


# Neuraxial analgesia is not associated with an increased risk of post-partum relapses in MS

Caroline Lavie, Fabien Rollot, Françoise Durand-Dubief, Romain Marignier, Iuliana Ionescu, Romain Casey, Thibault Moreau, Patricia Tourniaire, Michael Hutchinson, Marie Béatrice D'Hooghe, David-Axel Laplaud, Pierre Clavelou, Jérôme De Sèze, Marc Debouverie, David Brassat, Jean Pelletier, Christine Lebrun-Frenay, Emmanuelle Le Page, Giovanni Castelnovo, Eric Berger, Patrick Hautecoeur, Olivier Heinzlef, Luca Durelli, Marinella Clerico, Maria Trojano, Francesco Patti and Sandra Vukusic  ; for the PRIMS and POPARTMUS investigators\*

## Abstract

**Background:** Obstetrical analgesia remains a matter of controversy because of the fear of neurotoxicity of local anesthetics on demyelinated fibers or their potential relationship with subsequent relapses.

**Objective:** To assess the impact of neuraxial analgesia on the risk of relapse during the first 3 months post-partum, with a focus on women who experienced relapses during pregnancy.

**Methods:** We analyzed data of women followed-up prospectively during their pregnancies and at least 3 months post-partum, collected in the Pregnancy in Multiple Sclerosis (PRIMS) and Prevention of Post-Partum Relapses with Progestin and Estradiol in Multiple Sclerosis (POPARTMUS) studies between 1992–1995 and 2005–2012, respectively. The association of neuraxial analgesia with the occurrence of a post-partum relapse was estimated by logistic regression analysis.

**Results:** A total of 389 women were included, 215 from PRIMS and 174 from POPARTMUS. In total, 156 women (40%) had neuraxial analgesia. Overall, 24% experienced a relapse during pregnancy and 25% in the 3 months post-partum. Women with a pregnancy relapse were more likely to have a post-partum relapse (odds ratio (OR)=1.83,  $p=0.02$ ), independently of the use of neuraxial analgesia. There was no association between neuraxial analgesia and post-partum relapse (OR=1.08,  $p=0.78$ ).

**Conclusion:** Neuraxial analgesia was not associated with an increased risk of post-partum relapses, whatever multiple sclerosis (MS) activity during pregnancy.

**Keywords:** Multiple sclerosis, pregnancy, post-partum, relapses, neuraxial analgesia

Date received: 28 December 2017; revised: 30 January 2018; accepted: 5 February 2018

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic disabling disease, affecting mainly women in their childbearing years. For many years, pregnancy was not recommended due to possible deleterious effects of pregnancy on MS course. Large prospective studies have now described the influence of pregnancy on MS and provided reassuring data.<sup>1</sup> The rate of relapse decreases during pregnancy, especially in the third trimester, and increases in the first 3 months post-partum, before returning to the pre-pregnancy rate. Women who experienced relapses in the year before and during pregnancy have a higher risk of post-partum relapses.<sup>2–4</sup> Pregnancy has

no negative influence on disability progression. Moreover, obstetrical and fetal outcomes are similar to the general population.<sup>5–9</sup>

However, in our clinical practice, obstetrical analgesia remains sometimes discussed by anesthesiologists because of the fear of neurotoxicity of local anesthetics on demyelinated fibers, which might increase pre-existing symptoms or their potential relationship with subsequent relapses. For both reasons, this issue seems to be of particular concern in women with recent relapses, in whom neuraxial analgesia might be more frequently considered contraindicated by anesthesiologists.

\*PRIMS and POPARTMUS investigators are listed in Appendix 1.

Multiple Sclerosis Journal

2019, Vol. 25(4) 591–600

DOI: 10.1177/  
1352458518763080

© The Author(s), 2018.  
Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-  
permissions

Correspondence to:

**S Vukusic**  
Service de Neurologie—  
Sclérose en Plaques,  
Pathologies de la Myéline et  
Neuro-Inflammation, Hôpital  
Pierre Wertheimer, Hospices  
Civils de Lyon, 59 boulevard  
Pinel, 69 677 Bron Cedex,  
France.  
sandra.vukusic@chu-lyon.  
fr

**Caroline Lavie**  
**Françoise Durand-Dubief**  
**Iuliana Ionescu**  
Service de Neurologie A,  
Hôpital Pierre Wertheimer,  
Hospices Civils de Lyon,  
Lyon, France

**Fabien Rollot**  
**Romain Casey**  
Observatoire Français de  
la Sclérose en Plaques  
(OFSEP), Lyon, France

**Romain Marignier**  
Service de Neurologie A,  
Hôpital Pierre Wertheimer,  
Hospices Civils de Lyon,  
Lyon, France/Centre de  
Recherche en Neurosciences  
de Lyon, INSERM U1028,  
CNRS UMR5292, Equipe  
Neuro-Oncologie et Neuro-  
Inflammation, Lyon, France;  
Université de Lyon 1, Lyon,  
France

**Thibault Moreau**  
Department of Neurology,  
EA4184, University Hospital  
of Dijon, Dijon, France

**Patricia Tourniaire**  
Service de Neurologie,  
Centre Hospitalier  
d'Avignon, Avignon, France

**Michael Hutchinson**  
School of Medicine and  
Medical Science, University  
College Dublin, Dublin,  
Ireland/Department of  
Neurology, St Vincent's  
University Hospital, Dublin,  
Ireland

