

# Edge balanced star-hypergraph designs and vertex colorings of path designs

Paola Bonacini  | Lucia Marino

Mathematics and Computer Science  
Department, University of Catania,  
Catania, Italy

## Correspondence

Paola Bonacini, Dipartimento di  
Matematica e Informatica, Università di  
Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, Catania 95125,  
Italy.

Email: [bonacini@dmi.unict.it](mailto:bonacini@dmi.unict.it)

## Funding information

Università degli Studi di Catania

## Abstract

Let  $K_v^{(3)} = (X, \mathcal{E})$  be the complete hypergraph, uniform of rank 3, defined on a vertex set  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_v\}$ , so that  $\mathcal{E}$  is the set of all triples of  $X$ . Let  $H^{(3)} = (V, \mathcal{D})$  be a subhypergraph of  $K_v^{(3)}$ , which means that  $V \subseteq X$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ . We call *3-edges* the triples of  $V$  contained in the family  $\mathcal{D}$  and *edges* the pairs of  $V$  contained in the 3-edges of  $\mathcal{D}$ , that we denote by  $[x, y]$ . A  $H^{(3)}$ -design  $\Sigma$  is called *edge balanced* if for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , the number of blocks of  $\Sigma$  containing the edge  $[x, y]$  is constant. In this paper, we consider the star hypergraph  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ , which is a hypergraph with  $m$  3-edges such that all of them have an edge in common. We completely determine the spectrum of edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -designs for any  $m \geq 2$ , that is, the set of the orders  $v$  for which such a design exists. Then we consider the case  $m = 2$  and we denote the hypergraph  $S^{(3)}(2, 4)$  by  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ . Starting from any edge-balanced  $S^{(3)}\left(2, \frac{v+4}{3}\right)$ , with  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  sufficiently big, for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \right\rfloor \leq p \leq v$ , we construct a  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -design of order  $2v$  with feasible set  $\{2, 3\} \cup [p, v]$ , in the context of proper vertex colorings such that no block is either monochromatic or polychromatic.

## KEYWORDS

design, edge balanced, hypergraph, vertex coloring

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Let  $\lambda K_v^{(r)} = (X, \mathcal{E})$  be the complete hypergraph, uniform of rank  $r$ , defined on a vertex set  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_v\}$ , so that  $\mathcal{E}$  is the set of all subsets of  $r$  elements of  $X$  and all these sets have multiplicity  $\lambda$ . In this paper, we consider the case  $r = 3$ . We say that  $H^{(3)} = (V, \mathcal{D})$  is a *subhypergraph* of  $\lambda K_v^{(3)}$  if  $V \subseteq X$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ . This means that  $H^{(3)}$  is a uniform hypergraph of rank 3. We call *3-edges* the triples of  $V$  contained in the family  $\mathcal{D}$  and *edges* the pairs of  $V$  contained in the 3-edges of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Such pairs will be denoted by  $[x, y]$ .

An  $H^{(3)}$ -*decomposition* of  $\lambda K_v^{(3)}$  is a pair  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of hypergraphs all isomorphic to  $H^{(3)}$  that partition the edge set of  $\lambda K_v^{(3)}$ . An  $H^{(3)}$ -decomposition is also called a  $H^{(3)}$ -*design of order  $v$  and index  $\lambda$*  and the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  are called *blocks*.

If  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $H^{(3)}$ -design, for any  $x \in X$  we call *degree of the vertex  $x$*  the number  $d(x)$  of blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $x$ ; for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , we call *degree of the edge  $[x, y]$*  the number  $d(x, y)$  of blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$  containing the edge  $[x, y]$ .

Following the classical definition of balanced designs, it is possible to define *balanced  $H^{(3)}$ -designs*.

**Definition 1.1.** A  $H^{(3)}$ -design  $\Sigma$  is said to be *balanced* if the degree  $d(x)$  of each vertex  $x \in X$  is a constant.

In [18], generalizing this idea, the concept of *edge balanced* designs has been introduced.

**Definition 1.2.** A  $H^{(3)}$ -design is called *edge balanced* if for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , the degree  $d(x, y)$  is constant.

We will call a balanced hypergraph design *vertex balanced*, to make a distinction with edge balanced hypergraph designs. The concept of balanced  $G$ -design, in the case that  $G$  is a graph, was introduced by Hell and Rosa in [20]. Later, a lot of work has been done in this field (see e.g., [2,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,21]) both for graph designs and hypergraph designs.

In this paper, we consider star-hypergraphs:

**Definition 1.3.** A hypergraph  $(X, \mathcal{D})$  uniform of rank  $r$  is called a *star-hypergraph* if there exists  $Y \subset X$  such that  $E' \cap E'' = Y$  for any  $E', E'' \in \mathcal{D}$ . If  $|Y| = c$  and  $|E| = m$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{D}$ , we denote such a hypergraph by  $S^{(k)}(c, (k - c)m + c)$  and  $Y$  is called *center* of the star-hypergraph.

Clearly any  $S^{(3)}(1, 2m + 1)$ -design is edge balanced of constant degree 1. In this paper, we consider  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -designs and from now on we will take the index  $\lambda = 1$ . Answering also to a problem given in [18], in the first part of the paper we determine the spectrum of edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -designs for any  $m \geq 2$ , by showing the existence of a cyclic  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design for any admissible order  $v$ . This easily implies that, for any  $m \geq 2$ , every edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design is also vertex balanced.

In the second part of the paper we consider the case  $m = 2$ . In this case, coherently with the notation used previously in other papers (see, e.g., [9,8,18]), the hypergraph  $S^{(3)}(2, 4)$  will be denoted by  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ . Indeed, continuing the work done in [9], we will consider *Voloshin colorings* of  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -designs. In general, given a  $H^{(3)}$ -design  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$ , for some hypergraph  $H^{(3)}$ , a  $k$ -coloring of  $\Sigma$  is a map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow C$ , where  $C$  is a set of  $k$  colors. A  $k$ -coloring is *strict* if

exactly  $k$  colors are used. From now on, we assume that all our colorings are strict. Motivated by Voloshin's works, it is possible consider these type of colorings:

- colorings such that any block of  $\mathcal{B}$  contains at least two vertices of a common color; if  $\Sigma$  is colored in this way, we call it a  $CH^{(3)}$ -design;
- colorings such that any block of  $\mathcal{B}$  contains at least two vertices of different colors; if  $\Sigma$  is colored in this way, we call it a  $DH^{(3)}$ -design;
- colorings for which  $\Sigma$  is, at the same time, a  $CH^{(3)}$  and a  $DH^{(3)}$ -design; if  $\Sigma$  is colored in this way, we call it a  $BH^{(3)}$ -design.

