE strategies with current linear practices in the agri-food sector
- Monitoring systems for assessing agroecosystems and agro-food chains
- Sustainability indicators derived from the SDGs for the assessment of bioeconomy systems
- New evidence gathered from case studies or success practices that show the positive and negative impacts of CE strategies with broad implications in the agri-food sector

Important Dates

- Full paper submission deadline: October 31, 2020
- Final decision notification: May 31, 2021
- Publication: As soon as accepted

Manuscript Preparation and Submission

A Virtual Special Issue (VSI) is an online-only grouping of Special Issue articles traditionally assigned to a single Special Issue. The articles in a VSI will be assigned a unique identifier and published in a regular journal issue. The unique identifier allows to simultaneously adding the article to a VSI in ScienceDirect.com. Articles grouped together in a VSI retain their original citation details. A VSI speeds up the publication of individual articles, unlike the publication process for conventional Special Issue articles, a VSI does not need to wait for the final article to be ready before publication.

A detailed submission guideline is available as “Guide for Authors” at: <http://www.journals.elsevier.com/resources-conservation-and-recycling>. All manuscripts and any supplementary material should be submitted through Elsevier Editorial System (<http://ees.elsevier.com/recycl>). The authors must select “SI: Agri-food” in the submission process.

References

- Kalmykova, Y., Sadagopan, M., Rosado, L., 2018. Circular economy – From review of theories and practices to development of implementation tools. In: Xu, M. (Ed.), Ed. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, vol. 135. Elsevier, pp. 190–201.
- Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., Hekkert, M., 2017. Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114 definitions. In: Xu, M. (Ed.), Ed. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, vol. 127. Elsevier, pp. 221–232.
- Kristensen, H.S., Mosgaard, M.A., 2020. A review of micro level indicators for a circular economy – Moving away from the three dimensions of sustainability? J.Clean. Prod. 243, 11853.
- Moraga, G., Huysveldt, S., Mathieux, F., Blengnin, G.A., Alaerts, L., Ackerd, K., Meesterb, S., Dewulfa, J., 2019. Circular economy indicators: What do they measure? In: Xu, M. (Ed.), Ed. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, vol. 146. Elsevier, pp. 452–461.
- Paulik, S., 2018. Critical appraisal of the circular economy standard BS 8001:2017 and a dashboard of quantitative system indicators for its implementation in organizations. In: Xu, M. (Ed.), Ed. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, vol. 129. Elsevier, pp. 81–92.