**Marie Béatrice D'Hooghe**  
National MS Center  
Melsbroek, Melsbroek,  
Belgium; Center for

Neurosciences, Vrije  
Universiteit Brussel (VUB),  
Brussel, Belgium

**David-Axel Laplaud**  
Service de Neurologie,  
CHU de Nantes, CIC015  
INSERM, Nantes, France/  
INSERM UMR1064,  
Nantes, France

**Pierre Clavelou**  
Service de Neurologie,  
CHU de Clermont-Ferrand,  
Clermont-Ferrand, France/  
INSERM UMR1107,  
Clermont Université,  
Université d'Auvergne,  
Neuro-Dol, Clermont-  
Ferrand, France

**Jérôme De Sèze**  
Department of Neurology,  
Clinical Investigation Center  
INSERM 1434, Hôpitaux  
Universitaires de Strasbourg,  
FMTS INSERM 1119,  
Strasbourg, France

**Marc Debouverie**  
EA 4360 APEMAC,  
University of Lorraine,  
Nancy, France/Department of  
Neurology, Nancy University  
Hospital, Nancy, France

**David Brassat**  
Pôle Neurosciences,  
CHU Toulouse Purpan,  
Toulouse, France/INSERM  
U1043, CNRS UMR 5282,  
Université Toulouse III,  
Toulouse, France

**Jean Pelletier**  
Service de Neurologie,  
Hôpital de la Timone,  
Pôle de Neurosciences  
Cliniques, AP-HM,  
Aix-Marseille Université,  
Marseille, France/CNRS,  
Aix-Marseille Université,  
CRMBM UMR7339,  
Marseille, France

**Christine Lebrun-Frenay**  
CRCSEP, Department of  
Neurology, Hôpital Pasteur  
2, Université Côte d'Azur,  
Nice, France

**Emmanuelle Le Page**  
Clinical Neuroscience Centre,  
CIC-P 1414 INSERM,  
Rennes University Hospital,  
Rennes, France

**Giovanni Castelnovo**  
Department of Neurology,  
CHU de Nîmes, Hôpital  
Caremeau, Nîmes, France

**Eric Berger**  
Department of Neurology,  
CHU Besançon, Besançon,  
France

**Patrick Hautecoeur**  
Service de Neurologie,  
Groupement des Hôpitaux de  
l'Institut Catholique de Lille,  
Lille, France

**Olivier Heinzel**  
Department of Neurology,  
Hôpital CHI de Poissy/Saint-  
Germain-en-Laye, Paris,  
France

The aim of our study was to assess the impact of obstetrical neuraxial analgesia on the risk of relapse during the first 3 months post-partum, with a special focus on women who experienced relapses during pregnancy.

## Patients and methods

### *Patients' selection and data collection*

Patients were identified retrospectively from two prospective studies: Pregnancy in Multiple Sclerosis (PRIMS) and Prevention of Post-Partum Relapses with Progesterin and Estradiol in Multiple Sclerosis (POPARTMUS).

The PRIMS study was the largest observational, prospective study of the natural history of MS during pregnancy and in the following 2 years.<sup>2,3</sup> This multicenter study included 254 women with MS from 12 European countries, followed during 269 pregnancies between January 1993 and July 1995, in a period when no disease-modifying drugs were available. Women were included if they had MS according to Poser's criteria<sup>10</sup> and were pregnant for at least 4 weeks but less than 36 weeks at the time of entry into the study. If a woman had been followed for more than one pregnancy in the study, only the first one that led to a live birth was considered; 227 pregnancies were included in the analysis.

The POPARTMUS study was a French and Italian multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, and double-blind phase III clinical trial.<sup>11</sup> It aimed at assessing the efficacy of administration after delivery of high doses of progesterin, in combination with endometrial protective doses of estradiol on post-partum relapses. Women were included if they had MS diagnosed according to McDonald's 2001 criteria,<sup>12</sup> with a relapsing-remitting or secondary progressive course, a Disability Status Scale (DSS)<sup>13</sup> lower than 6 and if they were pregnant for less than 36 weeks at entry into the study. Breastfeeding was not allowed in the study, as well as introduction of a disease-modifying treatment in the 6 months after delivery. A total of 202 pregnant women were included between June 2005 and October 2011. The primary endpoint was the annualized relapse rate (ARR) in the first 3 months after delivery. As results were negative, without any trends toward a positive or negative effect of the study treatment, we decided to include all patients in this study (personal data).

Demographic data and data on the course of MS prior to entry in PRIMS and POPARTMUS were recorded retrospectively: age at onset of MS, age at onset of pregnancy, number of relapses during the year before pregnancy, residual DSS score at onset of pregnancy,

and dates of relapses during pregnancy prior to entry in the study. Data on pregnancy relapses occurring after inclusion, relapses during the first 3 months of post-partum, use of neuraxial analgesia, and breastfeeding were collected prospectively.

A relapse was defined as the appearance, reappearance, or worsening of symptoms of neurological dysfunction lasting more than 24 hours. Fatigue alone was not considered as a relapse. Disability was assessed with Kurtzke's DSS, which is based on data from the neurological examination and patient's ability to walk. Residual neurologic disability was defined as the minimal level of persistent disability recorded on two consecutive examinations at least 3 months apart, excluding any transient worsening of disability related to relapses.

Neuraxial analgesia was defined as any type of local/regional analgesia used during delivery, including epidural and spinal analgesia, as no distinction was made between the procedures in the data collection in both studies.

For both PRIMS and POPARTMUS, women were included in this study only if data about the use of neuraxial analgesia were available, if the follow-up was at least 3 months post-partum, and if they had MS for at least 1 year prior to conception.