In a  $CH^{(3)}$ -design a block is called *monochromatic* if all its vertices have the same color; in a  $DH^{(3)}$ -design a block is called *polychromatic* if any two of its vertices have different colors.

Given an  $H^{(3)}$ -design  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$ , the *feasible set* of  $\Sigma$  is:

$$\Omega(\Sigma) = \{k \mid \exists \text{ a } k\text{-coloring of } \Sigma\}.$$

The system  $\Sigma$  is *uncolorable* if  $\Omega(\Sigma) = \emptyset$ . If  $\Sigma$  is colorable, the minimum and the maximum of  $\Omega(\Sigma)$  are the *lower* and *upper chromatic number* of  $\Sigma$  and we denote them by, respectively,  $\chi(\Sigma)$  and  $\bar{\chi}(\Sigma)$ . The feasible set is said to be *broken* if there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $k \notin \Omega(\Sigma)$  and  $i < k < j$  for some  $i, j \in \Omega(\Sigma)$  and such an integer  $k$  is called a *gap*. In this paper, we will extend such concepts and notations to decompositions of subhypergraphs of the complete hypergraph  $K_v^{(3)}$  in hypergraphs all isomorphic to some  $H^{(3)}$ .

The concept of gaps in feasible sets was introduced by L. Gionfriddo in [15,16,17] in the context of  $P_3$ -designs. In [1], gaps in the feasible set for  $P_4$ -designs are explored in the context of regular equicolourings. Colorings of Steiner systems, mainly  $STS$ ,  $SQS$ , and  $S(2, 4, v)$ , have been considered in many papers (see, e.g., [13,14,19,22,23,24]), but the problem in such cases is open.

In [9], feasible sets of  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -designs have been studied, determining bounds for lower and upper chromatic numbers and proving the existence of  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -designs with the largest possible feasible set. Moreover, in [9] it is proved the existence of uncolorable  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -designs for any order  $v \geq 28$ .

In the second part of this paper, having as a starting point any edge-balanced  $S^{(3)}\left(2, \frac{v+4}{3}\right)$ -design of sufficiently high order  $v$ , with  $v \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ , we construct in Theorem 5.1 a  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -decomposition of the complete multipartite hypergraph  $K_{v \times 2}^{(3)}$  (with  $v$  partite sets of cardinality 2) with broken feasible set and color classes having a precise correspondence with the partite sets. This general construction easily leads in Theorem 6.1 to  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -designs of order  $2v$  and broken feasible set. Such a feasible set is of type  $\{2, 3\} \cup [p, v]$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \right\rfloor \leq p \leq v$ , with  $v$  sufficiently high, where for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \leq b$ , we set  $[a, b] = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid a \leq i \leq b\}$ .

At last let us fix some notation. If  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{m+2}\}$  is the set of vertices and the 3-edge set is

$$\{\{x_i, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, m\},$$

we denote the hypergraph  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$  also by  $[(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}), x_1, \dots, x_m]$ .

## 2 | EDGE BALANCED $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -DESIGNS

If  $[(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}), x_1, \dots, x_m]$  is a  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ , then we say that the edge  $[x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}]$  occupies the *central position* and the other edges occupy *lateral positions*. Let  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  be a  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design and let  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ . The *central degree*  $C(x, y)$  of  $[x, y]$  is the number of blocks of  $\Sigma$  containing the edge  $[x, y]$  in the central position. The *lateral degree*  $L(x, y)$  of  $[x, y]$  is the number of blocks of  $\Sigma$  containing the edge  $[x, y]$  in a lateral position. Then we prove that:

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is an edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design of order  $v$  and index 1, then for any  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ , we have:*

- $d(x, y) = \frac{(2m+1)(v-2)}{3m}$ ,
- $C(x, y) = \frac{v-2}{3m}$ ,
- $L(x, y) = \frac{2(v-2)}{3}$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $|B| = \frac{v(v-1)(v-2)}{6m}$  and that there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x, y) = d$  for any  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ . So we have:

$$d \cdot \binom{v}{2} = (2m + 1)|B| \Rightarrow d = \frac{(2m + 1)(v - 2)}{3m}.$$

Moreover, for any  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ , we have:

$$\begin{cases} C(x, y) + L(x, y) = d \\ mC(x, y) + L(x, y) = v - 2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} C(x, y) = \frac{v-2}{3m} \\ L(x, y) = \frac{2(v-2)}{3} \end{cases}.$$

This proves the statement. □

So clearly we also have:

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is an edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design of order  $v$ , then  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{3m}, v \geq 3m + 2$ .*

Moreover, in [18] it is proved the base case of the spectrum of edge balanced  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -designs:

**Theorem 2.3** (Gionfriddo [18, theorem 4.4]). *There exists an edge balanced  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -design of order 8.*

*Remark 2.4.* Note that if  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is an  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design of order  $v$  such that for some  $c \in \mathbb{N} C(x, y) = c$  for any  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ , then  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced.

### 3 | CYCLIC EDGE-BALANCED $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -DESIGNS

Let us consider the complete graph  $K_v = (X, E)$  of order  $v$  and let  $X = \{0, \dots, v - 1\}$ . Then it is well known that any edge in  $E$  is of the type  $\{i, i + r\}$ , for some  $i \in \{0, \dots, v - 1\}$  and  $r \in \left\{1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \right\rfloor\right\}$ . In this case, we say that the edge  $\{i, i + r\}$  has *difference*  $r$  and that it is a *translated form* of the edge  $\{0, r\}$ .

The natural action of  $\mathbb{Z}_v$  on the vertices  $X = \{0, \dots, v - 1\}$ , defined by  $i \rightarrow i + j$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_v$  and  $i \in \{0, \dots, v - 1\}$ , induces an action on the edges. So the edge  $\{i, i + r\}$  in the complete graph  $K_v$  corresponds to the edge  $\{0, r\}$  under this action. Similarly, if  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $H^{(3)}$ -design,  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $B'$  corresponds to  $B$  under the action of  $K_v$  on  $X$ , then we say that  $B'$  is a translated form of  $B$ .