### *Standard protocol approvals, registration, and patient consents*

All patients were informed about the design and purpose of the original studies and all gave their informed, written consent to the protocol. Approval was received from the National French ethical committee on human experimentation for the POPARTMUS study in agreement with French law (4 March 2002) and the Declaration of Helsinki. The POPARTMUS study was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov under the reference NCT00127075. The PRIMS study did not require such approvals, as it was a non-interventional study.

### *Statistical analysis*

The biostatistical analysis was pre-planned as follows. Bivariate and multivariate associations between the occurrence of a relapse during the first 3 months post-partum and age at pregnancy onset, MS duration at pregnancy onset, DSS score at pregnancy onset, the occurrence of a relapse in the year before pregnancy, the occurrence of a relapse during the pregnancy, and neuraxial analgesia were investigated using logistic regression analysis. Continuous covariates such as age were categorized using the median. Statistically

significant variables in the univariate analysis, with a conservative  $p$ -value of 0.20, and clinically relevant variables were included in a multivariate logistic regression model. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.3 software and a  $p$ -value below 0.05 was considered significant.

Assuming that 25% of women would have a post-partum relapse and that this rate could increase up to 40% if women had neuraxial analgesia, we calculated that a sample of 393 patients would yield a power of 80%, at a significance level of 0.05, to detect an effect of neuraxial analgesia on the occurrence of a post-partum relapse.

## Results

### *Patients' characteristics*

Out of 427 eligible patients, 389 women fulfilled the inclusion criteria of this study and were included in the analysis (215 out of 227 from PRIMS and 174 out of 202 from POPARTMUS). Main demographic and clinical characteristics of the study group are presented in Table 1.

A total of 156 women (40.1%) underwent neuraxial analgesia, noteworthy in a significantly higher proportion in POPARTMUS (66.7%) than in PRIMS (18.6%) which might reflect the change in clinical practice over time. In total, 93 women (23.9%) experienced a total of 101 relapses during pregnancy (56, 33, and 12 in the first, second, and third trimester, respectively) and 97 (24.9%) during the first 3 months post-partum. The percentage of women who experienced a relapse in the post-partum was lower in the more recent study, POPARTMUS, than in PRIMS (19.5% vs 29.3%,  $p=0.04$ ). Neuraxial analgesia was performed in 43.0% of women who had experienced a relapse during pregnancy compared to 39.2% of those without disease activity.

### *Risk of post-partum relapse in the overall group*

Besides the study effect (more post-partum relapses in PRIMS), three clinical factors significantly correlated with the presence of a post-partum relapse in the univariate analysis (Table 2): the number of relapses in the year before pregnancy, the number of relapses during pregnancy, and the residual DSS score at pregnancy onset. By contrast, neuraxial analgesia did not correlate with the presence of a post-partum relapse (odds ratio (OR)=0.85 (0.53–1.36),  $p=0.49$ ).

In the multivariate analysis, after excluding the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) because of its

co-linearity with relapses (Table 3), only the number of relapses during pregnancy correlated independently with the presence of a post-partum relapse (OR=1.83 (1.09–3.09),  $p=0.02$ ), but not neuraxial analgesia (OR=1.08 (0.62–1.89),  $p=0.78$ ) or the original study.

### *Risk of post-partum relapse in the subgroup with active disease during pregnancy*

In total, 33 women (35.5%) with a relapse during pregnancy experienced at least one relapse during the 3 months post-partum period, compared with 64 women (21.6%) without pregnancy relapse ( $p=0.007$ ). In this subgroup of patients with active disease, neuraxial analgesia did not correlate with the risk of post-partum relapse. There was, furthermore, no interaction between neuraxial analgesia and other covariates, noticeably relapses during pregnancy ( $p=0.65$ ). The number of post-partum relapses was 17 (30.4%), 15 (45.4%), and 4 (33.3%) for women with a relapse during the first, second, and third trimester of pregnancy, respectively. Because of low numbers, we did not include the timing of relapses during pregnancy in the modeling procedure.

## Discussion

Issues related to pregnancy are of great importance in MS, as two-thirds of MS patients are women in their childbearing years. The influence of pregnancy on MS has largely been assessed,<sup>1–3</sup> and now women are no longer discouraged from considering pregnancy. Neuraxial analgesia in MS remains a matter of debate between neurologists and anesthesiologists in clinical practice, because of the fear of local anesthetic toxicity or neural ischemia that could worsen the neurologic outcome by a “double-crush” phenomenon. Potential medicolegal issues are also of utmost importance in the decision-making process.

In our study, neuraxial analgesia was not associated with an increased risk of post-partum relapse (OR=1.08 (0.62–1.89),  $p=0.78$ ). The presence of a relapse during pregnancy was the only factor that independently correlated with the occurrence of this post-partum relapse (OR=1.83 (1.09–3.09),  $p=0.02$ ). In other words, the risk of post-partum relapses strongly correlated with recent disease activity, but not with performing neuraxial analgesia. There was also no effect of the study of origin.

This result is in line with previous reports from prospective cohorts of pregnant women with MS. In PRIMS, 42 women had an epidural analgesia, which was not associated with an increased risk of post-partum relapses.<sup>2,3</sup>

**Luca Durelli**  
**Marinella Clerico**  
Division of Neurology,  
Department of Clinical  
and Biological Sciences,  
University of Torino, San  
Luigi Gonzaga University  
Hospital, Orbassano, Italy

**Maria Trojano**  
Department of Basic Medical  
Sciences, Neuroscience and  
Sense Organs, University of  
Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy

**Francesco Patti**  
Department of Medical  
and Surgical Sciences, and  
Advanced Technologies, G.F.  
Ingrassia, Multiple Sclerosis  
Center, University of Catania,  
Catania, Italy

**Sandra Vukusic**  
Service de Neurologie A,  
Hôpital Pierre Wertheimer,  
Hospices Civils de Lyon,  
Lyon, France/Observatoire  
Français de la Sclérose en  
Plaques (OFSEP), Lyon,  
France/Centre de Recherche  
en Neurosciences de  
Lyon, INSERM U1028,  
CNRS UMR5292, Equipe  
Neuro-Oncologie et Neuro-  
Inflammation, Lyon, France/  
Université de Lyon 1, Lyon,  
France

**Table 1.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the cohort.

	Overall study cohort <i>n</i> = 389	Original study	
		PRIMS (1992–1995) <i>n</i> = 215	POPARTMUS (2005–2012) <i>n</i> = 174
Age at MS onset, years			
Median (interquartile range)	24.1 (20.8–27.6)	24.0 (20.2–27.3)	24.5 (21.4–28.0)
Age at pregnancy onset, years			
Median (interquartile range)	31.4 (28.4–34.2)	30.7 (27.9–33.1)	32.5 (29.0–35.5)
MS duration at pregnancy onset, years			
Median (interquartile range)	5.7 (3.1–9.3)	5.3 (2.9–9.0)	6.1 (3.6–9.7)
DSS score at pregnancy onset, <i>n</i> (%)			
<3	324 (83%)	179 (83.3%)	145 (83.3%)
≥3	56 (14.4%)	32 (14.9%)	24 (13.8%)
Unknown	9 (2.3%)	4 (1.8%)	5 (2.9%)
Relapses in the year before pregnancy			
Yes	129 (33.2%)	100 (46.5%)	29 (16.7%)
No	260 (66.8%)	115 (53.5%)	145 (83.3%)
Relapses during pregnancy			
Yes	93 (23.9%)	60 (27.9%)	33 (19.0%)
No	296 (76.1%)	155 (72.1%)	141 (81.0%)
Relapses during the first 3 months post-partum			
Yes	97 (24.9%)	63 (29.3%)	34 (19.5%)
No	292 (75.1%)	152 (70.7%)	140 (80.5%)
Neuraxial analgesia			
Yes	156 (40.1%)	40 (18.6%)	116 (66.7%)
No	233 (59.9%)	175 (81.4%)	58 (33.3%)
Breastfeeding			
Yes	111 (28.5%)	111 (51.6%)	0
No	250 (64.3%)	76 (35.3%)	174 (100%)
Unknown	28 (7.2%)	28 (13.0%)	0

MS: multiple sclerosis; DSS: Disability Status Scale; PRIMS: Pregnancy in Multiple Sclerosis; POPARTMUS: Prevention of Post-Partum Relapses with Progestin and Estradiol in Multiple Sclerosis.

Similarly, in the Italian pregnancy cohort, 65 out of 349 women underwent epidural analgesia. No correlation was found with the occurrence of post-partum relapses.<sup>14</sup> Those studies led neurologists to consider that epidural analgesia, or more largely neuraxial analgesia, could be used safely in women with MS.<sup>1,15</sup>

Historically, there has been some reluctance to use neuraxial analgesia in MS patients. Research on this topic is based essentially on old anecdotal case reports and small retrospective and prospective observational studies, mainly in an obstetrical context. Data on spinal and epidural analgesia are, therefore, scarce and contradictory. It remains unclear whether there is a difference between those anesthetic techniques in women with MS<sup>16–20</sup> or whether there is a dose effect. While the relapse

incidence in women who received epidural anesthesia for vaginal delivery was not significantly different from that in women who received local infiltration, all women with post-partum relapses had received higher epidural bupivacaine concentrations (>25%), suggesting a potential dose effect.<sup>20</sup> In 2006, Drake et al.<sup>21</sup> assessed the views of UK consultant obstetric anesthetists regarding management of women with MS. The majority would perform spinal or epidural anesthesia and analgesia, after consent given by the patient. MS seems no longer a contraindication of epidural or spinal analgesia. However, in our experience, women with pregnancy relapses are sometimes refused obstetrical loco regional analgesia.

There are indeed some pathophysiological arguments to explain a potential risk of using anesthetics in MS

**Table 2.** Indicators of the occurrence of a relapse in the first 3 months post-partum period—univariate logistic regression analysis.

	Post-partum relapses		Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
	No	Yes		
<b>Neuraxial analgesia</b>				
Yes	120 (76.9%)	36 (23.1%)	0.85 (0.53–1.36)	0.49
No	172 (73.8%)	61 (26.2%)	1	
<b>Relapses during pregnancy</b>				
Yes	60 (64.5%)	33 (35.5%)	2.0 (1.20–3.31)	0.007
No	232 (78.4%)	64 (21.6%)	1	
<b>Relapses in the year before pregnancy</b>				
Yes	86 (66.6%)	43 (33.3%)	1.80 (1.12–2.89)	0.002
No	210 (80.8%)	50 (19.2%)	1	
<b>Age at pregnancy onset</b>				
<31 years	156 (74.6%)	53 (25.4%)	1	0.83
≥31 years	136 (75.6%)	44 (24.4%)	0.95 (0.60–1.51)	
<b>MS duration at pregnancy onset, years</b>				
	5.7 (3.2–9.2)	5.9 (3.0–9.5)	1.00 (0.95–1.06)	0.96
<b>DSS score at pregnancy onset</b>				
<3	256 (79.0%)	68 (21.0%)	1	
≥3	29 (51.8%)	27 (48.2%)	3.5 (1.95–6.31)	<0.001
Unknown	7	2	1.08 (0.22–5.30)	0.93
<b>Original study</b>				
PRIMS	152 (70.7%)	63 (29.3%)	1	0.03
POPARTMUS	140 (80.5%)	34 (19.5%)	0.59 (0.360.94)	