Now we are going to prove the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any  $v \in \mathbb{N}, v = 3m + 2, m \geq 2$  there exists a cyclic edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design of order  $v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v = 3m + 2$ , for some  $m \geq 2$ . By [3, theorem 3.3] we see that base triples in  $K_v^{(3)}$  are:

$$\{0, a, a + b\}, \text{ with } a \in \{1, \dots, m\}, b \in \{a, \dots, 3m + 1 - 2a\},$$

so that the difference triples in these triples are  $\{a, b, a + b\}$ . To get a cyclic edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design of order  $v$  we just need to choose one of the differences in each base triple in the following way for any  $a \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \text{for } b \equiv a \pmod{3} \text{ we take the difference } a \\ \text{for } b \equiv a + 1 \pmod{3} \text{ we take the difference } b \\ \text{for } b \equiv a + 2 \pmod{3} \text{ we take the difference } a + b. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

If  $m$  is odd, we just need to show that any  $i \in \left\{1, \dots, \frac{v-1}{2}\right\}$  is repeated exactly  $m$  times in (1) (here we clearly identify  $i \in \left\{1, \dots, \frac{v-1}{2}\right\}$  with  $v - i$ ). In this way, for any  $i \in \left\{1, \dots, \frac{v-1}{2}\right\}$  the  $m$  base triples corresponding to  $i$  determine a base block (where we do not need to check that the vertices are all different because two distinct base triples determine different triples) and we get a cyclic edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design of order  $v$ .

If  $m$  is even, we need to show that any  $i \in \left\{1, \dots, \frac{v-2}{2}\right\}$  is repeated exactly  $m$  times in (1) and that  $\frac{v}{2}$  is repeated exactly  $\frac{m}{2}$  times. As in the case that  $m$  is odd, for any  $i \in \left\{1, \dots, \frac{v-2}{2}\right\}$  the  $m$  base triples corresponding to  $i$  determine a base block. For each of the  $\frac{m}{2}$  base triples corresponding to  $\frac{v}{2}$  we take the two translated triples containing the edge  $\left\{0, \frac{v}{2}\right\}$  and in this way we get another base block. All these blocks determine a cyclic edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$ -design of order  $v$ .

To prove this it is sufficient to show that in (1):

- $i \in \{1, \dots, m + 1\}$  is repeated  $m - \lfloor \frac{i-1}{2} \rfloor$  times
- $i \in \{m + 2, \dots, 2m + 1\}$  even is repeated  $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$  times
- $i \in \{m + 2, \dots, 2m + 1\}$  odd is repeated  $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$  times
- $i \in \{2m + 2, \dots, 3m - 1\}$  is repeated  $\lfloor \frac{3m+1-i}{2} \rfloor$  times (for  $m \geq 3$ ).

It is easy to prove this by induction. Indeed, considering that the base cases  $m = 2$  and  $m = 3$  are immediate and supposing that the statement holds for  $m - 1$ , we see that from the  $m - 1$  case we have:

- $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  is repeated  $m - 1 - \lfloor \frac{i-1}{2} \rfloor$  times
- $i \in \{m + 1, \dots, 2m - 1\}$  even is repeated  $\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$  times
- $i \in \{m + 1, \dots, 2m - 1\}$  odd is repeated  $\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$  times
- $i \in \{2m, \dots, 3m - 4\}$  is repeated  $\lfloor \frac{3m-2-i}{2} \rfloor$  times.

When we consider the  $m$  case we are adding the following differences:

- $a, 3m - a - 1, 3m - 2a + 1$  for  $a \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$
- $m$  and  $m + 1$  for  $a = m$

and it is not difficult to see that the above conditions are satisfied. □

## 4 | EDGE BALANCED AND VERTEX BALANCED DESIGNS

In this section, we study the possible link between edge balanced and vertex balanced hypergraph designs. Precisely, we want to show the following:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  be an edge balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m + 2)$  design of order  $v$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is vertex balanced.*

*Proof.* For any  $x \in X$  we denote with  $d(x)$  the number of blocks containing  $x$ , with  $c(x)$  the number of blocks containing  $x$  as an element of degree  $m$  (number of triples containing  $x$ ) and with  $l(x)$  the number of blocks containing  $x$  as an element of degree 1. Then, recalling the notation given in the beginning of the paper, we have:

$$\sum_{\substack{y \in X \\ y \neq x}} C(x, y) = c(x).$$

Since  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced  $C(x, y) = \frac{v-2}{3m}$  and so  $c(x) = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)}{3m}$  for any  $x \in X$ . Moreover, for any  $x \in X$ :

$$mc(x) + l(x) = \binom{v-1}{2} \Rightarrow l(x) = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)}{6}.$$

So, for any  $x \in X$  we have:

$$d(x) = c(x) + l(x) = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)(m+2)}{6m}.$$

This means that  $\Sigma$  is vertex balanced. □

So by Theorem 4.1 we have:

**Theorem 4.2.** *There exists a vertex balanced  $S^{(3)}(2, m+2)$ -design of order  $v$  for any  $m \geq 2$  and any  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{3m}, v \geq 3m+2$ .*

At last we show that a vertex balanced hypergraph design is not necessarily edge balanced.

**Example 4.3.** Let us consider on  $X = \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$  the  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -design having as blocks:

- $[(0, 1), 2, 3], [(0, 1), 4, 5], [(0, 6), 1, 3]$  and their translated forms;
- $[(0, 4), 2, 6], [(2, 6), 0, 4], [(1, 3), 5, 7]$  and  $[(5, 7), 1, 3]$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of all these blocks. Then, by [3, theorem 3.3] we immediately see that  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  is an  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -design, that is also vertex balanced because  $d(x) = 14$  for any  $x \in X$ . However,  $\Sigma$  is not edge balanced, as, for example,  $C(0, 3) = 0$ .

## 5 | DECOMPOSITIONS OF $r$ -PARTITE HYPERGRAPHS WITH BROKEN FEASIBLE SET

Now we are going to consider colorings of  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -designs. To do this, in this section we consider the following hypergraph. The complete  $v$ -partite 3-uniform hypergraph  $K_{v \times n}^{(3)}$  is the 3-uniform hypergraph having vertex set  $V = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_v$ , where any  $X_i = \{x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n}\}$  has cardinality  $n$ , and edge set:

$$E = \{\{x_{i,r}, x_{j,s}, x_{k,p}\} \mid i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k, r, s, p \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Now, let  $n$  be even and  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, v \geq 8$ . We construct a  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -decomposition of  $K_{v \times n}^{(3)}$  starting from an edge balanced  $S^{(3)}\left(2, \frac{v-2}{3} + 2\right)$ -design of order  $v$ . On  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_v\}$  consider an edge balanced  $S^{(3)}\left(2, \frac{v-2}{3} + 2\right)$ -design  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  of order  $v$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced, for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, v\}, i \neq j, \{x_i, x_j\}$  occupies a central position in exactly one block of  $\mathcal{B}$  by

**Theorem 2.1.** Moreover for any triple  $\{x_i, x_j, x_k\} \in E(K_v^{(3)})$  just one of the couples  $\{x_i, x_j\}$ ,  $\{x_i, x_k\}$ ,  $\{x_j, x_k\}$  occupies the central position in a block of  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $\{x_i, x_j\}$  is such a couple for the triple  $\{x_i, x_j, x_k\}$ , then we consider the blocks:

$$[(x_{i,r}, x_{j,s}), x_{k,2h+1}, x_{k,2h+2}], r, s = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } h = 0, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 1.$$