MS: multiple sclerosis; DSS: Disability Status Scale; CI: confidence interval; PRIMS: Pregnancy in Multiple Sclerosis; POPARTMUS: Prevention of Post-Partum Relapses with Progestin and Estradiol in Multiple Sclerosis.

patients. Local anesthetics have been reported to unmask silent demyelinated plaques when administered systemically<sup>22</sup> or intrathecally.<sup>23</sup> Several oligopeptides with a sodium channel-blocking activity have been found in an abnormally high concentration in the cerebrospinal fluid of MS patients.<sup>24</sup> These oligopeptides could be responsible for a transient increase in pre-existing symptoms associated with MS, such as weakness and hypesthesia, by shifting the steady-state inactivation curve of the sodium channels to more negative potentials, therefore, inducing a partial conduction block in demyelinated areas. Those physiological properties of oligopeptides are also shared by many local anesthetics.<sup>25</sup> Moreover, demyelination is thought to render the spinal cord more susceptible to a direct neurotoxic effect of local anesthetics.

One could argue that we are clearly lacking good evidence based on high-quality studies; it would probably be considered unethical and unfeasible to conduct a randomized study to assess the effect of neuraxial analgesia in parturient women with MS. At least, a prospective study, designed specifically and powered to address this

question, could provide a better level of evidence. In our study, we tried to approach this design using prospectively collected data and estimating a priori the number of observations for a satisfactory power.

Our study might suffer from some methodological limitations. The retrospective use of data from two studies that were not designed to answer our specific question prevented us from knowing the exact procedure used for neuraxial analgesia (spinal or epidural analgesia), the local anesthetic used and its concentration. An indication bias on the use of neuraxial analgesia might have occurred, including local differences between centers and temporal differences between the two original studies. The combination of two very different studies that were done more than 10 years apart could be questioned, especially when including a therapeutic trial. But demographic characteristics of both studies were similar and representative of MS women considering motherhood: young women with mild disability, no exposure to disease-modifying treatments in any of the studies, at least during pregnancy, and in the 3 months after delivery. Furthermore, there



**Table 3.** Indicators of the occurrence of a relapse in the first 3 months post-partum period—multivariate logistic regression analysis.

	Post-partum relapses Odds ratio	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Neuraxial analgesia			
No	1		
Yes	1.08	(0.62–1.89)	0.78
Relapses during pregnancy			
No	1		
Yes	1.83	(1.09–3.09)	0.02
Relapses in the year before pregnancy			
No	1		
Yes	1.52	(0.91–2.52)	0.11
Age at pregnancy onset			
<31 years	1		
≥31 years	0.87	(0.53–1.42)	0.58
MS duration at pregnancy onset, years			
	1.02	(0.96–1.08)	0.57
Original study			
PRIMS	1		
POPARTMUS	0.65	(0.36–1.15)	0.14

MS: multiple sclerosis; CI: confidence interval; PRIMS: Pregnancy in Multiple Sclerosis; POPARTMUS: Prevention of Post-Partum Relapses with Progestin and Estradiol in Multiple Sclerosis.

was absolutely no effect, and even no trend, of sexual steroids on clinical and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) activity in POPARTMUS (unpublished data). The relapse rates in POPARTMUS were overall lower in all the study periods than in PRIMS, which could be interpreted either as a selection of patients with a lower activity in clinical trials or as a persisting effect of previously used disease-modifying treatments in this much recent study.<sup>26</sup> However, this was unlikely to have influenced the main analysis on the risk of post-partum relapses related to neuraxial analgesia. The other major difference between the studies laid in the percentage of women that underwent neuraxial analgesia, 18.6% in PRIMS versus 66.7% in POPARTMUS. This important change is mainly due to the evolution of medical practice in the general population (in France, the rate of epidural analgesia for vaginal delivery was 37% in 1991, 51% in 1996, and 63% in 2003<sup>27</sup>), but also in MS patients after the publication of the results of PRIMS. Again, this might not have induced a bias in our main analysis. Finally, we cannot exclude that our study was underpowered to demonstrate a smaller increase, less than 25%–40% proportion, in the risk of post-partum relapse after neuraxial analgesia.

We clearly are lacking evidence which might be obtained from a prospective study, collecting detailed information not only on the type of analgesia (route,

dose, and drug) but also on clinical and MRI parameters (type of symptoms, level of certainty of relapses, localization of the MS lesions, especially in the spinal cord). Such a prospective study should be considered in the future.

Counseling women with MS about pregnancy is part of everyday clinical practice of neurologists. Issues about the safety of neuraxial analgesia for management of obstetrical pain are of great importance. Our study provides additional arguments toward the harmlessness of neuraxial analgesia in parturient women with MS, whatever their MS activity during pregnancy. It is important to inform MS women that the post-partum period is associated with a greater risk of relapse, which is closely related to the pregnancy disease activity but not to the use of local/regional analgesia at the time of delivery. The patient should be actively engaged in the discussion about the choice among available options and give an informed consent, considering the potential medicolegal implications.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the patients for their participation in the PRIMS and POPARTMUS studies and all the investigators for their active contribution. C.L. and S.V. contributed to the study concept and design,

acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, and writing the manuscript. F.B. and R.C. contributed to the analysis and interpretation, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. F.D.-D., R.M., I.I., T.M., P.T., M.H., M.B.D., D.-A.L., P.C., J.D.S., M.D., D.B., J.P., C.L.-F., E.L.P., G.C., E.B., P.H., O.H., L.D., M.C., M.T., and F.P. contributed to the acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

### Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: C.L. has received travel grants from Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Teva Pharma, Biogen, and LFB biomedicaments. F.D.-D. has received lecturing fees, travel grants, and research support from Bayer-Schering, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. R.M. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and research support from Bayer-Schering, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. I.I. has received travel grants and research support from Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. T.M. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and unconditional research support from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. P.T. has received consulting and lecturing fees and travel grants from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Teva Pharma, Sanofi Aventis, and Roche. M.H. has served on a medical advisory board for the CONFIRM study (BG00012) for Biogen Idec; served on the editorial board of the *Multiple Sclerosis* journal; has received speaker's honoraria from Novartis, Biogen Idec, and Bayer-Schering; and receives research support from Dystonia Ireland, the Health Research Board of Ireland, and the European Dystonia Foundation. M.B.D. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and research support from Bayer-Schering, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, Allergan, and Teva Pharma. D.-A.L. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and unconditional research support from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. J.D.S. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and unconditional research support from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. M.D. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and unconditional research support from Biogen,


Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. J.P. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and unconditional research support from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, MedDay, Merck Serono, Roche, and Teva Pharma. C.L.-F. has received consulting and lecturing fees and travel grants from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, MedDay, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. E.L.P. has received honoraria as speaker or consultant from Biogen Idec, Sanofi Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, Teva Pharma, and Roche; grants from the Programme Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique (PHRC), from La Ligue française contre la SEP, l'ARSEP, and Teva Pharma. E.B. has received consulting and lecturing fees and travel grants from Novartis, Sanofi Aventis, Biogen, Genzyme, and Teva Pharma. P.H. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and research support from Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. O.H. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and unconditional research support from Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, MedDay, and Teva Pharma. M.C. has received personal compensation for speaking from Biogen; for taking part of advisory board from Merck, Sanofi, Biogen, and Pomona; as consultant for Sanofi, Fondazione Serono, and CIC Edizioni Internazionali; and had traveling expenses covered by Merck, Sanofi, and Novartis. M.T. has served on the scientific Advisory Boards for Biogen, Novartis, Almirall, Roche, and Genzyme; has received speaker honoraria from Biogen Idec, Bayer-Schering, Sanofi Aventis, Merck Serono, Teva Pharma, Genzyme, Almirall, and Novartis; and has received research grants for her Institution from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, and Novartis. F.P. has received research grant from MIUR (Italian Research Ministry) and personal fees for consultation, advisor board, and speaking activities by Almirall, Bayer, Biogen, Celgene, Merck, Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme, and Teva Pharma. S.V. has received consulting and lecturing fees, travel grants, and research support from Biogen Idec, Celgene, GeNeuro, Genzyme, Novartis, MedDay, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, and Teva Pharma. F.R., D.B., G.C., L.D., P.C., and R.C. have nothing to disclose.

### Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work has been supported by grants from patients associations: Fondation pour l'Aide à la Recherche sur la Sclérose en Plaques (ARSEP), the Myelin Project, and the European

Leukodystrophy Association (ELA) and Foundation; and from the French Ministry of Health: Projet Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique—National call for proposal. It has been realized with the help of the Observatoire Français de la Sclérose en Plaques (OFSEP), which is supported by a grant provided by the French State and handled by the “Agence Nationale de la Recherche,” within the framework of the “Investments for the Future” program, under the reference ANR-10-COHO-002 and the Eugène Devic EDMUS Foundation against multiple sclerosis.”