The set  $\mathcal{B}'$  of all these blocks obviously provides a  $P^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -decomposition of  $K_{v \times n}^{(3)}$ . Let  $\Sigma' = (V, \mathcal{B}')$  such a system of blocks.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , and  $v \geq 44$ . Then  $\Sigma'$  is a  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -decomposition of  $K_{v \times 2}^{(3)}$  with feasible set  $\{2, 3\} \cup \left[ \left\lceil \frac{v}{2} \right\rceil, v \right]$  and color classes that verify the following conditions:

- in a 2 and 3-coloring the color classes contain at most two partite sets;
- in a  $k$ -coloring, with  $k \in \left[ \left\lceil \frac{v}{2} \right\rceil, v \right]$ , any color class is equal either to a partite set or to the union of two partite sets.

Conversely, any partition of  $V$  in  $k$  subsets that verifies the above conditions is a  $k$ -coloring of  $\Sigma'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v = 2 + 3m$ , for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 14$ . The vertex set is  $V = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_v$ , where the partite sets  $X_1, \dots, X_v$  have two elements each.

Obviously a coloring satisfying one of the conditions of the statement provides a  $k$ -coloring of  $\Sigma'$ . We need to prove that there are no other  $k$ -colorings for  $k \in \{2, 3\} \cup \left[ \left\lceil \frac{3m+2}{2} \right\rceil, 3m+2 \right]$  and there are no  $k$ -colorings for  $k \notin \{2, 3\} \cup \left[ \left\lceil \frac{3m+2}{2} \right\rceil, 3m+2 \right]$  (note that for  $k = 2, 3$  this is obvious).

Given a  $k$ -coloring of  $\Sigma'$  we denote by  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  the color classes. Since the partite sets have just two elements each, we can say that for any  $i = 1, \dots, k$  we have:

$$A_i = A_i' \cup A_i'',$$

where the following conditions hold for any  $i = 1, \dots, k$ :

- $A_i' \cap A_i'' = \emptyset$ ,
- either  $X_j \subseteq A_i'$  or  $X_j \cap A_i' = \emptyset$  for any  $j = 1, \dots, v$ ,
- $|A_i'| \in \{0, 2, 4\}$ , otherwise there would be monochromatic blocks,
- $|A_i' \cap X_j| \leq 1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, v$ .

*First case.* Suppose, now, that there exists a  $k$ -coloring of  $\Sigma'$  such that for some  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, v\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , the elements  $x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, x_{j,1}$ , and  $x_{j,2}$  are in four different color classes. Without loss of generality we can take  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . So, denoted by  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  the  $k$  color classes, we can suppose that  $x_{1,1} \in A_1$ ,  $x_{1,2} \in A_2$ ,  $x_{2,1} \in A_3$ , and  $x_{2,2} \in A_4$ . We will



use the following notation: for any  $x \in X = \{x_1, \dots, x_v\}$  we denote by  $G_x$  the graph having  $X \setminus \{x\}$  as set of vertices and edges

$\{\{y, z\} | \{y, z\} \text{ occupies}$   
the central position in the block of  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $\{x, y, z\}\}$ .

Since  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced, given:

$$T_1 = \{i \in \{3, \dots, 3m + 2\} | \{x_1, x_2\} \in E(G_{x_i})\}$$

and

$$T_2 = \{3, \dots, 3m + 2\} \setminus T_1,$$

we know that  $|T_1| = m$  and  $|T_2| = 2m$ . Moreover, for any  $j \in T_2$  either  $\{x_1, x_j\} \in E(G_{x_2})$  or  $\{x_2, x_j\} \in E(G_{x_1})$ . Clearly, it must be:

$$j \in T_2 \Rightarrow x_{j,1}, x_{j,2} \in A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4.$$

Moreover, if for some  $i = 5, \dots, k$

$$x_{j,r} \in A_i \Rightarrow j \in T_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_{j,1}, x_{j,2} \in A_i.$$

Let  $j \in T_2$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_1, x_j\} \in E(G_{x_2}) &\Rightarrow \{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\} \in A_3 \cup A_4, \\ \{x_2, x_j\} \in E(G_{x_1}) &\Rightarrow \{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\} \in A_1 \cup A_2. \end{aligned}$$

So for any  $j \in T_2$  either  $\{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\} \subset A_1 \cup A_2$  or  $\{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\} \subset A_3 \cup A_4$ . Let  $j \in T_1$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\} \not\subset A_i, \text{ for any } i = 5, \dots, k &\Rightarrow \\ \text{either } \{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\} \subset A_1 \cup A_2 &\text{ or } \{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\} \subset A_3 \cup A_4. \end{aligned}$$

So, we can say that  $|A'_1| = |A'_2| = n_1$  and  $|A'_3| = |A'_4| = n_2$ , for some  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, we can suppose that:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \{x_{1,1}, x_{3,1}, \dots, x_{n_1+1,1}\} \cup A'_1 \\ A_2 &= \{x_{1,2}, x_{3,2}, \dots, x_{n_1+1,2}\} \cup A'_2 \\ A_3 &= \{x_{2,1}, x_{n_1+2,1}, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2,1}\} \cup A'_3 \\ A_4 &= \{x_{2,2}, x_{n_1+2,2}, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2,2}\} \cup A'_4, \\ A_5 &= A'_5 \\ &\vdots \\ A_k &= A'_k \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $A'_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  have the following properties:

- $|A'_i| = 2p_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $p_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $p_i \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $i = 5, \dots, k$ ;
- each  $A'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  contain  $p_i$  couples  $\{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\}$ .

Moreover, it must be  $\sum_{i=5}^k p_i \leq m$ .

Suppose, now, that  $k \geq 5$ . Consider, now, the triples  $\{x_i, x_j, x_l\}$ , with  $i, j \in \{1, 3, \dots, n_1 + 1\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $l \in \{2, n_1 + 2, \dots, n_1 + n_2\}$ . Then, for any  $r, s = 1, 2$  in the corresponding blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  either  $\{x_{i,r}, x_{l,s}\}$  or  $\{x_{j,r}, x_{l,s}\}$  occupy the central positions. The same happens when we consider the triples  $\{x_i, x_j, x_l\}$ , with  $i \in \{1, 3, \dots, n_1 + 1\}$  and  $j, l \in \{2, n_1 + 2, \dots, n_1 + n_2\}$ ,  $j \neq l$ . Moreover, if we take the triples  $\{x_i, x_j, x_l\}$ , with  $i \in \{1, 3, \dots, n_1 + 1\}$ ,  $j \in \{2, n_1 + 2, \dots, n_1 + n_2\}$  and  $l$  such that  $\{x_{i,1}, x_{l,2}\} \subset A'_5 \cup \dots \cup A'_k$ , then for any  $r, s = 1, 2$  in the corresponding blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  the edges  $\{x_{i,r}, x_{j,s}\}$  occupy the central positions. So, for any  $r, s = 1, 2$  we have:

$$\binom{n_1}{2}n_2 + \binom{n_2}{2}n_1 + n_1n_2 \sum_{i=5}^k p_i$$

blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  having in the central position an edge with one vertex  $x_{i,r}$  with  $i \in \{1, 3, \dots, n_1 + 1\}$  and the other  $x_{j,s}$  with  $j \in \{2, n_1 + 2, \dots, n_1 + n_2\}$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced, any edge occupies such a position exactly  $m$  times. This means that:

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n_1}{2}n_2 + \binom{n_2}{2}n_1 + n_1n_2 \sum_{i=5}^k p_i &\leq n_1n_2m \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2) - 1 + \sum_{i=5}^k p_i &\leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n_1 + n_2 + \sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 3m + 2$ , we get:

$$m + \sum_{i=5}^k p_i \leq p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 \Rightarrow m \leq 8 \Rightarrow v \leq 26.$$

This means that in a coloring as in (2) it must be  $k \leq 4$ .

Suppose, now, that  $k = 4$  and  $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ . For any  $i, j \in \{1, 3, \dots, n_1 + 1\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , we know that  $[(x_{i,r}, x_{j,s}), x_{l,1}, x_{l,2}]$  is a block in  $\mathcal{B}'$  for  $m$  values of  $l \in \{1, \dots, 3m + 2\}$  and the only possibilities are that either  $l \in \{1, 3, \dots, n_1 + 1\}$  or  $\{x_{i,1}, x_{l,2}\} \subset A'_p$ ,  $p = 3, 4$ . So, for each such couple there are at most  $(n_1 - 2) + p_3 + p_4$  possibilities, where the  $n_1 - 2$  ones correspond to triples in  $\{1, 3, \dots, n_1 + 1\}$ . Since each of these triples corresponds to exactly one block of  $\mathcal{B}'$  and each edge occupies the central position in these blocks exactly  $m$  times, we can say that:

$$\binom{n_1}{2}m \leq \binom{n_1}{3} + (p_3 + p_4)\binom{n_1}{2} \Rightarrow n_1 \geq v - 12.$$

Similarly we get  $n_2 \geq v - 12$  and so:

$$v \geq n_1 + n_2 \geq 2v - 24 \Rightarrow v \leq 24$$

which is a contradiction.

If  $k = 4$  and  $n_1 = 1$ , then clearly in  $\mathcal{B}'$  the vertices  $x_{1,1}$  and  $x_{1,2}$  occupy the lateral positions in at most  $\binom{3m+1}{2} - \binom{n_2}{2} - n_2(p_3 + p_4)$  blocks. Since  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced, by Theorem 4.1 we have:

$$\binom{3m+1}{2} - \binom{n_2}{2} - n_2(p_3 + p_4) \geq \frac{(3m+1)m}{2}.$$

We know that  $3m + 2 = n_2 + 1 + \sum_i^4 p_i$ ; so, if  $p_3 = p_4 = 0$ , then  $n_2 \geq 3m - 3$  and we get  $m \leq 7$ . If  $p_3 + p_4 \geq 1$ , then  $n_2 \geq 3m - 7$  and so  $m \leq 12 \Rightarrow v \leq 38$ . This is a contradiction. Since we can reason in a similar way if  $n_2 = 1$ , this proves that a coloring as in 2 is impossible.

*Second case:* Suppose that there exists a  $k$ -coloring such that for some  $i \neq j$  we have  $x_{i,1}, x_{j,1} \in A_1$ ,  $x_{i,2} \in A_2$  and  $x_{j,2} \in A_3$ . Without loss of generality we can take  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . Again, since  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced, given:

$$T_1 = \{i \in \{3, \dots, 3m + 2\} \mid \{x_1, x_2\} \in E(G_{x_i})\}$$

and

$$T_2 = \{3, \dots, 3m + 2\} \setminus T_1,$$

we know that  $|T_1| = m$  and  $|T_2| = 2m$ . Note that for  $j \in T_2$  either  $\{x_1, x_j\} \in E(G_{x_2})$  or  $\{x_2, x_j\} \in E(G_{x_1})$ . Clearly, it must be  $x_{j,r} \in A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$  for any  $j \in T_2$  and  $r = 1, 2$ . So in  $A_i$  for  $i = 4, \dots, k$  there are only  $x_{j,r}$  for some  $j \in T_1$  and, in such a case, both  $x_{j,1}, x_{j,2} \in A_i$ .

So, we can suppose that:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= A'_1 \cup A''_1 \\ A_2 &= A'_2 \cup A''_2 \\ A_3 &= A'_3 \cup A''_3 \\ A_4 &= A'_4, \\ &\vdots \\ A_k &= A'_k \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where  $A'_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  have the following properties:

- $|A'_i| = 2p_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $p_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $p_i \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $i = 4, \dots, k$ ;
- each  $A'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  contain  $p_i$  couples  $\{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\}$ ;
- $\sum_{i=4}^k p_i \leq m$

and moreover none of  $A''_1, A''_2$  and  $A''_3$  contain couples  $x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}$  for any  $i$ .

Suppose that  $k \geq 5$  and consider for any  $r, s = 1, 2$  the edges  $\{x_{i,r}, x_{j,s}\}$ , where  $x_{i,r}, x_{j,s} \in A'_4 \cup \dots \cup A'_k$  have different colors. Each of these edges must occupy the central position in the blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  exactly  $m$  times and this happens only if the other two vertices in such blocks have the same color. So it must be:

$$\begin{aligned} & m \left[ \binom{\sum_{i=4}^k p_i}{2} - \sum_{i=4}^k (p_i - 1) \right] \\ & \leq \binom{\sum_{i=4}^k p_i}{3} + \left[ \binom{\sum_{i=4}^k p_i}{2} - \sum_{i=4}^k (p_i - 1) \right] (p_1 + p_2 + p_3). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m > 6 \geq p_1 + p_2 + p_3$  and  $\sum_{i=4}^k (p_i - 1) \leq \sum_{i=4}^k p_i - 1$ , we get:

$$m - p_1 - p_2 - p_3 \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=4}^k p_i.$$

However, we know that  $\sum_{i=4}^k p_i \leq m$  and that  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \leq 6$ . This implies that  $m \leq 9 \Rightarrow v \leq 29$ , which is a contradiction.