#### ORCID iD

Sandra Vukusic  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7337-7122>

#### References

1. Vukusic S and Marignier R. Multiple sclerosis and pregnancy in the “treatment era.” *Nat Rev Neurol* 2015; 11: 280–289.
2. Confavreux C, Hutchinson M, Hours MM, et al. Rate of pregnancy-related relapse in multiple sclerosis. Pregnancy in Multiple Sclerosis Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 285–291.
3. Vukusic S, Hutchinson M, Hours M, et al. Pregnancy and multiple sclerosis (the PRIMIS study): Clinical predictors of post-partum relapse. *Brain* 2004; 127: 1353–1360.
4. Portaccio E, Ghezzi A, Hakiki B, et al. Breastfeeding is not related to postpartum relapses in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2011; 77: 145–150.
5. Dahl J, Myhr KM, Daltveit AK, et al. Pregnancy, delivery and birth outcome in different stages of maternal multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol* 2008; 255: 623–627.
6. Kelly VM, Lorene NM and Chakravarty EF. Obstetric outcomes in women with multiple sclerosis and epilepsy. *Neurology* 2009; 73: 1831–1836.
7. Amato MP, Portaccio E, Ghezzi A, et al. Pregnancy and fetal outcomes after interferon- $\beta$  exposure in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2010; 75: 1794–1802.
8. Giannini M, Portaccio E, Ghezzi A, et al. Pregnancy and fetal outcomes after glatiramer acetate exposure in patients with multiple sclerosis: A prospective observational multicentric study. *BMC Neurol* 2012; 12: 124.
9. Thiel S, Langer-Gould A, Rockhoff M, et al. Interferon-beta exposure during first trimester is safe in women with multiple sclerosis: A prospective cohort study from the German Multiple Sclerosis and Pregnancy Registry. *Mult Scler* 2016; 22: 801–809.
10. Poser CM, Paty DW, Scheinberg L, et al. New diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: Guidelines for research protocols. *Ann Neurol* 1983; 13: 227–231.
11. Vukusic S, Ionescu I, El-Etr M, et al. The Prevention of Post-Partum Relapses with Progestin and Estradiol in Multiple Sclerosis (POPART’MUS) trial: Rationale, objectives and state of advancement. *J Neurol Sci* 2009; 286: 114–118.
12. McDonald WI, Compston A, Edan G, et al. Recommended diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: Guidelines from the International Panel on the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2001; 50: 121–127.
13. Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: An expanded disability status scale (EDSS). *Neurology* 1983; 33: 1444–1452.
14. Pasto L, Portaccio E, Ghezzi A, et al. Epidural analgesia and cesarean delivery in multiple sclerosis post-partum relapses: The Italian cohort study. *BMC Neurol* 2012; 12: 165.
15. Miller DH, Fazekas F, Montalban X, et al. Pregnancy, sex and hormonal factors in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20: 527–536.
16. Bamford C, Sibley W and Laguna J. Anesthesia in multiple sclerosis. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1978; 5: 41–44.
17. Warren T, Datta S and Ostheimer GW. Lumbar epidural anesthesia in a patient with multiple sclerosis. *Anesth Analg* 1982; 61: 1022–1023.
18. Crawford JS, James FM, Nolte H, et al. Regional analgesia for patients with chronic neurological disease and similar conditions. *Anaesthesia* 1983; 36: 821–822.
19. Bouchard P, Caillet JB, Monnet F, et al. Spinal anaesthesia and multiple sclerosis. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 1984; 3: 194–198.
20. Bader A, Hunt M, Datta C, et al. Anesthesia for the obstetric patient with multiple sclerosis. *J Clin Anesth* 1988; 1: 21–24.
21. Drake E, Drake M, Bird J, et al. Obstetric regional blocks for women with multiple sclerosis: A survey of UK experience. *Int J Obstet Anesth* 2006; 15: 115–123.
22. Sakurai M, Mannen T, Kanazawa I, et al. Lidocaine unmasks silent demyelinating lesions in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 1992; 42: 2088–2093.
23. Levesque P, Marsepoil T, Venutolo PH, et al. Multiple sclerosis disclosed by spinal anesthesia. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 1988; 7: 68–70.
24. Weber F, Rudel R, Aulkemeyer P, et al. The endogenous pentapeptide QYNAD induces acute



- conduction block in the isolated rat sciatic nerve. *Neurosci Lett* 2002; 317: 33–36.
25. Brinkmeier H, Aulkemeyer P, Wollinsky KH, et al. An endogenous pentapeptide acting as a sodium channel blocker in inflammatory autoimmune disorders of the central nervous system. *Nat Med* 2000; 6: 808–811.
  26. Hughes SE, Spelman T, Gray OM, et al. Predictors and dynamics of postpartum relapses in women with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20: 739–746.
  27. CNGOF. *Extrait des mises à jour en gynécologie et obstétrique*. Tome XXXI, 2007. Paris, France: CNGOF.

## Appendix 1

### List of investigators

#### For the PRIMS study

*Study Design and Steering Committee*—A. Alépovitch (Villejuif, France); H. Carton (Leuven, Belgium); M.B. d’Hooghe (Melsbroek, Belgium); O. Hommes (Nijmegen, the Netherlands); M. Hutchinson (Dublin, Ireland).

*EDMUS Coordinating Center*—P. Adeleine, A. Biron, P. Cortinovic-Tourniaire, J. Grimaud, M. Hours, T. Moreau, S. Vukusic, C. Confavreux (Lyon, France).

*Participants (numbers of pregnancies studied are shown in parentheses)*—France (71): G. Chauplannaz, C. Confavreux, P. Cortinovic-Tourniaire, J. Grimaud, D. Latombe, T. Moreau (Lyon); M. Clanet, G. Lau (Toulouse); L. Rumbach (Besançon); J.Y. Goas, F. Rouhart (Brest); A. Mazingue (Lille); E. Roullet (Paris); M. Madigand (Saint Briec); P. Hauteceur (Lomme); P. Brunet, G. Edan, C. Allaire (Rennes); G. Riffault (Tours); J. Leche (Vendôme); T. Benoit (Villepinte); C. Simonin (Arles); F. Ziegler (Belfort); J.C. Baron, Y. Rivrain (Caen); R. Dumas, D. Loche (Dijon); J.C. Bourrin (Draguignan); B. Huttin (Epinal); B. Delisse (Lens); I. Gibert (Le Puy en Velay); C. Boulay (Mulhouse); M. Verceletto (Nantes); G. Durand (Nice); G. Bonneviot (Orléans); R. Gil (Poitiers); M.A. Hedreville (Pointe-à-Pitre); C. Belair (Reims); R.J. Poitevin (Restigné); J.L. Devoize (Angoulême); P. Wyremblewski (Saint Quentin); F. Delestre (Vannes); A. Setiey (Villefranche-sur-Saône); Italy (42): G. Comi, M. Filippi, A. Ghezzi, V. Martinelli, P. Rossi, M. Zaffaroni (Milan); M.R. Tola (Ferrara); M.P. Amato (Florence); C. Fioretti, G. Meucci (Pisa); M. Inglese, G.L. Mancardi (Genoa); D. Gambi, A. Thomas (Chiatti); M. Cavazzuti (Modena); A. Citterio (Pavia); Denmark (25): A. Heltberg (Roskilde); H.J. Hansen (Aarhus); Spain (25): O.

Fernandez, F. Romero (Malaga); T. Arbizu, J.J. Hernandez (Barcelona); C. De Andres de Frutos (Madrid); D. Geffner Sclarky (Castellon); Y. Aladro Benito, P. Reyes Yanes (Las Palmas); M. Aguilar (Terrassa); J.A. Burguera, R. Yaya (Valencia); W. Bonakim Dib, D. Arzua-Mouronte (Valladolid); Belgium (23): M.B. d’Hooghe (Melsbroek); C.J.M. Sindic (Brussels); H. Carton (Leuven); R. Medaer (Diepenbeek); H. Roose (Bonheiden); K.M.J. Geens (Ekeren); D. Guillaume (Fraiture); M. Van Zandycke (Brugge); J. Janssens (Lewen); M. Cornette (Seraing); L. Mol (Turnhout); Germany (21): F. Weilbach, P. Flachenecker, H.P. Hartung (Würzburg); J. Haas, I. Tendolkar (Magdeburg); E. Sindrn (Bochum); H.W. Kölmel, D. Reichel (Erfurt); M. Rauch (Bielefeld); S. Preuss (Emden); S. Poser (Göttingen); E. Mauch (Schwendi); Austria (18): S. Strausser-Fuchs (Graz); H. Kolleger (Vienna); United Kingdom (18): S. Hawkins (Belfast); S.J.L. Howell (Sheffield); J.E. Rees (Haywards Heath); A. Thompson (London); M. Johnson (Leeds); M. Boggild (Stoke-on-Trent); R.P. Gregory (Swindon); D. Bates (Newcastle upon Tyne); I. Bone (Glasgow); Ireland (14): M. Hutchinson (Dublin); the Netherlands (7): C. Polman (Amsterdam); S. Frequin, P. Jongen, O. Hommes (Nijmegen); Portugal (4): J. Correia de Sa (Lisbon); M.E. Rio (Porto); and Switzerland (1): S. Huber, J. Lechner-Scott, L. Kappos (Basel).

#### For the POPARTMUS study

*Steering Committee*—I. Ionescu, C. Cornu, C. Confavreux, S. Vukusic (Lyon, France), M. El-Etr (Kremlin-Bicêtre, France).

*Protocol Design Committee*—E.E. Baulieu, M. El-Etr, M. Schumacher (Kremlin-Bicêtre, France), I. Ionescu, C. Confavreux, C. Cornu, S. Vukusic (Lyon, France).

*Advisors*—H.P. Hartung (Dusseldorf, Germany), D.H. Miller (London, United Kingdom), M. Hutchinson (Dublin, Ireland), M. Pugeat (Lyon, France), C. d’Archanges (Genève, Switzerland), J. Conard (Paris, France), J. Ménard (Paris, France), R. Sitruk-Ware (New York, USA).

*Gynecologists Committee*—C. Pelissier (Paris, France), S. Dat (Toulouse, France), J. Belaïsch-Allard (Sèvres, France), N. Athéa (Paris, France), D. Büschenschutz (Paris, France).


*Data Monitoring Committee*—O. Lyon-Caen (Paris, France), R. Gonsette (Melsbroek, Belgium), J.P. Boissel (Lyon, France), P. Ffrench (Lyon, France).

*MRI Committee*—F. Durand-Dubief (Lyon, France), F. Cotton (Lyon, France), C. Pachai, L. Bracoud (Bioclinica, Lyon, France).

*Participants (numbers of pregnancies studied are shown in parentheses)*—France (179): S. Vukusic, I. Ionescu, G. Androdias, R. Marignier, G. Chauplannaz (Lyon, 25); D.A. Laplaud, S. Wiertlewski, C. Lanctin-Garcia (Nantes, 16); T. Moreau, G. Couvreur, G. Madinier (Dijon, 14); P. Clavelou, F. Taithe, D. Aufauvre, N. Guy, A. Ferrier (Clermont-Ferrand, 13); J. De Sèze, N. Collongues (Strasbourg, 13); M. Debouverie (Nancy, 11); F. Viala, D. Brassat, A. Gerdelat-Mas, P. Henry (Toulouse, 11); J. Pelletier, A. Rico-Lamy (Marseille, 10); C. Lebrun-Frenay (Nice, 9); E. Lepage, V. Deburghraeve, G. Edan (Rennes, 9); G. Castelnovo (Nîmes, 7); E. Berger

(Besançon, 5); P. Hauteceur, M. Blondiau (Lille, 4); O. Heinzlef (Poissy, 4); M. Coustans (Quimper, 3); C. Clerc (Montbéliard, 2); L. Rieu, M. Lauxerois (Chamalières, 2); G. Hinzelin (Saint Herblain, 2); J.C. Ouallet (Bordeaux, 2); D. Minier, P. Vion (Chalon sur Saône, 2); N. Gromaire-Fayolle (Montélimar, 2); N. Derache (Caen, 2); E. Thouvenot (Montpellier, 2); M. Sallansonnet-Froment (Paris Val de Grâce, 1); P. Tourniaire (Avignon, 1); L. Toureille (Annemasse, 1); F. Borgel (Grenoble, 1); B. Stankoff (Paris Salpêrière, 1); J. Grimaud (Chartres, 1); C. Moroianu (Belfort, 1); A.M. Guennoc (Tours, 1); C.L. Tournier-Gervason (Chambéry, 1); S. Peysson (Villefranche, 1). Italy (23): M. Trojano (Bari, 6); F. Patti, E. D'Amico (Catania, 5); L. Motti (Reggio Emilia, 5); M. Zaffaroni (Gallarate, 4); L. Durelli, A. Tavella (Orbassano, 3).

Visit SAGE journals online  
[journals.sagepub.com/  
home/msj](http://journals.sagepub.com/home/msj)

 SAGE journals