Let  $k = 4$  and let:

$$A_{ij} = \{l \mid x_{l,r} \in A_i'', x_{l,s} \in A_j'', r, s = 1, 2, r \neq s\}$$

and

$$a_{ij} = |A_{ij}|$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, i \neq j$ . Then we have  $a_{12} + a_{13} + a_{23} = 3m + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i$ . We will need a few remarks. Take  $i, j, l \in \{1, \dots, 3m + 2\}$ , pairwise distinct.

- If  $x_{l,1}, x_{l,2} \in A'_4$ ,  $i \in A_{12}$  and  $j \in A_{13}$ , then in the blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  corresponding to the triple  $\{x_i, x_j, x_l\}$  the vertices  $x_{l,1}, x_{l,2}$  must occupy the lateral positions. Clearly, we can reason in a similar way for  $A_{12}$  and  $A_{23}$  and  $A_{13}$  and  $A_{23}$ . So we get that in the blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$   $x_{l,1}$  and  $x_{l,2}$  occupy the lateral positions at least  $a_{12}a_{13} + a_{12}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{23}$  times. So by Theorem 4.1:

$$a_{12}a_{13} + a_{12}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{23} \leq \frac{(3m + 1)m}{2}. \quad (4)$$

- Taken  $i \in A_{12}$ ,  $j \in A_{13} \cup A_{23}$  and  $x_{l,1}, x_{l,2} \in A'_4$ , we see that the above remark shows also that the edge  $\{x_i, x_j\}$  occupies a lateral position in the blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$  at least  $a_{13} + a_{23}$  times. So, since  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced, we can say that:

$$a_{12} \neq 0 \Rightarrow a_{13} + a_{23} \leq 2m \Rightarrow a_{12} \geq m + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, we can say that:

$$a_{13} \neq 0 \Rightarrow a_{12} + a_{23} \leq 2m \Rightarrow a_{13} \geq m + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \tag{6}$$

$$a_{23} \neq 0 \Rightarrow a_{12} + a_{13} \leq 2m \Rightarrow a_{23} \geq m + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i. \tag{7}$$

- Let  $p_1 \geq 1$  and take  $x_{l,1}, x_{l,2} \in A'_1$  and  $j, l \in A_{12} \cup A_{13}$ . Then  $x_l$  must occupy a central position in the blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$ . By Theorem 4.1 we get:

$$p_1 \geq 1 \Rightarrow \left( \frac{a_{12} + a_{13}}{2} \right) \leq m(3m + 1);$$

and similarly:

$$p_2 \geq 1 \Rightarrow \left( \frac{a_{12} + a_{23}}{2} \right) \leq m(3m + 1);$$

$$p_3 \geq 1 \Rightarrow \left( \frac{a_{13} + a_{23}}{2} \right) \leq m(3m + 1). \tag{8}$$

- Let  $p_1 = 2$  and take  $x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, x_{j,1}, x_{j,2} \in A'_1$ . Then for any  $l \in A_{12} \cup A_{13}$  in the blocks corresponding to the triple  $\{x_i, x_j, x_l\}$  the edges  $\{x_{i,r}, x_{j,s}\}$  for any  $r, s = 1, 2$  must occupy the central positions. Since  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced, we can say that:

$$p_1 = 2 \Rightarrow a_{12} + a_{13} \leq m \Rightarrow a_{23} \geq 2m + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \tag{9}$$

and similarly:

$$p_2 = 2 \Rightarrow a_{12} + a_{23} \leq m \Rightarrow a_{13} \geq 2m + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \tag{10}$$

$$p_3 = 2 \Rightarrow a_{13} + a_{23} \leq m \Rightarrow a_{12} \geq 2m + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i. \tag{11}$$

Now, if  $a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{23} \geq m - 3$ , then by (4) we get:

$$3(m - 3)^2 \leq \frac{m(3m + 1)}{2} \Rightarrow m \leq 10 \Rightarrow v \leq 32,$$

which is a contradiction.

Suppose that  $a_{12} \leq m - 4$ , with  $a_{12} \neq 0$  the minimum between  $a_{12}, a_{13}$ , and  $a_{23}$ . Then we know that  $a_{13} + a_{23} \leq 2m$  by (5) and also:

$$a_{13} + a_{23} = 3m + 2 - a_{12} - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \geq 2m + 6 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i. \quad (12)$$

So we can say that  $\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \geq 6$ , which implies that either  $p_1 = 2$  or  $p_2 = 2$  or  $p_3 = 2$ . By (5) and (9) if  $p_1 = 2$  we get:

$$a_{13} \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i - 2.$$

Since  $a_{13} \geq a_{12}$ , by (5) we get:

$$m + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i - 2 \Rightarrow m \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i - 4 \leq 12 \Rightarrow v \leq 38,$$

which is a contradiction. In a similar way we get a contradiction if  $p_2 = 2$ . So, since  $\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \geq 6$ , the only possibility is that  $p_1 = 1$ ,  $p_2 = 1$ ,  $p_3 = 2$ , and  $p_4 = 2$ . However, reasoning as done earlier, if  $p_3 = 2$ , by (11) and (12), we get  $m \leq 0$ , which is not possible.

So we can suppose that  $a_{12} = 0$  and by our initial assumption we know that  $a_{13}, a_{23} \neq 0$ . If  $p_3 \geq 1$ , then by the fact that  $a_{13} + a_{23} \geq 3m - 6$  and by (8) we get  $m \leq 12$ , so that  $v \leq 38$ , which is a contradiction.

This means that we can suppose that  $a_{12} = 0$  and  $p_3 = 0$ . By (9) and (10) we get that, if  $p_1 = p_2 = 2$ , then

$$2m \geq a_{13} + a_{23} = 3m + 2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \geq 3m - 4 \Rightarrow m \leq 4 \Rightarrow v \leq 14.$$

So we can say that  $\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \leq 5$ . Then by (4):

$$a_{13}a_{23} \leq \frac{(3m+1)m}{2} \Rightarrow a_{13}(3m-3-a_{13}) \leq \frac{(3m+1)m}{2}.$$

Since  $m-3 \leq a_{13} \leq 2m$  by (6) and (7), we get that this holds only if  $m \leq 13 \Rightarrow v \leq 41$ , which is a contradiction. This shows that we cannot have a coloring as in (3).

*Third case:* We suppose that  $k \geq 4$  and that  $A_i'' = \emptyset$  for  $i = 3, \dots, k$ . Let  $h \in \{0, \dots, k-2\}$  be the number of indices  $i \in \{3, \dots, k\}$  such that  $|A_i'| = 2$ . So for  $h \in \{1, \dots, k-3\}$  we can suppose that the color classes are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= A_1' \cup A_1'' \\ A_2 &= A_2' \cup A_2'' \\ A_3 &= A_3' \\ &\vdots \\ A_{2+h} &= A_{2+h}' \\ A_{3+h} &= A_{3+h}' \\ &\vdots \\ A_k &= A_k' \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where:

- $|A_i''| = n$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and none of them contains couples  $x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}$  for any  $i$ ;
- $|A_i'| = 2p_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $p_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $p_i = 1$  for  $i = 3, \dots, 2 + h$  and  $p_i = 2$  for  $i = 3 + h, \dots, k$ ;
- each  $A_i'$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  contain  $p_i$  couples  $\{x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}\}$ .

In the case  $h = 0$ , keeping the above notation, we have that for  $|A_i'| = 4$  for any  $i = 3, \dots, k$ . Similarly, for  $h = k - 2$  we have that  $|A_i'| = 2$  for  $i = 3, \dots, k$ .

Consider for any  $r, s = 1, 2$  the vertices  $x_{i,r}, x_{j,s} \in A_3' \cup \dots \cup A_k'$  having different colors. Then, any vertex  $x_{i,p} \in A_1'' \cup A_2''$ , with  $p = 1, 2$ , occupies the central position in the corresponding blocks. If  $c_{ii}'$  is the number of times that for any  $r, p = 1, 2$  an edge  $\{x_{i,p}, x_{i,r}\}$  occupies the central position in such blocks, we have:

$$\sum_i c_{ii}' = \binom{2k - 4 - h}{2} - (k - 2 - h).$$

Since we have  $2k - 4 - h$  of such edges, we can say that there exists  $\bar{j}$  such that:

$$c_{\bar{j}\bar{j}}' \geq \frac{2k - 6 - h}{2}.$$

So, since  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced, it must be:

$$\frac{2k - 6 - h}{2} \leq m.$$

Since  $v = 3m + 2 = n + p_1 + p_2 + 2k - 4 - h$ , we get:

$$n \geq m - p_1 - p_2.$$

On the other hand, for any  $r, s, t = 1, 2$  consider the triples  $\{x_{i,r}, x_{j,s}, x_{l,t}\}$ , with  $x_{i,r} \in A_1'' \cup A_2''$  and  $x_{j,s}, x_{l,t} \in A_3' \cup \dots \cup A_k'$  with different colors. The edge  $\{x_{j,r}, x_{l,t}\}$  must occupy a lateral position in the corresponding block. So each of these edges  $\{x_{j,r}, x_{l,t}\}$  occupies a lateral position  $n$  times.

Now, for any  $r, s, t = 1, 2$  consider the

$$\binom{2k - 4 - h}{3}$$

triples  $\{x_{i,r}, x_{j,s}, x_{l,t}\} \subset A_3' \cup \dots \cup A_k'$ , and denote by  $l_{ij}$  the number of times that the edge  $\{x_{i,r}, x_{j,s}\}$  occupies a lateral position in the blocks corresponding to such triples. It clearly must be:

$$\sum l_{ij} = 2 \binom{2k - 4 - h}{3}.$$

Let:

$$A = \{\{i, j\} \mid \text{for any } r, s = 1, 2, x_{i,r}, x_{j,s} \in A_l, \text{ for some } l = h + 3, \dots, k\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B = \{\{i, j\} \mid \text{for any } r, s = 1, 2, x_{i,r} \in A_l, x_{j,s} \in A_{l'}, \\ \text{for some } l, l' = 3, \dots, k, l \neq l'\}, \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$|A| = k - 2 - h \text{ and } |B| = \binom{2k - 4 - h}{2} - (k - 2 - h).$$

Obviously, for any  $\{i, j\} \in A$  it must be  $l_{ij} \leq 2k - 6 - h$  and so:

$$\sum_{\{i,j\} \in B} l_{ij} \geq 2 \binom{2k - 4 - h}{3} - (k - 2 - h)(2k - 6 - h).$$

This implies that there exists  $\{\bar{i}, \bar{j}\} \in B$  such that  $l_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} > \frac{2}{3}(2k - 7 - h)$ , otherwise it would be  $k \leq 3$ . So for any  $r, s = 1, 2$  the edge  $\{x_{\bar{i},r}, x_{\bar{j},s}\}$  occupies the lateral positions at least  $n + l_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$  times. This implies that  $n + l_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \leq 2m$ , because  $\Sigma$  is edge balanced, and so:

$$n + \frac{2}{3}(2k - 7 - h) < 2m.$$

Since  $v = 3m + 2 = n + p_1 + p_2 + 2k - 4 - h$ , the previous inequality implies

$$n + \frac{2}{3}(3m - 1 - n - p_1 - p_2) < 2m \Rightarrow n \leq 2p_1 + 2p_2 + 1.$$

Since we saw that  $n \geq m - p_1 - p_2$ , this show that  $m \leq 3p_1 + 3p_2 + 1$ , which implies that  $m \leq 13$  and so  $v \leq 41$ . So, this proves that such a coloring exists only for  $k = 2, 3$  and the statement is proved.  $\square$

## 6 | $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -DESIGNS WITH BROKEN FEASIBLE SET

Now we can apply Theorem 5.1 to provide constructions of  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$  designs with broken feasible set.

**Theorem 6.1.** *For any  $v \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $v \geq 44$ , and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{v}{2} \right\rfloor \leq p \leq v$ , there exists a  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -design of order  $2v$  with feasible set  $\{2, 3\} \cup [p, v]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \{x_{i,1}, x_{i,2} \mid i = 1, \dots, v\}$  be such hat  $|X| = 2v$  and consider a  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -decomposition  $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{B})$  of  $K_{v \times 2}^{(3)}$  as in Theorem 5.1, with partite sets  $\{x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}\}$ .



Let  $p = v$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the family of the following blocks:

$$[(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}), x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}], [(x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}), x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}]$$

for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, v\}$ , with  $i \neq j$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\Sigma' = (X, \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$  is a  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -design with feasible set  $\{2, 3, v\}$  by Theorem 5.1 and by the construction of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $p = v - 1$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the family of the following blocks:

- $[(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}), x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}]$  for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, v - 1\}$ , with  $i \neq j$ , with the exception of the block  $[(x_{v-1,1}, x_{v-1,2}), x_{v-2,1}, x_{v-2,2}]$ ;
- $[(x_{v,1}, x_{v,2}), x_{j,1}, x_{j+1,2}]$  for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, v - 2\}$ ;
- $[(x_{v,1}, x_{v,2}), x_{1,2}, x_{v-1,1}]$ ;
- $[(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}), x_{v,1}, x_{v,2}]$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, v - 2\}$ ;
- $[(x_{v-1,1}, x_{v-1,2}), x_{v,s}, x_{v-2,s}]$  for  $s = 1, 2$ .

Then it is easy to see that  $\Sigma' = (X, \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$  is a  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -design with feasible set  $\{2, 3, v - 1, v\}$  by Theorem 5.1 and by the construction of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $p = v - r$ ,  $p \geq \lceil \frac{v}{2} \rceil$ , with  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \geq 2$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the family of the following blocks:

- $[(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}), x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}], [(x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}), x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}]$  for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ , with  $i \neq j$ , and for any  $i, j \in \{p + 1, \dots, v\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ;
- $[(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}), x_{j,1}, x_{j+1,2}]$ , for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $j \in \{p + 1, \dots, v - 1\}$  and for any  $i \in \{p + 1, \dots, v\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$ ;
- $[(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}), x_{p+1,2}, x_{v,1}]$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ ;
- $[(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}), x_{i,2}, x_{p,1}]$  for any  $i \in \{p + 1, \dots, v\}$ .

Then it is easy to see that  $\Sigma' = (X, \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$  is a  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -design with feasible set  $\{2, 3\} \cup [p, v]$  by Theorem 5.1 and by the construction of  $\mathcal{C}$ . □

This paper provides the first examples of  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -designs with broken feasible set. Since this is a blow-up construction, based on edge balanced hypergraph designs, the order of these  $BP^{(3)}(2, 4)$ -designs is a particular one, precisely  $2v$ , with  $v \equiv 2 \pmod 3$  and  $v \geq 44$ . So the remaining admissible orders represent an open problem, which might be solved thanks to this construction.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The first author was supported by Università degli Studi di Catania, PIACERI 2020/22 Linea di intervento 3. The second author was supported by Università degli Studi di Catania, PIACERI 2020/22 Linea di intervento 2.

**ORCID**

Paola Bonacini  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0120-0111>

## REFERENCES

1. A. Amato, M. Gionfriddo, and L. Milazzo, *2-regular equicolourings for  $P_4$ -designs*, *Discrete Math.* **312** (2012), 2252–2261.
2. L. Berardi, M. Gionfriddo, and R. Rota, *Balanced and strongly balanced  $P_k$ -designs*, *Discrete Math.* **312** (2012), 633–636.
3. P. Bonacini, *On a 3-uniform path-hypergraph on 5 vertices*, *Appl. Math. Sci.* **10** (2016), no. 30, 1489–1500.
4. P. Bonacini, M. DiGiovanni, M. Gionfriddo, L. Marino, and A. Tripodi, *The spectrum of balanced  $P^{(3)}(1, 5)$ -designs*, *Contrib. Discrete Math.* **12** (2017), no. 1, 74–82.
5. P. Bonacini, M. Gionfriddo, and L. Marino, *Balanced house-systems and nestings*, *Ars Combin.* **121** (2015), 429–436.
6. P. Bonacini, M. Gionfriddo, and L. Marino, *Construction of non-cyclic balanced  $P^{(3)}(1, 5)$ -designs*, *Appl. Math. Sci.* **9** (2015), no. 126, 6273–6282.
7. P. Bonacini, M. Gionfriddo, and L. Marino, *Nonagon quadruple systems: Existence, balance, embeddings*, *Australas. J. Combin.* **66** (2016), no. 3, 393–406.
8. P. Bonacini, M. Gionfriddo, and L. Marino, *Edge balanced 3-uniform hypergraph designs*, *Mathematics.* **8** (2020), no. 8, 1353.
9. P. Bonacini and L. Marino, *On voloshin colorings in 3-hypergraph designs*, *Discrete Math.* **343** (2020), no. 10, 112030.
10. A. Bonisoli and B. Ruini, *Tree-designs with balanced-type conditions*, *Discrete Math.* **313** (2013), 1197–1205.
11. A. Bonisoli and B. Ruini, *Balance, partial balance and balanced-type spectra in graph-designs*, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **93** (2015), 3–22.
12. S. Bonvicini, *Degree- and orbit-balanced  $\Gamma$ -designs when  $\Gamma$  has five vertices*, *J. Combin. Des.* **21** (2013), no. 9, 359–389.
13. Cs. Bujtás, M. Gionfriddo, E. Guardo, L. Milazzo, Zs. Tuza, and V. Voloshin, *Extended bicolorings of Steiner triple systems of order  $2^n - 1$* , *Tawianese J. Math.* **21** (2017), 1265–1276.
14. C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz, and A. Rosa, *Bicoloring Steiner triple systems*, *Electron. J. Combin.* (1999), #R25, 16 pp.
15. L. Gionfriddo, *Extremal gaps in  $BP_3$ -designs*, *Comput. Sci. J. Moldova.* **9** (2001), no. 3, 305–320.
16. L. Gionfriddo,  *$P_3$ -designs with gaps in the chromatic spectrum*, 6th Workshop on Combinatorics (Messina, 2002) 8 *Rend. Sem. Mat. Messina Ser. II* (2001), 24, pp. 49–58.
17. L. Gionfriddo, *Voloshin's colourings of  $P_3$ -designs*, *Discrete Math.* **275** (2004), no. 1–3, 137–149.
18. M. Gionfriddo, *Edge-balanced  $H^{(3)}$ -designs*, *Appl. Math. Sci.* **9** (2015), no. 73, 3629–3641.
19. M. Gionfriddo, L. Milazzo, A. Rosa, and V. Voloshin, *Bicolouring Steiner systems  $S(2, 4, v)$* , *Discrete Math.* **283** (2004), 81–91.
20. P. Hell and A. Rosa, *Graph decompositions, handcuffed prisoners and balanced  $P$ -designs*, *Discrete Math.* **2** (1972), 229–252.
21. C. Huang and A. Rosa, *On the existence of balanced bipartite designs*, *Utilitas Math.* **4** (1973), 55–75.
22. G. LoFaro, L. Milazzo, and A. Tripodi, *The first BSTS with different upper and lower chromatic numbers*, *Australas. J. Combin.* **22** (2000), 123–133.
23. G. LoFaro, L. Milazzo, and A. Tripodi, *On the upper and lower chromatic numbers of  $BSQSs(16)$* , *Electron. J. Combin.* **8** (2001), #R6, 8 pp.
24. L. Milazzo and Zs. Tuza, *Upper chromatic number of Steiner triple and quadruple systems*, *Discrete Math.* **174** (1997), 247–259.

**How to cite this article:** P. Bonacini and L. Marino, *Edge balanced star-hypergraph designs and vertex colorings of path designs*, *J. Combin. Des.* (2022), 1–18.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/jcd.21837>